Paperwork Reduction Act Submission

Please read the instruction before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this forms, contact your agency's Paperwork Reduction Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the Supporting Statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 Seventeenth St. NW, Washington, DC 20503.

1. Agency/Subagency Originating Request: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	2. OMB Control Number: a. 2577-0215 b. 🔀 None
Office of Public and Indian Housing	
 3. Type of information collection: (check one) a. New Collection b. Revision of a currently approved collection c. Extension of a currently approved collection d. Reinstatement, without change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. Reinstatement, with change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions. 	 Type of review requested: (check one) a. Regular b. Emergency - Approval requested by c. Delegated 5. Small entities: Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? Yes No 6. Requested expiration date: a. Three years from approval date b. Other (specify)
7. Title Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) Certification 8. Agency form number(s): (if applicable) HUD-52648	n

9. Keywords:

Housing, Section 8 housing; Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP); tenant-based assistance; certification

10. Abstract:

The requested information is used to assess a Public Housing Authority's (PHA's) management capabilities and performance in administering a housing choice voucher program. Assessment ratings are used as tool in addressing any potential deficiencies.

 11. Affected public: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Individuals or households b. Business or other for-profit c. Not-for-profit institutions d. P State, Local or Tribal Government 	 12. Obligation to respond: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Voluntary b. Required to obtain or retain benefits c. X Mandatory 				
Annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden:	Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden: (in thousands of dollars)				
a. Number of respondents 2,302	a. Total annualized capital/startup costs 0				
b. Total annual responses 2,302	b. Total annual costs (O&M) 0				
Percentage of these responses collected electronically 100	c. Total annualized cost requested 0				
c. Total annual hours requested 29,574	d. Total annual cost requested 0				
d. Current OMB inventory 31,462	e. Current OMB inventory 0				
e. Difference (+,-) -1,888					
f. Explanation of difference:	f. Explanation of difference:				
1. Program change:	1. Program change:				
2. Adjustment: X	2. Adjustment:				
15. Purpose of Information collection: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Application for benefits e. X Program planning or management a. Application for benefits e. X Program planning or management b. X Program evaluation f. Research c. General purpose statistics g. P Requlatory or compliance d. Audit 9. P Regulatory or compliance	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting: (check all that apply) a. Recordkeeping b. Third party disclosure c. Reporting: Third party disclosure 1. On occasion 2. Weekly 3. Monthly 4. Quarterly 5. Semi-annually 6. Annually 7. x Biennially 8. Other (describe) Other Other				
Does this information collection employ statistical methods?	ccy contact: (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this ission) e: Phyllis Smelkinson ne: (202) 402-4138				

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9.

Note: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320/8(b)(3). appear at the end of the instructions. The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collections of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It uses plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention periods for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of the information;
 - (iii) burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, or mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to collected (see note in item 19 of the instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of these provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Program Official:

X Milan Ozdinec, Office of Public Housing and Voucher

Signature of Senior Officer or Designee:

Date:

Date:

Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission Section Eight Management Assessment Program Certification

A. JUSTIFICATION

1. **Reason for collection.** Program regulations at 24 CFR Part 985 sets forth the requirements of the Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) that includes a certification of indicators reflecting performance similar to the Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) for public housing. Through this assessment HUD can improve oversight of the housing choice voucher (HCV) program and target monitoring and assistance to public housing agencies (PHA) that need the most improvement and pose the greatest risk.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1437a, 1437c, 1437f, and 3535(d).

- 2. Use of information. On an annual basis (or every two years for small agencies) PHAs are required to submit a SEMAP certification (form HUD-52648) electronically into the Inventory Management System/Public and Indian Housing Information Center (IMS/PIC). There are a maximum of 15 indicators that are either verified through PIC data or an on-site or off-site confirmatory review. HUD uses the PHA's SEMAP certification, together with other available data, to assess PHA management capabilities and deficiencies, and to assign an overall performance rating to each PHA administering a HCV program. HUD rates a PHA on each SEMAP indicator, completes a PHA SEMAP profile identifying any program management deficiencies and assigns an overall performance rating. A PHA's written report of correction of a SEMAP deficiency is used as documentation that the PHA has taken action to address identified program weaknesses. Where HUD assigns an overall performance rating of troubled, the PHA's corrective action plan is used to monitor the PHA's progress on program improvements.
- **3. Use of automated collection techniques.** The Department has developed the IMS/PIC for electronic submission and scoring of SEMAP certifications via the Internet. Since December 2000 PHAs have been submitting, and HUD field offices have been scoring, SEMAP certifications.
- 4. **Efforts to identify duplication.** The information collection on the SEMAP certification does not duplicate any currently collected information.
- 5. **Methods to minimize the burden on small entities.** HUD published its final rule regarding the Deregulation for Small Public Housing Agencies in the Federal Register on June 24, 2003. This rule streamlines HUD's regulatory requirements for small PHAs that administer the public housing and voucher assistance programs under the United States Housing Act of 1937. The final rule deregulated the assessment and scoring of small PHAs under 250 voucher units to a biennial (every two years), rather than annual basis. In accordance with this final rule, the burden is the least necessary to accomplish the Department's purpose.
- 6. **Consequences if collected less frequently.** Assessing PHA program performance less than annually (or biennially for small PHAs) can result in poor performance going unchecked for longer periods and may result in more ineligible families being assisted, housing quality

standards not being sufficiently monitored, or more incorrect subsidies being paid.

- 7. **Special circumstances.** There are no special circumstances that would cause this information collection to be conducted more than quarterly based on the fiscal year-end date of the PHA or for PHAs to submit their certifications more than annually.
- 8. A *Federal Register* Notice of Proposed Information Collection, Volume 78, Page 35045, was published on June 11, 2013. The public was given a 60-day comment period as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; the comment period ended on August 12, 2013. One comment was received on this information collection from Bremerton Housing Authority. PHAs were not consulted regarding rent burden hours as the SEMAP process has not changed since the last information collection. However, any proposed changes to SEMAP will be shared with industry groups.
- 9. **Payment to respondents.** No payment or gift is provided to respondents.
- 10. **Assurance of confidentiality.** There are no assurances of confidentially provided to respondents.
- 11. **Questions of a sensitive nature.** There are no questions of a sensitive nature.
- 12. **Estimated hour burden.** The data below changes from year to year based on the number of PHAs that are required to submit a SEMAP certification. However, the changes in the number of PHAs required to submit SEMAP certifications dropped from 2,437 to 2,302 since the last submission due to transfers and consolidations of PHAs. From recent IMS/PIC data for calendar year 2012, it is estimated that approximately 90 PHAs will be troubled each year and will require a Corrective Action Plan. It is also estimated that approximately 25 percent will not be troubled, but will require a Correction of SEMAP Deficiency for a failed indicator/s.

Information Collection	No. of Respondents	Responses Per Respondent	Total Annual Responses	Hrs per Response	Total Hrs	Regulatory Reference
SEMAP Certification	2,302	1	2,302	12	27,624	985.101
Corrective Action Plan	80	1	80	10	800	985.107(c)
Report on Correction of SEMAP Deficiency	575	1	575	2	1,150	985.106
TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN					29,574	

Estimated annualized cost to respondents. 29,574 total hours x \$20/hr. = \$591,480/ 2,302 respondents = \$257 per respondent.

- 13. Estimated annual cost. No additional cost to respondents.
- 14. **Annualized cost to Federal government.** HUD field staff reviews SEMAP certifications and sends out notifications of SEMAP scores and designations (high, standard, troubled). Reviews and notifications for 2,032 PHAs takes approximately two hours at an hourly rate of \$28.88 (2018 GS wage rate for a GS 11, step 1) for a cost of \$117,368. Every other year, 1,093 small PHAs with 249 voucher units or less are not scored under SEMAP unless troubled or choose to be scored. That reduces the cost for approximately 939 PHAs to

\$54,237.

- 15. **Reasons for program changes.** There have been no program changes since the last justification submission with the exception of 135 fewer PHAs and fewer anticipated troubled PHAs and fewer non-troubled PHAs requiring a Correction of SEMAP Deficiency for a failed indicator/s.
- 16. **Plans for publication of information collected.** The information collection results will not be published.

17. **Approval to not display expiration date for OMB approval.** HUD is not seeking approval to avoid displaying the OMB expiration date.

18. **Exceptions to certification.** There are no exceptions to the certification statement identified in item 19, OMB 83-i.

B. COLLECTIONS EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS.

The Department's collection of information for SEMAP does not employ statistical methods.