## Attachment L:

Framing a Cost Benefit Analyses (CBA)

| Define the <br> following: | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Step 1: The problem | The objective of the study is to examine how the costs of the TS and E interventions <br> compare with the benefits. |
| Step 2: Control <br> options | TS and E interventions versus no control |
| Step 3: Audience | TEMA and employees, other manufacturers |
| Step 4: Perspective | TEMA |
| Step 5: Time frame <br> and Analytic horizon | Two years from implementation of TS and E interventions (e.g. short enough that the <br> outcomes are not unacceptably uncertain, but not long enough to capture fully the <br> costs and benefits that are attributable to the program, and to account for seasonal <br> variations in program activity levels and targeted health outcomes), |
| Step 6: Discount <br> rate | 6\% (to compare benefits and costs that occur at different times by adjusting their <br> values according to the time preference corresponding to the chosen perspective) |
| Step 7: Format | Key data was derived by a prospective experimental design (in Phase) |


| Benefits | Costs |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direct costs averted <br> - Averted workers' compensation costs (both medical and indemnity) ${ }^{1}$ | Direct costs <br> Tool Support (TS) <br> - New/ replacement equipment ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Equipment installation ${ }^{2}$ |
| Indirect costs averted <br> - Reduced or averted costs of absenteeism ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Reduced or averted costs of presenteeism ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Reduced turnover costs ${ }^{3}$ | - Equipment maintenance ${ }^{2}$ <br> Exercise Program (E) <br> - Personnel time (to attend and conduct training) ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Training materials ${ }^{2}$ |
| Value Added <br> - Improved product quality ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Improved task efficiency ${ }^{2}$ | Indirect (productivity losses) <br> - Productivity losses to company attributable to program ${ }^{2}$ <br> - Productivity losses to employees attributable to program ${ }^{2}$ |
| Intangible benefits ${ }^{3}$ Averted pain and suffering from back injury | Intangible costs ${ }^{3}$ <br> Stress on employees caused by program |
| ${ }^{1}$ Estimated using Toyota historical data (e.g. frequency/ costs for shoulder related MSDs associated with overhead work in processes similar to those targeted during Phase B) <br> ${ }^{2}$ Estimated using data collected during Phase B <br> ${ }^{3}$ Not estimated for this study |  |

The formula for NPV, where: $r=$ discount rate (interest rate), $t=y e a r$, and $n=$ analytic horizon (in years)

$$
\mathrm{NPV}=\sum_{t=0}^{n} \frac{(\text { Benefits }- \text { Costs })_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}}
$$

is:
The formulas for ROI are presented below: $\quad \mathrm{ROI}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}_{\text {benefits }}-\mathrm{PV} V_{\text {costs }}}{\mathrm{PV}_{\text {costs }}}$, or

$$
\mathrm{ROI}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{~Pb} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {costs }}}\right]-1
$$

