

September 12,

2013

Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

OMB Control Number: 1660 – 0112

**Title: FEMA Preparedness Grants: Transit Security Grant Program
(TSGP)**

Form Number(s): FEMA Form 089-4

General Instructions

A Supporting Statement, including the text of the notice to the public required by 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(i)(iv) and its actual or estimated date of publication in the Federal Register, must accompany each request for approval of a collection of information. The Supporting Statement must be prepared in the format described below, and must contain the information specified in Section A below. If an item is not applicable, provide a brief explanation. When Item 17 or the OMB Form 83-I is checked “Yes”, Section B of the Supporting Statement must be completed. OMB reserves the right to require the submission of additional information with respect to any request for approval.

Specific Instructions

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information. Provide a detailed description of the nature and source of the information to be collected.

The Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP) is a FEMA grant program that focuses on transportation infrastructure protection activities. The collection of information for TSGP is mandated by Section 1406, Title XIV of the *Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007* (6 U.S.C. §1135), which directs the Secretary to establish a program for making grants to eligible public transportation agencies for security improvements. Additionally, information is collected in accordance with *Section 1406(c) of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007* (6 U.S.C. §1135(c)) which authorizes the Secretary to determine the requirements for grant recipients, including application requirements.

The program provides funds to owners and operators of transit systems (which include intra-city bus, commuter bus, and all forms of passenger rail) to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism, major disasters, and other emergencies.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection. Provide a detailed description of: how the information will be shared, if applicable, and for what programmatic purpose.

FEMA Form 089-4, TSGP Investment Justification - Submitted with the application, this document provides narrative detail on proposed investments. These Investment Justifications must demonstrate how proposed projects address gaps and deficiencies in current programs and capabilities and the ability to provide enhancements consistent with the purpose of the program and guidance provided by FEMA. The data from the Investment Justification (IJ) is collected to assist decision-making at all levels, although, it is primarily used by individual application reviewers. The TSGP uses a multi-phase review process. The application data, including the IJ, is evaluated by a national review panel to determine which applications are the highest-scoring and address the program priorities. The national review is comprised of a panel of officials from FEMA (headquarters and regions) and representatives of other national stakeholders such as federal agencies (e.g., Transportation Security Administration, Department of Transportation,) and national associations (e.g., American Public Transportation Association). These reviewers then determine funding for proposed activities identified in the application and IJ based on risk and potential to help achieve core missions of the grant programs.

Regional Transit Security Strategy (RTSS) - The RTSS serves as the integration point between the individual risk-based Security Emergency Preparedness Plans (SEPPs) and the overall security goals and objectives of the region. The RTSS should demonstrate a clear linkage to the applicable state and urban area homeland security strategies. It is expected that the SEPPs and the RTSS serve as the basis on which funding is allocated to address regional transit security priorities. The RTSS includes several key components including the description of the regional transit infrastructure, vision, focus, preparedness strategy summary, coordination, risk profile, and capabilities and needs. The RTSS serves as a mechanism to ensure that transit systems are incorporated into regional preparedness planning efforts. The FY 2009 TSGP guidance does not require a strategy to be developed; however, FEMA strongly encourages eligible transit grantees to update their existing strategies. The information supplied by the RTSS is used by FEMA for monitoring and progress on grant projects.

Semiannual Progress Report – The Semiannual Progress Report provides programmatic information on the use of grant funding. The Report should also address performance measures and the activities identified in the Investment Justifications as necessary. In addition, the information provided in the reports will be used by the grantor agency to monitor grantee cash flow to ensure proper use of Federal funds. The reports are due within 30 days after the end of the reporting period (July 30 for the Reporting period of January 1 through June 30; and January 30 for the reporting period of July 1 through December 31). The Semiannual Progress

Report is submitted by the grantee as a simple narrative within the Non-Disaster Grants Management System (ND Grants). The grantee is provided with a “text box” to narrate their progress and is allowed to upload and attach documentation of their choosing. There is template or form used for the Semiannual Progress Report. See attached the Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP) Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) page 12. This collection activity is approved under OMB Control Number 1660-0025, which expires on 11/30/2013.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

The submission of information for the FY 2013 TSGP involves the use of electronic means. Grant applicants must submit their proposals through www.grants.gov and upload the TSGP Investment Justification and all other required documents as attachments (in MS Word, Excel or other electronic format) to their TSGP application in ND Grants System at <https://portal.fema.gov>. This system is approved under OMB Control number 1660-0025, which expires on 11/30/2013. Eligible applicants must apply for funding through this portal accessible on the internet.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

This information is not collected in any form, and therefore is not duplicated elsewhere.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize.

This information collection does not have an impact on small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal/FEMA program or policy activities if the collection of information is not conducted, or is conducted less frequently as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

These data collection elements are required in order to exercise comprehensive financial management and ensure the efficient and effective use of Federal funds. If FEMA was not able to receive information collected from grant recipients, the agency could not fulfill its requirement to ensure funding is provided in a fair and equitable manner to eligible entities as described in the law, to ensure that the funding is being used only for the allowable costs within the grant regulations, and to fulfill our federal monitoring requirements.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- (a) Requiring respondents to report information to the agency more**

often than quarterly.

No collection elements are required more often than quarterly. Most collection elements are only required once per year per grant application.

(b) Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it.

There are no requirements for respondents to prepare a written response to this collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it.

(c) Requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document.

There are no requirements for a respondent to submit more than an original and two copies of any document.

(d) Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years.

Records must be retained for three years after grant close-out. If any litigation, claim, negotiation, audit or other action involving the records has been started before the expiration of the three-year period, the records must be retained until completion of the action and resolution of all issues which arise from it, or until the end of the regular three-year period, which ever is later.

(e) In connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study.

There is no statistical survey involved with this data collection.

(f) Requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB.

There is no use for statistical data classification in this data collection.

(g) That includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use.

There is no pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation for this data collection.

(h) Requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no requirements for respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information for this data collection.

8. Federal Register Notice:

a. Provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

A 60-day Federal Register Notice inviting public comments was published on May 28, 2013, 78 FR 31964. No comments were received. See attached copy of the published notice included in this package.

A 30-day Federal Register Notice inviting public comments was published on August 19, 2013, 78 FR 50436. No comments were received. See attached copy of the published notice included in this package.

b. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

FEMA mainly meets with the American Public Transportation Association (APTA) national association that represents this entity, as well as individual grantees, through regular program-specific conferences and workshops. Additionally, teleconferences and e-mail communications are also used. These consultations focus on the nature of information needed by FEMA to manage the grant programs. There were no recommendations for changes received.

c. Describe consultations with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records. Consultation should occur at least once every three years, even if the collection of information activities is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

FEMA consults on a regular basis with its rail, intra-city bus, ferry, and Amtrak operator stakeholders on a variety of issues. These consultations involve discussions regarding the nature of the information needed by FEMA to manage the grant programs. Partners offer comments and suggestions about their reporting practices. The most common area of concern is performance reporting, as most States are very familiar and comfortable with the grant administrative and financial reporting data elements that FEMA uses. There were no recommendations for changes received.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

FEMA does not provide payments or gifts to respondents in exchange for a benefit sought.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents. Present the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

A Privacy Threshold Analysis (PTA) was completed and approved by DHS on September 11, 2013. The Transit Security Grant Program (TSGP) is privacy sensitive and is covered by an existing Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA), DHS/FEMA/PIA-013, Grant Management Programs, July 14, 2009 and an existing System of Records Notice (SORN), DHS/FEMA-004 Grant Management Information Files, August 7, 2009, 74 FR 39705.

There are no assurances of confidentiality provided to the respondents for this information collection.

11. Provide additional justification for any question of a sensitive nature (such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs and other matters that are commonly considered private). This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

a. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated for each collection instrument (separately list each instrument and describe information as requested). Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desired. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

The TSGP is an existing grant program that uses the forms outlined in this collection. The program provides funds to owners and operators of transit systems (which include intra-city bus, commuter bus, and all forms of passenger rail) to protect critical surface transportation infrastructure and the traveling public from acts of terrorism, major disasters, and other emergencies. The burden hour estimates shown on the following pages are based upon internal and external subject matter expertise. The burden to collect the necessary information has estimated to be 5,043 total annual burden hours.

b. If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.

c. Provide an estimate of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost to the respondents of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead this cost should be included in Item 13.

Table A.12: Estimated Annualized Burden Hours and Costs								
Type of Respondent	Form Name / Form Number	No. of Respondents	No. of Responses per Respondent	Total No. of Responses	Avg. Burden per Response (in hours)	Total Annual Burden (in hours)	Avg. Hourly Wage Rate*	Total Annual Respondent Cost
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	TSGP Investment Justification/ FEMA Form 089-4	123	1	123	17 hrs.	2,091	\$29.16	\$60,973.56
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Regional Transit Security Strategy	123	1	123	24 hrs.	2,952	\$29.16	\$86,080.32
Total		123		246		5,043		\$147,053.88

Table A.12: Estimated Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

* Note: The “Avg. Hourly Wage Rate” for each respondent includes a 1.4 multiplier to reflect a fully-loaded wage rate.

According to the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Website (www.bls.gov) the wage rate category for State, Local or Tribal Government respondents as Local Transit Agency Representatives is estimated to be \$20.83 per hour, applying the 1.4 multiplier produces a fully loaded wage of \$29.16. The total estimated annual cost to respondents is \$147,053.88

The Standard Forms listed in the table below are used in FEMA administration of grant programs collections of information. These burden estimates are captured under the

OMB government-wide collections of information for Standard Forms (SF). Other data collection activities approved by OMB are also identified in the table below.

Type of Respondent	Form Name / Form Number	No. of Respondents	No. of Responses per Respondent	Total Number of Responses	Avg. Burden per Response (in hours)	Total Annual Burden (in hours)	Avg. Hourly Wage Rate (\$)	Total Annual Respondent Cost (\$)
Standard Forms								
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Application for Federal Assistance / SF 424	123	1	123	0.75	92.25	\$29.16	\$2,690.01
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Budget Information - Non-Construction Programs / SF 424A	123	1	123	3	369	\$29.16	\$10,760.14
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Assurances - Non-Construction Programs / SF 424B	123	1	123	0.25	30.75	\$29.16	\$896.67
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Budget Information - Construction Programs / SF 424C	123	1	123	3	369	\$29.16	\$10,760.14
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Assurances - Construction Programs / SF 424D	123	1	123	0.25	30.75	\$29.16	\$896.67
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Disclosure of Lobbying Activities / SF LLL	123	1	123	0.167	20.541	\$29.16	\$598.98
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Direct Deposit Sign-Up Form / SF 1199A	123	1	123	0.167	20.541	\$29.16	\$598.18

State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Federal Financial Report / SF 425	123	4	492	1.5	738	\$29.16	\$21,520.08
Total				1,353		1670.832		\$48,122.69
Other Departments/ Agencies Data Collection Activities								
State, Local, or Tribal Governments	Semiannual Progress Report / OMB control number 1660-0025	123	2	246	0.5	123	\$29.16	\$3,586.68
Total				246		123		\$3,586.68

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

The cost estimates should be split into two components:

a. Operation and Maintenance and purchase of services component. These estimates should take into account cost associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred.

b. Capital and Start-up-Cost should include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software, monitoring sampling, drilling and testing equipment, and record storage facilities.

There are no record keeping, capital, start-up or maintenance costs associated with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing and support staff), and any other expense that would have been incurred without this collection of information. You may also aggregate cost estimates for Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The total cost to FEMA is \$747,877.20. Approximately 10 staff members with an estimated grade level of GS-13 review and analyze the information collected by this program.

Annual Cost to the Federal Government

Item	Cost (\$)
Contract Costs	
Staff Salaries [10 GS-13 step 1, employees spending approximately 60% of time annually for this administrative and financial data collection] $10 \times \$89,033.00 = \$890,330.00 \times 1.4 = \$1,246,462.00 \times .60 = \$747,877.20$	\$747,877.20
Facilities [cost for renting, overhead, etc. for data collection activity]	
Computer Hardware and Software [cost of equipment annual lifecycle]	
Equipment Maintenance [cost of annual maintenance/service agreements for equipment]	
Travel	
Printing [number of data collection instruments annually]	
Postage [annual number of data collection instruments x postage]	
Other	
Total	\$747,877.20

* **Note:** The "Avg. Hourly Wage Rate" for each respondent includes a 1.4 multiplier to reflect a fully-loaded wage rate.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form 83-I in a narrative form. Present the itemized changes in hour burden and cost burden according to program changes or adjustments in Table 5. Denote a program increase as a positive number, and a program decrease as a negative number.

A "**Program increase**" is an additional burden resulting from a Federal government regulatory action or directive. (e.g., an increase in sample size or coverage, amount of information, reporting frequency, or expanded use of an existing form). This also includes previously in-use and unapproved information collections discovered during the ICB process, or during the fiscal year, which will be in use during the next fiscal year.

A "**Program decrease**", is a reduction in burden because of: (1) the discontinuation of an information collection; or (2) a change in an existing information collection by a Federal agency (e.g., the use of sampling (or smaller samples), a decrease in the amount of information requested (fewer questions), or a decrease in reporting frequency).

"**Adjustment**" denotes a change in burden hours due to factors over which the government has no control, such as population growth, or in factors which do not affect what information the government collects or changes in the methods used to estimate burden or correction of errors in burden estimates.

Itemized Changes in Annual Burden Hours						
Data collection Activity/Instrument	Program Change (hours currently on OMB Inventory)	Program Change (New)	Difference	Adjustment (hours currently on OMB Inventory)	Adjustment (New)	Difference

TSGP Investment Justification, FEMA Form 089-4				2,091	2,091	0
Regional Transit Security Strategy				2,952	2,952	0
Total(s)				5,043	5,043	0

Explain:

There are no changes to the annual hour burden previously reported and there has been no change to the information being collected.

Explanation of the adjustment in the type of respondents: In the previous submission for this collection, FEMA indicated that the types of respondents were Business or other for profit. It is believe that this was selected in error. The types of respondents for this collection are State, Local, or Tribal Governments.

Itemized Changes in Annual Cost Burden						
Data collection Activity/Instrument	Program Change (cost currently on OMB Inventory)	Program Change (New)	Difference	Adjustment (cost currently on OMB Inventory)	Adjustment (New)	Difference
TSGP Investment Justification, FEMA Form 089-4				\$70,257.60	\$0	-\$70,257.60
Regional Transit Security Strategy				\$99,187.20	\$0	-\$99,187.20
Total(s)				\$169,444.80	\$0	-\$169,444.80

Explain:

There is no change in the cost from the last submission; however the way cost is reported in this table is now changed as it comes from question 13 which has values of zero. Therefore, negative costs are now reflected to account for a change in how cost was previously recorded.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

FEMA does not intend to employ the use of statistics or the publication thereof for this information collection.

17. If seeking approval not to display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain reasons that display would be inappropriate.

FEMA will display the expiration date for OMB approval of this information collection.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19
“Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions,” of OMB Form 83-I.**

FEMA does not request an exception to the certification of this information collection.

B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods.

There is no statistical methodology involved in this collection.