Crop Production

Released June 10, 2010, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

## Winter Wheat Production Up 2 Percent from May All Orange Production Up 1 Percent from May

Winter wheat production is forecast at 1.48 billion bushels, up 2 percent from the May 1 forecast but 3 percent below 2009. Based on June 1 conditions, the United States yield is forecast at 46.6 bushels per acre, up 0.7 bushel from last month and 2.4 bushels more than last year. Expected area for harvest as grain or seed totals 31.8 million acres, unchanged from May 1.

Hard Red production is up 2 percent from a month ago to 979 million bushels. Soft Red production is up slightly from last month and now totals 284 million bushels. White production totals 219 million bushels, up 2 percent from last month. Of the White production total, 17.3 million bushels are Hard White and 202 million bushels are Soft White.

The United States all orange forecast for the 2009-2010 season is 8.29 million tons, up 1 percent from the May 1 forecast but down 9 percent from the 2008-2009 final utilization. The Florida all orange forecast, at 134 million boxes ( 6.01 million tons), is up 2 percent from the previous forecast but down 18 percent from last season's final utilization. Early, midseason, and navel varieties in Florida are forecast at 68.6 million boxes ( 3.09 million tons), unchanged from May 1 but 19 percent lower than last season. The Florida Valencia orange forecast, at 65.0 million boxes ( 2.93 million tons), is up 3 percent from the previous forecast but down 17 percent from the 2008-2009 estimate. Florida citrus producing areas experienced favorable weather in May with an ideal mix of precipitation and sunshine. The monthly row count survey indicated 90 percent of the Valencia crop had been harvested. California and Texas production forecasts are carried forward from April.

Florida frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ) yield forecast for the 2009-2010 season is 1.55 gallons per box at 42.0 degrees Brix, unchanged from the May 1 forecast but down 7 percent from last season's final yield of 1.66 gallons per box. The early-midseason portion is final at 1.51 gallons per box, down 6 percent from last season's record yield of 1.60 gallons per box. The Valencia portion is projected at 1.63 gallons per box, 7 percent lower than last year's final yield of 1.75 gallons per box. All projections of yield assume the processing relationship this season will be similar to those of the past several seasons.

This report was approved on June 10, 2010.
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Winter Wheat Area Harvested, Yield, and Production - States and United States: 2009 and Forecasted June 1, 2010

| State | Area harvested |  | Yield |  |  | Production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |  | 2009 | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  | May 1 | June 1 |  |  |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (bushels) | (bushels) | (bushels) | (1,000 bushels) | (1,000 bushels) |
| Arkansas | 390 | 170 | 44.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 17,160 | 8,840 |
| California ..................... | 315 | 380 | 80.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 25,200 | 26,600 |
| Colorado ....................... | 2,450 | 2,300 | 40.0 | 38.0 | 39.0 | 98,000 | 89,700 |
| Georgia ....................... | 250 | 130 | 42.0 | 48.0 | 44.0 | 10,500 | 5,720 |
| Idaho .......................... | 700 | 740 | 81.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 56,700 | 62,900 |
| Illinois ......................... | 820 | 325 | 56.0 | 60.0 | 59.0 | 45,920 | 19,175 |
| Indiana ....................... | 450 | 280 | 67.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 30,150 | 19,040 |
| Kansas ....................... | 8,800 | 8,200 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 43.0 | 369,600 | 352,600 |
| Kentucky ...................... | 390 | 300 | 57.0 | 65.0 | 63.0 | 22,230 | 18,900 |
| Maryland ....................... | 195 | 140 | 60.0 | 63.0 | 68.0 | 11,700 | 9,520 |
| Michigan ....................... | 560 | 490 | 69.0 | 72.0 | 74.0 | 38,640 | 36,260 |
| Mississippi .................... | 165 | 130 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 8,250 | 6,500 |
| Missouri ....................... | 730 | 310 | 47.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 34,310 | 14,260 |
| Montana ...................... | 2,420 | 1,900 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 43.0 | 89,540 | 81,700 |
| Nebraska ...................... | 1,600 | 1,500 | 48.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 76,800 | 69,000 |
| New York ...................... | 105 | 100 | 65.0 | 62.0 | 64.0 | 6,825 | 6,400 |
| North Carolina ............... | 600 | 400 | 49.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 29,400 | 18,400 |
| North Dakota ................ | 545 | 320 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 26,160 | 17,280 |
| Ohio ............................ | 980 | 750 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 70,560 | 54,000 |
| Oklahoma ..................... | 3,500 | 3,900 | 22.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 77,000 | 128,700 |
| Oregon ........................ | 750 | 830 | 56.0 | 59.0 | 62.0 | 42,000 | 51,460 |
| Pennsylvania ................ | 175 | 155 | 56.0 | 58.0 | 60.0 | 9,800 | 9,300 |
| South Carolina ............... | 150 | 135 | 47.0 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 7,050 | 5,805 |
| South Dakota ................. | 1,530 | 1,180 | 42.0 | 49.0 | 50.0 | 64,260 | 59,000 |
| Tennessee .................... | 340 | 180 | 51.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 17,340 | 10,080 |
| Texas .......................... | 2,450 | 3,500 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 61,250 | 122,500 |
| Virginia ........................ | 210 | 175 | 58.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 12,180 | 11,025 |
| Washington .................. | 1,640 | 1,710 | 59.0 | 61.0 | 62.0 | 96,760 | 106,020 |
| Wisconsin ..................... | 315 | 230 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 70.0 | 21,420 | 16,100 |
| Other States ${ }^{1}$................ | 960 | 926 | 47.9 | 48.1 | 49.2 | 46,013 | 45,579 |
| United States ................. | 34,485 | 31,786 | 44.2 | 45.9 | 46.6 | 1,522,718 | 1,482,364 |

${ }^{1}$ Other States include Alabama, Arizona, Delaware, Florida, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Utah, West Virginia, and Wyoming. Individual State level estimates will be published in the Small Grains 2010 Summary.

Durum Wheat Area Harvested, Yield, and Production - States and United States: 2009 and Forecasted June 1, 2010
[Area harvested for the United States and remaining States will be published in Acreage released June 30, 2010. Yield and production will be published in Crop Production released July 9, 2010]

| State | Area harvested |  | Yield |  |  | Production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |  | 2009 | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  | May 1 | June 1 |  |  |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (bushels) | (bushels) | (bushels) | (1,000 bushels) | (1,000 bushels) |
| Arizona .......... | 124 | 79 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 110.0 | 12,400 | 8,690 |
| California ....... | 170 | 105 | 100.0 | 105.0 | 105.0 | 17,000 | 11,025 |
| Montana ......... | 535 |  | 31.0 |  |  | 16,585 |  |
| North Dakota | 1,570 |  | 39.0 |  |  | 61,230 |  |
| Other States ${ }^{1}$ | 29 |  | 63.0 |  |  | 1,827 |  |
| United States . | 2,428 |  | 44.9 |  |  | 109,042 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Other States include Idaho and South Dakota. Individual State level estimates will be published in the Small Grains 2010 Summary.

Wheat Production by Class - United States: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010
[Wheat class estimates are based on the latest available data including both surveys and administrative data. The previous end-of-year season class percentages are used throughout the forecast season for States that do not have survey or administrative data available. Blank cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1,000 bushels) | (1,000 bushels) | (1,000 bushels) |
| Winter |  |  |  |
| Hard Red | 1,034,694 | 919,015 | 979,231 |
| Soft Red | 613,578 | 403,563 | 283,968 |
| Hard White ........ | 22,702 | 18,128 | 17,335 |
| Soft White ......... | 196,360 | 182,012 | 201,830 |
| Spring |  |  |  |
| Hard Red | 512,138 | 547,933 |  |
| Hard White .... | 6,340 | 7,865 |  |
| Soft White | 29,525 | 28,613 |  |
| Durum ....... | 83,827 | 109,042 |  |
| Total ..... | 2,499,164 | 2,216,171 |  |

# Utilized Production of Citrus Fruits by Crop - States and United States: 2007-2008, 2008-2009, and 

 Forecasted June 1, 2010[The crop year begins with the bloom of the first year shown and ends with the completion of harvest the following year]

| Crop and State | Utilized production boxes ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Utilized production ton equivalent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007-2008 | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2007-2008 | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 |
|  | (1,000 boxes) | (1,000 boxes) | (1,000 boxes) | (1,000 tons) | (1,000 tons) | (1,000 tons) |
| Oranges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early, mid, and navel ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{3}$............................ | 230 | 150 | (NA) | 9 | 5 | (NA) |
| California ${ }^{4}$.......................... | 45,000 | 34,500 | 42,000 | 1,688 | 1,294 | 1,575 |
| Florida | 83,500 | 84,600 | 68,600 | 3,758 | 3,807 | 3,087 |
| Texas ${ }^{4}$ | 1,600 | 1,300 | 1,350 | 68 | 55 | 57 |
| United States ....................... | 130,330 | 120,550 | 111,950 | 5,523 | 5,161 | 4,719 |
| Valencia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{3}$ \%............................. | 150 | 100 | (NA) | 6 | 4 | (NA) |
| California ${ }^{4}$.......................... | 17,000 | 12,000 | 17,000 | 637 | 450 | 638 |
| Florida | 86,700 | 77,900 | 65,000 | 3,901 | 3,506 | 2,925 |
| Texas ${ }^{4}$.............................. | 196 | 159 | 250 | 9 | 7 | 11 |
| United States ....................... | 104,046 | 90,159 | 82,250 | 4,553 | 3,967 | 3,574 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{3}$ | 380 | 250 | (NA) | 15 | 9 | (NA) |
| California ${ }^{4}$. | 62,000 | 46,500 | 59,000 | 2,325 | 1,744 | 2,213 |
| Florida | 170,200 | 162,500 | 133,600 | 7,659 | 7,313 | 6,012 |
| Texas ${ }^{4}$ | 1,796 | 1,459 | 1,600 | 77 | 62 | 68 |
| United States | 234,376 | 210,709 | 194,200 | 10,076 | 9,128 | 8,293 |
| Grapefruit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida .................................. | 9,000 | 6,600 | 6,000 | 383 | 280 | 255 |
| Colored |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida .............................. | 17,600 | 15,100 | 14,200 | 748 | 642 | 604 |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{3}$........................... | 100 | 25 | (NA) | 3 | 1 | (NA) |
| California ${ }^{4}$......................... | 5,200 | 4,800 | 4,200 | 174 | 161 | 141 |
| Florida | 26,600 | 21,700 | 20,200 | 1,131 | 922 | 859 |
| Texas ${ }^{4}$.............................. | 6,000 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 240 | 220 | 220 |
| United States ....................... | 37,900 | 32,025 | 29,900 | 1,548 | 1,304 | 1,220 |
| Tangerines and mandarins |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}$-............................. | 400 | 250 | 450 | 15 | 9 | 17 |
| California ${ }^{45}$............................ | 6,700 | 6,700 | 9,100 | 251 | 251 | 341 |
| Florida .................................. | 5,500 | 3,850 | 4,500 | 261 | 183 | 214 |
| United States .......................... | 12,600 | 10,800 | 14,050 | 527 | 443 | 572 |
| Lemons ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona ................................ | 1,500 | 3,000 | 2,500 | 57 | 114 | 95 |
| California ............................... | 14,800 | 21,000 | 20,000 | 562 | 798 | 760 |
| United States .......................... | 16,300 | 24,000 | 22,500 | 619 | 912 | 855 |
| Tangelos <br> Florida | 1,500 | 1,150 | 900 | 68 | 52 | 41 |

(NA) Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Net pounds per box: oranges in Arizona and California-75, Florida-90, Texas-85; grapefruit in Arizona and California-67, Florida-85, Texas-80;
lemons-76; tangelos-90; tangerines and mandarins in Arizona and California-75, Florida-95.
${ }^{2}$ Navel and miscellaneous varieties in Arizona and California. Early (including navel) and midseason varieties in Florida and Texas. Small quantities of tangerines in Texas and Temples in Florida.
${ }^{3}$ Estimates discontinued beginning with 2009-2010 crop year.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Estimates for current year carried forward from previous forecast.
${ }^{5}$ Includes tangelos and tangors.

Peach Production - States and United States: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010
[Blank cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| State | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (tons) | (tons) | (tons) |
| Alabama ................................... | 7,000 | 4,000 |  |
| Arkansas .................................... | 4,400 | 1,500 |  |
| California ................................... | 859,000 | 818,000 | 775,000 |
| Clingstone ${ }^{2}$............................ | 426,000 | 469,000 | 410,000 |
| Freestone ............................... | 433,000 | 349,000 | 365,000 |
| Colorado ................................... | 14,000 | 13,000 |  |
| Connecticut ................................. | 1,200 | 1,300 |  |
| Georgia ...................................... | 28,000 | 32,000 | 42,000 |
| Idaho ......................................... | 8,000 | 9,200 |  |
| Illinois ........................................ | 8,730 | 8,210 |  |
| Kentucky ${ }^{3}$............................................................. | 1,700 | (NA) |  |
| Louisiana ${ }^{3}$................................. | 450 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Maryland .................................... | 3,480 | 3,800 |  |
| Massachusetts ............................ | 1,650 | 1,800 |  |
| Michigan ..................................... | 14,000 | 17,200 |  |
| Missouri .................................... | 6,100 | 4,800 |  |
| New Jersey ................................ | 34,000 | 35,000 |  |
| New York | 5,500 | 6,500 |  |
| North Carolina | 5,600 | 4,200 |  |
| Ohio ......... | 6,600 | 2,560 |  |
| Oklahoma ${ }^{3}$ | 1,000 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Oregon ${ }^{3}$.................................... | 1,600 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Pennsylvania .............................. | 21,200 | 27,850 |  |
| South Carolina ............................ | 60,000 | 75,000 |  |
| Tennessee ${ }^{3}$................................ | 1,600 | (NA) | (NA) |
| Texas ........................................ | 7,900 | 4,900 |  |
| Utah ......................................... | 5,000 | 5,800 |  |
| Virginia ...................................... | 5,200 | 5,800 |  |
| Washington .................................. | 16,800 | 18,000 |  |
| West Virginia ............................... | 5,600 | 5,300 |  |
| United States .............................. | 1,135,310 | 1,105,720 |  |

(NA) Not available.
${ }^{1}$ The first production forecast for peach production for all program States will be published in the Crop Production released on July 9, 2010.
${ }^{2}$ California Clingstone is over-the-scale tonnage and includes culls and cannery diversions.
${ }^{3}$ Estimates discontinued in 2009.

Bartlett Pear Production - States and United States: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010

| State | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (tons) | (tons) | (tons) |
| California | 195,000 | 200,000 | 195,000 |
| Oregon | 56,300 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Washington ............... | 166,000 | 183,000 | 165,000 |
| United States ...... | 417,300 | 443,000 | 420,000 |

Sweet Cherry Production - States and United States: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010
[Blank cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| State | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (tons) | (tons) | (tons) |
| California .................................... | 86,000 | 78,000 | 90,000 |
| Idaho ......................................... | 1,900 | 6,000 |  |
| Michigan .................................... | 26,500 | 28,700 |  |
| Montana ................................... | 1,560 | 2,390 |  |
| New York ........................... | 1,050 | 1,240 |  |
| Oregon ...................................... | 31,000 | 67,000 | 45,000 |
| Utah ........................................... | 50 | 1,540 |  |
| Washington .................................. | 100,000 | 245,000 | 160,000 |
| United States ............................... | 248,060 | 429,870 |  |

${ }^{1}$ The first production forecast for sweet cherries in Idaho, Michigan, New York, and Utah and tart cherries in Michigan, New York, Oregon,
Pennsylvania, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin will be published in the Cherry Production report released on June 17, 2010. The first estimate for 2010 sweet cherries in Montana will be released in January 2011.

Miscellaneous Fruits Production by Crop - California: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010

| Crop | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (tons) | (tons) | (tons) |
| Prunes (dried basis) .......... | 129,000 | *166,000 | 150,000 |
| Apricots | 77,000 | 59,500 | 60,000 |

* Revised.

Hop Area Harvested by Variety - States and United States: 2008, 2009, and Forecasted June 1, 2010

| State and variety | Area harvested |  | Strung for harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (acres) | (acres) | (acres) |
| Idaho ${ }^{1}$.............................................. | 3,933 | 4,030 | 2,344 |
| Oregon |  |  |  |
| Cascade ........................................... | 76 | 152 | 115 |
| Golding ${ }^{2}$......................................... | 135 | (D) | (D) |
| Millennium ${ }^{2}$...................................... | 343 | 344 | (D) |
| Mt. Hood .......................................... | 186 | 158 | 108 |
| Nugget .............................................. | 2,135 | 1,773 | 1,348 |
| Sterling ............................................. | 95 | 101 | 87 |
| Super Galena ${ }^{\text {R } 2}$.................................. | (D) | 177 | (D) |
| Willamette ............................................. | 2,593 | 2,469 | 1,754 |
| Other varieties .................................... | 807 | 934 | 1,380 |
| Total ................................................. | 6,370 | 6,108 | 4,792 |
| Washington |  |  |  |
| Apollo ${ }_{\text {R }}$.............................................. | 698 | 747 | 827 |
| Bravo ${ }^{\text {R }}$.............................................. | 222 | 335 | 419 |
| Cascade ........................................... | 2,073 | 2,019 | 1,745 |
| Centennial .................................. | 253 | 298 | 376 |
| Chelan .......................................... | 739 | 762 | 842 |
| Chinook ............................................ | 285 | 384 | 373 |
| Citra ${ }^{\text {TM }}$.............................................. | (D) | *98 | 130 |
| Cluster .............................................. | 420 | 501 | 484 |
| Columbus/Tomahawk ${ }^{\text {² }}$.......................... | 4,891 | 4,858 | 3,101 |
| Galena .............................................. | 2,584 | 2,412 | 2,042 |
| Glacier .............................................. | 56 | 70 | 61 |
| Golding ............................................ | 38 | 42 | 48 |
| Millennium .......................................... | 716 | 557 | 548 |
| Mt. Hood ..................................................................... | 29 | 96 | 62 |
| Northern Brewer ${ }^{2}$........................................... | (D) | 92 | 82 |
| Nugget .............................................. | 1,086 | 1,028 | 781 |
| Simcoe .............................................. | 129 | 183 | 237 |
| Super Galena ${ }^{\text {R }}$.................................... | 793 | 839 | 887 |
| Willamette ......................................... | 4,664 | 2,719 | 1,830 |
| YCR-4(Palisade ${ }^{R}$ ) | 307 | 351 | 344 |
| YCR-5(Warrior ${ }^{R}$ ) | 394 | 301 | 299 |
| Zeus ................................................ | 6,779 | 6,544 | 4,505 |
| Other varieties .................................... | 3,439 | *4,352 | 4,092 |
| Total ................................................. | 30,595 | 29,588 | 24,115 |
| United States ..................................... | 40,898 | 39,726 | 31,251 |

* Revised.
(D) Withheld to avoid disclosing data for individual operations.
${ }^{1}$ Only State totals published for Idaho to avoid disclosure of individual operations.
${ }^{2}$ Withheld data included in Other varieties, Total, and United States.
${ }^{2}$ Registered

Sugarbeet Acreage, Yield, Production, Price, and Value - States and United States: 2008 and 2009
[Relates to year of intended harvest in all States except California. Blank cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| State | Area planted |  | Area harvested |  | Yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (tons) | (tons) |
| California ${ }^{1}$. | 26.0 | *25.3 | 25.3 | *25.3 | 41.6 | *35.0 |
| Colorado ................... | 33.8 | 35.1 | 28.6 | 35.0 | 26.5 | *27.5 |
| Idaho ....................... | 131.0 | 164.0 | 116.0 | 163.0 | 31.2 | 34.3 |
| Michigan .................. | 137.0 | 138.0 | 136.0 | 136.0 | 28.7 | 24.4 |
| Minnesota ................. | 440.0 | *464.0 | 399.0 | *449.0 | 24.7 | *23.7 |
| Montana .......... | 31.7 | 38.4 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 26.8 | 29.8 |
| Nebraska ....... | 45.2 | 53.0 | 37.3 | 52.6 | 22.6 | *24.6 |
| North Dakota ........... | 208.0 | 225.0 | 197.0 | 218.0 | 25.9 | 22.0 |
| Oregon ..... | 6.7 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 10.5 | 33.1 | 37.6 |
| Washington ${ }^{2}$............ | 1.6 | (NA) | 1.6 | (NA) | 41.9 | (NA) |
| Wyoming .................. | 29.7 | *32.4 | 27.1 | *25.6 | 24.5 | *26.5 |
| United States ............. | 1,090.7 | *1,185.8 | 1,004.5 | *1,148.6 | 26.8 | *25.7 |
| State | Prod |  | Price |  | Value of | duction |
| State | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | $2009{ }^{3}$ | 2008 | $2009{ }^{3}$ |
|  | (1,000 tons) | (1,000 tons) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) |
| California .................. | 1,052 | *886 | 44.80 |  | 47,130 |  |
| Colorado ................... | 758 | *963 | 47.80 |  | 36,232 |  |
| Idaho ................... | 3,619 | 5,591 | 42.00 |  | 151,998 |  |
| Michigan .................. | 3,903 | 3,318 | 44.00 |  | 171,732 |  |
| Minnesota ................. | 9,855 | *10,641 | 49.90 |  | 491,765 |  |
| Montana .............. | 823 | 1,001 | 50.80 |  | 41,808 |  |
| Nebraska ......... | 843 | *1,294 | 50.80 |  | 42,824 |  |
| North Dakota ........... | 5,102 | 4,796 | 51.00 |  | 260,202 |  |
| Oregon .......... | 195 | 395 | 42.00 |  | 8,190 |  |
| Washington ${ }^{2}$............. | 67 | (NA) | 42.00 |  | 2,814 |  |
| Wyoming ................... | 664 | *678 | 52.60 |  | 34,926 |  |
| United States ............. | 26,881 | *29,563 | 48.00 |  | 1,289,621 |  |

[^0]Sugarcane Area Harvested, Yield, and Production - States and United States: 2008 and 2009

| State | Area harvested |  | Yield ${ }^{1}$ |  | Production ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (tons) | (tons) | (1,000 tons) | (1,000 tons) |
| For sugar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida ................................. | 384.0 | *370.0 | 32.9 | *35.9 | 12,634 | *13,283 |
| Hawaii | 20.4 | *20.3 | 69.7 | *65.6 | 1,422 | *1,332 |
| Louisiana | 380.0 | 390.0 | 28.3 | *32.2 | 10,754 | *12,558 |
| Texas .................................. | 37.2 | *36.7 | 35.5 | *36.0 | 1,321 | *1,321 |
| United States ........................ | 821.6 | *817.0 | 31.8 | *34.9 | 26,131 | *28,494 |
| For seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida ................................. | 17.0 | *17.0 | 36.5 | *38.6 | 621 | *656 |
| Hawaii ................................. | 2.4 | *1.9 | 30.0 | *26.2 | 72 | *50 |
| Louisiana ............................ | 25.0 | 35.0 | 28.3 | *32.2 | 708 | *1,127 |
| Texas | 2.0 | *3.0 | 35.5 | 35.0 | 71 | *105 |
| United States ........................ | 46.4 | *56.9 | 31.7 | *34.1 | 1,472 | *1,938 |
| For sugar and seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida ................................. | 401.0 | *387.0 | 33.1 | *36.0 | 13,255 | *13,939 |
| Hawaii ................................. | 22.8 | *22.2 | 65.5 | *62.3 | 1,494 | *1,382 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 405.0 | 425.0 | 28.3 | *32.2 | 11,462 | *13,685 |
| Texas .................................. | 39.2 | *39.7 | 35.5 | *35.9 | 1,392 | *1,426 |
| United States ........................ | 868.0 | *873.9 | 31.8 | *34.8 | 27,603 | *30,432 |

* Revised.
${ }^{1}$ Yield and production refer to net weight.

Sugarcane Price and Value - States and United States: 2008 and 2009
[Blank cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| State | For sugar |  |  |  | For sugar and seed Value of production ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Price per ton |  | Value of production |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | $2009{ }^{2}$ | 2008 | $2009{ }^{2}$ | 2008 | $2009{ }^{2}$ |
|  | (dollars) | (dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) |
| Florida ......... | 30.10 |  | 380,283 |  | 398,975 |  |
| Hawaii | 31.10 |  | 44,224 |  | 46,463 |  |
| Louisiana | 29.10 |  | 312,941 |  | 333,544 |  |
| Texas ............. | 25.50 |  | 33,686 |  | 35,497 |  |
| United States .. | 29.50 |  | 771,134 |  | 814,479 |  |

[^1]Sweet Potato Area Planted, Harvested, Yield, and Production - States and United States:

## 2008 and 2009

| State | Area planted |  | Area harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) |
| Alabama | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| Arkansas ${ }^{1}$........................... | (NA) | 3.0 | (NA) | 2.5 |
| California ............................ | 14.8 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 17.4 |
| Florida ${ }^{1}$. | (NA) | *3.3 | (NA) | *3.2 |
| Louisiana .... | 15.0 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| Mississippi ............................ | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 11.0 |
| New Jersey ........................... | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| North Carolina ....................... | 47.0 | 47.0 | 46.0 | *46.0 |
| South Carolina ${ }^{2}$...................... | 0.6 | (NA) | 0.5 | (NA) |
| Texas ................................ | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Virginia ${ }^{2}$............................... | 0.3 | (NA) | 0.3 | (NA) |
| United States ................ | 103.2 | *109.9 | 97.3 | *96.9 |
|  |  |  | Prod |  |
| State | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (cwt) | (cwt) | (1,000 cwt) | (1,000 cwt) |
| Alabama | 175 | 170 | 438 | 391 |
| Arkansas ${ }^{1}$ | (NA) | 185 | (NA) | 463 |
| California ... | 295 | 340 | 4,366 | 5,916 |
| Florida ${ }^{1}$...... | (NA) | 110 | (NA) | *352 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 135 | 1,100 | 1,620 |
| Mississippi | 172 | 115 | 3,354 | 1,265 |
| New Jersey ......................... | 125 | 110 | 150 | 132 |
| North Carolina ....................... | 190 | 200 | 8,740 | *9,200 |
| South Carolina ${ }^{2}$...................... | 110 | (NA) | 55 | (NA) |
| Texas ................................. | 140 | 100 | 210 | 130 |
| Virginia ${ }^{2}$............................... | 100 | (NA) | 30 | (NA) |
| United States | 190 | 201 | 18,443 | *19,469 |

* Revised.
(NA) Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Estimates began in 2009.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates discontinued in 2009.

Maple Syrup Taps, Yield, and Production - States and United States: 2008-2010

| State | Number of taps |  |  | Yield per tap |  |  | Production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (1,000 taps) | (1,000 taps) | (1,000 taps) | (gallons) | (gallons) | (gallons) | $\begin{gathered} (1,000 \\ \text { gallons }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (1,000 \\ & \text { gallons }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1,000 \\ \text { gallons }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Connecticut | 75 | 71 | 75 | 0.253 | 0.183 | 0.120 | 19 | 13 | 9 |
| Maine | 1,440 | 1,470 | 1,430 | 0.167 | 0.269 | 0.217 | 240 | 395 | 310 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 250 | 230 | 250 | 0.260 | 0.200 | 0.116 | 65 | 46 | 29 |
| Michigan | 405 | 450 | 490 | 0.259 | 0.256 | 0.167 | 105 | 115 | 82 |
| New Hampshire | 395 | 385 | 420 | 0.241 | 0.244 | 0.207 | 95 | 94 | 87 |
| New York ............ | 1,445 | *1,830 | 1,903 | 0.227 | 0.240 | 0.164 | 328 | *439 | 312 |
| Ohio ......................... | 350 | 375 | 385 | 0.286 | 0.240 | 0.169 | 100 | 90 | 65 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 475 | 464 | 465 | 0.211 | 0.198 | 0.116 | 100 | 92 | 54 |
| Vermont | 2,870 | 3,030 | 3,200 | 0.247 | 0.304 | 0.278 | 710 | 920 | 890 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 620 | 670 | 650 | 0.242 | 0.299 | 0.180 | 150 | 200 | 117 |
| United States ............ | 8,325 | *8,975 | 9,268 | 0.230 | *0.268 | 0.211 | 1,912 | *2,404 | 1,955 |

* Revised.

Maple Syrup Price and Value - States and United States: 2008-2010

| State | Average price per gallon |  |  | Value of production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
|  | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) | (1,000 dollars) |
| Connecticut .... | *62.30 | 61.50 |  | *1,184 | 800 |  |
| Maine | 36.80 | 32.90 |  | 8,832 | 12,996 |  |
| Massachusetts | *46.50 | 53.60 |  | *3,023 | 2,466 |  |
| Michigan .... | 41.00 | 45.00 |  | 4,305 | 5,175 |  |
| New Hampshire | *53.80 | 50.60 |  | *5,111 | 4,756 |  |
| New York | 42.40 | 40.60 |  | 13,907 | 17,823 |  |
| Ohio | 37.90 | 40.30 |  | 3,790 | 3,627 |  |
| Pennsylvania | 38.30 | 38.10 |  | 3,830 | 3,505 |  |
| Vermont | *39.50 | 35.10 |  | *28,045 | 32,292 |  |
| Wisconsin ..... | 39.10 | 36.70 |  | 5,865 | 7,340 |  |
| United States | *40.70 | 37.80 |  | *77,892 | 90,780 |  |

* Revised.
${ }^{1}$ Price and value for 2010 will be published in Crop Production released June 2011.

Maple Syrup Season - States: 2008-2010

| State | Date season opened ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Date season closed ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Average season length ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (date) | (date) | (date) | (date) | (date) | (date) | (days) | (days) | (days) |
| Connecticut . | Jan 6 | Feb 1 | Jan 22 | Apr 28 | Apr 25 | Apr 13 | 40 | 32 | 23 |
| Maine ........................ | Feb 4 | Feb 17 | Feb 7 | May 4 | Apr 30 | May 1 | 27 | 29 | 28 |
| Massachusetts ........... | Jan 24 | Jan 28 | Jan 29 | Apr 19 | Apr 15 | Apr 21 | 32 | 25 | 23 |
| Michigan ................... | Mar 3 | Feb 4 | Feb 1 | Apr 20 | Apr 19 | Apr 30 | 23 | 25 | 20 |
| New Hampshire ......... | Feb 5 | Feb 12 | Jan 17 | Apr 26 | May 1 | Apr 10 | 31 | 28 | 26 |
| New York ................... | Jan 5 | Jan 28 | Jan 20 | Apr 30 | Apr 30 | Apr 22 | 31 | 30 | 23 |
| Ohio ......................... | Jan 9 | Feb 2 | Feb 5 | Apr 16 | Apr 22 | Apr 4 | 30 | 27 | 18 |
| Pennsylvania ............. | Jan 15 | Jan 15 | Jan 20 | Apr 25 | Apr 28 | Apr 30 | 31 | 28 | 21 |
| Vermont .................... | Jan 22 | Jan 27 | Jan 14 | May 4 | Apr 30 | Apr 30 | 32 | 32 | 30 |
| Wisconsin ................. | Feb 17 | Feb 23 | Feb 1 | May 10 | Apr 30 | Apr 16 | 25 | 27 | 20 |
| United States ............. | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | 30 | 28 | 23 |

(X) Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Approximately the first day that sap was collected.
${ }^{2}$ Approximately the last day that sap was collected.
${ }^{3}$ The average number of days that sap was collected.

Maple Syrup Price by Type of Sales and Size of Container - States: 2008 and 2009

| Type and State | Gallons |  | 1/2 Gallons |  | Quarts |  | Pints |  | 1/2 Pints |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) | (dollars) |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 54.10 | 57.00 | 27.60 | 31.70 | 16.80 | 18.30 | 11.00 | 11.50 | 7.00 | 7.55 |
| Maine ..... | 45.20 | 52.50 | 25.20 | 28.10 | 14.20 | 15.10 | 8.30 | 9.45 | 5.50 | 7.20 |
| Massachusetts | 48.00 | 42.50 | 23.20 | 27.80 | 14.00 | 16.60 | 8.75 | 11.40 | 6.05 | 7.75 |
| Michigan . | 36.30 | 42.70 | 20.90 | 21.80 | 12.00 | 12.70 | 7.40 | 7.80 | 5.00 | 5.60 |
| New Hampshire | 44.30 | 49.30 | 25.30 | 28.00 | 14.60 | 16.40 | 8.65 | 9.85 | 5.10 | 6.35 |
| New York ........ | 38.10 | 40.10 | 22.90 | 24.10 | 14.00 | 14.90 | 8.85 | 9.40 | 5.85 | 6.25 |
| Ohio | 33.60 | 37.70 | 20.20 | 22.10 | 12.40 | 13.40 | 7.80 | 8.35 | 5.35 | 5.55 |
| Pennsylvania | 37.30 | 38.00 | 22.00 | 21.70 | 13.00 | 12.70 | 7.15 | 7.90 | 4.40 | 4.90 |
| Vermont ....... | 40.60 | 43.90 | 24.10 | 25.50 | 15.00 | 15.50 | 9.65 | 9.20 | 6.35 | 6.00 |
| Wisconsin ...... | 37.70 | 37.30 | 21.50 | 21.10 | 10.70 | 11.30 | 7.40 | 7.30 | 5.20 | 4.70 |
| Wholesale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | 46.80 | 46.30 | 27.70 | 23.60 | 14.60 | 13.20 | 8.90 | 8.65 | 5.75 | 5.55 |
| Maine | 38.40 | 40.50 | 21.80 | 25.00 | 11.90 | 13.00 | 6.90 | 7.00 | 4.30 | 4.50 |
| Massachusetts .. | 42.20 | 41.90 | 24.20 | 25.20 | 13.00 | 14.00 | 7.40 | 7.45 | 4.95 | 4.90 |
| Michigan .... | 30.70 | 35.40 | 18.00 | 21.00 | 10.10 | 11.20 | 6.10 | 6.30 | 3.70 | 4.20 |
| New Hampshire | 38.60 | 40.60 | 22.90 | 21.60 | 13.40 | 11.40 | 7.70 | 6.65 | 4.15 | 3.95 |
| New York .......... | 35.90 | 38.30 | 20.80 | 22.30 | 11.60 | 12.30 | 6.50 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 4.25 |
| Ohio ........... | 32.50 | 35.90 | 18.00 | 21.20 | 11.20 | 12.60 | 6.70 | 7.55 | 4.80 | 5.25 |
| Pennsylvania | 34.60 | 32.20 | 17.80 | 17.90 | 10.20 | 10.20 | 5.95 | 6.20 | 4.40 | 4.10 |
| Vermont .... | 38.10 | 38.50 | 21.70 | 23.20 | 12.60 | 13.40 | 7.45 | 7.80 | 5.10 | 4.80 |
| Wisconsin .............. | 35.50 | 37.30 | 20.80 | 23.80 | 11.70 | 11.80 | 6.50 | 7.20 | 4.20 | 4.00 |

Maple Syrup Bulk Price - States: 2008 and 2009

| State | Bulk all grades |  | Bulk all grades |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (dollars per pound) | (dollars per pound) | (dollars per gallon) | (dollars per gallon) |
| Connecticut ................ | 2.90 | (D) | 32.00 | (D) |
| Maine ....................... | 3.30 | 2.85 | 36.40 | 31.40 |
| Massachusetts ............ | 3.15 | 2.65 | 34.70 | 29.50 |
| Michigan .................... | 3.10 | 2.80 | 34.10 | 30.50 |
| New Hampshire ........... | 3.20 | 2.75 | 35.30 | 30.40 |
| New York ................... | 3.15 | 2.73 | 34.70 | 30.00 |
| Ohio .......................... | 2.80 | 2.70 | 30.90 | 29.90 |
| Pennsylvania .............. | 2.45 | 2.70 | 27.00 | 29.50 |
| Vermont ..................... | 3.05 | 2.90 | 33.60 | 32.00 |
| Wisconsin ................... | 2.75 | 2.60 | 30.30 | 28.60 |

(D) Withheld to avoid disclosing data for individual operations.

## Maple Syrup Percent of Sales by Type - States: 2008 and 2009

| State | Retail |  | Wholesale |  | Bulk |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
|  | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Connecticut ................. | 70 | 40 | 15 | 55 | 15 | 5 |
| Maine ......................... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 98 | 92 |
| Massachusetts .............. | 40 | 65 | 35 | 25 | 25 | 10 |
| Michigan ...................... | 42 | 58 | 20 | 17 | 38 | 25 |
| New Hampshire ............ | 60 | 55 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 35 |
| New York | 36 | 39 | 22 | 15 | 42 | 46 |
| Ohio | 53 | 47 | 11 | 18 | 36 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania ................ | 54 | 81 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 15 |
| Vermont ....................... | 20 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 70 | 85 |
| Wisconsin .................... | 43 | 30 | 14 | 14 | 43 | 56 |

Crop Area Planted and Harvested - United States: 2009 and 2010 (Domestic Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | Area planted |  | Area harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) | (1,000 acres) |
| Grains and hay |  |  |  |  |
| Barley ....................................................................... | 3,567.0 | 3,273.0 | 3,113.0 |  |
| Corn for grain ${ }^{1}$.......................................................... | 86,482.0 | 88,798.0 | 79,590.0 |  |
| Corn for silage | (NA) |  | 5,605.0 |  |
| Hay, all .... | (NA) | (NA) | 59,755.0 | 60,460.0 |
| Alfalfa | (NA) |  | 21,227.0 |  |
| All other | (NA) |  | 38,528.0 |  |
| Oats | 3,404.0 | 3,364.0 | 1,379.0 |  |
| Proso millet | 350.0 |  | 293.0 |  |
| Rice | 3,135.0 | 3,411.0 | 3,103.0 |  |
| Rye . | 1,241.0 |  | 252.0 |  |
| Sorghum for grain ${ }^{1}$ | 6,633.0 | 6,360.0 | 5,520.0 |  |
| Sorghum for silage | (NA) |  | 254.0 |  |
| Wheat, all | 59,133.0 | 53,827.0 | 49,868.0 |  |
| Winter | 43,311.0 | 37,698.0 | 34,485.0 | 31,786.0 |
| Durum | 2,554.0 | 2,223.0 | 2,428.0 |  |
| Other spring ........................................................... | 13,268.0 | 13,906.0 | 12,955.0 |  |
| Oilseeds |  |  |  |  |
| Canola | 827.0 | 1,228.1 | 814.0 |  |
| Cottonseed | (X) | (X) | (X) |  |
| Flaxseed ................................................................... | 317.0 | 420.0 | 314.0 |  |
| Mustard seed | 51.5 |  | 49.8 |  |
| Peanuts | 1,116.0 | 1,201.0 | 1,081.0 |  |
| Rapeseed | 1.0 |  | 0.9 |  |
| Safflower | 175.0 |  | 165.5 |  |
| Soybeans for beans | 77,451.0 | 78,098.0 | 76,372.0 |  |
| Sunflower. | 2,030.0 | 2,181.0 | 1,953.5 |  |
| Cotton, tobacco, and sugar crops |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, all ................................................................. | 9,149.5 | 10,505.0 | 7,528.7 |  |
| Upland ................................................................ | 9,008.1 | 10,315.0 | 7,390.5 |  |
| American Pima | 141.4 | 190.0 | 138.2 |  |
| Sugarbeets | *1,185.8 | 1,174.2 | *1,148.6 |  |
| Sugarcane | (NA) |  | *873.9 |  |
| Tobacco ............. | (NA) | (NA) | 354.2 | 334.0 |
| Dry beans, peas, and lentils |  |  |  |  |
| Austrian winter peas | 20.5 | 29.5 | 13.7 |  |
| Dry edible beans | 1,537.5 | 1,766.6 | 1,463.0 |  |
| Dry edible peas ........................................................... | 863.3 | 837.0 | 837.9 |  |
| Lentils ....................................................................... | 415.0 | 510.0 | 407.0 |  |
| Wrinkled seed peas .................................................. | (NA) |  | (NA) |  |
| Potatoes and miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee (Hawaii) ............................................................ | (NA) |  | 6.3 |  |
| Hops ......................................................................... | (NA) | (NA) | 39.7 | 31.3 |
| Peppermint oil | (NA) |  | 69.8 |  |
| Potatoes, all | 1,069.5 |  | 1,044.7 |  |
| Winter .................................................................... | 9.0 |  | 8.7 |  |
| Spring ................................................................... | 79.2 | 91.9 | 73.7 | 89.6 |
| Summer ................................................................. | 44.2 |  | 42.7 |  |
| Fall ......................................................................... | 937.1 |  | 919.6 |  |
| Spearmint oil ............................................................. | (NA) |  | 20.5 |  |
| Sweet potatoes ......................................................... | *109.9 | 117.1 | *96.9 |  |
| Taro (Hawaii) ${ }^{2}$........................................................... | (NA) |  | 0.4 |  |

* Revised.
(NA) Not available.
(X) Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Area planted for all purposes.
${ }^{2}$ Area is total acres in crop, not harvested acres.

Crop Yield and Production - United States: 2009 and 2010 (Domestic Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | Yield |  | Production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  |  |  | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| Grains and hay |  |  |  |  |
| Barley ........................................................................ bushels | 73.0 |  | 227,323 |  |
| Corn for grain .............................................................. bushels | 164.7 |  | 13,110,062 |  |
| Corn for silage .................................................................tons | 19.3 |  | 108,209 |  |
| Hay, all ............................................................................tons | 2.47 |  | 147,442 |  |
| Alfalfa ..........................................................................tons | 3.35 |  | 71,030 |  |
| All other ......................................................................tons | 1.98 |  | 76,412 |  |
| Oats ......................................................................... bushels | 67.5 |  | 93,081 |  |
| Proso millet ................................................................ bushels | 33.7 |  | 9,865 |  |
| Rice ${ }^{1}$............................................................................. cwt | 7,085 |  | 219,850 |  |
| Rye ........................................................................... bushels | 27.8 |  | 6,993 |  |
| Sorghum for grain ......................................................... bushels | 69.4 |  | 382,983 |  |
| Sorghum for silage ............................................................tons | 14.5 |  | 3,680 |  |
| Wheat, all ................................................................... bushels | 44.4 |  | 2,216,171 |  |
| Winter ................................................................... bushels | 44.2 | 46.6 | 1,522,718 | 1,482,364 |
| Durum .................................................................... bushels | 44.9 |  | 109,042 |  |
| Other spring ............................................................ bushels | 45.1 |  | 584,411 |  |
| Oilseeds |  |  |  |  |
| Canola ...................................................................... pounds | 1,811 |  | 1,474,130 |  |
| Cottonseed ......................................................................tons | (X) |  | 4,148.8 |  |
| Flaxseed ................................................................... bushels | 23.6 |  | 7,423 |  |
| Mustard seed ..............................................................pounds | 991 |  | 49,364 |  |
| Peanuts ..................................................................... pounds | 3,412 |  | 3,688,350 |  |
| Rapeseed ...................................................................pounds | 1,700 |  | 1,530 |  |
| Safflower .....................................................................pounds | 1,462 |  | 241,970 |  |
| Soybeans for beans ...................................................... bushels | 44.0 |  | 3,359,011 |  |
| Sunflower ..................................................................pounds | 1,554 |  | 3,036,460 |  |
| Cotton, tobacco, and sugar crops |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, all ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$ bales | 777 |  | 12,187.5 |  |
| Upland ${ }^{1}$................................................................... bales | 766 |  | 11,787.6 |  |
| American Pima ${ }^{1}$........................................................... bales | 1,389 |  | 399.9 |  |
| Sugarbeets ......................................................................tons | *25.7 |  | *29,563 |  |
| Sugarcane .......................................................................tons | *34.8 |  | *30,432 |  |
| Tobacco .................................................................. pounds | 2,322 |  | 822,567 |  |
| Dry beans, peas, and lentils |  |  |  |  |
| Austrian winter peas ${ }^{1}$........................................................... cwt | 1,328 |  | 182 |  |
| Dry edible beans ${ }^{1}$............................................................... cwt | 1,733 |  | 25,360 |  |
| Dry edible peas ${ }^{1}$................................................................. cwt | 2,045 |  | 17,137 |  |
| Lentils ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$ cwt | 1,440 |  | 5,859 |  |
| Wrinkled seed peas ......................................................... cwt | (NA) |  | 874 |  |
| Potatoes and miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee (Hawaii) .............................................................. pounds | 1,270 |  | 8,000 |  |
| Hops ..........................................................................pounds | 2,383 |  | 94,677.9 |  |
| Peppermint oil .............................................................. pounds | 91 |  | 6,379 |  |
| Potatoes, all ........................................................................ cwt | 413 |  | 431,478 |  |
| Winter ........................................................................... cwt | 245 |  | 2,132 |  |
| Spring .......................................................................... CWt | 289 | 291 | 21,321 | 26,060 |
| Summer ........................................................................ cwt | 340 |  | 14,522 |  |
| Fall .............................................................................. cwt | 428 |  | 393,503 |  |
| Spearmint oil ..............................................................pounds | 132 |  | 2,698 |  |
| Sweet potatoes ................................................................... cwt | 201 |  | *19,469 |  |
| Taro (Hawaii) ................................................................ pounds | (NA) |  | 4,000 |  |

* Revised.
(NA) Not available.
(X) Not applicable.

Yield in pounds.

Crop Area Planted and Harvested - United States: 2009 and 2010 (Metric Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]


* Revised.
(NA) Not available.
(X) Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Area planted for all purposes.
${ }^{2}$ Total may not add due to rounding.
${ }^{3}$ Area is total hectares in crop, not harvested hectares.

Crop Yield and Production - United States: 2009 and 2010 (Metric Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | Yield |  | Production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (metric tons) | (metric tons) | (metric tons) | (metric tons) |
| Grains and hay |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | 3.93 |  | 4,949,370 |  |
| Corn for grain. | 10.34 |  | 333,010,910 |  |
| Corn for silage | 43.28 |  | 98,165,550 |  |
| Hay, all ${ }^{1}$........ | 5.53 |  | 133,757,130 |  |
| Alfalfa | 7.50 |  | 64,437,330 |  |
| All other ................................................... | 4.45 |  | 69,319,800 |  |
| Oats | 2.42 |  | 1,351,070 |  |
| Proso millet | 1.89 |  | 223,730 |  |
| Rice | 7.94 |  | 9,972,230 |  |
| Rye | 1.74 |  | 177,630 |  |
| Sorghum for grain | 4.35 |  | 9,728,220 |  |
| Sorghum for silage | 32.48 |  | 3,338,440 |  |
| Wheat, all ${ }^{1}$............ | 2.99 |  | 60,314,290 |  |
| Winter ...... | 2.97 | 3.14 | 41,441,590 | 40,343,340 |
| Durum ... | 3.02 |  | 2,967,640 |  |
| Other spring .................................................... | 3.03 |  | 15,905,060 |  |
| Oilseeds |  |  |  |  |
| Canola | 2.03 |  | 668,650 |  |
| Cottonseed | (X) |  | 3,763,730 |  |
| Flaxseed | 1.48 |  | 188,550 |  |
| Mustard seed | 1.11 |  | 22,390 |  |
| Peanuts | 3.82 |  | 1,673,010 |  |
| Rapeseed ....................................................... | 1.91 |  | 690 |  |
| Safflower ................................................... | 1.64 |  | 109,760 |  |
| Soybeans for beans | 2.96 |  | 91,417,300 |  |
| Sunflower ...................................................... | 1.74 |  | 1,377,320 |  |
| Cotton, tobacco, and sugar crops |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, all ${ }^{1}$.................................. | 0.87 |  | 2,653,520 |  |
| Upland ......... | 0.86 |  | 2,566,450 |  |
| American Pima .............................................. | 1.56 |  | 87,070 |  |
| Sugarbeets ...................................................... | *57.70 |  | *26,819,100 |  |
| Sugarcane ....................................................... | *78.06 |  | *27,607,450 |  |
| Tobacco ........................................................... | 2.60 |  | 373,110 |  |
| Dry beans, peas, and lentils |  |  |  |  |
| Austrian winter peas .... | 1.49 |  | 8,260 |  |
| Dry edible beans .......... | 1.94 |  | 1,150,310 |  |
| Dry edible peas ................................................. | 2.29 |  | 777,320 |  |
| Lentils ............................................................. | 1.61 |  | 265,760 |  |
| Wrinkled seed peas ........................................... | (NA) |  | 39,640 |  |
| Potatoes and miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |
| Coffee (Hawaii) .................................................. | 1.42 |  | 3,630 |  |
| Hops .............................................................. | 2.67 |  | 42,950 |  |
| Peppermint oil . | 0.10 |  | 2,890 |  |
| Potatoes, all ${ }^{1}$................................................... | 46.29 |  | 19,571,510 |  |
| Winter .......................................................... | 27.47 |  | 96,710 |  |
| Spring .......................................................... | 32.43 | 32.60 | 967,100 | 1,182,060 |
| Summer ....................................................... | 38.12 |  | 658,710 |  |
| Fall ............................................................. | 47.96 |  | 17,849,000 |  |
| Spearmint oil .................................................... | 0.15 |  | 1,220 |  |
| Sweet potatoes ................................................. | *22.52 |  | *883,100 |  |
| Taro (Hawaii) ..................................................... | (NA) |  | 1,810 |  |

* Revised.
(NA) Not available.
(X) Not applicable.

Production may not add due to rounding.

Fruits and Nuts Production - United States: 2008-2010 (Domestic Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year, except citrus which is for the 2009-2010 season. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | Production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| Citrus ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit ............................................................................. tons | 1,548.0 | 1,304.0 | 1,220.0 |
| Lemons .............................................................................. tons | 619.0 | 912.0 | 855.0 |
| Oranges ............................................................................... tons | 10,076.0 | 9,128.0 | 8,293.0 |
| Tangelos (Florida) .................................................................. tons | 68.0 | 52.0 | 41.0 |
| Tangerines and mandarins ...................................................... tons | 527.0 | 443.0 | 572.0 |
| Noncitrus |  |  |  |
| Apples .............................................................................pounds | 9,609.3 | 9,953.6 |  |
| Apricots ............................................................................... tons | 81.6 | 68.3 |  |
| Bananas (Hawaii) ..................................................................pounds | 17,400.0 | 18,500.0 |  |
| Grapes ................................................................................ tons | 7,319.3 | 7,067.6 |  |
| Olives (California) ..................................................................... tons | 66.8 | 42.8 |  |
| Papayas (Hawaii) ...............................................................pounds | 33,500.0 | 31,500.0 |  |
| Peaches .............................................................................. tons | 1,135.3 | 1,105.7 |  |
| Pears ................................................................................. tons | 869.9 | 936.2 |  |
| Prunes, dried (California) ........................................................ tons | 129.0 | *166.0 | 150.0 |
| Prunes and plums (excludes California) ....................................... tons | 15.5 | 18.8 |  |
| Nuts and miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Almonds, shelled (California) ................................................pounds | 1,630,000.0 | 1,410,000.0 | 1,530,000.0 |
| Hazelnuts, in-shell (Oregon) ..................................................... tons | 32.0 | 47.0 |  |
| Pecans, in-shell ..................................................................pounds | 194,080.0 | 290,500.0 |  |
| Walnuts, in-shell (California) .................................................... tons | 436.0 | 415.0 |  |
| Maple syrup ..........................................................................gallons | 1,912.0 | *2,404.0 | 1,955.0 |

[^2]Fruits and Nuts Production - United States: 2008-2010 (Metric Units)
[Data are the latest estimates available, either from the current report or from previous reports. Current year estimates are for the full 2010 crop year, except citrus which is for the 2009-2010 season. Blank data cells indicate estimation period has not yet begun]

| Crop | Production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | (metric tons) | (metric tons) | (metric tons) |
| Citrus ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit ........................................................................ | 1,404,320 | 1,182,970 | 1,106,770 |
| Lemons | 561,550 | 827,350 | 775,640 |
| Oranges | 9,140,790 | 8,280,780 | 7,523,280 |
| Tangelos (Florida) | 61,690 | 47,170 | 37,190 |
| Tangerines and mandarins .................................................... | 478,090 | 401,880 | 518,910 |
| Noncitrus |  |  |  |
| Apples | 4,358,710 | 4,514,880 |  |
| Apricots | 74,040 | 61,980 |  |
| Bananas (Hawaii) | 7,890 | 8,390 |  |
| Grapes | 6,639,920 | 6,411,660 |  |
| Olives (California) | 60,600 | 38,830 |  |
| Papayas (Hawaii) | 15,200 | 14,290 |  |
| Peaches | 1,029,940 | 1,003,090 |  |
| Pears | 789,110 | 849,320 |  |
| Prunes, dried (California) | 117,030 | *150,590 | 136,080 |
| Prunes and plums (excludes California) .............................. | 14,060 | 17,060 |  |
| Nuts and miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| Almonds, shelled (California) | 739,360 | 639,570 | 694,000 |
| Hazelnuts, in-shell (Oregon) ................................................. | 29,030 | 42,640 |  |
| Pecans, in-shell | 88,030 | 131,770 |  |
| Walnuts, in-shell (California) .................................................. | 395,530 | 376,480 |  |
| Maple syrup ................. | 9,560 | *12,020 | 9,770 |

[^3]


## May Weather Summary

The record Midwestern corn planting pace of late April slowed markedly during a cool, damp period in the first half of May. Soybean planting slowed as well, especially during the week of May 10-16. During the second half of the month, however, warm, showery weather promoted corn and soybean emergence and development.

Meanwhile, a variety of weather extremes affected the South. For example, May opened with record flooding in parts of Tennessee and Kentucky, while drought gradually expanded and intensified from eastern Texas into the lower Mississippi Valley. Southern warmth generally promoted crop development, including winter wheat maturation, although hotter- and drier-than-normal weather stressed pastures and rain-fed summer crops in an area centered on Louisiana.

Farther west, cool, wet conditions on the northern Plains contrasted with warm weather and a gradual drying trend on the southern Plains. In the latter region, early stages of the winter wheat harvest advanced as far north as southwestern Oklahoma by month's end. On the northern Plains, winter wheat and spring-sown small grains benefited from abundant rainfall but developed at a slightly slower-than-normal pace.

Cool, wet weather also limited small grain growth in the Northwest, where late-season rain and snow continued to improve water-supply prospects. Cool weather also hampered the development of summer crops, such as cotton and rice, in California, although conditions improved toward month's end.

Monthly temperatures averaged at least 5 degrees Fahrenheit below normal in a broad area stretching from California to the northern High Plains. In contrast, readings averaged as much as 5 degrees Fahrenheit above normal in scattered locations from the central Gulf Coast into the lower Great Lakes region.

## May Agricultural Summary

Abnormally cool temperatures dominated much of the western United States during May, slowing the emergence of some row crops and hindering head development in small grains. Most notably, temperatures in areas along the Pacific Coast, as well as portions of the Great Basin and northern Rocky Mountains averaged as many as 8 degrees below normal. In contrast, above average temperatures afforded producers throughout much of the eastern half of the country ample time for completing fieldwork. While much of the Southwest, central and southern Great Plains, lower Delta, and Northeast were relatively dry during the month, locations in the Pacific Northwest, California, northern Great Plains, Tennessee Valley, and Southeast accumulated precipitation totaling 300 percent or more above normal. Specifically, early-May thunderstorms delivered a deluge of rain to portions of Kentucky and Tennessee causing severe flooding, limiting fieldwork, and damaging crops in low-lying areas near creeks and rivers.

As May began, producers throughout much of the major corn-producing regions continued to plant this year's crop at a rapid pace. On May 2, sixty-eight percent of the Nation's crop was planted, over 1 week ahead of normal, with 19 percent of the crop emerged, 6 days ahead of normal. While the planting pace remained quick in most areas as the month progressed, producers in Kentucky and Tennessee were left contending with standing water and debris-littered fields following heavy rainfall and severe flooding early in the month. Below average temperatures coupled with above average precipitation restricted the number of days suitable for fieldwork in areas of the Corn Belt mid-month. During the latter half of the month, above average temperatures in the Great Lakes region, Colorado, Nebraska, and North Dakota promoted rapid crop emergence. By May 30, producers had planted 97 percent of the Nation's corn crop, 5 percentage points ahead of last year and slightly ahead of the 5 -year average. Emergence was complete on 85 percent of this year's acreage, 14 percentage points ahead of last year and 5 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. On May 30, seventy-six percent of the corn crop was reported in good to excellent condition, compared with 67 percent on May 16 and 70 percent from the same time last year.

One-third of this year's sorghum crop was planted by May 2 , ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average. In Texas, some producers in the Northern High Plains were busy replanting fields damaged by heavy rainfall received during late April. Above average mid-month rainfall in Kansas, the largest sorghum-producing State, slowed fieldwork which pushed overall planting progress for the State behind normal. By May 30, one half of the Nation's sorghum crop was planted,

4 percentage points behind last year and 3 percentage points behind the 5 -year average. As the month ended, double-digit planting delays remained in Kansas, Nebraska, and South Dakota.

As the month began, seeding neared completion across much of the major oat-producing regions, but trailed normal in the Dakotas where fieldwork was delayed by abnormally wet soils during the spring. Emergence was complete on 60 percent of the Nation's acreage by May 2, well ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average. Oat producers had seeded 96 percent of this year's crop by May 23, ahead of last year but slightly behind the 5 -year average. With progress limited to Iowa, Ohio, and Texas, 27 percent of the oat crop was at or beyond the heading stage on May 23, on par with last year and the 5-year average. By May 30, emergence had advanced to 93 percent complete, and 30 percent of the crop was at or beyond the heading stage. Seventy-eight percent of the oat crop was reported in good to excellent condition on May 30, compared with 69 percent on May 2 and 56 percent from the same time last year.

By May 2, barley producers had seeded 51 percent of the Nation's crop, slightly more than 2 weeks ahead of last year and 8 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. Sixteen percent of the crop had emerged, with progress most advanced in Minnesota. Seeding progress remained active across the major barley-producing region throughout the month despite fluctuating weather conditions. On May 30, ninety-six percent of this year's crop was seeded, ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average, with 80 percent emerged, ahead of last year but slightly behind the 5 -year average. Abnormally cool late-month temperatures in Idaho and Montana, two of the three largest barley-producing States, slowed emergence, leaving progress behind normal in those States. Overall, 84 percent of the barley crop was reported in good to excellent condition on May 30, compared with 72 percent from the same time last year.

The month began with 27 percent of the 2010 winter wheat crop at or beyond the heading stage by May 2, on par with last year but slightly behind the 5 -year average. In Texas, high temperatures and strong winds during the week ending May 16 burned a portion of the crop in the Northern High Plains, while some producers in the Southern High Plains cut and baled their crop. Despite rapid mid- to late-month head development in Kansas, the largest winter wheat-producing State, overall progress was behind both last year and the 5-year average on May 23. Nationally, three-quarters of the winter wheat crop was at or beyond the heading stage on May 30, slightly behind both last year and the 5 -year average. Overall, 65 percent of the winter wheat crop was reported in good to excellent condition on May 30, a slight decline from ratings on May 2 but 20 percentage points better than ratings from the same time last year.

With seeding active throughout much of the major spring wheat-producing regions and nearing completion in Minnesota and Washington, progress had advanced to 60 percent complete by May 2, over 2 weeks ahead of last year and 13 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. Nearly one-quarter of the crop was emerged. Despite active mid-month seeding promoted by warm, sunny conditions, a delay of more than 1 week was evident in Montana on May 30. Emergence was rapid across the 6 major estimating States during the latter half of the month, with 29 percent of the crop emerging from May 16 to May 30. Eighty-five percent of the spring wheat crop was reported in good to excellent condition on May 30, twelve percentage points better than ratings from the same time last year.

By May 2, rice producers had seeded 76 percent of this year's acreage, well ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average. Emergence was complete on over half the Nation's acreage, with progress ahead of normal in all estimating States except California and Texas. Spring storm systems steadily inundated California's rice-growing region with rainfall during the first half of May, leaving producers seeding fields as conditions allowed. Nationally, 95 percent of the rice crop was seeded by May 23, eleven percentage points ahead of last year and 4 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. While emergence was complete or nearly complete in the Delta and Texas by May 30, abnormally cool temperatures in California had slowed progress to over 1 week behind normal. On May 30, seventy-four percent of the rice crop was reported in good to excellent condition, 21 percentage points better than ratings from the same time last year.

With progress most advanced in the Delta, 15 percent of the Nation's soybean crop was planted by May 2 , ten percentage points ahead of last year and 7 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. Mid-month cold spells caused frost damage in soybean fields in the northernmost areas of Indiana, leaving producers expecting to replant some fields. An abundance of rainfall during a 2 week period in Missouri provided just 2 days suitable for fieldwork from May 10 to May 23, leading to an overall planting delay of 8 days. By May 30 , producers had planted 74 percent of this year's soybean crop,
11 percentage points ahead of last year but slightly behind the 5 -year average, and emergence was complete on 46 percent of the 2010 acreage, ahead of both last year and the 5-year average.

Peanut planting was underway in all major estimating States by May 2, with progress most advanced in the central areas of Florida. As mostly ideal weather conditions prevailed throughout much of May, producers planted 69 percent of the 2010 peanut crop from May 3 to May 30, leaving overall progress, at 81 percent complete, 12 percentage points ahead of last year and 4 percentage points ahead of the 5-year average.

By mid-May, sunflower planting was underway. Ideal late-month weather conditions boosted fieldwork in the Dakotas, allowing for planting progress of 21 percentage points or more from May 23 to May 30. Nationally, planting had advanced to 37 percent by May 30, compared with 28 percent last year and 42 percent for the 5 -year average.

Cotton producers had planted 26 percent of the Nation's crop by May 2, ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average. In Texas, most producers in the High Plains had yet to begin planting as they waited for warmer temperatures and improved field conditions. The most significant mid-month delay was evident in Tennessee, where saturated fields prevented producers from planting much of their crop following heavy rainfall and flooding earlier in the month. During the latter part of May, producers along the Upper Coast of Texas sprayed insecticide on squaring cotton fields infested with fleahoppers. On May 30, planting was complete on 79 percent of this year's cotton acreage, ahead of both last year and the 5 -year average, and squaring had advanced to 5 percent complete. Overall, 63 percent of the cotton crop was reported in good to excellent condition on May 30.

By May 2, sugarbeet producers in the 4 major estimating States had planted 96 percent of the 2010 crop, 58 percentage points ahead of last year and 37 percentage points ahead of the 5 -year average. Some wind-damaged fields in south-central Idaho, the second largest sugarbeet-producing State, were being replanted as a result of mid-April storm systems. In Michigan, some fields were being replanted due to poor emergence, frost damage, and seedling disease.

## Crop Comments

Winter wheat: Production is forecast at 1.48 billion bushels, up 2 percent from the May 1 forecast but down 3 percent from 2009. Based on June 1 conditions, the United States yield is forecast at 46.6 bushels per acre, up 0.7 bushel from the previous forecast and up 2.4 bushels from last year. Expected grain area totals 31.8 million acres, unchanged from last month but down 8 percent from last year. As of May 30, sixty-five percent of the United States winter wheat crop was rated in good to excellent condition, 20 points above the same week in 2009, and heading had reached 75 percent in the 18 major producing States, 3 percentage points behind the 5 -year average.

Forecasted head counts from the objective yield survey in the six Hard Red Winter States (Colorado, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas) are below last year's level in Kansas, Nebraska, and Oklahoma but above in Colorado, Montana, and Texas. Yields are higher than last month in Colorado, Kansas, and Montana but unchanged in Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas. The percent of crop headed was behind the 5 -year average in all of the major Hard Red Winter States except Oklahoma, which was equal to the 5 -year average. Cooler temperatures and rainfall during May delayed crop development in Kansas. Harvest has begun in Oklahoma and Texas.

Forecasted head counts from the objective yield survey in the three Soft Red Winter States (Illinois, Missouri, and Ohio) are below last year's level in Illinois and Missouri but above in Ohio. Yields are down from May in Illinois, but unchanged in Missouri and Ohio. In Illinois, above normal temperatures and below average precipitation were reported in May. The percent of crop headed at the end of May was ahead of the 5 -year average in all of the major Soft Red Winter States except Missouri, which was 5 points below average. Wet weather has caused some disease concerns in Illinois.

In the Pacific Northwest States (Idaho, Oregon, and Washington), yields increased from last month in Oregon and Washington, but remain unchanged in Idaho. Forecasted head counts from the objective yield survey in Washington are above last year. The percent of crop headed in the Pacific Northwest was behind the 5-year average in Idaho and Washington, but ahead in Oregon. Washington's crop remains in good condition as a result of widespread rainfall during May.

Durum wheat: Production of Durum wheat in Arizona and California is forecast at a collective 19.7 million bushels, up 4 percent from May 1 but down 33 percent from last year. If realized, this will be a record high yield in Arizona and tie a record in California. As of May 30, Durum harvest in Arizona was 7 percent complete, 1 point behind the 5 -year average.

Cool weather during the growing season delayed crop development and the start of California's Durum wheat harvest by about 10 days. No major quality or disease issues have been reported in California.

Peaches: The 2010 peach crop in California, Georgia, and South Carolina is forecast at 937,000 tons, up 1 percent from 2009.

The California Clingstone crop is forecast at 410,000 tons, up 3 percent from the May 1 forecast but 13 percent below 2009. California experienced a more than adequate number of chilling hours. Full bloom, on a statewide basis, was declared on March 9, six days later than the 2009 full bloom. Bloom was not as strong as last year and occurred over a longer period of time. Rain and colder than normal spring temperatures have slowed crop development. The Late and Extra Late varieties were reported to be lighter than normal. Cooler temperatures have allowed peaches to grow larger than normal for mid-May. The cool rainy weather has forced growers to spray to control diseases.

The California Freestone crop is forecast at 365,000 tons, equal to the May 1 forecast but 5 percent above the 2009 crop. Bloom started quickly but was then slowed due to cool spring temperatures. Lack of warm weather resulted in pollination problems. Hail caused damage in various growing areas throughout the spring. Although the crop has experienced problems, growers are still expecting a larger crop than last year's freeze damaged crop. Harvest continued during May with Spring Flame, Super Rich, May Saturn, and Spring Snow the major varieties harvested.

The South Carolina crop is forecast at 120,000 tons, up 60 percent from last year. Unusually warm spring temperatures and plenty of rainfall have created nearly ideal growing and maturing conditions. Storms have provided ample moisture without causing hail damage. Harvest started early and was 8 percent complete by June 1, just slightly ahead of average.

Georgia's peach crop is forecast at 42,000 tons, up 31 percent from last year's crop. Harvest began around mid-May on what is expected to be a very good crop. If realized, this will be the largest crop since 2004.

Bartlett pears: Production of Bartlett pears in California, Oregon, and Washington is forecast at 420,000 tons, down 5 percent from last year.

Production in California is forecast at 195,000 tons, down 3 percent from last season. Bartletts began blooming in March with some areas reporting bloom problems due to rain. Cool spring temperatures delayed harvest by one to two weeks. Minimal pest pressure was reported.

Oregon growers expect to harvest 60,000 tons, equal to last year's production. This spring has been unusually cool and wet. Trees blossomed during a brief warm period, followed by cold, wet conditions that have adversely affected pollination in some areas. During the month of April, growers in the Hood River area were still using frost protection methods. Some growers reported a good fruit set while others expressed concern.

Washington's Bartlett crop is forecast at 165,000 tons, down 10 percent from 2009. Spring conditions were cool and wetter than normal. Yakima had its second-wettest May on record in more than 100 years. Measurable rain occurred on 11 days and traces fell another 8 days which totaled nearly 1.5 inches for the month. Many growers reported pollination problems due to cold, windy weather occurring during bloom. There were also several isolated reports of hail damage.

Sweet cherries: The combined 2010 sweet cherry production for California, Oregon, and Washington is forecast at 295,000 tons, down 24 percent from 2009 but 36 percent above 2008. Washington's production is forecast at 160,000 tons, down 35 percent from the previous year. The crop has fallen behind on maturity due to cool, wet weather this spring. Oregon's 2010 sweet cherry production is forecast at 45,000 tons, down 33 percent from 2009. Cold, wet weather conditions hindered bloom, pollination, and growth. California's production is forecast at 90,000 tons, up 15 percent from 2009. Spring weather generated occasional rain and cool temperatures during the critical development of the crop. A strong bloom was underway in March.

Prunes (dried plums): California's 2010 prune production forecast is 150,000 dried tons, down 10 percent from the revised 166,000 tons in 2009 but 16 percent above the 2008 crop. Cooler weather and lighter fruit sets this year
contributed to lower production compared with the previous year. Growers reported that they were not thinning as much or skipping thinning altogether. Pest pressures are a concern this year because of increased rain and cooler temperatures.

Apricots: California's 2010 production forecast is 60,000 tons, 1 percent above the 2009 crop but 22 percent below 2008 . The harvest is about a week behind schedule which should allow the fruit to increase in size. The slowed ripening of the fruit also limited the damage from the cool, wet weather experienced this spring. The crop was reported to be in good condition.

Florida citrus: High temperatures were in the 90 degree range all month, while low temperatures were in the 60 s. Rainfall during May was rather variable, but overall it was adequate. Harvest of Valencia oranges and grapefruit continued.

Twenty-one of the fifty-one processing plants were still open. Valencia oranges and a few grapefruit made up the majority of fruit going to the plants. Grove activities included harvesting, young tree care, psyllid treatment, hedging and topping, fertilizer applications, and brush removal.

California citrus: In the San Joaquin Valley, the Valencia, navel, and tangerine harvests continued, while the lemon harvest neared its conclusion. Along the coast, the lemon harvest continued. The citrus bloom neared completion as widespread petal fall occurred in groves. Grove activities included preparations for planting of new citrus trees, pesticide applications, and pruning.

California noncitrus fruits and nuts: Cherry harvest began on early maturing varieties and volume increased throughout the month. Late in the month, rain damage to the cherry crop was reported in several areas, including San Joaquin County. Irrigation, fungicide, and fertilizer applications continued in grape vineyards in the Central Valley. Central Coast grape vines showed good development, while some vineyards were sprayed for European grapevine moth. Herbicide applications and tree thinning were ongoing in apricot, prune, plum, peach, and nectarine orchards. Harvesting began for early apricot and peach varieties. In the San Joaquin Valley, olive orchards showed healthy bloom and the blueberry harvest was underway.

Normal nut and leaf drop occurred in almond orchards as the crop continued to show good development. Some insecticides, miticides, and fungicides were applied. Blight and herbicide applications, along with irrigation, were ongoing in walnut orchards. Irrigation continued in pistachio orchards while blooming increased. Normal ground maintenance was ongoing in orchards and vineyards, including fertilizer application and thinning.

Grapefruit: The forecast of the 2009-2010 United States grapefruit crop is 1.22 million tons, up 1 percent from the May 1 forecast but down 6 percent from the 2008-2009 crop. Florida's grapefruit production is forecast at 20.2 million boxes ( 859,000 tons), up 2 percent from the May 1 forecast but 7 percent below last season.

The Florida all white grapefruit forecast is 6.00 million boxes ( 255,000 tons), up 3 percent from May 1 but down 9 percent from the previous year. The colored grapefruit forecast, at 14.2 million boxes ( 604,000 tons), is up 1 percent from the previous forecast but 6 percent below last season. As of June 1, approximately 97 percent of the white grapefruit crop and 99 percent of the colored grapefruit crop had been harvested. California and Texas grapefruit production forecasts are carried forward from the previous forecast.

Tangerines and mandarins: The United States tangerine and mandarin crop is forecast at 572,000 tons, unchanged from the previous forecast but 29 percent above the 2008-2009 crop. The Florida tangerine forecast is 4.50 million boxes ( 214,000 tons), unchanged from the May 1 forecast but up 17 percent from the previous season. Harvest of Florida tangerines is complete. Arizona and California tangerine and mandarin production forecasts are carried forward from the previous forecast.

Tangelos: Florida's tangelo forecast is 900,000 boxes ( 41,000 tons), unchanged from the previous forecast but down 22 percent from last season's final utilization. If realized, this will be the smallest tangelo crop since 1962, when Florida experienced a damaging December freeze.

Hops: Area strung for harvest in 2010 for Washington, Oregon, and Idaho is forecast at 31,251 acres, 21 percent less than the 2009 crop of 39,726 acres and 24 percent less than the 2008 crop of 40,898 acres. Washington, with 24,115 acres for harvest, accounts for 77 percent of the United States total acreage. Oregon hop growers plan to string 4,792 acres, or 15 percent of the United States total for 2010, with Idaho hop growers accounting for the remaining 8 percent, or 2,344 acres strung for harvest. All three States significantly decreased their acreage from a year ago.

Hop growth was off to a slow start this season due to a cold, wet spring. Progress is mostly two weeks behind normal. Hops are one quarter to one third to the wire. At this time, disease pressure is higher than normal. Water supplies are expected to be mostly adequate.

Sugarbeets: Production of sugarbeets for the 2009 crop year is revised to 29.6 million tons, up slightly from the January end-of-season estimate and 10 percent above 2008. Planted area totaled 1.19 million acres while harvested area totaled 1.15 million acres, both up slightly from the previous estimate. The United States yield, at 25.7 tons per acre, is down 0.1 ton per acre from the previous estimate and down 1.1 tons per acre from the record high set in 2008. Record high yields for the 2009 crop were set in Colorado, Montana, Nebraska, Oregon, and Wyoming.

Sugarcane: Production of sugarcane for sugar and seed in 2009 is revised to 30.4 million tons, up 1 percent from the March 1 estimate and 10 percent above 2008. Total production of cane for sugar and seed is up from the previous year in all estimating States except Hawaii. Area harvested for sugar and seed is revised to 873,900 acres, down 3,800 acres from the previous estimate but up 5,900 acres from last year. Yield for sugar and seed is estimated at 34.8 tons per acre, up 0.4 ton per acre from the March 1 estimate and 3.0 tons per acre above 2008.

Production of sugarcane for sugar is revised to 28.5 million tons, up slightly from the March 1 estimate and 9 percent above 2008. Area harvested for sugar production totaled 817,000 acres, down 3,700 acres from the previous estimate and down 4,600 acres from last year. Yield of sugarcane for sugar is revised to 34.9 tons per acre, up 0.4 ton per acre from March 1 and 3.1 tons per acre above 2008.

Sweet potatoes: Production for the 2009 crop year is revised to 19.5 million cwt, down 1 percent from the Crop Production 2009 Summary but up 6 percent from 2008. Area harvested, at 96,900 acres, is down 1 percent from the previous estimate. The average yield is a record high 201 cwt per acre, unchanged from the January estimate.

North Carolina production decreased 2 percent from January, due to a 2 percent decrease in harvested area. Florida sweet potato production, at 352 thousand cwt, is up 7 percent from January due to a 7 percent increase in harvested area.

Maple syrup: The 2010 United States maple syrup production totaled 1.96 million gallons, down 19 percent from the revised 2009 total. The number of taps is estimated at 9.27 million, 3 percent above the 2009 revised total of 8.98 million. Yield per tap is estimated to be 0.211 gallons, down 21 percent from the previous season's revised yield.

Temperatures were reported to be too warm for optimal sap flow in all States. On average, the season lasted 23 days compared with 28 days last year. In most States, the season started sooner than last year. The earliest sap flow reported was January 14 in Vermont. The latest sap flow reported was May 1 in Maine.

Sugar content of the sap for 2010 was up from the previous year. On average, approximately 46 gallons of sap were required to produce one gallon of syrup. This compares with 43 gallons in 2009 and 39 gallons in 2008. The majority of the syrup produced in each State this year was medium to dark in color with the exception of Maine.

The 2009 United States price per gallon was $\$ 37.80$, down $\$ 2.90$ from the revised 2008 price of $\$ 40.70$. The United States value of production, at $\$ 90.8$ million for 2009, was up 17 percent from the revised previous season. Value of production increased in Maine, Michigan, New York, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

## Statistical Methodology

Wheat survey procedures: Objective yield and farm operator surveys were conducted between May 23 and June 3 to gather information on expected yield as of June 1. The objective yield survey was conducted in 10 States that accounted for 67 percent of the 2009 winter wheat production. Farm operators were interviewed to update previously reported acreage data and seek permission to randomly locate two sample plots in selected winter wheat fields. The counts made within each sample plot depended upon the crop's maturity. Counts such as number of stalks, heads in late boot, and number of emerged heads were made to predict the number of heads that will be harvested. The counts are used with similar data from previous years to develop a projected biological yield. The average harvesting loss is subtracted to obtain a net yield. The plots are revisited each month until crop maturity when the heads are clipped, threshed, and weighed. After the farm operator has harvested the sample field, another plot is sampled to obtain current year harvesting loss.

The farm operator survey was conducted primarily by telephone with some use of mail, internet and personal interviewers. Approximately 5,000 producers were interviewed during the survey period and asked questions about the probable yield on their operation. These growers will continue to be surveyed throughout the growing season to provide indications of average yields.

Orange survey procedures: The orange objective yield survey for the June 1 forecast was conducted in Florida, which produces about 75 percent of the United States production. Bearing tree numbers are determined at the start of the season based on a fruit tree census conducted every other year, combined with ongoing review based on administrative data or special surveys. From mid-July to mid-September, the number of fruit per tree is determined. In September and subsequent months, fruit size measurement and fruit droppage surveys are conducted, which are combined with the previous components and are used to develop the current forecast of production. California and Texas conduct grower and packer surveys on a quarterly basis in October, January, April, and July. California also conducts objective measurement surveys in September for navel oranges and in March for Valencia oranges.

Wheat estimating procedures: National and State level objective yield and grower reported data were reviewed for reasonableness and consistency with historical estimates. The survey data were also reviewed considering weather patterns and crop progress compared to previous months and previous years. Each State Field Office submits their analysis of the current situation to the Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB). The ASB uses the survey data and the State analyses to prepare the published June 1 forecasts.

Orange estimating procedures: State level objective yield estimates for Florida oranges were reviewed for errors, reasonableness, and consistency with historical estimates. The Florida Field Office submits its analyses of the current situation to the Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB). The ASB uses the Florida survey data and their analyses to prepare the published June 1 forecast. The June 1 orange production forecasts for California and Texas are carried forward from April.

Revision policy: The June 1 production forecast will not be revised; instead, a new forecast will be made each month throughout the growing season. End-of-season wheat estimates are made after harvest. At the end of the wheat marketing season, a balance sheet is calculated using carryover stocks, production, exports, millings, feeding, and ending stocks. Revisions are then made if the balance sheet relationships or other administrative data warrant changes. End-of-season orange estimates will be published in the Citrus Fruits Summary released in September. The orange production estimates are based on all data available at the end of the marketing season, including information from marketing orders, shipments, and processor records. Allowances are made for recorded local utilization and home use.

Reliability: To assist users in evaluating the reliability of the June 1 production forecast, the "Root Mean Square Error," a statistical measure based on past performance, is computed. The deviation between the June 1 production forecast and the final estimate is expressed as a percentage of the final estimate. The average of the squared percentage deviations for the latest 20 -year period is computed. The square root of the average becomes statistically the "Root Mean Square Error." Probability statements can be made concerning expected differences in the current forecast relative to the final end-ofseason estimate, assuming that factors affecting this year's forecast are not different from those influencing recent years.

The "Root Mean Square Error" for the June 1 winter wheat production forecast is 5.5 percent. This means that chances are 2 out of 3 that the current winter wheat production will not be above or below the final estimate by more than 5.5 percent. Chances are 9 out of 10 ( 90 percent confidence level) that the difference will not exceed 9.5 percent. Differences between the June 1 winter wheat production forecast and the final estimate during the past 20 years have averaged 75 million bushels, ranging from 13 million to 242 million bushels. The June 1 forecast has been below the final estimate 10 times and above 10 times. This does not imply that the June 1 winter wheat forecast this year is likely to understate or overstate final production.

The "Root Mean Square Error" for the June 1 orange production forecast is 1.5 percent. However, if you exclude the 5 abnormal production seasons ( 3 freeze seasons and 2 hurricane seasons), the "Root Mean Square Error" is 1.8 percent. This means that chances are 2 out of 3 that the current orange production forecast will not be above or below the final estimates by more than 1.5 percent, or 1.8 percent, excluding abnormal seasons. Chances are 9 out of 10 ( 90 percent confidence level) that the difference will not exceed 2.7 percent, or 3.1 percent, excluding abnormal seasons.

Changes between the June 1 orange forecast and the final estimates during the past 20 years have averaged 126,000 tons ( 157,000 tons, excluding abnormal seasons), ranging from 5,000 tons to 368,000 tons when including or excluding abnormal seasons. The June 1 forecast for oranges has been below the final estimate 8 times and above 12 times (below 4 times and above 11 times, excluding abnormal seasons). The difference does not imply that the June 1 forecast this year is likely to understate or overstate final production.

Listed below are the commodity statisticians in the Crops Branch of the National Agricultural Statistics Service to contact for additional information. E-mail inquiries may be sent to nass @ nass.usda.gov
Lance Honig, Chief, Crops Branch ..... (202) 720-2127
Jacqueline Moore, Head, Field Crops Section ..... (202) 720-2127
Suzanne Avilla - Peanuts, Rice. ..... (202) 720-7688
Shiela Corley - Cotton, Cotton Ginnings, Sorghum ..... (202) 720-5944
Bryan Durham - Hay, Oats ..... (202) 690-3234
Anthony Prillaman - Corn, Proso Millet, Flaxseed ..... (202) 720-9526
Nick Schauer - Wheat, Rye ..... (202) 720-8068
Julie Schmidt - Crop Weather, Barley, Sugar Crops ..... (202) 720-7621
Travis Thorson - Soybeans, Sunflower, Other Oilseeds ..... (202) 720-7369
Jorge Garcia-Pratts, Head, Fruits, Vegetables and Special Crops Section ..... (202) 720-2127
Debbie Flippin - Fresh and Processing Vegetables, Onions, Strawberries ..... (202) 720-2157
Fred Granja - Apples, Apricots, Cherries, Plums, Prunes, Tobacco ..... (202) 720-4288
Dawn Keen - Floriculture, Maple Syrup, Nursery, Tree Nuts ..... (202) 720-4215
Steve Maliszewski - Citrus, Coffee, Grapes, Tropical Fruits ..... (202) 720-5412
Tierra Mobley - Berries, Cranberries, Potatoes, Sweet Potatoes ..... (202) 720-4285
Dan Norris - Austrian Winter Peas, Dry Edible Peas, Lentils, Mints, Mushrooms, Peaches, Pears, Wrinkled Seed Peas, Dry Beans ..... (202) 720-3250
Kim Ritchie - Hops ..... (360) 902-1940

## Access to NASS Reports

For your convenience, you may access NASS reports and products the following ways:
> All reports are available electronically, at no cost, on the NASS web site: http://www.nass.usda.gov
> Both national and state specific reports are available via a free e-mail subscription. To set-up this free subscription, visit http://www.nass.usda.gov and in the "Receive NASS Updates" box under "Receive reports by Email," click on "National" or "State" to select the reports you would like to receive.
> Printed reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by calling toll-free (800) 999-6779, or (703) 605-6220 if calling from outside the United States or Canada. Accepted methods of payment are Visa, MasterCard, check, or money order.

For more information on NASS surveys and reports, call the NASS Agricultural Statistics Hotline at (800) 727-9540, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET, or e-mail: nass @ nass.usda.gov.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.


[^0]:    * Revised.
    (NA) Not available.
    ${ }^{1}$ Relates to year of intended harvest for fall planted beets in central California and to year of planting for overwintered beets in central and southern California.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates discontinued in 2009.
    ${ }^{3}$ Estimates are not available. United States marketing year average price, value of production, and parity price will be published in Agricultural Prices released July 30, 2010. State estimates will be published in Crop Values to be released February 2011.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Price per ton of cane for sugar used in evaluating value of production for seed.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates are not available. United States marketing year average price, value of production, and parity price will be published in Agricultural Prices released July 30, 2010. State estimates will be published in Crop Values to be released February 2011.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ Revised.
    ${ }^{1}$ Production years are 2007-2008, 2008-2009, and 2009-2010.

[^3]:    * Revised.
    ${ }^{1}$ Production years are 2007-2008, 2008-2009, and 2009-2010.

