

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**  
**for the Paperwork Reduction Act Information Collection Submission for**  
**Rule 19d-3**

**A. JUSTIFICATION**

**1. Necessity of Information Collection**

Rule 19d-3 (“Rule”) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) prescribes the form and content of applications to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) for review of all final disciplinary sanctions, denials of membership, participation or association, or prohibitions or limitations of access to services that are imposed by self-regulatory organizations (“SROs”). Rule 19d-3 was adopted on July 8, 1977, pursuant to authority granted the Commission in Sections 6, 11A, 15, 15A, 17, 17A, 19, and 23 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78f, 78k-1, 78o, 78o-3, 78q, 78q-1, 78s, and 78w.

**2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection**

The Commission uses the information provided in the application filed pursuant to Rule 19d-3 to review final actions taken by SROs including: (1) final disciplinary sanctions; (2) denial or conditioning of membership, participation or association; and (3) prohibitions or limitations of access to services offered by a SRO or member thereof.

**3. Consideration Given to Information Technology**

Submission of a written application for review is the least burdensome and most effective means of giving the Commission notice of the applicant’s intent.

**4. Duplication**

There is no duplication of this application process to the Commission.

**5. Effect on Small Entities**

Rule 19d-3 may affect small entities because the universe of potential respondents includes firms that may meet the definition of “small entities” found in Rule 0-10 of the Exchange Act. However, because the total burden is nominal, Rule 19d-3 will not have a disproportionate affect on small entities.

**6. Consequences of Not Conducting Collection**

The filing of an application is discretionary for the applicants; therefore a less frequent collection is not available to the Commission.

**7. Inconsistencies with Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)**

There are no special circumstances. This collection is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

**8. Consultations Outside the Agency**

The required Federal Register notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published. No public comments were received.

**9. Payment or Gift**

There has been no decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents.

**10. Confidentiality**

No assurance of confidentiality is provided to applicants.

**11. Sensitive Questions**

The form of the application does not impose the burden of any questions of a sensitive nature.

**12. Burden of Information Collection**

Based upon past submissions, we estimated that approximately six respondents will utilize this application procedure annually, with a total burden of approximately 108 total hours. This figure represents approximately eighteen hours spent per respondent, per submission. This figure also reflects an estimate of approximately one submission, per respondent. The average cost per hour is approximately \$101, per response.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the internal labor cost of compliance for all respondents is approximately \$10,908 (6 submissions x 18 hours per response x \$101 per hour).

**13. Costs to Respondents**

It is not anticipated that respondents will have to incur any capital and start-up costs, nor any additional operational or maintenance costs (other than as provided in Item 12), to comply with the collection of information.

**14. Costs to Federal Government**

From past experience, we estimate that the operational costs of accepting and processing applications filed pursuant to Rule 19d-3 amounts to approximately \$875. This amount is based on our computation of the value of staff time devoted to those activities and is based on the GSA,

---

<sup>1</sup> This hourly figure includes 35% overhead.

**15. Changes in Burden**

The decrease in the hour burden is due to an estimated decrease in the number of filings submitted by respondents pursuant to Rule 19d-3. The decrease in the dollar cost burden from \$27,270 to \$0 is due to the fact that in the previous submission the monetization of the internal labor cost of compliance was erroneously stated as a separate cost burden.

**16. Information Collections Planned for Statistical Purposes**

Not applicable. The information collected is not used for statistical purposes.

**17. Approval to Omit OMB Expiration Date**

The Commission is not seeking approval to omit the expiration date.

**18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions**

The Commission is not seeking an exception to the certification statement.

**B. COLLECTION OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHOD**

This collection does not involve statistical methods.