

Office of Research Training and Special Programs
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES



Bridging the Career Gap for Underrepresented Minority Scientists

searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unle	tion is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, ses it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate ns for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, upleted form to this address.
<u>Overa</u>	all Evaluation Form
SECTION I (<i>Please circle your answer.</i>) 1=Strongly Disagree)	(4=Strongly Agree 3=Agree 2=Disagree
Attending this Workshop increased my known	owledge/understanding of NIH/NIAID

	mission, career options, peer review process	4	3	2	
	1				
2.	The Workshop offered information that was relevant to my research career	4	3	2	1
3.	I received new information that was not available to me prior to the Workshop		4	3	2
	1				
4.	The Workshop materials were valuable	4	3	2	1
5.	The number of breakout sessions was appropriate	4	3	2	1

6.	The topics addressed during the Workshop were appropriate	4	3	2	1
7.	I would recommend this Workshop to my colleagues	4	3	2	1
SEC	TION II (Please circle your answer) (4=Excellent 3=Good 2=Fa	air 1:	=Poo	r)	
8.	How relevant was each of the following Workshop presentations to knowledge base in future research and career options	incr	easin	ıg yo	ur
	a. NIH/NIAID Scientific Mission	4	3	2	1
	b. Keys to Success	4	3	2	1

c. Experiences from the Front Line – Post Doc Panel	4	3	2	1
d. Funding Sources	4	3	2	1
e. Mentoring Presentation	4	3	2	1
f. Overview of Career Choices	4	3	2	1
g. Speaker Event with NIAID	4	3	2	1
h. NIH Grant System & Peer Review	4	3	2	1
i. Mock Study Section	4	3	2	1

j. Strategies for Success: Perspectives from Grantees and Reviewers	4	3	2	1
k. Role of Program Staff	4	3	2	1
Budget proposal pre-Post Award	4	3	2	1
m. Breakout Groups	4	3	2	1
(Please indicate which breakout group you were in)				

9. Please rate the Workshop arrangements and facilities. (4=Excel 1=Poor)	lent	3=Go	od 2	2=Fair	
(Please circle	"NA "	if you	did r	not use	the
service.)					
a. Convenience of site/date/time	(NA)	4	3	2	1
b. On-site registration process	(NA)	4	3	2	1
c. Meeting facility	(NA)	4	3	2	
e. Hotel accommodations (i.e., lodging room)	(NA)	4	3	2	1

10. Overall, the Workshop was (4=Excellent 3=Good 2=Fair 1=Pool 2	or) 4	3
SECTION III		
11. What were the strengths of this Workshop?		
12. What suggestions do you have for improving this Workshop?		

13.	Which portion (s) of the workshop did you find most beneficial to your individual needs?
14.	Additional Comments:
_	

Name (optional):	
(Please Print)	
Check all boxes that apply:	
□ Predoctorate	☐ Postdoctorate
□ Diversity Supplement	□ nF32 grantee
□ PSA (KII) grantee	

Division of Intramural Research		
□ IRTA□ Staff Fellow	☐ Clinical Associate	
☐ Other	☐ Visiting Fellow	
(specify)		
Tha	ank you for your participation!	