**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**for the Paperwork Reduction Act Information Collection Submission for**

**“Regulation S-ID”**

# JUSTIFICATION

## 1. Necessity for the Information Collection

 Under Regulation S-ID,[[1]](#footnote-2) SEC-regulated entities are required to develop and implement reasonable policies and procedures to identify, detect and respond to relevant red flags and, in the case of entities that issue credit or debit cards, to assess the validity of, and communicate with cardholders regarding, address changes. Section 248.201 of Regulation S‑ID includes the following “collections of information” by SEC-regulated entities that are financial institutions or creditors if the entity maintains covered accounts: (1) creation and periodic updating of an identity theft prevention program (“Program”) that is approved by the board of directors, an appropriate committee thereof, or a designated senior management employee; (2) periodic staff reporting on compliance with the identify theft red flags rules and guidelines, as required to be considered by section VI of the guidelines; and (3) training of staff to implement the Program. Section 248.202 of Regulation S‑ID includes the following “collections of information” by SEC-regulated entities that are credit or debit card issuers: (1) establishment of policies and procedures that assess the validity of a change of address notification if a request for an additional or replacement card on the account follows soon after the address change; and (2) notification of a cardholder, before issuance of an additional or replacement card, at the previous address or through some other previously agreed-upon form of communication, or alternatively, assessment of the validity of the address change request through the entity’s established policies and procedures.

## 2. Purpose of the Information Collection

 Regulation S-ID, and the information collection it requires, is designed to better protect consumers from the risks of identity theft. The regulation requires entities that are subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction to address identity theft in two ways. First, the rules and guidelines require financial institutions and creditors that offer or maintain certain accounts to develop and implement a written identity theft prevention program designed to detect, prevent, and mitigate identity theft in connection with existing accounts or the opening of new accounts. Second, the rules establish special requirements for credit and debit card issuers that are subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction, to assess the validity of notifications of changes of address under certain circumstances.

## 3. Consideration Given to Information Technology

 The Commission’s Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis and Retrieval System (“EDGAR”) provides for the automated filing, processing, and dissemination of full disclosure filings. The automation provides for speed, accuracy and public availability of information, generating benefits to investors and financial markets. While EDGAR currently is limited to disclosure and fund deregistration filings, EDGAR may be used in the future to obtain other types of information from sources outside the Commission. The Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. 7001) and the conforming amendments to recordkeeping rules under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a) permit funds to maintain records electronically.

## 4. Duplication

 The Commission sought to avoid duplication of requirements imposed under other agencies’ rules. For example, Regulation S-ID is limited to entities under the Commission’s jurisdiction, and although substantially similar to regulations issued in 2007 by the Federal Trade Commission, the federal banking agencies, and the National Credit Union Association (collectively, the “Agencies”), does not apply to entities regulated by other agencies. [[2]](#footnote-3) In addition, the identity theft prevention program required by Regulation S-ID may be integrated into other identity theft prevention or privacy programs that the financial institution or creditor may already have.

## 5. Effect on Small Entities

 The information collection requirements of Regulation S-ID apply to all covered entities subject to the SEC’s jurisdiction, including those that are small entities. Because all SEC-regulated entities, including small entities, should already be in compliance with substantially similar identity theft red flags rules adopted by the Agencies, the Commission believes that the costs of complying with the rules will be minimal and do not impose a significant burden on small entities.

## 6. Consequences of Less Frequent Collection

 Less frequent collection would not be consistent with the Commission’s investor protection objectives.

## 7. Inconsistencies with Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

 None.

## 8. Consultation Outside the Agency

 Regulation S-ID was jointly adopted with the CFTC’s rules on identity theft red flags. The Commission also consulted with the Agencies, which earlier adopted substantially similar rules, in crafting Regulation S-ID. The Commission requested public comment on the collection of information requirements in Regulation S-ID when it was proposed. Comments on the proposal, including comments referenced in this release, are available on the SEC’s website at http://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-02-12/s70212.shtml. The Commission received one comment in response to its request related to the Paperwork Reduction Act.[[3]](#footnote-4)

 In addition, the Commission and its staff participate in an ongoing dialogue with representatives of the fund industry through public conferences, meetings and informal exchanges. These various forums provide the Commission and the staff with a means of ascertaining and acting upon paperwork burdens confronting the industry.

## 9. Payment or Gift

 Not applicable.

## 10. Confidentiality

 Not applicable.

## 11. Sensitive Questions

 Not applicable.

## 12. Estimate of Hour Burden

SEC regulated entities that must comply with the collections of information required by Regulation S-ID should already be in compliance with the identity theft red flags rules that the Agencies jointly adopted in 2007.[[4]](#footnote-5) The requirements of those rules are substantially similar and comparable to the requirements of Regulation S-ID.[[5]](#footnote-6)

In addition, SEC staff understands that most SEC-regulated entities that are financial institutions or creditors may otherwise have in place many of the protections regarding identity theft and changes of address that Regulation S-ID requires because they are usual and customary business practices that they engage in to minimize losses from fraud. Furthermore, SEC staff believes that many of them are likely to have already effectively implemented most of the requirements as a result of having to comply (or an affiliate having to comply) with other, existing statutes, regulations and guidance, such as the federal customer identification program rules implementing section 326 of the USA PATRIOT Act,[[6]](#footnote-7) the Interagency Guidelines Establishing Information Security Standards that implement section 501(b) of the Gramm Leach Bliley Act (GLBA),[[7]](#footnote-8) section 216 of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003,[[8]](#footnote-9) and guidance issued by the Agencies or the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council regarding information security, authentication, identity theft, and response programs.[[9]](#footnote-10)

SEC staff estimates of time and cost burdens represent the one time burden of complying with Regulation S-ID for newly formed SEC-regulated entities, and the ongoing costs of compliance for all SEC-regulated entities.[[10]](#footnote-11) SEC staff estimates also attribute all burdens to entities that are directly subject to the requirements of the rulemaking. An entity directly subject to Regulation S-ID that outsources activities to a service provider is, in effect, shifting to that service provider the burden that it would otherwise have carried itself. Under these circumstances, the burden is, by contract, shifted from the entity that is directly subject to Regulation S-ID to the service provider, but the total amount of burden is not increased. Thus, service provider burdens are already included in the burden estimates provided for entities that are directly subject to Regulation S-ID. The time and cost estimates made here are based on conversations with industry representatives and on a review of comments received on the proposed rules as well as the estimates made in the regulatory analyses of the identity theft red flags rules previously issued by the Agencies.

*§ 248.201 (duties regarding detection, prevention, and mitigation of identity theft)*

The collections of information required by section 248.201 apply to SEC‑regulated entities that are financial institutions or creditors.[[11]](#footnote-12) As stated above, SEC staff expects that existing SEC‑regulated entities should already have incurred initial or one‑time burdens associated with compliance with Regulation S‑ID because they should already be in compliance with the substantially identical requirements of the Agencies’ identity theft red flags rules.[[12]](#footnote-13) Any initial or one‑time burden estimates associated with compliance with section 248.201 of Regulation S‑ID apply only to newly‑formed entities. The ongoing burden estimates apply to all SEC‑regulated entities that are financial institutions or creditors. Existing entities subject to Regulation S-ID should already bear, and will continue to be subject to, this burden. In the Proposing Release, the SEC solicited comment on its estimates of the burdens associated with the collections of information required by section 248.201; one commenter raised concerns with the estimates in the Proposing Release, arguing that actual burdens could be greater than estimated.[[13]](#footnote-14)

 *Initial Burden*

SEC staff estimates that the one-time burden of compliance with section 248.201 for SEC-regulated financial institutions and creditors with covered accounts is: (i) 25 hours to develop and obtain board approval of a Program; (ii) 4 hours to train staff; and (iii) 2 hours to conduct an initial assessment of covered accounts, for a total of 31 hours.[[14]](#footnote-15) SEC staff estimates that, of the 31 hours incurred, 12 hours will be spent by internal counsel at an hourly rate of $378, 17 hours will be spent by administrative assistants at an hourly rate of $65, and 2 hours will be spent by the board of directors as a whole at an hourly rate of $4500, for a total cost of $14,641 per newly formed entity.[[15]](#footnote-16)

SEC staff estimates that approximately 668 SEC-regulated financial institutions and creditors are newly formed each year.[[16]](#footnote-17) Each of these 668 entities will need to conduct an initial assessment of covered accounts, for a total of 1336 hours at a total cost of $505,008.[[17]](#footnote-18) Of these 668 entities, SEC staff estimates that approximately 90% (or 601) maintain covered accounts.[[18]](#footnote-19) Accordingly, SEC staff estimates that the total initial burden for the 601 newly formed SEC-regulated entities that are likely to qualify as financial institutions or creditors and maintain covered accounts is 18,631 hours at a total cost of $8,799,241, and the total initial burden for all newly formed SEC-regulated entities is 18,765 hours at a total cost of $8,849,893.[[19]](#footnote-20)

 *Ongoing Burden*

SEC staff estimates that the ongoing burden of compliance with section 248.201 includes: (i) 2 hours to conduct periodic assessments to determine if the entity offers or maintains covered accounts; (ii) 4 hours to prepare and present an annual report to the board; and (iii) 2 hours to periodically review and update the Program, including review and preservation of contracts with service providers, and review and preservation of any documentation received from service providers, for a total of 8 hours. SEC staff estimates that of the 8 hours incurred, 7 hours will be spent by internal counsel at an hourly cost of $378 and 1 hour will be spent by the board of directors as a whole at an hourly cost of $4500.

SEC staff estimates that there are 10,339 SEC-regulated entities that are either financial institutions or creditors, and that all of these will be required to periodically review their accounts to determine if they offer or maintain covered accounts, for a total of 20,678 hours for these entities at a total cost of $7,816,284.[[20]](#footnote-21) Of these 10,339 entities, SEC staff estimates that approximately 90 percent, or 9305, maintain covered accounts, and thus will need the additional burdens related to complying with the rules.[[21]](#footnote-22) Accordingly, SEC staff estimates that the total ongoing burden for these 9305 financial institutions and creditors that maintain covered accounts will be 74,440 hours at a total cost of $66,493,530.[[22]](#footnote-23) The estimated total ongoing burden for the 10,339 SEC-regulated entities that are financial institutions or creditors covered by Regulation S-ID will be 76,508 hours at total cost of $67,275,234.[[23]](#footnote-24)

 *§ 248.202 (duties of card issuers regarding changes of address).*

The collections of information required by section 248.202 will apply only to SEC-regulated entities that issue credit or debit cards.[[24]](#footnote-25) SEC staff understands that SEC-regulated entities generally do not issue credit or debit cards, but instead partner with other entities, such as banks, that issue cards on their behalf. These other entities, which are not regulated by the SEC, are already subject to substantially similar change of address obligations pursuant to the Agencies’ identity theft red flags rules. In addition, SEC staff understands that card issuers already assess the validity of change of address requests and, for the most part, have automated the process of notifying the cardholder or using other means to assess the validity of changes of address. Therefore, implementation of this requirement poses no further burden.

SEC staff does not expect that any SEC-regulated entities will be subject to the information collection requirements of section 248.202. Accordingly, SEC staff estimates that there is no hourly or cost burden for SEC-regulated entities related to section 248.202. In the Proposing Release, the SEC solicited comment on this same estimate of the burdens associated with the collections of information required by section 248.202 and received no comments on its burden estimate.

## 13. Estimate of Total Annual Cost Burden

 The rule is not estimated to impose any burdens other than those discussed in item 12 above.

## 14. Estimate of Cost to the Federal Government

 The rule does not impose any additional costs on the Federal government.

## 15. Changes in Burden

 Not applicable.

## 16. Information Collection Planned for Statistical Purposes

 Not applicable.

## 17. Approval to Omit OMB Expiration Date

 Not applicable.

## 18. Exceptions to Certification Statement

 Not applicable.

# Collections of information employing statistical methods

Not applicable.
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