

Proposed Changes to Reportable Food Registry (RFR)

OMB control No. 0910-0645

| reason this food is reportable (agent) | mandatory | (e)(4) | Public harm |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------|
| What did your investigation identify as the root cause of the problem (if you were required to conduct an investigation under section 417(d)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act)? | Mandatory | (e)(5) (Note also needs a "N/A" option in drop down box for those not required to investigate) | FSMA |
| How did you determine which products/lots/batches were affected? | Mandatory | (e)(4) and (5) | Public harm |
| To the best of your knowledge, has all of the reportable food been removed from commerce? | Mandatory | (e)(6) | Public harm |
| What corrective actions have been taken to prevent future occurrences? | Optional | | Public harm |
| Product Commodity Type | Mandatory | (e)(3) | FSMA |
| Manufacturing/Production Date(s) | Mandatory | (e)(3) and (4) | Public harm |
| Use-by dates, if any, or approximate Shelf Life | Mandatory | (e)(7) (Tying this to use-by dates on package links this to element 7, which explicitly includes use-by dates) | Public harm |
| Was product treated to reduce microorganisms | Mandatory (but conditional) | (e)(3) and (4) (Note- needs to be conditional if mandatory- should only appear if reporter has selected one of the microbial hazards as the answer to "reason this food is reportable (agent)." That way this is clearly about the nature of the | |