#### Confidential Close Call Reporting System OMB Control Number: 2139–0010 Expiration Date: August 31, 2013

#### SUPPORTING STATEMENT

#### A. Justification

### **1.** Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.

Collecting data on the nation's transportation system is an important component of BTS's responsibility to the transportation community and is authorized in BTS statutory authority (49 USC Sec. 6302). Further, BTS and the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) share a common interest in promoting rail safety based on better data. To that end, BTS has agreed through a Memorandum of Understanding to support FRA in collecting, processing and analyzing data for research purposes.

FRA's Office of Research and Development (RDO) has been conducting research and demonstration projects focused on organizational safety performance (OSP) and human behavioral issues that contribute to accidents and incidents arising from the operation of a railroad carrier (accidents), including employee injuries in the railroad workplace. The RDO has an OSP Program, which emphasizes proactive, risk management approaches to accident prevention: collecting and analyzing precursor data in order to manage the risk of accidents. One of the OSP projects is the Confidential Close Call Reporting System (C<sup>3</sup>RS): a multi-year research and demonstration project aiming at improving safety in the rail industry through information on precursors to rail accidents extracted from reports on close calls and near misses.

A close call represents a situation in which an ongoing sequence of events was stopped from developing further, preventing the occurrence of potentially serious safety-related consequences. This might include the following: (1) events that happen frequently, but have low safety consequences; (2) events that happen infrequently but have the potential for high consequences (e.g., a train in dark territory proceeds beyond its authority); (3) events that are below the FRA reporting threshold (e.g., an event that causes a minor injury); and (4) events that are reportable to FRA but have the potential for a far greater accident than the one reported (e.g., a slow speed collision with minor damage to the equipment and no injuries.)

Close call events represent an opportunity to identify root causes of unsafe behaviors and correct weaknesses in a railroad's safety system prior to an unsafe event. This voluntary reporting system captures information that would otherwise remain unknown and provides railroad carriers and FRA with opportunities to identify and address safety issues proactively. FRA considers this an important agency effort at improving rail safety as evidenced by the fact that C<sup>3</sup>RS is a part of the Secretary's National Rail Safety Action Plan.

The current project resulted from a recommendation by participants at the April 2003 human factors workshop: Improving Railroad Safety Through Understanding Close Calls, held in Baltimore, MD. The Close Call Planning Committee, representing stakeholders from the railroad carriers (Association of American Railroads, New Jersey Transit, BNSF, and American Short Line & Regional Railroad Association), labor organizations (United Transportation Union, Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen, and Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen), and government (FRA, National Transportation Safety Board, Bureau of Transportation Statistics and the John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center), met regularly over 3 years to design the workshop and the framework for this project. The group also completed a Model Memorandum of Understanding (MMOU) that describes the project operations and the rights, roles, and responsibilities of the participants.

Based on the MMOU, the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) has developed and operates the C<sup>3</sup>RS and receives the confidential reports under a Pledge of Confidentiality. As the owner of the information, BTS protects the confidentiality of this information through its own confidentiality statute (49 U.S.C. 111(k)) and through the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-347, title V, subtitle A). Railroad employees reporting a close call receive protection from carrier discipline and/or decertification and FRA enforcement if they report an event within 48 hours after its occurrence. Carriers are also shielded from FRA enforcement potentially arising from reported events.

FRA's current agreements with two rail carriers participating in this project are scheduled to expire no later than December, 2014. Through this submission, BTS is requesting to renew the clearance for collecting close call reports from Union Pacific Railroad (UP) and New Jersey Transit Authority (NJT) employees until December 31, 2014.

### 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The FRA is the sponsor of this research effort and has pledged to support the implementation of C<sup>3</sup>RS at several pilot sites. Through a Memorandum of Understanding with FRA, Union Pacific Railroad (UP) and New Jersey Transit Rail Operations (NJT) have agreed to remain in the close call program until November 30, 2014. Specifically, all employees assigned to yard and rail operations at UP's Service Unit in North Platte, Nebraska, and NJT's entire rail system comprise the group eligible to voluntarily report close calls to C<sup>3</sup>RS. BTS has developed a data warehouse for the C<sup>3</sup>RS and provides statistical support for the project. In that capacity, BTS will continue to accept, store, process, and analyze data on close calls reported to the C<sup>3</sup>RS while assuring the data's confidentiality. The system serves to both capture data that would otherwise not be reported to either the railroad carriers (carriers) or the FRA as well as provide the carriers and the FRA with opportunities to identify safety issues that require corrective action. Respondents are invited to submit a close call report whenever a qualified event occurs in the

course of their workday. A Peer Review Team (PRT), comprised of key stakeholder representatives from FRA, BTS, the pilot site, and labor organizations analyze close call reports after identifying information has been removed and offer recommendations for corrective actions. All PRT members are designated as agents of BTS under CIPSEA.

The data are used for statistical purposes only. Examples of data analyses and uses of the data include: a) Summary statistics by pilot site are released to the respective PRTs only; b) A report on the feasibility of such program and the nature of reported close call data in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390</a>; c) Preliminary project evaluation report conducted mid-way the study period for one pilot site, in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04249">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390</a>; c) Preliminary project evaluation report conducted mid-way the study period for one pilot site, in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04249">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04290</a>; and d) Project evaluation report showing the impact of close call reporting on improving safety in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Details/L03582">http://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Details/L04290</a>; and d) Project evaluation report showing the impact of close call reporting on improving safety in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Details/L03582">http://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Details/L03582</a> .

# 3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also, describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

In developing the reporting requirements, we have attempted to ensure that the form and format of the survey questionnaire are designed to minimize the burden of the respondents while increasing the confidence and trust in the Close Calls Reporting System.

Electronic reporting of close calls is now available to all participating employees. Currently approximately 60% of all reports are submitted online. BTS is promoting online reporting and has developed an online tutorial. However, due to the unique working conditions at the pilot sites, electronic submission of close call reports is not always feasible. In order to minimize respondent burden, and maximize employee reporting, BTS is making printed C<sup>3</sup>RS report forms available at several locations within the work sites and are also downloadable from the C<sup>3</sup>RS and the BTS websites. Reporting employees who are unable to mail a report within 48 hours are asked to call C<sup>3</sup>RS at (866) 683-9265 within 48 hours of the event to file a report by phone. Reports filed by telephone must be followed by mailing the completed report form, postmarked within 3 calendar days of the call. After receiving an employee report, a BTS rail safety expert will, if needed, contact the reporting employee for a brief interview in order to collect additional information that might be critical in understanding the root causes of the reported event.

## 4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

C<sup>3</sup>RS is an innovative research program within the railroad industry. UP's Service Unit in North Platte was be the first railroad facility to participate in voluntary reporting of close calls and near misses to the federal government. CP and NJT joined the project in 2008 and 2009 respectively.

No such data had ever been collected by UP or any other railroad carrier prior to this project.

## 5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe efforts to minimize burden.

This does not apply because none of the rail carriers participating in this research study is a small business.

## 6. Describe the consequence to the Federal Program or policy activities if the collection were not collected or conducted less frequently.

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) established a voluntary reporting system, the Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS) for near misses in the mid 70's. Since then the ASRS has been an excellent source of information on precursors and unsafe work practices that can potentially lead to tragic accidents. Both the FAA and the aviation industry have used information from the ASRS to put in place guidelines and recommendations aimed at improving safety.

The requested data collection is a demonstration/research project to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a voluntary reporting system much like the ASRS in the railroad industry. The UP facility in North Platte was the first pilot site. As funding became available, additional pilot sites were included in the C<sup>3</sup>RS project. Without a successful pilot project, implementing voluntary reporting of close calls in the railroad industry could have disastrous consequences ranging from losing trust and cooperation of key stakeholders in rail safety to wasting federal resources on an ineffective intervention program. Furthermore, without accurate pilot data it will be impossible to predict an efficacy rate for a rail safety intervention program based on voluntary reporting, or correctly determine how a program like C<sup>3</sup>RS could be scaled-up to the entire railroad industry.

## 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;

- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, government contracts, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than 3 years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
  - requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances that pertain to this data collection.

# 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the Agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d) soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to the notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments.

The notice was published on May 10, 2013 in 78 FR 27479. No comments were received.

## 9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than re-enumeration of contractors or grantees.

No payment or gift of any kind is being made to respondents.

#### 10. Describe any assurances of confidentiality provided to respondents.

The confidentiality of C<sup>3</sup>RS evaluation data is protected under the BTS confidentiality statute (49 U.S.C. Sec. 6307) and the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act (CIPSEA) of 2002 (Public Law 107-347, Title V). In accordance with these confidentiality

statutes, only statistical and non-identifying data will be made publicly available through reports. Further, BTS will not release to FRA or any other public or private entity any information that might reveal the identity of individuals or organizations mentioned in the evaluation survey questionnaires.

#### 11. Are there any questions of a sensitive nature?

Although there are no questions of sensitive/personal nature, reporting of a close call can potentially place the employee at risk for disciplinary action. In an effort to mitigate these concerns, the FRA and the participating carriers, have agreed, through a Memorandum of Understanding and a Waiver Agreement, to offer protection from carrier discipline and/or decertification, and FRA enforcement, if the employee reports an event within 48 hours after its occurrence

In addition, employees submitting a close call report will be asked to provide name, address and a phone number where they can be reached by a BTS employee with expertise in rail safety for a brief structured interview. This is done for two reasons: 1) to validate the authenticity of a close call report (only a limited number of employees will be eligible to report close calls and near misses to the C<sup>3</sup>RS system) and 2) to make any necessary corrections to the description of the close call event in the case where the narrative is not readable because of poor penmanship, or it is incomplete.

Once a close call report has been authenticated, and there is no further need to contact the reporting employee, all personal identifiers are deleted from the working data system.

#### 12. Provide estimates of reporting burden.

There are approximately 3,100 rail employees who are eligible to report a close call. Based on reporting rates so far, and taking into account that there are only two participating carriers remaining in the program, we expect no more than one employee reporting a close call per day. In total, we expect approximately 365 employees reporting per year. Of those, we estimate that 250 will be UP employees and no more than 115 will be NJT employees.

We believe the average burden time per respondent will be no more than 60 minutes (20 minutes for filling out a close call report and no more than 40 min for participating in a confidential interview.)

NOTE: this is an upper limit of the expected annual burden for two reasons: 1) not every close call report will result in an employee interview and 2) online reporting takes less than 20 min.

| Number of Respondents | 250 UP employees<br>115 NJT employees |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| TOTAL                 | <b>365 respondents</b>                |
| Number of Responses   | 250 UP close call reports             |
|                       | 250 UP employee interviews            |

| TOTAL                 | 115 NJT close call reports<br>115 NJT employee interviews (maximum)<br><b>720</b> responses |
|-----------------------|---|
| _                     | 730 responses   |
| Frequency of Response | 2 per person per close call event   |
| Burden Per Respondent | 1.0 hour  |
| Annual burden hours   | 166.67 UP close call reports  |
|                       | 83.33 UP employee interviews  |
|                       | 76.67 NJT close call reports  |
|                       | 38.33 NJT employee interviews   |
| TOTAL                 | 365.0 burden hours  |

Annualized cost is estimated to be: **\$10,781** (347 \* 28.55 + 18 \* 48.56 = 10,781) The annualized cost is estimated based on 347 burden hours for workers and 18 burden hours for frontline supervisors participating in the C<sup>3</sup>RS demonstration project and earning an average or \$28.55 and \$48.56 per hour respectively.

**Note:** managers represent 5% of reporting employees (i.e., out of 365 employees reporting to C<sup>3</sup>RS per year, approximately 18 are expected to be frontline supervisors)

## 13. Provide an estimate of cost to the respondents. Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in items 12 and 14. General estimates should not include purchase of equipment or services or portions thereof made prior to October, 1995.

The entire cost of this data collection is imbedded in the hourly burden. There is no additional cost to the respondent.

#### 14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government.

| <u>Federal Costs</u>     |          |                                |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| Overhead & Miscellaneous | Expenses | \$10,000 (4.750 O. & 5.250 ME) |
| Manpower                 |          | \$155,000 (1 FTE @ \$155K)     |
| Contract support         |          | <u>\$250,000</u>               |
|                          |          |                                |
| Total Government Co      | st       | \$415,000 *                    |

<sup>\*</sup> This covers the total government cost for the development and operation of the data warehouse.

## **15.** Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments in Items **13** or **14** of OMB **83-I**.

Although the burden per respondent remains approximately the same (> 1 hour), changes and adjustments have been made to make the entire data collection process more efficient and conducive to statistical analysis by standardizing data structures, eliminating data redundancy, and strengthening data quality control. Specifically:

- Electronic reporting is added as a method of submitting a close call report to BTS in addition to the existing paper forms.
- The data collection instruments were revised to streamline the process and account for online reporting.
- Data fields have been added to the report form (reducing interview time from an average of 60 min to no more than 40 min), and
- The revised interview tool has fewer data fields.

#### **16.** Is the information received published?

Individual records (micro data) of close call events are not published. The information received through this data collection is being used to evaluate the feasibility of implementing a voluntary reporting system of close calls and near misses in the railroad industry. Summary reports will only be made available to the PRT for the sole purpose of conducting root cause analysis. All PRT members will participate in confidentiality training, sign non-disclosure agreements, and be considered BTS Agents under CIPSEA.

The micro data are used for statistical purposes only. Examples of data analyses and uses of the data include: a) Summary statistics by pilot site are released to the respective PRTs only; b) A report on the feasibility of such program and the nature of reported close call data in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390</a>; c) Preliminary project evaluation report conducted mid-way the study period for one pilot site, in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04249">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04390</a>; c) Preliminary project evaluation report conducted mid-way the study period for one pilot site, in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04249">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04290</a>; and d) Project evaluation report showing the impact of close call reporting on improving safety in <a href="http://www.fra.dot.gov/Elib/Details/L03582">http://www.fra.dot.gov/eLib/Details/L04249</a>; and

## 17. Is the agency seeking approval not to display the expiration date for OMB approval?

No.

# 18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19, "Certification for paperwork reduction act submissions" of OMB Form 83-I.

None