OMB Control Number: 3060-0788 July 2013

Title: DTV Showings/Interference Agreements

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT

## A. Justification:

1. 47 CFR 73.623 requires applicants to submit a technical showing to establish that their proposed facilities will not result in additional interference to TV broadcast operations. The Commission permits broadcasters to agree to proposed TV facilities that do not conform to the allotted parameters, even though they might be affected by potential new interference. The Commission will consider granting applications on the basis of interference agreements if it finds that such grants will serve the public interest. These agreements must be signed by all parties to the agreement. In addition, the Commission needs the following information to enable such public interest determinations: a list of parties predicted to receive additional interference from the proposed facility; a showing as to why a grant based on the agreements would serve the public interest; and technical studies depicting the additional interference. The technical showings and interference agreements will be used by FCC staff to determine if the public interest would be served by the grant of the application and to ensure that the proposed facilities will not result in additional interference.

The Commission is requesting an extension of this information collection from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in order to receive the full three year OMB clearance/approval for this collection.

This information collection does not affect individuals or households; thus, there are no impacts under the Privacy Act.

Statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Sections 154(i), 303 and 308 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

- 2. The technical showings and interference agreements will be used by FCC staff to determine if the public interest will be served by the grant of the application and to ensure that the proposed broadcast facilities will not result in additional interference to existing broadcast facilities' operations and earlier filed applications for new or modified facilities.
- 3. This collection involves agreements between Commission licensees. If a licensee chooses to use information technology, the Commission has no objection.
- 4. This agency does not impose a similar information collection on the respondents. There are no similar data available.
- 5. In conformance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Commission is making an effort to minimize the burden on all respondents.
- 6. The frequency of these negotiations and agreements are determined by the respondents.
- 7. This collection of information is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR § 1320.
- 8. The Commission published a Notice (78 FR 32251) in the *Federal Register* on May 29, 2013 seeking comments from the public on the information collection requirements contained in this collection. No comments were received following publication of the Notice.

OMB Control Number: 3060-0788 July 2013

Title: DTV Showings/Interference Agreements

9. No payment or gift was provided to the respondents.

- 10. There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.
- 11. This information collection does not address any private matters of a sensitive nature.
- 12. We estimate we will receive 300 technical showings/ interference agreements. The respondent will spend 5 hours in consultation with an attorney and engineer.

Total annual number of respondents = 300 applicants

Total annual number of responses = 300 agreements/showings

**Total annual burden hours =** 300 agreements/showings x 5 hours = **1,500 hours** 

**Total Annual "In House" Cost:** Respondent's estimated salary is \$100,000/year (\$48.08/hour).

5 hours/consultation time/applicant x 300 applications x 48.08/hour = 72,120

These estimates are based on FCC staff's knowledge and familiarity with the availability of the data required.

13. The respondents will consult with an attorney (\$300/hr.) and engineer (\$250/hr.) to prepare these technical showings and interference agreements. We estimate the legal coordination (interference agreements) requires 10 hours and the engineering consultation (interference analysis) requires 40 hours.

\$250/hour x 300 applications x 40 hours/consulting engineer = \$3,000,000 \$300/hour x 300 applications x 10 hours/consulting attorney = \$900,000 **Total Annual Cost Burden:** \$3,900,000

- 14. There is no cost to the Federal Government.
- 15. There are no program changes or adjustments to this collection.
- 16. The data will not be published.
- 17. We will display the OMB expiration date for this information collection at 47 CFR § 0.408.
- 18. There are no exceptions to the Certification Statement.
- **B.** Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods:

This information collection does not employ any statistical methods.