

PRC Program Indicators Used to Guide Data Collection for 2010 - 2014

Red = Indicator data collected through **surveys and telephone interviews**

Green = Indicator data collected through review of **existing documents and validated by PRCs**

Black = Indicator data collected occasionally through special studies

INPUTS

1. Number of PRC community committee members, by constituency, organization, and perspective
2. Number and full-time equivalents (FTEs) of PRC faculty and staff who are supported by CDC funds, and number of contract personnel used
3. What resources are needed to implement a PRC Program
4. Amount of PRC annual funding for core and SIP projects by number of projects
5. Number of PRC partnerships, by constituency, organization, or perspective

ACTIVITIES

6. Number and types of PRC involvement with state and local health departments
7. Number of core and SIP projects by level of prevention and health focus (risk factors and health outcomes); and for research projects, the population, setting, and research type (e.g., determinant, intervention/ translation, methodological); and for interventions the type of intervention (e.g., environmental and policy, programmatic)
8. Number of PRC-‘other’ research projects, by amount of funding and source, and the population, setting, and research type (e.g., determinant, intervention/translation, methodological); and for interventions the type of intervention (e.g., environmental and policy, programmatic)

OUTPUTS

9. Number of PRC books and book chapters by type of project (core, SIP, other)
10. Number of PRC peer-reviewed journal publications by type of project (core, SIP, other)
11. Number of PRC presentations, by audience and type of project (core, SIP, other)
12. Number of students working with PRCs
13. Number of people trained by PRCs, by audience type

OUTCOMES

14. How many PRC-tested interventions were found to be effective by health focus (risk factors and health outcomes) and type of intervention (e.g., environmental and policy, programmatic), and what source determined effectiveness (e.g., the Community Guide, RTIPS)
15. How many effective PRC-tested interventions have been adopted outside of the original study population, by how many entities (e.g., school districts, communities) by health focus (risk factors and health outcomes) and type of intervention (e.g., environmental and policy, programmatic)
16. Number of new prevention grants or contracts awarded to partners or community committees that were facilitated by the PRC partnership, by type of PRC involvement
17. Number of publications citing PRC work, by journal characteristic