B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods

Please see Form 83-I attached in Appendix F.

1. <u>Respondent Universe and Sampling Methods</u>

Data collection will target a particular demographic, defined as women who:

- Are over the age of 40 years old;
- Self-identify as lesbian or bisexual; and
- Are at risk for obesity-related health problems (body mass index is 27 or greater).

Data will be collected from the respondent universe of women who participate in the intervention program (N=128) and from an equal number of comparison group members (women from a similar target population but who will not participate in program, N=128).

For the participants, women will be recruited through various methods and will participate in the intervention program being evaluated. Recruitment methods will include the following contacts and resources:

- LezDyke listserv
- Night OWL News
- The Last Drag (a State of California funded LGBT-specific smoking cessation intervention in San Francisco, originally funded by the Centers for Disease Control)
- Open House (housing services for LGBT seniors)
- Older Lesbians Organizing for Change (OLOC)
- Equality California's LGBT health coalition
- LB-friendly churches
- Lyon Martin Health Services
- Local lesbian physicians to conduct broad outreach throughout San Francisco

In addition, we will recruit using fliers and postings at the SF LGBT Community Center and other community organizations, and through word of mouth and snowball methods. Women will also be recruited from the Oakmont Rainbow Women, a lesbian group in a retirement community in Santa Rosa, California, two hours north of San Francisco. Comparison group members will come from the same target population (women over age 40 who self-identify as lesbian or bisexual and have a BMI of 27 or greater).

Women who express interest will be directed to an information and recruitment website, where they will complete a recruitment screening form (Appendix C). After a woman completes the form, a DIFO researcher will contact her and place her in either the program or a comparison group.

Comparison group members will be recruited using multiple methods:

• During the screening process (see recruitment screener, Appendix C) to determine if women are eligible for the program, they will review the program description and decide if they want to participate. Women will be enrolled in the program on a first-come, first served-basis. If the number of women choosing to participate exceeds

program capacity, women who do not get into the program will be invited to be part of the comparison group, for which they will be asked to complete two surveys and receive a \$25 gift card for each survey administration. In addition, women may want to participate and meet eligibility criteria, but may not be able to participate for a variety of reasons (e.g., schedule conflicts). They will also be considered for the comparison group.

• Using the "name a friend" approach, we will ask participants to nominate a close friend for the comparison group who meets the study criteria and has similar characteristics, such as socioeconomic status or environment. This approach is used for identifying comparison groups because close friends often share factors that can cause confounding, but are hard to measure and adjust for.

It is preferable to survey the entire universe of women being served by this intervention program because this universe is of sufficient size to measure key indicators described above (Part A). The objectives stated as individual indicators call for 75-80% of women in the intervention group to achieve the specified targets. For example, the first SMART objective stated above:

• Increase the number of minutes of physical activity/movement by 20% by nine-month follow-up for at least 75% of DIFO participants.

The sample size needed to test the hypothesis that women in the intervention group will be more successful than the comparison group depends on our assumptions about how many women are likely to achieve those outcomes in the absence of the intervention. Power analysis shows that if only 60% of the treatment group achieves the desired outcome compared to 40% of the comparison group, a sample size of 107 would be sufficient to test the hypothesis (at power=0.80, two-sided alpha at 0.05). In fact, we would expect the difference between groups to be greater than a 60% to 40% distribution, so even with some attrition in the sample between baseline and nine-month follow-up, we are confident that the study will be able to detect significant differences between the two groups. Using this analysis as guidance, we designed the DIFO program to serve 128 participants. Therefore, we plan to collect data from all participants (i.e., the universe) rather than sampling from within that universe.

2. Procedures for the Collection of Information

The following types of information will be collected as part of this evaluation:

- Baseline and follow-up program surveys;
- Waist circumference and height measures; and
- End-of-program focus group.

Participant and Comparison groups:

The **baseline survey** (Appendices B.1 and B.2) will be administered in multiple ways in order to make survey completion most convenient for the respondent and to maximize response rate. The primary method of administration will be online (via SurveyMonkey, a user-friendly and commonly used platform for electronic surveys). First, the informed consent form (Appendix E) will be emailed to respondents and they will be asked for an electronic signature, ensuring they

agree to the parameters of the research study.¹ A link will then be emailed to respondents one week before the intervention begins so they can complete the survey online ahead of time. Participants who have not completed and submitted the survey before the start of the program will be asked to come in 30 minutes before the first session begins to complete the survey at the intervention site. Computers will be made available for respondents at the sites, and paper surveys will be available for those who prefer to complete the surveys on paper by hand. The survey will be emailed to comparison group members with an option for a paper survey to be mailed to them if preferred.

The **follow-up survey** (Appendices B. 3 and B.4) will be administered nine months after the intervention has begun. One week before the nine-month mark, investigators will email a link to a SurveyMonkey survey (much like the baseline survey). Respondents will also have an opportunity to take the survey during an administration session at their site (SF LGBT Community Center or Oakmont in Santa Rosa), where both online and hard copy surveys will be available. Participants and comparison group members will also have the option of having a paper survey mailed to them.

Participant group only:

One of the most accurate and innovative ways to measure weight change related to health factors is to assess waist circumference (WC)-to-height ratio (Wang et al., 2003). At the beginning of the health program, each participant will meet one-on-one with a health coach (e.g., physical therapist, personal trainer). The coach will work with the participant to develop personalized health (nutrition, exercise) goals. During the first meeting, the trained coaches will measure each participant's waist (using measuring tape) and gather their height (self-reported) in order to compute a ratio. At this time, the coaches will train each participant how to accurately measure their WC. Measuring tape will be provided to each participant. Each coach will be trained to take the same type of measurement by wrapping the tape measure around the waist at the narrowest part, just below the rib cage. At the nine-month follow-up, participants will be asked to measure their own WC, as they were trained to do so by their coach during the baseline data collection. During the last session of the 12-week program, a **focus group** (Appendix B.5) will be conducted to gather feedback about how well the program worked, what could be improved, what aspects participants found most helpful, what was missing, and so on. The focus group will be moderated by the facilitator who runs the groups each week, and will be audio recorded with the permission of the participants.

3. <u>Methods to Maximize Response Rates and Deal with Nonresponse</u>

Several procedures proven effective in previous studies will be used to maximize response rates:

- Potential respondents will be informed about the importance of this study and encouraged to participate through a variety of methods. Investigators will motivate survey completion by presenting each participant and comparison group member with information explaining the importance of this innovative and historic program, which could contribute to the health of women like them in their community.
- Survey respondents will each receive a \$25 gift card for completing the baseline

¹ The DIFO investigators are currently undergoing IRB review with San Francisco State University and seeking approval to use electronic consent for individuals who participate in the research study.

program survey and a \$25 gift card for completing the follow-up program survey. Gift cards can be emailed or mailed to respondents; they can also be provided in person if surveys are completed by hand at one of the program sites.

• We will offer online and paper health resources to the comparison group members (as well as the \$25 gift cards for each survey completion), and enter both participants and comparison group members in raffles, with chances to win prizes such as gym discounts and health/nutrition books.

It will be challenging to ensure a high response rate for the nine-month follow-up survey. In order to maximize survey completion, investigators will contact (first by email, then by telephone) all participants and comparison group members at three-month intervals to ensure that our team has current and accurate contact information, and that participants/comparison group members plan to complete the follow-up survey at the nine-month mark. Once surveys are emailed to respondents, a reminder email will be sent if surveys are not returned within a week. If there is no response within one week, the potential respondent will be reminded by phone. In addition, a follow-up email will be sent explaining the importance of the survey and reminding potential respondents that they will receive a gift card for survey completion and will be entered into a raffle to win prizes (e.g., gym discounts, health/nutrition books).

4. Tests of Procedures or Methods to be Undertaken

The baseline and follow-up surveys will be administered to a small pilot group of nine women to determine face validity, assess how long it takes participants to complete surveys, and determine whether items are clear and understandable and item ordering is appropriate. The women who pilot the instrument will be friends and acquaintances of the investigators who are part of the target population (over age 40, lesbian/bisexual, overweight or obese).

We expect only very minor changes will be made to the survey after this small pilot.

Portions of the surveys have been used, in part or as a whole, in previous studies. Survey items were pulled from:

- CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)
- Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D) scale (Radloff, 1977)
- History of weight loss attempts (derived from Fogel, Young et al., 2012)
- International Physical Activity Questionnaires (IPAQ)
- Lesbian Internalized Homophobia Scale (LIHS) (from Syzmanski & Chung, 2001)
- Mindful Eating (Framson et al., 2009)
- Minority Stress/Everyday Discrimination Scale (Meyer, 2006)
- National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)
- Veterans RAND 12 Item Health Survey (VR-12)

Data Analysis

Guided by the SMART objectives outlined in Section 2 above, quantitative analysis will include descriptive analysis of outcomes, comparison between intervention and comparison groups using a difference-in-differences estimation analysis, and multivariate regression analysis controlling

for individual characteristics including age, race, ethnicity, education, immigration status, income, employment, and insurance status. Subgroup analysis will be used to explore outcomes for groups of women with different characteristics and health statuses at baseline, and to examine relationships between outcomes and intervention sites, cohorts, or "dosage" (as documented by attendance and Session Activity logs). NVivo software will be used to facilitate the qualitative analysis, including coding the data collected from focus groups.

5. <u>Individuals Consulted on Statistical Aspects and Individuals Collecting and/or</u> <u>Analyzing Data</u>

Michele (Mickey) Eliason Principal Investigator San Francisco State University 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132, HSS Building Email: <u>meliason@sfsu.edu</u> or <u>meliason52245@yahoo.com</u>

Linda Toms Barker Principal Analyst/Senior Evaluation Advisor Berkeley Policy Associates 630 Kilauea Ave., Suite 103 Hilo, HI 96720 Email: <u>linda@bpacal.com</u>

Nada Rayyes Senior Analyst/Project Director Berkeley Policy Associates 440 Grand Avenue Suite 500 Oakland, CA 94609 Email: <u>nada@bpacal.com</u>

Deborah Craig Project Manager Berkeley Policy Associates 440 Grand Avenue Suite 500 Oakland, CA 94609 Email: <u>deborah@bpacal.com</u>

Sue Dibble Institute of Health and Aging, University of California, San Francisco (Retired)/Consultant 6519 Meadowridge Dr. Santa Rosa, CA 95409 Email: <u>sue.dibble@gmail.com</u>

References

Aaron, D., & Hughes, T. (2007). Association of childhood sexual abuse with obesity in a community sample of lesbians. *Obesity*, *15*, 1023-1028.

Aaron, D. J., Markovic, N., Danielson, M. E., Honnold, J. A., Janosky, J. E., & Schmidt, N. J. (2001). Behavioral risk factors for disease and preventive health practices among lesbians. *American Journal of Public Health*, *91*(6), 972-975.

Ashwell, M., Cole, T. J., & Dixon, A. K. (1996.) Ratio of waist circumference to height is strong predictor of intra-abdominal fat. *BMJ*, *313*, 559-560.

Birnholz, J. L., & Young, M. A. (2012). Differential item functioning for lesbians, bisexual and heterosexual women in the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale. *Assessment*, *19*(4), 502-505.

Boehmer, U., Bowen, D. J., & Bauer, G. R. (2007.) Overweight and obesity in sexual-minority women: Evidence from population-based data. *American Journal of Public Health*, *97*(6), 1134-1140.

Booth, M. L. (2000). Assessment of physical activity: An international perspective. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, *71*(2), s114-20.

Bowen, D., Balsam, K., & Ender, S. (2008). A review of obesity issues in sexual minority women. *Obesity*, *16*, 221-228.

Bowen, D., Balsam, K., Diergaarde, B., Russo, M., & Escamilla, G. (2006). Healthy eating, exercise, and weight: Impressions of sexual minority women. *Women and Health*, 44, 79-93.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1999). Environments in developmental perspective: Theoretical and operational models. In S. Friedman & T. Wachs (Eds.), *Measuring environment across the life span: Emerging methods and concepts* (pp.3–28). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2005). *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Case, P., Austin, S., Hunter, D., Manson, J., Malspeis, S., Willet, W., & Spiegelman, D. (2004). Sexual orientation, health risk factors, and physical functioning in the Nurses' Health Study II. *Journal of Women's Health*, *13*, 1033-1047.

Chiolero, A., Faeh, D., Paccaud, F., & Cornuz, J. (2008). Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *87*(4), 801-9.

Cochran, S. D., & Mays, V. M. (2009). Burden of psychiatric morbidity among lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals in the California Quality of Life Survey. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *118*(3), 647-658.

Cochran, S. D., Mays, V. M., Bowen, K., Gage, S., Bybee, D., Roberts, S., … White, J. (2001). Cancer-related risk indicators and preventive screening behaviors among lesbians and bisexual women. *American Journal of Public Health*, *91*, 591-597.

Cochran, S. G., Mays, V. M., & Sullivan, J. G. (2003). Prevalence of mental disorders, psychological distress, and mental health services use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *71*(1), 53-61.

Colditz, G. A., Segal, M. R., Myers, A. H., Stampfer, M. J., Willett, W., & Speizer, F. E. (1992). Weight change in relation to smoking cessation in women. *Journal of Smoking-related Disorders*, *3*(2), 145-53.

Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). *National Health Interview Survey*. Atlanta, Georgia: Author.

Department of Health & Human Services Office of the Secretary. (2012). *HHS LGBT Issues Coordinating Committee 2012 Report*. Retrieved from http://www.hhs.gov/secretary/about/lgbthealth_ objectives_2012.html

Diamant, A. L., Wold, C., Spritzer, K., Gelberg, L., Diamant, A. L., Wold, C. et al. (2000). Health behaviors, health status, and access to and use of health care: a population-based study of lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual women. *Archives of Family Medicine*, *9*, 1043-1051.

Drabble, L., Trocki, K. F., & Midanik, L. T. (2005). Reports of alcohol consumption and alcohol related problems among homosexual, bisexual and heterosexual respondents: Results from the 2000 National Alcohol Survey. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, *66*, 111-120.

Eliason, M. J. (unpublished data). *Lesbians, gender, and health*. San Francisco, CA: San Francisco State University.

Eliason, M. J., DeJoseph, J., Dibble, S., Deevey, S., & Chinn, P. (2011). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) nurses' experiences in the workplace. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, *27*(4), 237-244.

Eliason, M. J., & Schope, R. (2001). Does "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" apply to health care? Lesbian, gay, and bisexual people's disclosure to health care providers. *Journal of the Gay and Lesbian Medical Association*, 5(4), 125-134.

Eliason, M. J., Dibble, S., De Joseph, J., & Chinn, P. (2009). *LGBTQ Cultures: What healthcare professionals need to know about sexual and gender diversity*. Philadelphia: Lippincott

Eliason, M. J., Dibble, S. L., Gordon, R., & Soliz, G. B. (2012). The Last Drag: An evaluation of an LGBT-specific smoking intervention. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *59*(6), 864-878.

Eliason, M. J., Dibble, S. L., & Robertson, P. (2011). Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender physician's experiences in the workplace. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *58*(10), 1355-1371.

Fogel, S. (2010). But I have big bones: Obesity in the lesbian community. In S. Dibble & P. Robertson (Eds.), *Lesbian Health 101* (pp. 165-181). San Francisco, CA: UCSF Nursing Press.

Fogel, S., Calman, L., & Magrini, D. (2012). Lesbians' and bisexual women's definition of health. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 59(9), 851-863.

Fogel, S., Young, L., Dietrich, M., & Blakemore, D. (2012). Weight loss and related behavior changes among lesbians. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *59*, 689-702.

Framson, C., Kristal, A. R., Schenk, J. M., Littman, A. J., Seliadt, S., & Benitez, D. (2009). Development and validation of the mindful eating questionnaire. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *109*, 1439-1444.

Gates, G. J. (2012). LGBT Vote 2012. The Williams Institute. UCLA. Retrieved from http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Gate-LGBT-Vote-Nov-2012.pdf

Harvard School of Public Health. (n.d.) The nutrition source. How to get to your healthy weight. Retrieved from http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/nutritionsource/healthy-weight/healthy-weight-full-story/index.html.

Institute of Medicine. (2012). Accelerating progress in obesity prevention: Solving the weight of the nation. Retrieved from www.iom.edu/activities/nutrition/obesityPrevProgress.aspx

Jessup, M. A., & Dibble, S. L. (2012). Unmet mental health and substance abuse treatment needs of sexual minority elders. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 59(5), 656-674.

Kazis, L. E., Miller, D. R., Clark, J., Skinner, K., Lee, A., Rogers, W., Spiro III, A., Payne, S., Fincke, G., Selim, A., & Linzer, M. (1998). Health related quality of life in VA patients: Results from the Veterans Health Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *158*, 626-632.

Kelleher, C. (2009). Minority stress and health: Implications for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning young people. *Counseling Psychology Quarterly*, *22*(4), 373-379.

Krieger, N. (2005). Embodiment: A conceptual glossary for epidemiology. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 59, 350-355.

Krieger, N. (2012). Methods for the scientific study of discrimination and health: An ecosocial approach. *American Journal of Public Health*, *102*(5), 936-945.

Krieger, N., Smith, K., Naishadham, D., Hartman, C., & Barbeau, E. (2005). Experiences of discrimination: Validity and reliability of a self-report measure for population health research on racism and health. *Social Science and Medicine*, *61*(7), 1576-1596.

Lee, J. G., Griffin, G. K., & Melvin, C. L. (2009). Tobacco use among sexual minorities in the USA, 1987 to May 2007: A systematic review. *Tobacco Control*, *18*, 275-282.

Lehavot, K., & Simoni, J. M. (2011). The impact of minority stress on mental health and substance use among sexual minority women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *79*(2), 159-170.

Livermore, G., Whalen, D., & Stapleton, D. C. (2011). *Assessing the need for a national disability survey: Final report*. Retrieved from http://aspe.hhs.gov/daltcp/reports/2011/natlds.shtml

London, A. J., Borasky, D. A., & Bhan, A. (2012). Improving ethical review of research involving incentives for health promotion. *PloS Medicine*, *9*(3), doi 1001193.

Matthews, A. K., Hughes, T. L., Osterman, G. P., & Kodl, M. M. (2005). Complementary medicine practices in a community-based sample of lesbian and heterosexual women. *Health Care for Women International*, *26*(5), 430-47.

McCabe, S., West, B., Hughes, T., & Boyd, C. (2012). Sexual orientation and substance abuse treatment utilization in the U.S.: Results from a national survey. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, March 21, epub ahead of print.

McElroy J. A., Everett K. D., & Zaniletti, I. (2011). An examination of smoking behavior and opinions about smokefree environments in a large sample of sexual and gender minority community members. *Journal of Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, *13*(6), 440-448.

Melchior, L. A., Huba, G. J., Brown, V. B., and Reback, C. J. (1993). A short depression index for women. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *53*(4), 1117-1125.

Meyer, I. (2003). Prejudice, social stress and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, *129*, 674-697.

Meyer, I. (2006). Project Stride Methods. Retrieved from http://www.columbia.edu/~im15/method/

Meyer, I. H. (2007). Prejudice and discrimination as social stressors. In I. Meyer. & M. Northridge (Eds.), *The health of sexual minorities: Public health perspectives on lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations* (pp. 242-267). NY: Springer.

Minkler, M., & Wallerstein, N. (2008). *Community-based participatory research for health* (Second ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

O'Hara, L., & Gregg, J. (2012). Human rights casualties from the 'war on obesity': Why focusing on body weight is inconsistent with a human rights approach to health. *Fat Studies*, *1*(1), 32-46.

Onyike, C. U., Crum, R. M., Lee, H. B., Lyketsos, C. G., & Eaton, W. W. (2003). Is obesity associated with major depression? Results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *158*(12), 1139-1147.

Piggot, M. (2004). *Double jeopardy: Lesbians and the legacy of multiple stigmatized identities*. Unpublished thesis, Psychology Strand at Swinburne University of Technology, Australia.

Pizacani, B. A., Rohde, K., Bushore, C., Stark, M. J., Maher, J. E., Dilley, J. A. et al. (2009). Smoking-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviors in the lesbian, gay and bisexual community: a population-based study from the U.S. Pacific Northwest. *Preventive Medicine*, *48*, 555-561.

Radloff, L. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *1*, 385-401.

Roberts, S. A., Dibble, S. L., Scanlon, J. L., Paul, S. M., & Davids, H. (1998). Differences in risk factors for break cancer: Lesbian and heterosexual women. *Journal of the Gay and Lesbian Medical Association*, *2*, 93-101.

Roberts, S. J., Stuart-Shor, E. M., & Oppenheimer, R. A. (2010). Lesbians' attitudes and beliefs regarding overweight and weight reduction. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *19*, 1986-1994.

Roger, V. L., Go, A. S., Lloyd-Jones, D., Adams, R. J., Berry, J. D., Brown, T. M., ... Wylie-Rosett, J. (2011). Heart disease and stroke statistics 2011 update: A report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. *Circulation*, *121*, e1-e192.

Rothblum. E. D., & Factor, R. (2001). Lesbians and their sisters as a control group: Demographic and mental health factors. *Psychological Science*, *12*(1), 63-9.

Sandoval, J., Lucero, J. Oetzel, J., Avila, M., Belone, L., Mau, M., ...Wallerstein, N. (2011). Process and outcome constructs for evaluating community-based participatory research projects: A matrix of existing measures. *Health Education Research*, *27*(4), 680-690.

Smith, H., Matthews, A., Markovic, N., Youk, A., Danielson, M., & Talbott, E. (2010). A comparative study of complementary and alternative medicine use among heterosexually and lesbian-identified women: Data from the ESTHER project. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, *16*(11), 1161-1170.

Struble, C. B., Lindley, L. L., Montgomery, K., Hardin, J., & Burcin, M. (2010). Overweight and obesity in lesbian and bisexual college women. *Journal of American College Health*, *59*(1), 51-56.

Szymanski, D. M., & Chung, Y. B. (2001a). The Lesbian Internalized Homophobia Scale: A rational/theoretical approach. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *41*(2), 37-52.

Szymanski, D. M, & Chung, Y. B. (2001b, August). *Lesbian internalized homophobia in relation to same-sex relationships, feminist attitudes, and coping resources*. Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA.

Szymanski, D. M., Chung, Y. B., & Balsam, K. F. (2001). Psychosocial correlates of internalized homophobia in lesbians. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, *34*(1), 27-38.

Valanis, B. G., Bowen, D. J., Bassford, T., Whitlock, E., Charney, P., & Carter, R. A. (2000). Sexual orientation and health: comparisons in the women's health initiative sample. *Archives of Family Medicine*, *9*, 843-853.

Wang, J., Thornton, J. C., Bari, S., Williamson, B., Gallagher, D., Heymsfield, S. B., ... Pierson Jr., R. N. (2003). Comparisons of waist circumferences measured at 4 sites. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *77*, 379–84.

Williams, D., Yu, Y., Jackson, J., & Anderson, N. (1997). Racial differences in physical and mental health. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *2*, 335-351.

Wilson, B. D., Okwu, C., & Mills, S. A. (2011). The relationship between multiple forms of oppression and subjective health among Black lesbian and bisexual women. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, *15*, 15-24.

Yost, M. R., & Chmielewski, J. F. (2011). Narrating rural lesbians' lives: Body image and lesbian community in central Pennsylvania. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, *15*, 148-165.

Appendices:

Appendix A. Ecological Model
Appendix B. Evaluation Tools
B.1 Baseline Program Survey
B. 2 Baseline Comparison Survey
B.3 Follow-Up Program Survey
B. 4 Follow-Up Comparison Survey
B. 5 End-of-program Focus Group
Appendix C. Recruitment Screener
Appendix D. Survey Items and Sources
Appendix E. Informed Consent Form

Appendix F. OMB Form 83