

**To: Mark Fiegenger and Emilda Rivers, NCSES**

**From: Vincent Welch, NORC**

**CC: Mary Ann Latter, Tom Hoffer, and Dan Kasperzyk, NORC**

**Subject: Proposed Confidentiality, IRB, and Data Security project**

**Date: September 25, 2012**

In the current context of heightened concern over institutions' abilities to maintain confidentiality assurances, it is imperative for the SED to review on a regular basis both the way the assurances are conveyed to universities and the doctorate recipients, and how the assurances are actually supported by the project. NORC is well prepared to conduct that review and to prepare an analysis of the SED confidentiality related policies, practices, and issues.

The proposed report will focus on how doctoral students, Graduate Deans, Institutional Contacts (IC), and Institutional Researchers understand the SED confidentiality assurances and guidelines and how this understanding affects institutional and individual participation in the SED as well as the perceived utility of SED data. In addition, as part of the Association of American Universities (AAU) data-sharing project, NSF and several AAU institutions are engaged in designing a data-sharing process that could return SED data to institutions quickly and in a manner that allows each participating institution to: (1) link the returned SED data on their graduates with data collected via their own exit survey of these same graduates; and (2) merge their linked doctorate recipient data with similar data from other participating institutions. The AAU data-sharing project will require a new confidentiality pledge that will be presented only to SED respondents from participating AAU institutions and will include an "opt-out" box by which respondents can choose to disallow their SED data from being linked with exit survey data and/or shared among AAU institutions. The wording of the new confidentiality pledge and the design of the opt-out box are still under development; the proposed Confidentiality, IRB, and Data Security project will provide a means to test alternative versions of the pledge and opt-out box.

We propose conducting a series of focus groups and/or interviews with individuals from each of the previously mentioned stakeholder groups to investigate these issues. We will also analyze a sample of respondent comments for confidentiality-related content. We propose to supplement the findings from the focus groups and interviews with a textual analysis of the SED's confidentiality-related material that is given to potential respondents and doctorate-granting institutions.

### **Task 1: Clearance and approval**

The proposed research will require the approval of NORC's Institutional Review Board (IRB) and clearance from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). NORC stands ready to prepare protocols for the focus groups and structured interviews and other accompanying documentation that will be required to gain approval from both oversight bodies before conducting the proposed research.

NORC will work with NCSES to compile all of the necessary materials to produce a compelling submission to the OMB. After submission, NORC will be ready to respond quickly to any requests for additional information or clarification that OMB might make of NCSES in order to gain clearance in a timely manner.

### **Task 2: Prepare Protocols**

NORC will prepare first drafts of the protocols to submit to NCSES for approval. NORC recognizes that there may be multiple iterations of protocols with each of the stakeholder groups with NCSES before the final structure is agreed upon. After the protocols are finalized, NORC will submit the protocols and procedures to NORC's IRB for approval.

### **Task 3: Focus groups with graduate deans**

NORC will conduct focus groups with graduate deans from institutions of various sizes from various regions of the country. Additionally, the focus group participants will include both members and non-members of the Association of American Universities (AAU).

We propose that the conversations with graduate school deans revolve around four major themes: (1) their understanding of the confidentiality assurances we provide to respondents, including those related to the AAU data-sharing project (if applicable); (2) if and how their understanding of our confidentiality procedures affects their institutional cooperation with the SED; (3) their use of SED data (with examples) and their awareness of other SED data products, including the institutional data set; and (4) their understanding of the data use agreements they sign when they receive their institutional data. We will also ask the deans about the data security procedures they employ with SED data both before the data (i.e., survey forms, etc.) are returned to

NORC and after the data are delivered to the deans in the form of institutional data sets (or, for schools planning to participate in the AAU data-sharing project, data sets of recent graduates who do not choose to opt-out of the data-sharing process).

Within these broad areas, we think that it would be useful to explore whether there is anything that NCSES and NORC can do regarding confidentiality that will increase the deans' comfort level with our confidentiality procedures and lead them to encourage greater institutional cooperation with the SED among their staffs. We would also like to know what, if any, interactions deans are having with their institutional IRBs regarding SED confidentiality procedures and cooperation.

NORC will conduct a total of three focus groups; one group that will consist of deans of institutions that are AAU members and two groups that are made up of deans from non-AAU members. Due to the wide dispersion of doctorate-granting institutions, NORC does not believe that the interviews with deans can be conducted face-to-face within budgetary constraints. Therefore, NORC will conduct the focus groups electronically.

NORC recognizes that recruiting deans to participate in the focus groups could be a hurdle. We will use Kristy Webber, Task Leader for institution contacting, to aid in the recruitment process. Webber has close relationships with staff, including deans, at many participating schools and will capitalize on those relationships to facilitate the recruitment process. During recruitment, NORC will ensure that deans from institutions of different sizes and Carnegie classifications are represented in order to fully represent the breadth of experience of this critical stake-holder group. NORC will offer an incentive of \$55 to each group member for participation in these groups. However, NORC recognizes that regulations at the deans' institution may prevent him or her from accepting the incentive.

NORC anticipates that all deans will have easy access to high-speed internet connections. We further anticipate that most, if not all, of the deans will have ready access to webcam-equipped computers. Should any of the deans lack webcam equipment, NORC is prepared to send webcams that will operate on a variety of platforms to any deans that wish to participate. Groups will consist of 6-8 deans and will last 45 – 60 minutes. Groups will be recorded to allow for review at a later date.

#### **Task 4: Focus groups with institutional researchers**

NORC will conduct two focus groups with institutional researchers. One of the groups will be conducted

with institutional researchers who are employed at AAU institutions and the second will be conducted with researchers who are employed at non-AAU institutions.

Institution-based users of SED data are an important constituency who are greatly affected by the confidentiality-related issues that the proposed research will focus on. The conversations with institutional researchers will focus on three broad issues: (1) their understanding of the SED disclosure avoidance policies; (2) the degree to which their research is limited by the disclosure avoidance procedures implemented in the past few years of the SED; and (3) what the institutional researchers' data security and confidentiality procedures are. We would also like to know if institutional researchers interface with ICs or graduate deans to better understand institutional procedures regarding SED data.

To aid in recruitment NORC will capitalize on our database of external requests from institutional researchers and those who have requested licensed data files from NCSES. We will contact institutional researchers who have requested institutional data sets and analyses from NORC. NORC will offer an incentive of \$55 to each group member for participation in these groups. However, NORC recognizes that regulations at the researchers' institution may prevent him or her from accepting the incentive.

After recruiting, NORC will send participants copies of SED's confidentiality related material (e.g., SED confidentiality brochure, copies of the survey instrument with the confidentiality pledge highlighted, etc.) to facilitate the discussion of the impact of these policies on this stake-holder group.

NORC anticipates that institutional researchers will have easy access to high-speed internet connections. We further anticipate that most, if not all, of the researchers will have ready access to webcam-equipped computers. Should any of the researchers lack webcam equipment, NORC is prepared to send webcams that will operate on a variety of platforms to any participants. Groups will consist of 6-8 institutional researchers and will last 45 – 60 minutes. Groups will be recorded to allow for review at a later date.

#### **Task 5: Interviews with doctorate recipients**

NORC will conduct a series of semi-structured interviews with doctorate recipients. These interviews will be conducted electronically, either over the telephone or via the internet. We will attempt to conduct approximately 12 interviews with recent doctorate recipients from AAU-member institutions and 6 interviews with recent doctorate recipients from institutions who are not a part of the AAU.

We propose that the conversations with doctorate recipients revolve around three major themes: (1) their understanding of how their SED data are used and protected; (2) the degree to which confidentiality-related

concerns affected their responding to the SED; and (3) their understanding of and reactions to alternative descriptions of the AAU data-sharing process (i.e., wordings of the new confidentiality pledge and designs of the opt-out box), if applicable. Within the first two themes, we would be particularly interested in determining if doctorate recipients have different confidentiality-related concerns depending on the SED response mode (i.e., hard copy, web, or telephone).

After recruiting, NORC will send participants copies of SED's confidentiality related material (e.g., SED confidentiality brochure, copies of the survey instrument with the confidentiality pledge highlighted, etc.) to facilitate the discussion of the impact of these policies on this stake-holder group. For the AAU group, we will be certain to include the proposed NSF confidentiality pledge which includes the "opt out" clause regarding linking SED data to institutional exit survey data and regarding the sharing of SED data among AAU institutions.

We will recruit participants for these interviews from 2012 doctorate recipients. We will contact both web and hardcopy respondents from AAU and non-AAU schools. Interviews will be recorded to allow for analysis. We will also attempt to recruit doctorate recipients with a variety of educational foci (i.e., field of doctoral study) and from a variety of institution types (i.e., Carnegie Class). NORC will offer an incentive of \$55 to each participant for participating in these interviews.

## **Task 6: Interviews with institutional contacts**

NORC will conduct a series of semi-structured interviews with SED ICs. These interviews will be conducted electronically, either over the telephone or via the internet. We will attempt to conduct approximately 6 interviews with ICs from AAU-member institutions and 4 interviews with ICs from institutions who are not a part of the AAU.

We propose that the conversations with ICs revolve around three major themes: (1) their understanding of the confidentiality assurances we provide to respondents, including those related to the AAU data-sharing project (if applicable); (2) what they tell respondents about the confidentiality of their SED data; and (3) the ICs' data security procedures vis-à-vis SED data.

Within these broad areas, we would also want to discuss the frequency with which students express confidentiality concerns and what, if anything, the ICs say or do to allay those concerns. We would also like to know what, if any, instructions ICs are getting from their institutional IRBs regarding SED data security and confidentiality procedures.

NORC recognizes that recruiting institution contacts to participate in the interviews could be a hurdle. We will also use Webber to aid in this recruitment process. NORC will ensure that IC's represent a variety of program types (e.g., single-program institutions and multi-program institutions) and institution types (i.e., Carnegie class of institution). NORC will offer an incentive of \$55 to each group member for participation in these groups. However, NORC recognizes that regulations at the ICs' institution may prevent him or her from accepting the incentive.

After recruiting, NORC will send participants copies of SED's confidentiality related material (e.g., SED confidentiality brochure, copies of the survey instrument with the confidentiality pledge highlighted, etc.) to facilitate the discussion of the impact of these policies on this stake-holder group. For the AAU group, we will be certain to include the proposed NSF confidentiality pledge which includes the "opt out" clause for linking SED data to institutional exit survey data and regarding the sharing of SED data among AAU institutions.

NORC will record each of the interviews to allow for later analysis.

#### **Task 7: Report**

NORC will compile the results of the focus group discussions and structured interviews into a comprehensive report that will allow NCSSES to assess the full range of confidentiality related concerns for each stake-holder group. Where appropriate, the report will also include recommendations for updating or enhancing the SED's confidentiality-related messaging. The final report will be authored by Vincent Welch, Senior Survey Methodologist at NORC, with oversight from Mary Ann Latter, SED Project Director at NORC, and Dan Kasperzyk, Senior Fellow and Vice President at NORC.

NORC understands that the crafting of the final report will be an iterative process. NORC will submit an initial draft of the confidentiality report to NCSSES by March 5, 2013. NORC will submit a revised, final, draft of the report to NCSSES no later than three weeks after receiving feedback on the initial draft.

#### **Task 8: Management and oversight**

NORC will work closely with NCSSES to ensure that this project stays within budget and time parameters. The NORC team will meet weekly to address the progress of recruiting and data collection and to ensure that any potential barriers are quickly overcome. These meetings will be attended by Mary Ann Latter, SED Project Director at NORC, Vincent Welch, Task Leader for this Study, and the survey support staff. Additionally, NORC will conduct monthly meetings with NCSSES to ensure that the project is proceeding as expected. These meetings will be attended by

Mary Ann Latter, Vincent Welch, and Dan Kasperzyk, Vice President and Director of CESR at NORC, who will be providing corporate and research oversight on this task. NORC stands ready to adjust the meeting schedule to accommodate NCSES’s schedule.

**Project Timeline**

Below is a draft timeline for this project. NORC envisions initiating the project in October of 2012 and completing the final report by March 31, 2013

Task	Duration
Prepare interview and focus group protocols	10/15/2012 – 11/2/2012
IRB Submission and approval	11/12/2012 – 11/19/2012
OMB submission and approval	11/9/2012 – 1/6/2013
Conduct focus groups with deans	2/4/2013 – 2/18/2013
Conduct focus groups with institutional researchers	1/7/2013 – 2/4/2013
Conduct interviews with doctorate recipients	1/7/2013 – 2/15/2013
Conduct interview with institution contacts	2/4/2013 – 2/18/2013

Compile first draft of report	2/19/4/2013 – 3/5/2013
Complete final draft of report	3/26/2013 – 3//31/2013

**Project Budget**

See attached