Lender Narrative – Substantial Rehabilitation Section 232 – Single Stage

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Residential

Care Facilities

OMB Approval No. 9999-9999 (exp. mm/dd/yyyy)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 93 hours. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. The information is being collected to obtain the supportive documentation that must be submitted to HUD for approval, and is necessary to ensure that viable projects are developed and maintained. The Department will use this information to determine if properties meet HUD requirements with respect to development, operation and/or asset management, as well as ensuring the continued marketability of the properties. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Warning: Any person who knowingly presents a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or claim in a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development is subject to criminal penalties, civil liability, and administrative sanctions

Privacy Act Notice: The Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Housing Administration, is authorized to collect the information requested in this form by virtue of: The National Housing Act, 12 USC 1701 et seq. and the regulations at 24 CFR 5.212 and 24 CFR 200.6; and the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, 42 USC 3543(a). The information requested is mandatory to receive the mortgage insurance benefits to be derived from the National Housing Act Section 232 Healthcare Facility Insurance Program. No confidentiality is assured.

INSTRUCTIONS:

The narrative is a document critical to the Lean Underwriting process. Each section of the narrative and all questions need to be completed and answered. If the lender's underwriter disagrees and modifies any third-party report conclusions, provide sufficient detail to justify. The narrative should identify the strengths and weaknesses of the transactions and demonstrate how the weaknesses are mitigated by the underwriting.

- Charts: The charts contained in this document have been created with versatility in mind; however they will not be able to accommodate all situations. For this reason, you are allowed to alter the charts as the situation demands. Be sure to state how you have altered the charts along with your justification. Include all the information the form calls for. Charts that include blue text indicate names that should be modified by the lender as the situation dictates.
- Applicability: If a section is not applicable, state so in that section and provide a reason. Do not delete a section heading that is not applicable. The narrative will be checked to make certain all sections are provided. If a major section is not applicable, add " Not Applicable" to the heading and provide the reason. For instance:

Parent of the Operator – Not Applicable

This section is not applicable because there is no operator.

The rest of the subsections under the inapplicable section can then be deleted. This instruction page may also be deleted.

• **Format:** In addition to submitting the PDF version of the Lender Narrative to HUD, please also submit an electronic Word version.

• **Historical Information:** Substantial rehabilitation can encompass a wide range of renovations—from "gut" rehabilitations that replace or newly construct nearly everything, to replacements and renovations that barely exceed the substantial rehabilitation threshold. Because of these types of variables, historical financial data on the previous operations may not be available or applicable. In those instances where historical information is not applicable, the underwriter should follow the above instructions for inapplicable sections and provide the reason. Acceptable reasons for not providing historical data include: the lack of data due to a sale or previous use or a significant change in use. Be cautioned that changes in census mix without a change in the type of license will likely not warrant elimination of the historical data as an underwriting tool.

Instead of pasting large portions of text from third-party reports into the narrative, it is preferred that the lender simply reference the page number and the report. The focus of this document is for lender conclusions, analyses, and summaries.

Italicized text found between these characters << EXAMPLE>> is instructional in nature, and may be deleted from the lender's final version. Please use the gray shaded areas (e.g.,) for your response. Double click on a check box and then change the default value to mark selection (e.g., X).

<<Insert Project Photo>>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	
Portfolios	
Special or Atypical Underwriting Considerations	10
Labor Relations	
Program Eligibility	11
Substantial Rehabilitation.	
Commercial Space/Income	
Facility Type	
Independent Units	
Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendment	
Identities-of-Interest	
Risk Factors	
Strengths	
Underwriting Team	
Lender	
Lender's Loan Committee Process.	
Recommendation to HUD	
Third Party Reviewers	
Housing Consultant (if applicable)	
Project Description	
Site	
Neighborhood	
Zoning	
Utilities	
Improvement Description.	
Building Description	
Landscaping	
Parking	
Unit Mix & Features	
Services	
Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life	
Scope of Rehabilitation	
Architectural Review	
Architectural Overview	
Soils Report	
Construction Progress Schedule	
Conclusion	
Cost Review	
Cost Overview	
Construction Costs (Form HUD-2328).	
General Requirements	
Other Fees – General Contractor	
Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion.	
Unusual Site Improvements	
Architect's Fees	
Other Fees - Borrower	
Off-Site and Demolition	
Major Movable Equipment	
Contingency Reserve	
Underwritten Reserve for Replacement	

Conclusion	32
Appraisal	33
Hypothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions	
Market Analysis	
Market Overview	34
Primary Market Area	35
Target Population	35
Demand	
Competitive Environment (Supply)	
Conclusion	
Income Capitalization Approach – As-Is	
Financial Statements	
Occupancy	
Census Mix – As Is	
Rents - As Is	
Historical Revenue Summary	
Expenses – As Is	
Net Operating Income (NOI)	
Capitalization Rate – As Is	
Sales Comparison Approach – As Is	
Price Per Unit/Bed – As Is.	
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Is	
Subject Purchases	
Cost Approach	
Development Costs	
Depreciation.	
Major Movable Equipment	
Marketing Allowance	
Land Value	
Overall Value Reconciliation – As Is	
Lender Modifications – As Is.	
Income Capitalization Approach – As Proposed	
Census Mix – As Proposed.	
Rents - As Proposed	
Expenses – As Proposed	
Net Operating Income – As Proposed	
Capitalization Rate – As Proposed	
Sales Comparison Approach – As Proposed	
Price Per Unit/Bed – As Proposed	
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Proposed	
Cost Approach – As Proposed	
Development Cost	
Depreciation	
Major Movable Equipment	
Land Value	
Reconciliation – As Proposed	
Lender Modifications – As Proposed	
Initial Operating Deficit	
ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey	
Title	
Title Search	
Pro-forma Policy	
Environmental	
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment.	
Lender Comments.	
Other Potential Environmental Concerns.	

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance	69
Flood Plain	69
Borrower	70
Organization	71
Experience/Qualifications	71
Credit History	71
Financial Statements	72
Conclusion	72
Principal of the Borrower – << enter name of principal here>>	72
Organization	73
Experience/Qualifications	73
Credit History	74
Other Business Concerns	74
Other Section 232 Projects	75
Conclusion	75
Operator	76
Organization	76
Experience/Qualifications	76
Credit History	
Financial Statements	77
Net Income Analysis	78
Conclusion	79
Parent of Operator (if applicable)	79
Organization	80
Experience/Qualifications	80
Credit History	
Other Business Concerns	
Other Section 232 Projects	
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed	
Financial Statements.	
Net Income Analysis	
Conclusion	
Management Agent (if applicable) – < <insert here="" name="">></insert>	
Management Agent's Duties and Responsibilities	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed	
Past and Current Performance	
Management Agreement	
Conclusion	
General Contractor	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Other Business Concerns	
Financial Statements	
Working Capital Analysis	
Conclusion	
Operation of the Facility	
Administrator	
Subject's State Surveys	
Other Facilities Operated or Managed	
Staffing	
Operating Lease	
Lease Payment – During Rehabilitation Period	
Lease Payment – During Lease Up	
Lease Payment Analysis – Stabilized, As Rehabilitated	95

Responsibilities	96
Master Lease	
Accounts Receivable (A/R) Financing	96
Terms and Conditions	
Collateral/Security	97
Permitted Uses and Payment Priorities	98
Financial Analysis	98
Historical AR Loan Costs	98
Proposed AR Loan Costs	99
Recommendation	99
Insurance	100
Professional Liability Coverage	100
Lawsuits	102
Recommendation	102
Property Insurance	103
Builder's Risk	103
Fidelity Bond / Employee Dishonesty Coverage	104
Mortgage Loan Determinants	104
Overview	
Mortgage Term	104
Type of Financing	104
Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost	104
Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value	104
Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage	105
Criterion F: Cost of Rehabilitation Plus	105
Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts	106
Existing Indebtedness	106
Sources & Uses – Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF	109
Secondary Sources	109
Surviving Debt	110
Cash Requirements	111
Circumstances that May Require Additional Information	111
Special Commitment Conditions	
Conclusion	112
Signatures	112

Executive Summary

FHA number:									
Project name:									
Project location:	<	<stree< td=""><td>et address, city, c</td><td>ounty,</td><td>and state:</td><td>>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></stree<>	et address, city, c	ounty,	and state:	>>			
Lender's name:									
Lenders UW:					UW t	rainee:			
Borrower:						'			
Operator:									
Parent of operator	:								
Management agen									
General contractor									
License holder:		Bori	rower	Operate	or	Manage	ement	agent	
Literise Horaci v				Sperac			ciiiciic	agem	
Type of facility:			Skilled Nursing	g (SNF):		beds		1	units
			Assisted Livin			beds		1	units
			Board & Care	• /		beds		1	units
			Dementia			beds			units
			Independent Livi	ng (IL):		beds		1	units
				Total:		beds		i	units
						Lo	an to		
Mortgage	\$		Loan-to-value:		%				%
Amount:	Þ						_		0/
Principal &			Term:		years	Interest	rate: _		<u>%</u>
interest:			DSCR			Market	value		
(without MIP)	\$		(with MIP):		%	per bed/ι	ınit*: _	\$	
						Mor	tgage		
Underwritten	_					amoui	nt per		
market value: _	\$		Cap rate:		<u>%</u>	bed/ı	ınit*: _	\$	
*Use per bed for SNF	. or facili	ities witl	h multiple care types	s (e.a., S	NF/ALF). U	Jse per unit fo	or ALF	onlv.	
, our per our per our	,		7	(9., -					
Mortgage Criteria	•			5	Sensitivity	Analysis:			
Criterion A: Reques	ted loan	amoun	nt: \$		A 1.0 debt s	service cove	rage is	still r	ealized if:
Criterion C: Amoun			-				<i>U</i> - <i>U</i>		
replacement cost:			\$	(a) Averag	ge rental dr	ops \$		per month.
Criterion D: Amoun			-	ancy rate d	_	es	%.		
on loan-to-value:	\$			ing expens			%		
<u>Criterion E</u> : Amoun			per yea						
service coverage:	. 1		\$	(d) Annua	l net operat	ing in	come	(NOI)
<u>Criterion F</u> : Amoun					decrea	-	or	%	• •
estimated cost of rel	nabilitati	ion plus	\$						
Criterion L: Amoun	t based o	on	\$						

deduction of grant(s), loan(s and gift(s) for mortgageable						
As rehabilitated:						
Gross income:	\$		UW	voccupanc	y rate:	%
Effective gross income:	\$					
Expenses & repl. res.:	\$			Expense	ratio:	%
Net operating income:	\$		Expense per bed/unit*:			
Total project cost:	\$	То	tal project cos	st per bed/	unit*:	\$
*Use per bed for SNF, or facili	ties with multipl	e care types (e.g., SNF/ALF).	Use per un	it for Al	LF only.
Operating deficit: Number of months to cover	shortfall:	\$	Absorptio (# beds pe	n rate er month):	_	
	_	Break-even occupancy:			%	
Borrower's working capital: Special escrows (describe below):		\$ Minor movables:			\$	
< <describe escrows<="" special="" td=""><td>here>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></describe>	here>>					
Major movable equipment budget:	\$		Major mova amount per		\$	
Construction contract:	\$	Offsites	\$	Demo	lition	\$
Total construction costs: As reported on HUD-2328, Line 53 plus Offisites and Demolition Costs	\$					
Construction contingency:	\$					
Relocation escrow:	\$	Const	ruction perio	d: # of mo	nths:	
Architectural contract:	\$	N	Iultiple AIA	Agreement	S	
	Year	FT		Operating Revenues		SWB
Operations – Base year				\$		\$
Operations – Post constructi	on			\$		\$

<< <u>Definitions</u>:

Base year: Year before construction.

Year: First year of stabilized occupancy after completion of construction. Example: Add the number of months to reach <u>stabilized</u> occupancy (as reported on the IOD spreadsheet "Output-Summary Exhibit" tab) to the completion date. For a completion date of June 1, 2013 and 12 months to reach stabilized occupancy, enter 2014.

FTE's: As reported on the "Staffing Schedule" - Exhibit in the Operations Section of the application checklist.

SWB (Salaries, Wages, Benefits): As reported on the "Staffing Schedule"- Exhibit in the Operations Section of the application checklist.>>

	Yes	No	Comments:
Secondary Financing:			(If yes, provide details.)
A/R Financing:			
Master Lease:			
Waivers: (list, as applicable)			

Portfolios

<u>Program Guidance – Portfolio Definitions:</u>

<u>Portfolio:</u> Two or more borrower entities that are under common control.

<u>Small portfolio:</u> Up to 49 facilities and aggregate mortgage loan amount less than or equal to \$90,000,000.

Midsize portfolio: Up to 49 facilities and a total mortgage loan amount greater than \$90,000,000 and less than or equal to \$250,000,000.

Large portfolio: 50 or more facilities and/or aggregate mortgage loan amount greater than \$250,000,000.

Common control: Business entities that are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties. Examples of common control may include, but are not limited to:

- Each entity has the same managing member, general partner, or other person or entity in a controlling role {OR}
- 50% or more of each entity is owned by the same persons or entities.

<u>Same ownership:</u> Different properties or business entities that are wholly-owned by the same natural person, entity, or group—generally 100% common ownership among the properties. In the case of not-for-profit entities, "ownership" will be evaluated based on the principals identified through the HUD previous participation (2530/APPS) process. The ownership structure may be a corporation, limited liability company, partnership or limited partnership, or other legal structure. This term applies to master lease requirements.

Κe	ey Questions					
					Yes	No
1.	Do any of the principals of the borrow held by HUD?					
2.	Do any of the principals of the borrow mortgage insurance to HUD in the ne	-				
3.	Have any of the principals of the borr mortgage insurance to HUD in the pa					
the "C	<for "yes"="" above,="" and="" answer="" atypical="" certification="" complete="" consolidated="" e="" each="" of="" or="" p="" parent="" period="" portfolio="" provid="" size="" the="" to="" underwriti<="" –=""></for>	"Other Se he Operat	ection 232 Applic for.">>			10
-	oonar or rity production and	g	oraorationo			
	There are NO special or atypical un	ıderwriting	g considerations.			
	The following are unique characters considerations: << Examples:	istics, key	deal points, spec	ial, or atypical	l underwr	riting
	 Facility will be master lease 	ed .				
	 Identity-of-interest issues Timing issues for closing or This section should not be a lengthy to highlight key points.>> 	_			It is mer	ely
Th	nird-party reports provided:			_		
		lusion is:	Accepted as is.		l by underw	
	Phase I Environmental Conc	lusion is: lusion is:	Accepted as is. Accepted as is. Accepted as is.	Modified	l by underw l by underw l by underw	vriter.
L	Themicetare, cost iteview Gone	1431011 13.	recepted as is.	wiodiffed	. Jy unuci w	, 11tCl .

Labor Relations

Wage	Decision:			
Type:		Residential	Building (commercial)	
Numbe	er:		No. of buildings:	
Modifi	cation date:		No. of stories:	
Modifi	cation number:			
			No. of self-contained units*:	
			chen/kitchenette and a bathroom. This c on type will be "residential" or "buildin	
Lende	ers Pre-Construc	ction Conference Coo	ordinator Information:	
Name				
Email	<u> </u>			
Phone	:			
Mailin	g address:			
< <pre>config</pre>	urations of kitche	ens and bathrooms (e.g	n of Wage Decision specified. Be s g., kitchenette includes a sink, mic de, sink, and shower, etc.).>>	= -
	gram Eligib	-		
	stantial Reha			
This p	roject qualifies fo	or substantial rehabilita	ation because:	
	alue as rehabilita	ated, which exceeds 15	ction \$< <amount>>, represents 5% of the project's value after conding are included in this calculation.</amount>	
	-		stantial replacement of two or more cable components here>>.	re major building

Ne.	ey Questions		
		Yes	No No
1.	Will the facility charge "founder's fees," "life care fees," or or other similar charges associated with "buy-in" facilities?		
2.	Will the facility require more than four residents share a full bathroom (se 24 CFR 232.3)? (<i>Not applicable for SNFs.</i>)		
3.	Are any residents required to access a qualifying bathroom by moving through a public corridor or area (see 24 CFR 232.3)? (Not applicable for SNFs.)		
4.	Has the borrower, operator, or any of their affiliate's renamed or reformulated companies, filed for or emerged from bankruptcy within the last five (5) years?		
5.	Is the borrower, operator, or any of their affiliate's renamed or reformulat companies, currently in bankruptcy?		
	1 / 5 1 5		
	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?		
6.	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental		 er this
6. << pro	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?		er this
6. << pre> co	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?		er this
6. << pre> co	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?		er this
6. << pre> co	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?	eed the pro	ogram
6. << pre> co	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?	eed the pro	ogram
6. << pre	Are there floodways or coastal high hazard areas, other than incidental portions, located onsite?	eed the pro	ogram

<< Provide further explanation, if necessary. If the facility does not meet either of the criteria above, the loan is not eligible under this program.>>

Program Guidance:

The commercial limits are a maximum of 20% of the gross floor area of the project and 20% of the gross project income. Commercial space that is intended to exclusively serve the residents of the facility is not counted toward the 20% space and income limitations. Non-resident adult day care space will <u>not</u> be considered commercial space. However, the adult day care space may not be located on a separate site, the space may not exceed 20% of the gross floor area of the facility, and the income may not exceed 20% of gross income. (Provide a Certificate of Need or operating license, if applicable.)

Facility Type

Select	t ALL that apply:
	Nursing Home Consists of at least 20 beds. Considered a "Skilled Nursing Facility" by Department of Health & Human Services. Intermediate Care Facility Consists of at least 20 beds. Considered an "Intermediate Care Facility" by Department of Health & Human Services.
	Board and Care Consists of at least 5 beds. Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight." Provides areas for central dining. Offers three meals per day to each resident. Resident must take at least one meal a day. Regulated by the state in accordance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security Act (Keys Amendment)
	Assisted Living Consists of at least 5 units. Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight." Provides areas for central dining. Offers three meals per day to each resident. Resident must take at least one meal a day. Caters to frail elderly persons (62 years and older) who need assistance with 3 or more activities of daily living (ADLs).
	Other - Requires explanation. < <describe here="">></describe>
	OTE: The above reflect HUD's definitions of facility or care types. Those definitions may ign with state licensing definitions.>>

Independent Units

Select all applicable statements:

There will be NO unlicensed/independent units at the subject.

There will be unlicensed/independent units at the subject; however, the total does not exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.

a. Total beds:
b. Unlicensed independent beds:
c. Independent beds as % of total:

A waiver is requested to exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.

Program Guidance:

It has been longstanding policy that HUD will allow up to 25% of the units in a Section 232 facility to be Independent Living (IL) units. This policy remains unchanged under Lean. However, please note the following:

- The facility must offer services to all residents in the project comparable to those found in a skilled nursing facility, assisted living facility, board and care, or intermediate care facility.
- A license is not required for the IL units; however, all of the other units in the facility <u>must</u> be licensed.
- Waivers to exceed the 25% limit will be considered on a case-by-case basis for good cause.
 Please note that waivers have not been provided when the number of IL units exceeds 30% of the total project units.

Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendment

<<Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The facility is to be licensed by the State of
{State}'s Department of Health and Welfare as a {Type of Facility} for {X} beds. The license is
to be issued to {Name of Entity on License}." Describe the licensing process.It is effective
{date}, through {date}. The license covers {number of beds}.">>

<<Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "There is no Certificate of Need (CON) requirement in {State} for {Type of Facility}." – OR – "A Certificate of Need (CON), dated {XXX} was issued by the State of {State} authorizing XX beds...">> For skilled nursing, where the state does not require a CON, discuss the required independent study conducted by the state or commissioned by the state of market need and feasibility. Include in the discussion the number of beds and the date through which it is current.

<<(Applicable to B&C's.) Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The State of {State} has certified its compliance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security Act (Keys Amendment).">>

Identities-of-Interest

Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Have you, as the lender, identified any identities of interest on your certification?		
2.	Does the borrower's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
3.	Do any of the certifications provided by principals of the borrower identify any identities of interest?		
4.	Does the operator's certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest?		
5.	Does the management agent's certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest?		
6.	Does the general contractor's certification indicate any identities of interest .		
7.	Does the HUD Addendum to the AIA Agreement of the Design Architect identify any identities of interest?		
8.	Does the lender know, or have any reason to believe, that any of the assertions in the other Consolidated Certifications submitted herewith, are inaccurate or incomplete?		
ap op int	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topplicable, describe the risk and how it will be mitigated. For example: The borrow erator are related parties – John Doe has ownership in both entities. No other is erest are disclosed.>> isk Factors	wer and	
Ke	ey Questions		
1.	If the project is proposing new construction of assisted living units, is the proposed mortgage higher than the maximum loan-to-value (LTV) identified in the guidance below?	Yes	No
2.	Is the debt service coverage of the loan less than 1.45?		
3.	Is this a "special use facility"—one that serves a "niche" type of market (e.g., psychiatric facilities; drug, alcohol, or eating disorder recovery facilities;		
	hospice facilities; or short-term rehabilitation facilities?		

		Yes	No
4.	Will the combined underwritten occupancy percentage of Medicare and private pay beds (of the total SNF beds in the project) exceed 30%?*		
5.	Will the percentage of private pay beds used in the underwriting exceed the average percentage demonstrated in the market, defined as the average of no less than 5 competing facilities in the primary and secondary market?*		
6.	Will the underwritten occupancy percentage of Medicare beds (of the total SNF beds in the project) exceed 10% or the average percentage demonstrated in the market, defined as the average of no less than 5 competing facilities in the primary and secondary market?*		

<>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.

Example: <u>Debt Service Coverage Lower than 1.45</u>: {If the debt service coverage of the loan is less than 1.45, the lender must provide sufficient justification/mitigation to support the additional risk associated with the loan. The HUD underwriter will be required to specifically approve this item and may ask for additional input and request a discussion with the lender and/or HUD headquarters.}>>

<< Below is a summary of the Lean underwriting benchmarks for loan-to-value (LTV) and debt service coverage ratio (DSCR).

				Min.
Type of Unit	New/Existing Units	Borrower Type	Max. LTV*	DSCR*
SNF/ILU	Both	For Profit	80%	1.45
SNF/ILU	Both	Non-Profit **	85%	1.45
ALF	New	For Profit	<i>7</i> 5%	1.45
ALF	New	Non-Profit **	80%	1.45
ALF	Existing	For Profit	80%	1.45
ALF	Existing	Non-Profit **	85%	1.45

^{*}Maximum loan-to-values and minimum debt service coverage ratios are set by the Section 232 Statute and Regulations. Any submittal above the LTV's listed or below the DSCR's listed will require justification/mitigation.

^{*}If the answer to question 4, 5, or 6 is "yes," a waiver must be requested.

^{**}To qualify for the higher non-profit benchmarks, the owner/operator must demonstrate a successful operating track record, significant project operating and management experience, an a solid financial track record.>>

Other Risk Factors Identified by Lender

Additionally, the lender has identified the following risk factors:

<< Provide discussion on other risk factors identified by the lender and how they are mitigated.>>

Strengths

<< Provide discussion of the strengths of the transaction.>>

Underwriting Team

Lender	
Name:	
Underwriter:	
Underwriter trainee:	
Lender number:	
Site inspection date:	
Inspecting underwriter:	
Broker:	

Lender's Underwriter

<<Bri>description of qualifications. The inspecting underwriter must be underwriter of record that is assigned to the project. >>

<u>Underwriter Trainee</u> (if applicable)

<<Bri>description of qualifications.>>

Inspecting Underwriter (if applicable)

<< Brief description of qualifications. A MAP-approved 232 Underwriter or Lean-approved 232 Underwriter employed by the lender must visit the site <u>AND</u> sign this narrative.>>

Program Guidance:

On projects involving the addition of beds/units, the Lender's Approved Underwriter of record on the project must inspect not only the subject site, but also the market competitors and/or comparables from the appraisal/market study. HUD is not requiring inspection of all comparables listed in the appraisal/market study; it is up to the Underwriter to determine which comparables will give them enough information to become familiar with the market.

Lender's Loan Committee Process Date of loan committee: Loan committee process: Loan committee conditions: << Provide brief narrative summary of loan committee, including: information provided; any pertinent requirements/conditions of the loan committee to gain the committee's recommendation.>> **Recommendation to HUD** <<Based on analysis and underwriting, XXXXX recommends that HUD issue a firm commitment</p> to insure the proposed mortgage for the subject transaction, subject to the terms and conditions identified in this narrative and the accompanying application exhibits.>> Third Party Reviewers Role Name Firm Phone E-mail Architectural reviewer Cost analyst Environmental consultant Market analyst Appraiser **Key Questions – Architectural Reviewer** Yes No 1. Does the architectural reviewer have experience with construction within the healthcare field? 2. Is the architectural reviewer knowledgeable and experienced with local building standards and construction methods for the type of project proposed, including the Federal Fair Housing Accessibility Guidelines and the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards?

כ	Is the architectural reviewer a registered architect or engineer?	Yes	No
э.	Is the architectural reviewer a registered architect or engineer?		
Ke	y Questions – Cost Analyst	***	
	Does the cost analyst have experience in the healthcare field?	Yes	No
Ke	y Questions – Environmental Consultant(s)		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the environmental consultant(s) meet all the qualification requirements of Appendix X2 of ASTM E 1527-05?		
2.	Does the environmental consultant(s) meet the license/certification, educational, and experiential requirements of Section X.2.1.1(2)(i), (ii), or (iii) of Appendix X2 of ASTM E 1527-05?		
3.	Were any Phase II investigations performed by environmental investigator(s) specifically qualified to meet the responsibilities for the issue(s) of concern?		
Ke	y Questions – Market Analyst		
	y	Yes	No
1.	Does the market analyst have the knowledge and experience to complete the assignment competently?		
2.	Is the market analyst currently active in the market analysis of other healthcare properties?		
3.	Is the market analyst experienced in the market area that the subject property is located in or established expertise by a thorough investigation of the		
1	market?		
4.	analysis, and prepare and sign the market study?		
Ke	y Questions - Appraiser		
		Yes	No
1.	Is the appraiser is a Certified General Appraiser under the appraiser certification requirements of the state where the subject property is located as of the effective date of the appraisal? (See note below this section.)		
2.	Does the appraiser meet the requirements of the Competency Rule described in USPAP?		
3.	Did the appraiser sign the appraisal and the required certifications?		
	Is the appraiser currently active in the appraisal of other healthcare properties?		

		Yes	No
5.	Is the appraiser experienced in the market area in which the subject property is located, or establish competency as per USPAP?		
6.	Did the appraiser meeting the above qualifications, personally inspect the property being appraised?		
7.	If more than one appraiser worked on the appraisal, did they all sign the report and certifications?		
red cer of Co doi	OTE: If you answer "no" to any of the questions above, the appraiser does not requirements. The appraiser <u>must</u> be a Certified General Appraiser under the appraise tification requirements of the state that the subject property is located, as of the the appraisal (temporary certifications are permissible) and must meet all requirements Rule of the USPAP. Lender verification of an appraiser's current statement at http://www.asc.gov .	raiser effective rements (date of the
Н	ousing Consultant (if applicable)		
Na	me of consultant:		
Re	lation to borrower, if any:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Will the project have a housing consultant? (If so, please provide a copy of the consultant's agreement with the firm commitment application and provide a narrative discussion that addresses the following: (a) terms of the agreement (i.e., fees charged, start and end date, etc.); and (b) consultant's responsibilities.)		
2.	Will the housing consultant's responsibilities overlap with those responsibilities provided by other development team members (i.e., the lender, architect, contractor, attorney, etc.)? If yes, please explain		
3.	Has the lender determined that the fees charged are competitive in the market and considered necessary and reasonable? If no, please explain		
	Please provide a brief narrative discussion, as applicable, in response to the quove.>>	ıestions	
<u>P</u> ı	roject Description		
Si	te		
<<	S <u>Brief</u> narrative description about site to include location, topography, size, fron !. >>	tage, acc	cess,

Neighborhood

<< <u>Brief</u> narrative description about neighborhood area to include major cross streets and access routes; distance to services, hospitals, etc.; adjacent property uses; predominant character or neighborhood; etc.>>

Zoning

	Local Conforming	Logal Non Conforming	Other
	Legal Conforming	Legal Non-Conforming	Ullei

<< Provide narrative description: identify local jurisdiction; zoning designation; results of Zoning Letter provided in application submission; and discuss any variances, conditional uses, non-conformance or other pertinent issues affecting zoning.>>

Utilities

<< Provide narrative description: identify utilities proposed for use at site. Discuss any limitations in service and any other issues that would affect the operation of the facility. Also, clearly identify the utilities to be paid by the residents.>>

Improvement Description

Building Description

<< Provide narrative description to include "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" number of buildings; construction types; floor area; describe common areas; etc. >>

Landscaping

<< Provide narrative description about the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" landscaping>>

Parking

<< Provide narrative description about the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" parking including the number of spaces, compliance with accessibility, adequacy of the parking, and any parking easements. Also, discuss any zoning or marketability issues.>>

Unit Mix & Features

<<Complete "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" tables or provide equivalent detail.>>

As-is Unit Mix

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	Unit	Bed			Unit	Care
	OHIL	Bed				Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrm s	Baths	Sqft	Туре
А						
В						
С						
D						
E						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
J						
Totals	_	-				

As-rehabilitated Unit Mix

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Double Click liiside the Excel Table to add information)							
	Un it	Bed			Un it	Care	
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrm s	Baths	Sqft	Туре	
А							
В							
С							
D							
E							
F							
G							
Н							
I							
Ј							
Totals	-	_					

Living Unit Description

<< Provide <u>brief</u> narrative description of the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitate" units including: bathrooms, appliances, flooring, included furnishings, hook-ups, patios, etc. >>

Services

<< Provide narrative description of "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" services to be provided.</p>
Identify which services will be included in rent and which services will be available for extra charges, as applicable.>>

Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life

<< There are three categories that need to be addressed. Each should be discussed before and after the rehabilitation..>>

Functional Obsolescence

<<How the physical plant compares to an optimally configured project and how does that impact income potential? (Discuss for example, 3 and/or 4 bed wards, unusual design issues, etc.)>>

External Obsolescence

<< How do the market, economic environment, and location impact the income potential of the project? >>

Remaining Economic Life

<<The appraiser has estimated the economic life of the property at XX years. The appraiser has estimated the effective age of the property at XX years. Therefore, the remaining economic life is XX years. Explain the basis for this estimate. Discuss any physical depreciation associated with any improvements that are not new construction. >>

Scope of Rehabilitation

<< Provide narrative description of the planned rehabilitation. The description should be sufficiently detailed to provide the HUD underwriter and review appraiser a reasonable understanding of the work involved to assess the impact on underwriting and value concerns.>>

Architectural Review

Da	te of report:		
Re	view firm:		
Re	viewer:		
Κŧ	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Are any drawings or specifications to be "deferred submissions?" If yes, explain below.		
2.	Does the architectural reviewer recommend any commitment conditions?		
3.	Are the plans and specification incomplete?		
4.	Is there an identity of interest between the design architect and any other project participant (i.e., borrower, principal of borrower, operator, and/or general contractor)?		

		Yes	No
5.	Are there architectural review comments that have <u>not</u> been incorporated into the plans and specifications?		
6.	Are there any architectural drawings and specifications that do <u>not</u> comply with local building code standards, minimum property standards, or any other HUD requirements?		
7.	After reviewing the plans, did the architectural reviewer confirm that the plans are <u>not</u> in conformance with FHAG and UFAS requirements?		
8.	Is the design architect providing supervision services?		
9.	After reviewing the AIA agreement, did the architectural reviewer find the agreement was <u>not</u> complete?		
10.	After reviewing the Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation Report, did the architectural reviewer find the report <u>unacceptable</u> showing an <u>insufficient</u> number of borings provided?		
11.	After reviewing the soils report, did the architectural reviewer find the structural design <u>not</u> in compliance with the findings of the report?		
12.	After reviewing the survey, did the architectural reviewer find the survey <u>not</u> in compliance with HUD requirements?		
13.	Were any repairs recommended that were <u>not</u> incorporated into the plans and specifications?		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please address below. For example, Item 1 – Fire sprinkler system engineering will be completed by XXX, Item 3 – The completed plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing. The architectural reviewer's inspector has identified minor revisions to the plans and specifications that will be completed and submitted to HUD prior to closing. A list of the minor revisions includes XXX. The contractor has provided confirmation acknowledging the required revisions and confirms that they do not result in changes to the costs reflected on the HUD 2328 submitted with this application package. We (the lender) recommend a Special Condition to the Firm Commitment requiring that completed acceptable plans and specifications will be submitted prior to closing.</p>

Item 4 – There is an identity of interest between the design architect and the borrower. The design architect is a principal of the borrower entity. Therefore, to meet HUD requirements, a separate AIA B108 is submitted with this package for an unrelated architect to provide the supervision services. Provide narrative describing the supervising architect's name, experience, etc. >>

Architectural Overview

<< Provide narrative describing the architectural reviewers report and conclusions and if the lender's underwriter concurs with the conclusions. Identify any modifications to the report conclusions and provide justification. Confirm if the review complies with the statement of work. Identify deliverables included in the application package. Include a narrative concerning key</p>

elements of the reviews, the appropriate HUD forms, and their correspondence with the design architect.>>

Program Guidance:

Construction specification template (CSI Master Format 2010), addressed in Mortgagee Letter 2010-41, must be used for all firm applications submitted after April 25, 2011.

Soils Report

<<A Geotechnical Investigation Report by ABC Engineering, Inc. is provided in the application; however, only five boring samples were taken, which does not meet the minimum HUD standard of 1 boring per 2,500 square feet required by HUD Handbook XXXX. (Identify the specific HUD requirement(s) that are to be waived.) ABC's conclusion was that five borings were more than sufficient based on the consistency of the samples and they have provided a letter to that affect. Based on this letter and the design architect's certification that the foundations have been designed to conform to the geotechnical report, (Lender's Architectural Reviewer) and (Lender Name) find this acceptable and recommend that HUD accept the soils report and design architect's certification in lieu of requiring additional samples that will in all likelihood lead to the same conclusion. >>

Construction Progress Schedule

<< Provide narrative discussion of the construction period as projected by the general contractor and project architect. Indicate if architectural reviewer agrees. Typically, an updated Construction Progress Schedule that accurately reflects the month and date of construction start and completion will be needed prior to closing.>>

Conclusion

Cost Review

<< Indicate if the review architect has appropriately addressed all architectural aspects of the development and the firm commitment application.>>

Date of report:	
Review firm:	
Cost analyst:	

Key Questions Yes No 1. Are there any variances in excess of 10% between the general contractor's form HUD-2328 line items and the cost analyst's form HUD-92326? 2. Is the total reflected on the cost analyst's form HUD-92326 more than 10% higher or lower than the total cost breakdown on form HUD-2328? 3. Will any one subcontractor, material supplier, or equipment lessor be awarded more than 50% of the construction contract? 4. Will three or fewer subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors be awarded more than 75% of the construction contract in aggregate? 5. Does or will the contractor have any identities of interest with any subcontractors, material suppliers, or equipment lessors? 6. Did the cost analyst find any evidence of front-loading in the contractor's cost estimate? <>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative explanation and justification regarding the topic.>>

Cost Overview

<<Confirm the cost reviewer performed the cost review pursuant to Section 232 standards. The deliverables in the application package include a narrative concerning the cost analysis, the appropriate HUD forms, and cost data. For example, "The cost analyst performed a comparison analysis and compared them to the contractor's final schedules of values (form HUD-2328). The cost analyst ultimately concludes to the contractor's schedule of values. The underwriter concurs.">>>

Construction Costs (Form HUD-2328)

<<Discuss the cost analyst's review of the final forms HUD-2328 supplied by the contractor and owner after completing an independent cost analysis. Confirm the analyst found no front-loading in the final costs reflected in the HUD-2328 submitted. Indicate the analyst completed the HUD 9236 in accordance with HUD guidelines and those forms are included in the appropriate section of the application package.</p>

Provide a breakdown of the costs from the form HUD-2328, Contractor's and/or Borrower's Cost Breakdown, included in the application package. The form totals \$XXX and is summarized as follows (complete the following table or provide equivalent detail):>>

Description	Cost	% of Contract	Per Sq ft of GBA	Per bed
Structures				
Accessory structures				
Land improvements				
General requirements				
Builder's overhead				
Builder's profit				
Other fees				
Bond premium			·	
Total construction contract				

Construction Contract		
Туре:	Cost Plus	Lump Sum

General Requirements

<<The contractor's estimate of general requirements totals \$XXX. The cost analyst has determined that the proposed cost of the general requirements and the sub-items included in it are reasonable. The underwriter concurs.>>

Program Guidance:

The cost for "General Requirements" will include the costs for those items incurred in the construction of the project and directly pertaining to a specific project. It will not include general overhead expense of operating the contractor's home office. Items of cost to be considered in determining General Requirements allowance include, but are not limited to, items such as:

- Supervision
- Field engineering to provide grades and lines for locating buildings, streets, and walks on the site.
- Field office, phones, office supplies and equipment, and clerical help
- Temporary sheds and toilets
- Temporary heat, water, light, and power for construction
- Cleaning and rubbish removal
- Watchmen's wages
- Medical and first aid facilities
- Temporary protection and fences

Other Fees – General Contractor

Program Guidance:

On Form HUD-2328, "Other Fees" is reserved for fees and allowances not normally included in General Requirements. Such fees might be:

- Special engineering fees such as test borings not provided for by the project architect.
- Special taxes based on cost of the buildings (i.e., school taxes, utility taxes or assessments, excise taxes, tap fees, etc.).
- Contractor's cost certification (a cost certification is required when a "Cost Plus" construction contract is used)
- Building permits

The form HUD-2328 includes other fees to be paid the general contractor totaling \$. The other fees to be paid by the general contractor include the following:

Schedule of Other Fees included in Construction Contract (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	Description	Am ount
А	Survey	
В	Cost Certification	
	Municipal Inspections	
D	Special Engineering Tests/Fees	
E	Sp ecial Taxes	
F	Permits	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

<< Narrative discussion – **Example #1**: The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.

Example #2: The construction contract includes \$XX in other fees. The other fees include building permits, electric service hook-up charges, and cost certification. It is assumed that the general requirements budget includes appropriate amounts for items such as surveys, municipal inspections and the like during the course of construction. The cost analyst is aware of this likelihood and has adjusted his general requirements budget accordingly.

The underwriter is confident there are adequate budgets built in to the underwriting to cover anticipated other fees. >>

Bond Premium/Assurance of Completion

<<Provide narrative discussion of either construction bond (bonding company, contractor's bond capacity, etc.) or the Assurance of Completion escrow (15% or 25% of contract, cash or letter of credit, etc. Also, address whether the surety is listed on the Treasury Circular and is authorized to issue bonds in the state for the required amount.>>

Unusual Site Improvements

<< Describe unusual site improvements and applicable costs, if any.>>

Architect's Fees

<<Provide narrative describing architect fees (design/supervision). For example: "The Owner-Architect Agreement (AIA document B108 with HUD Addendum) sets a total design fee of \$XXX and a construction supervision fee of \$XXX, for a total contract amount of \$XXX. The design fee currently represents XX% of the total architectural fee and XX% of the total cost of total structures, land improvements, and general requirements. The construction supervision fee is XX% and XX% of the same, respectively."</p>

Confirm there is not an identity of interest between the borrower and the architect or if there is, discuss the separate supervising architect and his/her B108. Confirm if the cost analyst and underwriter find the architectural fees to be reasonable in total and for the cost of design/supervision.>>

Other Fees - Borrower

Schedule of Other Fees to be paid by Borrower

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	Description	Am oun t
A	Survey - Land and Final "As Built"	
В	Building Permits	
С	Soils Report	
D	Traffic Study	
E	Impact Fees	
F	Hook-up Fees	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

The cost analyst has reviewed the schedule of other fees to be paid by the borrower and determined the items and the total cost to be reasonable. The underwriter concurs.

Off-Site and Demolition

<< Describe any off-site work to be accomplished and who will be performing the work. If the general contractor is responsible, describe the cost attributed to it and the cost reviewer's conclusions about the work and the cost. If the city will be performing the work, describe any cost or hookup fee related.

Describe any demolition that may apply; discuss costs and any other requirements or issues.>>

	ne borrower has provided a major movable t and budget totaling:	_\$		
Th	e amount per unit is:	\$		
K	ey Questions		Yes	No
1.	The cost analyst found the list acceptable a	nd the budget is reasonable		
2.	The underwriter concurs with the analyst's justification for any differences	-		
3.	The underwriter notes that a copy of the ma Exhibit to the Draft Firm Commitment sub			
	<pre><for "no"="" a="" above,="" answer="" each="" nat="" pic.="" provide="">></for></pre>	rrative explanation and justification	n regardi	ng the

Program Guidance:

The contingency reserve amount is based on available data for the type and condition of structure. Calculate as percentage of the sum of structures, land improvements, and general requirements. Percentage ranges from 1% to 10%, depending on the condition of the project, extent of rehabilitation, and experience and financial capacity of the borrower and contractor.

The contingency reserve can only be used to cover unanticipated costs, such as discovering more extensive dry rot than was expected. The contingency reserve is not available for items such as an increase in cost of carpet.

<< The architectural and cost reviewer concluded that a contingency reserve of XX% is sufficient based on the site visit, the type of construction of the existing buildings, and the developer's knowledge of the existing buildings. The underwriter agrees (explain modification).>>

Underwritten Reserve for Replacement

Program Guidance:

Substantial rehabilitation can encompass a wide range of renovations—from "gut" rehabilitations that replace or newly construct nearly everything, to replacements and renovations that barely exceed the substantial rehabilitation threshold. In lieu of requiring total replacement of everything that will require replacement within the next 5 years, the lender can provide a replacement reserve analysis prepared in accordance with the 232/223(f) Statement of Work for Project Capital Needs Assessments to determine an appropriate initial and annual deposit to the replacement reserve.

In the case of "gut" rehabilitation, this analysis is not required and the lender and cost analyst can depend on the calculation of 0.004 times the mortgage amount plus 10% of the major movable cost for the annual deposit and not require an initial deposit to the replacement reserve.

As the scope of rehabilitation narrows (fewer replacements and fewer areas are involved), the necessity of providing a replacement reserve analysis increases.

Replacement Reserve Summary					
	Annually	Per Unit			
Reserve for replacement					
Realty	\$	\$			
Major movables	\$	\$			
Total	\$	\$			
Was the standard HUD formula used to calculate the reserve for replacement deposits? (A "no" answer rewaiver.)		Yes No			

General Review

The replacement reserve analysis includes a combined analysis of both capital items and major movable equipment. The underwriter has reviewed the replacement reserve schedule and provided a summary analysis below. The full 15-year replacement reserve schedule, including the major movable analysis, is provided as Exhibit B to the Draft Firm Commitment submitted with this narrative.

In the analysis below, the underwriter spreads the anticipated replacements by year based on the needs assessor's replacement reserve analysis and assumes an interest of % and an inflation rate of %.

	0		1	2		3		4		5
2.0	96 \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$ -										
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		(6	7		8		9		10
	\$	-	_	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$		\$		\$		\$	_	\$	_
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		1:	1	12		13		14		15
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	\$	-	\$	-		-	\$	-	\$	-
			1				_		-	
	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	Ф		+		-				-	
	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
	2.0 \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	0 1 2.0% \$ - \$ \$ -	0 1 2 2.0% \$ -	0 1 2 2.0% \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$	0 1 2 3 2.0% \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	0 1 2 3 2.0% \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$	0 1 2 3 4 2.0% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2.0% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5

As you can see, the year-end balance for each year through year 15 is positive, indicating that the initial and annual deposit are sufficient based on these assumptions. The HUD program requires the lender to re-analyze the capital needs in year 10.

\$

\$

Conclusion

Balance

<< Provide lender's conclusions and wrap-up of the cost review. Reiterate if any of the cost analyst's conclusions were modified and justified in the lender's underwriting.>>

A	<u>opraisal</u>			
Da	te of valuation:			
Da	te of report:			
Ap	praisal firm:			
Ap	praiser:			
Lic	ense no./State:			
a so Pro	e report was prepared to comply with the reporting requirement outlined telf-contained report. The report also complies with the requirements of the descional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute and the Financial Institutions Reference Act of 1989 (FIRREA), Title XI Regulations	ne Code	e of	
Th	e report was prepared in accordance with the ORCF Appraisal Guidelines	.		
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No
1.	Will there be a ground lease?			
2.	Are any tax credits involved in this transaction?			
3.	Are any real estate tax abatement or exemptions included in the underwriting assumptions?			
4.	Are there any special escrows or reserves proposed for this transaction?			
5.	Does the underwriting include income from adult day care? (Note: Non-resident adult day care space <u>may not</u> be located on a separate site. The adult day care space will not be considered commercial space; however, the space may not exceed 20 the gross floor area of the facility and the income may not exceed 20% of gross income Provide a Certificate of Need or operating license, if applicable.)	% of 2.		
6.	Are there any other issues that require special or a-typical underwriting considerations?	•••••		
7.	Does the submission date of the application (date the application enters t queue) exceed the 120-day timeframe from the effective date of the appraisal?			
exc red the how	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding ample, Item 3, Real Estate Tax Abatement – The borrower will be receiving lestate taxes for at least two years after opening the facility. The abaten taxes due. We have not assumed the abatement for valuation purposes. wever, excluded 70% of the underwritten taxes from the debt service calculation.>>	ng an al nent is t The un	batement o be 70° derwrite	% of r has,

Hypothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions

Hypothetical Conditions

<<Identify any conditions that are contrary to what exists but are supposed for the purpose of analysis. For example, "The appraisal assumes that the proposed construction is complete and the property has attained the operating levels concluded by the appraiser. There are no other hypothetical conditions.">>>

Extraordinary Assumptions

<<Identify any assumptions specific to this assignment that if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. For example, "The appraisal assumes the subject project meets the state licensing requirements and that the facility is constructed as planned. There are no other extraordinary assumptions.>>

Jurisdictional Exceptions

<< These are rare and should be discussed with HUD before invoking. >>

Market Analysis

sej	The Market Study may be an integral part of the appraisal and need not appear parate cover. If under separate cover, the Market Study should have the same a praisal, so the valuation is consistent with the market conclusions.>>		the
Dā	ate of analysis:		
M	arket analysis firm:		
M	arket analyst:		
K	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Is the subject located in a declining market in terms of population, target population, real estate values, or employment?		
2.	Are there any negative market influences that require special consideration?		
3.	Is there a projected or current oversupply that could affect the subject?		
the	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the tope risk and how it is mitigated. For example, "Oversupply: The projected oversupcifically addressed in the Risk Factors section of this narrative.">>	•	ribing

Market Overview

<< Provide an overview of the market analysis, including general growth and population information, barriers to entry, unique market influences, etc. Please be brief in this section and refrain from pasting large sections from the market study here.>>

Primary Market Area

<<Describe primary market area and method of selection (e.g., distance, zip codes, etc.). When making your conclusions about the size of the PMA, pay close attention to where the existing competitors are drawing their tenants from.>>

Target Population

<<Describe age, income, and type of resident (i.e., assisted living, independent, dementia, etc.)
and acuity of care.>>

Demand

<<Describe age, income, and type of resident (i.e., assisted living, indenepdent, dementia, etc.)
and acuity of care of the target population. Describe target population demographics and
demand factors.>>

Competitive Environment (Supply)

<<Describe and identify competing facilities, planned facilities, facilities under construction, and other supply factors that compete with the subject facility. Description of supply should include types of facilities, acuity, and occupancy. Discuss recent and/or historic absorption of competitive units. Discuss any perceived changes to competitive environment.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide conclusion of market analysis: summarize demand, market saturation, continued health of market, negative and positive factors impacting the continued demand for the subject's units/beds.>>

Income Capitalization Approach - As-Is

Financial Statements

The appraiser and underwriter have analyzed the following historical financial statements pertaining to the operation of this facility:

<>If less than three years financial information is available for the project's operations, provide a narrative justifying why the data is not available. Even in the cases where there was an acquisition within the past 3 years, the owners will usually have been supplied with the income and expense information from the previous owner.>>

Occupancy

A summary of the subject's occupancy is provided below.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Historical Occupancy	Year ending	Year ending	Year ending xx/xx/xx	YTD annlzd. or T- 12 (specify dates)
Potential Resident Days OR units (specify)	0	0	0	0
Actual Resident Days OR Occ. Units (specify)	0	0	0	0
Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

A summary of the market occupancy is provided below.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Market Occupancy	# of Units	Occupancy
Competitor 1 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 2 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 3 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 4 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 5 - (Name) - add rows as needed	0	0%
Subject	0	0%
Market Total/Average	0	0%
Appraiser's Conclusion for Subject		0%
Underwriter's Conclusion for Subject		0%

<<Indicate if the market percentages quoted represent a single day survey, or are a year over average. The number of competitors will depend on the size of the market. Please expand or reduce the chart above as needed. Provide <u>brief</u> narrative discussion of conclusion. The narrative should address any decline in or below average occupancy. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days may be more appropriate than units or beds. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Census Mix - As Is

An analysis of the subject and market comparable census mix is provided below.

Census Mix – Subject History

(% of beds)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Source	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	YTD or T- 12 (specify dates)	Appraisal	UW
Private-pay	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Medicare	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Welfare (Medicaid)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. V.A.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. HMO (Insurance)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Census Mix - Market Comparables

(% of beds not revenue)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Local Market Census Mix - As Is	Private-pay	Medicare	Medicaid	e.g. V.A.	e.g. HMO (Insurance)	e.g. Other	Total
Comp 1 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 2 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 3 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 4 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 5 - (Name) add rows as needed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Subject - Actual	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Market Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide the above analysis for each care type. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Rents - As Is

The rent schedule is currently as follows:

<< Insert a summary chart of the rent schedule here that shows rents, number of units, and room/service types.>>

<<Discuss the subject Rent Schedule. For skilled nursing and other facilities, a daily rate may be more appropriate than a monthly conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Historical Revenue Summary

<< Please adapt the chart to show the income sources specific to your facility. Bad debt can either included in the table below or dealt with as an expense. >>

History by Revenue Source

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

					Actual							
Period	Yea	r Ending 🗴	X/XX/X	X	Yea	r Ending 🗴	X/XX/X	X	Yea	r Ending	XX/XX/X	X
Income Source	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit
e.g. Independent Living e.g. As sisted Living e.g. Private Pay e.g. Ins /Mngd Care Nursing e.g. Medicaid Nursing e.g. Medicare Nursing e.g. YA e.g. Therapy		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Residential Revenue Achieved	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!
Other Income		#DIV/0!		#D IV/0!		#D IV/0!		#D IV/0!		#D IV/0!		#D IV/0!
Effective Gross In come	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0!

	Actual							For	ecast			
Period	YTD Ann	lzd or T-1	2 (specify	y dates)		Appraisa!	l As-Is		Ap	praisal - A	s Propos	ed
Income Source	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit
e.g. Independent Living e.g. As sisted Living e.g. Private Pay e.g. Ins /Mngd Care Nursing e.g. Medicaid Nursing e.g. Medicare Nursing e.g. VA e.g. Therapy		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Actual Residential Revenue	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0!
Other Income Effective Gross Income	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0! #D IV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!

<<In the chart above, the most recent reporting period may be presented as the annualization of the first months of the year (Annualized YTD), or presented as the 12 trailing months (T-12) of income that overlaps into the prior reporting period. Please indicate which you are showing and the months covered by the T-12 or YTD.

Above you are asked to report the number of resident days or occupied units. Nursing homes should be reported by resident day, the total of which should be equal to the **number of operating beds** x 365 x occupancy percentage. Assisted living may be reported by occupied unit, the total of which should equal the **number of operating units** x 12 x occupancy percentage. Do not enter potential gross incomes here, but rather effective gross income, wherein vacancy has already been accounted for.>>

<< Discuss any departures from historical reimbursements, mix, and trends here.>>

<< <u>Instructions</u>: Each type of care should have its own subsection below discussing the payor source identified in the rent schedule, as demonstrated below. You may delete the sections (Skilled Nursing, Assisted Living, and Independent Living) that do not apply to your subject. >>

SKILLED NURSING - AS IS

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent roll, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the private pay rates at X comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Private Pay Rates Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Skilled Nursing - Private Pay	Unadjused	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. Priva	ite Room	e.g. Sen	ni-Private	e.g. \	Ward	e.g. Sul	o-Acute
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Market Average	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Appraisal Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Underwriter Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

<< Provide narrative discussion of private pay rate conclusion. Discuss how the rate conclusion compares to the achieved rents shown on the rent roll. Expand or shorten the table above as needed to accommodate the types of rooms or the number of comparables used. Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusions, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification. >>

	Medicare		
Daily rate – Underwriting:	\$	Appraisal:	\$

Subject's historical average RUG Rate:	\$	Time period of quoted average:	
conclusion. For example: "The (RUG) rate analysis of the faci analysis concluded a weighted	e appraiser provided a d lity's operation over the average Medicare rate o based on the < <date></date>	ent rate. Provide narrative discussion of etailed Resource Utilization Group last 12-month operating period. The of \$XX PRD. The RUG Rates used to >> rates. The underwriter concurs with	
	Medicaid		
Daily Rate – Underwriting:	\$	Appraisal: \$	
Published Rate:	\$	Appraisal: \$ Date of Rate	
changes to the reimbursement is components .>>	rate, such as when rates Veteran's Administra		ted
Daily Rate – Underwriting:		Appraisal: \$	
< If applicable, provide narra evidence (e.g., rate letter) or hi	-	e rate is determined. Discuss review o e underwritten rate. >>	f
	HMO or Other Private	Insurance	
Daily Rate – Underwriting:		Appraisal: \$	
< If applicable, provide narra evidence (e.g., rate letter) or hi	-	e rate is determined. Discuss review o e underwritten rate. >>	f
	Other		
	tive discussion of other t . Discuss review of evid	ypes of payor sources. Describe sourc ence (e.g., rate letter) or historical	e

ASSISTED LIVING – AS IS

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the assisted living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Assisted Living - Private Pay	Unadjusted		-	Adjusted		on adju sed	-	Adjused	1 1 1	∪n adju x ed	-	Adjusted		on adju sted		Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	е	g S	tudi	0	e.g	One	Bed	moon	e.g.	Two	Bed	room	e. g	, Sem	i-Pri	vate
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$	_	\$	1	\$	-	\$	1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Market Average	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$			-	\$			-	\$			_	\$			_
Underwriter Conclusion	\$			_	\$			-	\$			_	\$			_

<< Provide narrative discussion of the private pay conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Medicaid

<<If applicable, provide narrative discussion of state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last 12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required (e.g., SSI). >>

Independent Units

In addition to an analysis of the subjects rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the independent living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Independent Living	Un adju sted		Adjusted		Un adju sted		Adjusted	-	Un adju sted		Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. S	stud	io	e. g	, One	Bed	lroom	e.g.	Two	Bed	lroom
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Other Income Breakdown

<<Input effective income conclusions, not gross income.>>

	Underwriter's Break down of "C	ther" Income	
Line	Description	Annual Amount	Per Occupied Day/Unit
A			
В			
С			
D			
Е			
F			
	Total	0	

<< Provide narrative discussion and support for each other income category as appropriate. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support their conclusion, as appropriate.</p>

Example: Additional Personal Care Fees: The project bases additional care fees on levels of care needed as determined by the initial assessment and subsequent assessments as needed. The appraiser concludes to a net amount of X annually. The underwriter has analyzed the history to determine the average monthly charge of X, net of vacancie. Insert historical or comparable data as appropriate.

Example: Second Occupant Income: The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X second occupants at X per month. Over the last 12 months, the facility has averaged X second occupants per month. Competitive facilities in the market place report second occupant charges ranging between X and X with a range of X to X second occupants. Based on the history and the market, the underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion for a net annual income of X.

Example: <u>Miscellaneous Income</u>: In addition to room rents, additional care, and second occupant income, the project receives miscellaneous income from X (list miscellaneous). The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X. Historically, typical miscellaneous income is between X and X percent of effective income. The appraiser's conclusion is X. The underwriter has concluded to a net X per annum (calculation shown).

Expenses - As Is

The appraiser concludes to total expenses of \$\\$ including reserve for replacement of \$\\$. The underwriter concludes to total expenses of \$\\$ including reserve for replacement of \$\\$. An analysis of subject's history is provided below. The appraiser also compared the subject's expense conclusions to comparable projects located in .

<<Explain how the appraiser's expenses used for valuing the facility differ from the expenses used by the lender for the Debt Service Coverage analysis. Typically, these may differ in the categories of reserves, management fee, and taxes. The appraiser's numbers will represent market expenses and the lender's expenses for DSC analysis will represent what will actually be paid. >

Historic Comparison

<<The data in the following table must be in totals, not per resident day or per occupied unit. Cells with grey shading will calculate automatically. You are given some latitude in defining the expense categories. The expense categories in black text are required items. You have the option of presenting the current year's expense data in an annualized amount or in the form of trailing 12 months (T-12) of expense. The lender must include the most current historical income and expense data available to them, and not the dated information from the appraisal.>>

Expense Analysis –Subject

(use totals not per patient day/occupied bed)
(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Double Click i	nside the LA	icci Tubic ic	udd IIIIOIIII	utionj		
Expense Categories	Year Ending 12/31/09	Year Ending 12/31/10	Year En din g 12/31/2011	T-12 or YTD (specify dates)	As-Is Appraisal (Market)	As-Is Lender's (Market)
e.g. General & Administrative						
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits						
e.g. Resident Care						
e.g. Food Services						
e.g. Activities						
e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry						
e.g. Maintenance						
e.g. Utilities						
e.g. Marketing and Promotion						
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)						
e.g. Bad Debt						
Sub-total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Real Estate (Property) Taxes						
Management Fees						
Replacement Reserves						
Total Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Normalization Calculations						
Deduct Actual Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Rate Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Deduct Actual Management Fee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Management Fee @ enter %	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Deduct Actual Reserves for Replacement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Reserves by Appraiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Normalized Expenses	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		$\overline{}$
Normalized Expense Percentage	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Normalized Expense per Res Day OR Occ. Ur	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
K Data	Year Ending	Year Ending	Year En din g 12/31/2011	T-12 or YTD (specify dates)	As-Is Appraisal (Market)	As-Is Lender's (Market)
Key Data Effective Gross Income	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/2011	uates)	(Market)	(Market)
Net Operating Income	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Normalized Net Operating Income	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	\$0	\$0
Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Potential # Res Days (beds x 365) OR Units A			#1517/0!	#DIV/0!	#1514/0!	#DIV/0!
Actual # Res Days (SNF) OR Occ. Units (AL						
The buys (oral) of occ. Onlis (res	21 12)					

Comparable Expense Data

<<Unlike the previous table, the information for the expense comparables should be entered on a per resident day basis (# beds x 365 x occupancy rate) or per occupied unit basis (# units x 12

x occupancy rate). A minimum of three expense comps are required. More columns or tables can be added if needed.>>

Expense Analysis – Comparables

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Expense Comparables - As Is	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Appraiser's
	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Condusion
Expense Categories	Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	Comp 4	Comp 5	
e.g. General & Administrative						
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits						
e.g. Resident Care						
e.g. Food Services						
e.g. Activities						
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry						
e.g. Maintenance						
e.g. Utilities						
e.g. Marketing and Promotion						
e.g. In surance (property & liability)						
e.g. Bad Debt						
Sub-total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes						
Management Fees						
Replacement Reserves						
Total Expenses	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross Income						
Net Operating Income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Occup ancy						
Number of Res Days OR Occ. Units						
Date of Expense Information	e.g. Jul-12					
A djustment amount applied for date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<<Provide narrative discussion of comparable information. The appraiser should trend the expense comparables to the effective date of the appraisal. An explanation of the adjustments should be included here. Explain any other adjustments made to the comparables such as for normalization of reserves, management fee, taxes, etc., required to put the comparables on the same footing as the subject. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days are more appropriate than occupied units. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Net Operating Income (NOI)

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically, the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to the Office of Residential Care Facilities (ORCF) requirements (e.g., specific</p>

reserve requirements, tax abatements that the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for loan sizing based on Debt Service Coverage.>>

<<Reproduce or paste the pro forma that follows. If the lender disagrees with the appraiser's value conclusion, present a separate pro forma for both the lender's conclusions and the appraiser's conclusions. A separate pro forma is not required to show the underwriter's conclusions for debt coverage (i.e., when expnses for management fee, reserves, or taxes will differ from the appraiser's market conclusion).</p>

At a minimum, the pro forma supplied needs to:

- Summarize the income by source. The income detail needs to be sufficient to show a line item for each source that a specific rate was concluded. Include the payor type (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, private pay, etc.) and the care type (i.e., AL, MC, IL, SNF), and the room type (i.e., private, ward, one-bedroom, studio, etc.). A count of each type should also be shown.
- Show occupancy assumptions and the assumed number of resident days <u>OR</u> occupied units.
- Show the conclusions for the major expense categories.
- Show the NOI, EGI, expense per bed <u>OR</u> unit, and the overall expense percentage. It is not necessary to show the Potential Gross Income.

If the appraiser's pro forma does not include sufficient detail, the following table may be used or adapted to produce a pro forma acceptable to ORCF. The input fields are shaded. Non shaded fields are automatic calculations. Double click the table to open for editing.>>

	Pro Forma - A	Appraiser	's Conclusi	ons			
	■ Enter X to convert to "Per Occupied Unit" (ALF/Indep Enter Number of Beds ▶			wn are "Per Re		come.)	
	Income Source (separate line for each concluded rate)	Rate Pe r Resident Day	what % of total units are this ty pe?	Occupancy % for this ty pe*	# of Resident Days/year	Source Total Annually	% of EGI
	< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre>payorsouice/care type/ioom type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>payor source/care type/room type >></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	Residential	Income Total	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
	Other Income Sources	Other Inc. @ Forecasted Occupancy	% of EGI	Per Resident Day			
Ì	e.g. Therapy	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Level of Care Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Second Occupant Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Misc/Assessment Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	Other Income Total	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	Effective C	Gross Income	\$0				
	Expense Categories	Dollars Annually	% of EGI	Per Resident Day			
	e.g. General & Administrative	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Resident Care	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Food Services	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Activities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Maintenance	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Utilities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Marketing and Promotion	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	e.g. Insurance (property & liability)	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
	D						

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

\$0

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0U

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

Capitalization Rate - As Is

e.g. Collection Loss/Bad Debt

Sub-total Real Estate (Property) Taxes

Management Fees

Replacement Reserves

Total Expenses

Net Operating Income

<<The selection of the capitalization rate should be primarily based on recent sales rather than from investment models. Ideally, these rates would come from the Building Sales Comparables. However, these are often chosen by location before sale date. Recent cap rate data should be included every time, even if an additional set of cap rate comps or a survey needs to be introduced. In the table below, please add columns or duplicate the table as needed to accommodate additional comps.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Capitalization Rate Summary	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 3	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 5	Comp. Average	Appraiser Conclusion	Un derwriter Conclusion
Capitalization Rate								
Identify Source of Income Data								
Uses Retro or Prospective NOI								
Date of Sale								
Year Built								

<< Provide narrative discussion as necessary. If the subject was sold within the past 3 years, include the cap rate analysis here. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Sales Comparison Approach - As Is

<< If large adjustments are required in the sales comparison approach, extra attention and explanation are required to support the determination of the adjustments. Generally, those sales that require the smallest adjustment are the most desirable.>>

Summary of Comparable Sales Data

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

		Comparable 1	Comp arab le 2	Comp arab le 3	Comparable 4	Comp arab le 5			
Summary of Compa	able Sales Data	Hope Healthcare Anywhere, XX					Average	Appraisal	UW
	Unadjusted						#DIV/0!		
Price Per (specify	A djus ted						#DIV/0!		
Unit OR Bed)	Not A divertment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!		
	Net Adjustment	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
	EGIM						#DIV/0!		
	Expense Ratio						#DIV/0!		
	Year Built								
	Date of Sale								

Price Per Unit/Bed - As Is

<<Provide narrative discussion and summary of the appraisal conclusions. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Include a general discussion of adjustments made to the sales and the comparables that best represent the subject facility. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) - As Is

<< Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be

appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. >>

Subject Purchases

<<Provide analysis of subject's purchase price for all sales that have occurred within the last 3 years. (The analysis should provide: date of purchase; purchase price; whether the purchase was an arms-length transaction; and the financing term. In addition, the analysis should also state whether the sale was a market price. If not, explain.)>>

Cost Approach – As Is

Development Costs

<<Provide narrative discussion. If this approach was not expanded by the appraiser, indicate so here. Instead of deleting the remainder of the subsection, provide any lender insights in each category.>>

Depreciation

<< Provide narrative discussion of depreciation assumptions and conclusion.>>

Major Movable Equipment

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Address discrepancies between appraiser and cost analyst. Additionally, address ownership of the major movable equipment (e.g., borrower or operator). >>

Marketing Allowance

<< Provide narrative discussion.>>

Land Value

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. A land valuation is no longer required if the cost approach is not utilized.>>

Overall Value Reconciliation - As Is

<< Provide narrative discussion of how the value approaches were reconciled to reach the final conclusions. The statement may be simple. For example: "As demonstrated in the Appraisal Overview section above, the underwritten value conclusion is based on the income approach to value." If the value conclusion is based on weighting multiple approaches provide an explanation of the rationale.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

	As -Is										
Mark et Value Summary											
Approach	Appraisal	Un der writ er									
In come Capit alization											
Sales Comparison											
Cost Approach (if utilized)											
Conclusion:											

Lender Modifications - As Is

<<State if the lender concurs or not with the appraiser's value conclusion. When there is a disagreement, summarize the valuation modifications made by the lender underwriter. Insert a pro forma to highlight the differences in conclusions as needed. View the appraisal as a tool to do your underwriting and loan sizing correctly. Lenders should not use a value they disagree with and are allowed to use a lower value/NOI for loan sizing purposes. If lenders feel they are prohibited from doing this, they should cite the FIREA rule at issue in the narrative.>>

Income Capitalization Approach - As Proposed

Census Mix - As Proposed

An analysis of the subject and market comparable census mix is provided below.

Census Mix – Subject History (% of beds)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Source	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	YTD or T- 12 (specify dates)	Appraisal	UW
Private-p ay	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Medicare	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Welfare (Medicaid)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. V.A.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. HMO (Insurance)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Census Mix - Market Comparables

(% of beds not revenue)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Local Market Census Mix - As Is	Private-pay	Medicare	Medicaid	e.g. V.A.	e.g. HMO (Insurance)	e.g. Other	Total
Comp 1 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 2 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 3 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 4 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 5 - (Name) add rows as needed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Subject - Appraiser's Forecast	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Market Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide the above analysis for each care type. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Rents - As Proposed

The rent schedule is currently as follows:

<< Insert a summary chart of the rent schedule here that shows rents, number of units, and room/service types.>>

<<Discuss the subject Rent Schedule. For skilled nursing and other facilities, a daily rate may be more appropriate than a monthly conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

SKILLED NURSING - AS PROPOSED

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent roll, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the private pay rates at X comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Private Pay Rates Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Skilled Nursing - Private Pay	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused
Unit/Care Type	e.g. Priva	ate Room	e.g. Sem	i-Private	e. g. 1	Ward	e.g. Sul	o-Acute
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Market Average	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Appraisal Conclusion	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$	-	\$	- D'	\$	-	\$	-

<< Provide narrative discussion of private pay rate conclusion. Discuss how the rate conclusion compares to the achieved rents shown on the rent roll. Expand or shorten the table above as needed to accommodate the types of rooms or the number of comparables used. Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusions, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification. >>

Daily rate – Underwriting: Subject's historical average RUG Rate:	Time period of	
conclusion. For example: "The (RUG) rate analysis of the facility analysis concluded a weighted a	ges to the reimbursement rate. Provide appraiser provided a detailed Resource ty's operation over the last 12-month operage Medicare rate of \$XX PRD. The based on the < <date>> rates. The und</date>	Utilization Group erating period. The RUG Rates used to
Daily Rate – Underwriting:		\$

<<Provide narrative discussion of the state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last 12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required, e.g., Supplemental Security Income (SSI). Identify any anticipated changes to the reimbursement rate, such as when rates are tied to depreciating capital components .>>

Veteran's Administration (VA) Daily Rate – Underwriting: \$ Appraisal: \$ <- If applicable, provide narrative discussion of how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical precedent for the underwritten rate. >> HMO or Other Private Insurance Daily Rate – Underwriting: \$ Appraisal: \$ -- If applicable, provide narrative discussion of how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical precedent for the underwritten rate. >> Other

ASSISTED LIVING – AS PROPOSED

<< If applicable, provide narrative discussion of other types of payor sources. Describe source and how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the assisted living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Assisted Living - Private Pay	Un adju sted	,	Adjusted		Un adju sted	-	Adjused		Un adju ⊈ed	-	Adjusted		Un adju sted	-	Adjused
Unit/Care Type	e.g. 5	Studi	0	e.g	, One	Bed	room	e.g.	Two	Bed	lroom	e. g	g Sen	i-Pr	ivate
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		-	\$			_	\$			-	\$			-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of the private pay conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Medicaid

<>If applicable, provide narrative discussion of state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last

precedent for the underwritten rate. >>

12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required (e.g., SSI). >>

Independent Units

In addition to an analysis of the subjects rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the independent living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Independent Living	Un adju sted		Adjusted		Un adju sted		Adjusted	1 :: 1	on adjusted	-	Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. 9	Stud	io	e.g	, One	Bed	lroom	e.g.	Two	Bed	room
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		_	\$			-	\$			_
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Other Income Breakdown

<< Input effective income conclusions, not gross income.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

	Underwriter's Break down of "Other" Income												
Line	Description	Annual	Per Occupied										
Line	Description	Amount	Day/Unit										
A													
В													
С													
D													
Е													
F													
	Total	0											

<< Provide narrative discussion and support for each other income category as appropriate. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support their conclusion, as appropriate.</p>

Example: Additional Personal Care Fees: The project bases additional care fees on levels of care needed as determined by the initial assessment and subsequent assessments as needed. The appraiser concludes to a net amount of X annually. The underwriter has analyzed the history to determine the average monthly charge of X, net of vacancie. Insert historical or comparable data as appropriate.

Example: Second Occupant Income: The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X second occupants at X per month. Over the last 12 months, the facility has averaged X second occupants per month. Competitive facilities in the market place report second occupant charges ranging between X and X with a range of X to X second occupants. Based on the history and the market, the underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion for a net annual income of X.

Example: <u>Miscellaneous Income</u>: In addition to room rents, additional care, and second occupant income, the project receives miscellaneous income from X (list miscellaneous). The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X. Historically, typical miscellaneous income is between X and X percent of effective income. The appraiser's conclusion is X. The underwriter has concluded to a net X per annum (calculation shown). >>

Expenses – As Proposed

Comparable Expense Data – As Proposed

Expense Analysis –Comparables (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Expense Comparables - As Is	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare
• •	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX
Expense Categories	Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	Comp 4	Comp 5
e.g. General & Administrative					
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits					
e.g. Resident Care					
e.g. Food Services					
e.g. Activities					
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry					
e.g. Maintenance					
e.g. Utilities					
e.g. Marketing and Promotion					
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)					
e.g. Bad Debt					
Sub-total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes					
Management Fees					
Replacement Reserves					
Total Expenses	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross In come					
Net Operating Income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Occup ancy					
Number of Res Days OR Occ. Units					
Date of Expense Information	e.g. Jul-12				
A djustment amount applied for date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Bousie eilen	morae the E	cei Table to	add milorinat	1011)		
Expense Categories	As-Pro Appraisa	oposed l (Market)		sed Lender rket)	As-Proposed Lender (DSCR)	
						-
		Per Occpd.		Per Occpd.		Per Occpd.
	Total	Unit/Bed	Total	Unit/Bed	Total	Unit/Bed
e.g. General & Administrative		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Resident Care		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Food Services		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Activities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Maintenance		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Utilities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Marketing and Promotion		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
e.g. Bad Debt		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Sub-total	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes		\$1.00		\$1.00		\$1.00
Management Fees		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Replacement Reserves		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Total Expenses	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross In come		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Net Operating Income	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Oc cup ancy	#DI	V/0!	#DI	V/0!	#DIV/0!	
Potential# Res Days OR Units Annually						
Actual# Res Days OR Occ. Units						

<<Provide narrative discussion of comparable information. The appraiser should trend the expense comparables to the effective date of the appraisal. An explanation of the adjustments should be included here. Explain any other adjustments made to the comparables such as for normalization of reserves/management fee/taxes, etc. required to put the comparables on the same footing as the subject. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days are more appropriate than occupied units. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. >>

Net Operating Income – As Proposed

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically, the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to ORCF requirements (e.g., specific reserve requirements, tax abatements that</p>

the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for loan sizing based on debt service coverage. >>

<<Below reproduce or paste the appraiser's pro forma. If the lender disagrees with the appraiser's value conclusion, a separate pro forma with the lender's conclusions should be added in section entitled "Lender Modifications of Value." A separate lender's pro forma is not required to show ORCF required revisions to items such as management fee, reserves, or taxes as part of the Debt Coverage analysis. Those changes will be summarized later in the expense section.</p>

At a minimum the pro forma supplied needs to:

- Summarize the income by source. The income detail needs to be sufficient to show a line item for each source that a specific rate was concluded. Include the payor type (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, private pay, etc.), the care type (i.e., AL, MC, IL, or SNF), and the room type (i.e., private, ward, one-bedroom, or studio). A count of each type should also be shown.
- Show occupancy assumptions and the assumed number of resident days <u>or</u> occupied units.
- Show the conclusions for the major expense categories.
- Show the net operating income, effective gross income, expense per bed <u>or</u> unit, and the overall expense percentage. It is not necessary to show the potential gross income.

If the appraiser's pro forma does not include sufficient detail, the following table may be used or adapted to produce a pro forma acceptable to ORCF. The input fields are shaded. Non-shaded fields are automatic calculations. Double click the table to open for editing.>>

Pro Forma - Appraiser's Conclusions

In some Source (see and a line for each son du ded and	Rate Per	what % of total	1 2	# of	Source Total
Income Source (separate line for each conduded rate)	Resident	units are this	% for this	Resident	Annually
	Day	type?	type*	Days/year	
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yer source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
Re siden tia	al In come Total	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0

-	te steen den nie eente Totta	0.070	0.070
	Other Inc. @		Per Resident
Other In come Sources	Forecasted	% of EGI	Day
	Occupancy		Day
e.g. Therapy	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Level of Care Fees	\$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0
e.g. Se con d Occupant Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Misc/Assessment Fees	\$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
Other In co	ome Total \$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
		4	

Effective Gross Income \$0

Expense Categories	Dollars Annually	% of EGI	Per Resident Day
e.g. General & Administrative	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Resident Care	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Food Services	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Activities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Hou sekeeping & Laun dry	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Maintenance	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Utilities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Marketing and Promotion	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. In surance (property & liability)	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
e.g. Collection Loss/Bad Debt	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Su b-total	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Real Estate (Property) Taxes	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
M an agement Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Replacement Reserves	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Total Expenses	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Net Operating Income		\$0	

Net Operating Income

<< Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to HID requirements (e.g., specific reserve requirements, or for tax abstraction).</p>

appraiser's due to HUD requirements (e.g., specific reserve requirements, or for tax abatements that the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for

loan sizing based on Debt Service Coverage. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. >>

Capitalization Rate – As Proposed

<<The selection of the capitalization rate should be based primarily on recent sales rather than from investment models. Ideally, these rates would come from the Building Sales Comparables. However, these are often chosen by location before sale date. Recent cap rate data should be included every time, even if an additional set of cap rate comps or a survey needs to be introduced. In the table below, please add columns or duplicate the table as needed to accommodate additional comps.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Capitalization Rate Summary	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 3	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 4	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 5	Comp. Average	Appraiser Conclusion	Un derwriter Conclusion
Capitalization Rate								
Identify Source of Income Data								
Uses Retro or Prospective NOI								
Date of Sale								
Year Built								

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion</p>

Sales Comparison Approach - As Proposed

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

		2 ouble chief morae are 2 neer ruble to add miloniacion)							
			Comparable 2	Comparable 3	Comparable 4	Comparable 5			
Summary of Comparable Sales Data		Hope Health care					Average	Appraisal	UW
		Anywhere, XX							
	Unadjusted						#DIV/0!		
Price Per (specify	Adjusted						#DIV/0!		
Unit OR Bed)	Net Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!		
		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
	EGIM						#DIV/0!		
Expense Ratio Year Built							#DIV/0!		
	Date of Sale								

Price Per Unit/Bed - As Proposed

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Include a general discussion of adjustments made to the sales and which comparables best represent the subject facility.</p>

Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Proposed

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Cost Approach – As Proposed

Development Cost

<< Provide narrative discussion. This section is a place for the lender to summarize the cost conclusions of the appraisal. The costs in this section will be different than those in the Cost Review Section. This section will focus on market costs, as opposed to the Cost Reviewer Section that will be geared toward HUD-specific costs, such as Davis-Bacon wages.>>

Depreciation

<< With new construction this will normally be not applicable, but if the appraiser concludes there is external obsolescence, or depreciation associated with a preexisting structure, it should be discussed here.>>

Major Movable Equipment

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Address discrepancies between appraiser and cost analyst. Additionally, address ownership of the major movable equipment (e.g., borrower or operator).>>

Land Value

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Include an analysis of the comparable data.>>

Reconciliation – As Proposed

As-Proposed					
Mark et Value Summary					
Approach	Appraisal	Un der writ er			
In come Capit alization					
Sales Comparison					
Cost Approach (if utilized)					
Conclusion:					

<<Provide narrative discussion of how the value approaches were reconciled to reach the final conclusions. The statement may be simple. For example, "As demonstrated in the Appraisal Overview section above, the underwritten value conclusion is based on the income approach to value." If the value conclusion is based on weighting multiple approaches provide an explanation of the rationale.>>

Lender Modifications - As Proposed

<<State if the lender concurs, or not, with the appraiser's value conclusion. When there is a disagreement, summarize the valuation modifications made by lender underwriter. Insert a pro forma to highlight the differences in conclusions as needed. View the appraisal as a tool to do your underwriting and loan sizing correctly. Lenders should not use a value they disagree with and are allowed to use a lower value/NOI for loan sizing purposes. If lenders feel they are prohibited from doing this, they should cite the FIRREA rule at issue in the narrative.>>

Initial Operating Deficit

<<Below is the "output screen" of ORCF's required IOD model. Double click to open. There are 3 tabs, the first of which is the "Input" screen. At this early stage, the first tab is the only area you will make entries (entry cells are shaded in light blue). Once finished with the entries, return to the "Output – Summary Exhibit" tab and click your mouse outside the excel chart to close. All three tabs are to be included as exhibit 1-3A.1. The electronic version of exhibit 1-3A.1, should be submitted as a functioning Excel (or equivalent) workbook. After construction is complete, this workbook will again be used to make draw requests on the IOD escrow account (Details and Draw Request tab). Enter narrative explanations below as needed below.>>

0	Prepared:
---	-----------

Occupancy Assumptions

		UW	Number of
Unit Type	Total # Beds	Occupancy	Preleases
e.g. Assisted Living	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Memory Care	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Skilled Nursing or Sub-Acute	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Independent Living	0	0.00%	0.00
Totals	0	#DIV/0!	0.00

Rounded Operating Deficit Total

	Occupied	Occupied	Income	Exp. & Mort
Period	Units	Percent	per Period	per Period
Month 1	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 2	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 3	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 4	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 5	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 6	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 7	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 8	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 9	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 10	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 11	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 12	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 13	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 14	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 15	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 16	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 17	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 18	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 19	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 20	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 21	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 22	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 23	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 24	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 25	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 26	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 27	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 28	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 29	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 30	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 31	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 32	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 33	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 34	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!

ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey

Da	ite:		
Fii	m:		
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Are there any differences between the legal description on the survey and legal description included in the pro forma title policy?		
2.			
3.	Does the survey indicate any boundary encroachments?		
4.	Does the survey evidence any buildings encroaching on utility or other easements or rights-of-way?		
5.	Are there any unusual circumstances or items that require special attention or conditions?		
<u>Ti</u>	<u>tle</u>		
Ti	tle Search		
Dā	te of search:		
Fii	rm:		
Fil	e number:		
Ke	ey Questions	• •	3.7
1.	Is the title currently vested in an entity or individual other than the proposed	Yes	No
	borrower?		
2.	Does the report indicate that delinquent real estate taxes are owed?		
3.	Does the report indicate any outstanding special assessments?		
4.	Does the report identify any outstanding debt that is not disclosed on the borrower's listing of outstanding obligations?		

		Yes	No
5.	Are there or will there be any Use and Maintenance Agreements associated with this facility?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic of how it will be mitigated.>>	lescribing th	ne risk
Pi	o-forma Policy		
Da	te/time:		
Fir	m:		
Po	licy number:		
Ke	y Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Is the title vested in an entity or individual other than the proposed borrower?		
2.	Are there any covenants, , encumbrances, liens, restrictions, or other exceptions indicated on Schedule B-1?		
3.	Are there any use or affordability restrictions remaining in effect on the property?		
4.	Are there any easements or rights-of-way listed that are not indicated on the survey?		
5.	Are there any endorsements included aside from the standard HUD-require endorsements?		
6.	Are there any subordination agreements, encroachments or similar issues that require HUD's approval?		
7.	Are there any other matters requiring special consideration, agreements, or conditions that require HUD's attention?		
8.	Are there any easements, rights-of-way, encroachments, etc., identified on Schedules B-1 and B-2 that, in the lenders opinion, affect value or the marketability of the project?		
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the ample, "Additional Endorsements: As described in the Risk Factors section		

the XXXX does not conform to the past or current zoning requirements. The lender recommends...>>

Environmental

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Da	te of inspection:			
Fir	m:			
Co	nsultant:			
T 7				
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No
1.	Does the report recommend a Phase II assessment, other reports, or additional testing?			
2.	Does the vapor encroachment screen amendment to the Phase I identify "vapor encroachment condition" (VEC)? (<i>The vapor encroachment screen mu performed using the Tier 1 "non-invasive" screening pursuant to ASTM E 2600-10.</i>)	ıst be		
3.	Does the report indicate evidence of any soil staining or distressed vegetation, unusual odors, pools of liquid, leaking containers or equipme hazardous materials or other unidentified substances?			
4.	Does the report indicate evidence of any chemical misuse or unlawful dumping at the site?	•••••		
	Does the report indicate the presence or suspected presence of any underground storage tanks or aboveground storage tanks on the site?			
6.	Does the report's review of all major governmental databases for listings potentially hazardous sites within the ASTM required search distances for the property identify any potential contamination concerns for the property.	rom		
7.	Do the Phase I or Phase II reports recommend any required actions or conditions?			
8.	Was the Phase I ESA conducted more than 180 days before the firm commitment application was submitted? (This report must not be more than 1 days old at the time of submission. ORCF is not able to waive this requirement.)			
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the top defined how it will be mitigated.>>	ic desci	ribing ti	he risk
Pro	ogram Guidance – Above-ground storage tanks (ASTs):			
fac pre AS	JD is required to qualitatively evaluate the risks associated with proximity ilities. ORCF reviews on Section 232 applications will consider the pote sented by liquid fuel and gas aboveground storage tanks (ASTs). When a Ts are located onsite or when offsite tanks are visible from the property, ceptable Separation Distance must be included in the application.	ntial da existing	anger g or pro	

General Overview

The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was performed in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-05 << *Because ASTM may amend these requirements, please reference the most current version.*>> The investigation specifically included a reconnaissance of the subject site and the immediate surrounding area, a review of regulatory agency information, a survey of local geological and topographical maps, a review of aerial photographic studies, survey of water sources, a review of historical information, and a limited visual inspection for suspect asbestos containing materials (ACMs).

Lender Comments

<< Provide a brief summary of comments made by underwriter. If none, state none.>>

Other Potential Environmental Concerns

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Is the project located within a designated coastal barrier resource area		
2.	Is the project located within 5 miles of a civil airport or within 15 miles of a military airfield?		
3.	Is the project located within 1,000 feet of major highways or busy roads		
4.	Is the project located within 3,000 feet of a railroad?		
5.	Are there existing or proposed stationary tanks containing explosive or fire- prone materials of 100 gallons or larger on the site or nearby the site that are visible from satellite images or site reconnaissance?		
6.	Are there any wetlands on the subject site?		
	• If so, will the project impact or disturb wetland areas or their buffer zones?		
7.	Is any construction of the project likely to affect any listed or proposed endangered or threatened species or critical habitats?		
8.	Is the project located on a sole source aquifer?		
9.	Are there any known landfills within ½-mile of the site?		
10.	Are any buildings located in the fall zone of any high voltage power transmission or other towers?		
11.	Does the project include a structure that was built before 1978?		
	• If so, was a comprehensive asbestos survey performed by a qualified asbestos inspector pursuant to the "baseline survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 provided (required for all buildings constructed before 1978)?		

<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated. For example: **Item 11 - Existing Structures on Site:** A vacant one-story house and two storage sheds currently occupy the site. The current owner of the land

will be relocating these structures prior to initial closing, at no cost to the Borrower. Therefore, no off-site or demolition costs are anticipated.

Because of the existing structures, we have addressed potential asbestos and lead-based paint concerns. A qualified assessor evaluated the house and outbuildings for asbestos containing materials. A comprehensive asbestos survey was performed pursuant to the "baseline survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 and no asbestos containing materials were identified. A visual inspection by the environmental assessor also indicated that there is no evidence of peeling paint and no suspect lead-based paint containing surfaces were identified. Given the condition of the paint, the fact that the buildings are not occupied, and the fact that they will be relocated prior to the start of construction, the underwriter and the assessor conclude that no further action is warranted.>>

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance

<< Provide narrative description indicating whether or not SHPO has been contacted, information sent to SHPO, and any response received. For example: "Since we are not making changes to the exterior of the building, there is no impact on any historical property.">>

Key Questions		
 Are there any known historic preservation issues related to the subject? Have any other archeological or cultural resource centers been consulted? 	Yes	No
<>As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion of example, "We have received a letter from the XXXX State Historic Society, dated determined that the site is of no historical or suspected cultural significance. No investigation was recommended by the State.">>	XXXX. It	was
How did the SHPO respond regarding the Historic Preservation Review?		
No potential to cause effect. No adverse effect. Adverse effect (explain below). Other (please describe): Not applicable; response has not yet been received.		
< <if adverse="" an="" concluded="" effect,="" exp<br="" have="" please="" project="" shpo="" that="" the="" will="">will be mitigated.>></if>	olain how th	nis
Flood Plain		
NFIP Map Panel #: Date:		
Flood Zone:		

Κŧ	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Does the community participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)? (A project located in a FEMA-identified special flood hazard area, where the community has been suspended for or does not participate in the NFIP, is not eligible for mortgage insurance.)		
2.	Is the subject located within the 100- or 500-year floodplain?*		
3.	Does the Standard Flood Hazard Determination Form indicate that the subject is located within the 100- or 500-year floodplain?*		
4.	Is flood insurance required for this property?		
exl wil of	*If the project is in a 100- or 500-year floodplain, provide a narrative discussion in the application checklist with detailed information about how all be altered and improvements designed. Include the elevation of the property, the floodplain, and the location of life support systems.)>> **OFFOWER**	the prop	erty
	me:		
	te of organization:		
Da	te formed:		
Te	rmination date:		
Fis	scal year-end date:		
Κe	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the borrower currently own any assets other than the property or participate in any other businesses?		
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the borrower been delinquent on any federal debt?		
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the borrower been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, has the borrower ever claimed bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the borrower?		
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated.>>	the topi	С

Organization

<< Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable. At a minimum, all principals of the borrower should be identified.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<>Narrative description of borrower (experience, if any) and qualifications. For example, "The borrower entity is a newly formed single-asset entity that was established in {date} to develop and own the subject project.">>

Cr	edit History			
	port Date:	< <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
	porting Firm:			
Sco	ore:			
Als	*	tion of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, medit luated numerically, explain what value the credit agency pl		_
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No
1.	<u> </u>	ort identify any material derogatory information not d?		
	report?	er have any concerns related to their review of the credit		
3.	Is the credit report d	lated more than 60 days before the application date?		
	For each "yes" ansv <u>d</u> how it will be mitig	wer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic desc vated.>>	ribing the	risk
Pro	ogram Guidance:			
RC	•	kB) or other acceptable commercial credit report for busines r individuals are required. If not using D&B, an acceptable de the following:		
2.	UCC filings	ncludes suits, liens, judgments, bankruptcies, and federal de	bt.	
	Credit payment histo Industry standards s payment trends	showing how the facility compares in the areas of financial s	stress and	
5.	1 0	elinquency risk score over a 12-month period.		

Financial Statements The application includes the following Borrower financial statements: Balance Sheet as of: **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Is the balance sheet missing any required information or schedules? 2. Does the balance sheet provided include financial data from assets or liabilities not related to owning and operating this facility? 3. Did your review and analysis of the balance sheet indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed? << For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic.>> **General Review** << Provide Narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key</p> Questions above, working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and position of the entity.>> Conclusion << Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For</p> example, "The borrower is a single-asset entity registered in the state of XXX on {date}. It was formed solely to own and operate the subject project. The organizational documents have been reviewed by counsel and comply with HUD requirements in order to participate as an acceptable borrower in this transaction.">> Principal of the Borrower - <<enter name of principal here>> << Provide this section for each principal of the borrower.>> **Key Questions** Yes No 1. According to the application exhibits, is or has the principal been delinquent on any federal debt? 2. According to the application exhibits, is or has the principal been a defendant in any suit or legal action? 3. According to the application exhibits, has the principal ever filed for

Credit reports can be no more than 60 days old at the time of the firm application submission.

			1 63	110
	bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?	•••••		
4.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded again the principal?			
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens against the principal?			
and	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topi how it will be mitigated. >>	c descr	ibing tl	ne risk
Oi	ganization			
<<	Not applicable to individuals. If the principal is an <u>entity</u> , provide the fol	lowing	:>>	
Na	me:			
Sta	te of organization:			
Da	te formed:			
Te	mination date:			
<<	As applicable, please provide organization chart and narrative discussion	n.>>		

Experience/Qualifications

<< Provide narrative description of principal's experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other HUD transactions. This section should clearly demonstrate that the borrower will have sufficient expertise from which to draw to successfully on to own the facility.>>

<<Narrative must also include a discussion on the available working capital of the party (or parties) who will be responsible for providing the financial requirements for closing and beyond. The discussion must clearly show that this party has the ability to support the project over the long-term. In addition, include the percentage of owner's/principal's equity into the project, net worth, and liquidity. See Program Guidance below.>>

<u>Program Guidance – Supporting Documentation of Appropriate Experience:</u>

The application for firm commitment must include complete information on the individuals and/or entity that will bring the appropriate experience to the project. Appropriate experience is 3 to 5 years successful practice in developing, owning and/or operating board and care facilities, assisted living facilities, and/or skilled nursing facilities. If an entity or its principal does not have the appropriate experience, it may contract with a third-party experienced operator. Evidence of appropriate experience <u>must</u> be provided that includes specific project examples including project name, type of care provided, location, unit/bed count, year opened and key operating metrics (fill pace, occupancy, net operating income margins), and specific

Vac

NTo

project. In addition to the requirements of the application package, the Lender Narrative must also provide a complete discussion on the borrower's commitment to the project, both financially and in a business sense, over the long-term as well as the borrower's experience. Credit History Report date: <<within 60 days of submission>> Reporting firm: Score: **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? 3. Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date? << If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, medium, or high risk, etc. Also, if the score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit agency places on the score.>> **Other Business Concerns Key Questions** Yes No 1. Does the principal identify any other business concerns? a. Do any of the other business concerns have pending judgments, legal actions/suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be obtained on the business concern.)..... N/A b. If so, was a credit report obtained on the business concern? 2. Do the credit reports on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns indicate any material derogatory information?..... N/A << As applicable, a "yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>>

responsibilities for the management and operation of the example health care facility. The ORCF is seeking assurance that the developers and other stakeholders are committed to the long-term success of their project and have the requisite experience to operate and manage the

Credit Reports for Other Business Concerns:

<< Provide narrative discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX identified XX other business concerns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX other business concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loan transaction.>>

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory information, etc.)

Other Section 232 Projects

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Does the principal identify any other Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) applications on their consolidated certification?		
2.	Does the principal identify any other existing Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) projects on their consolidated certification?		

<<For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Business Concerns: XXXXX identified XX other business concerns in addition to the borrower and the newly formed operator discussed in this narrative. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX Other Business Concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX participation in this loan transaction.</p>

Example: <u>Other Section 232 Applications</u>: XXXXX identified XX other Section 232 loan application – {projects}. The applications were submitted XXX and closed in XXX. As this is only XXXXX's Xth FHA-insured healthcare loan, no additional reviews are required>>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "XXX has demonstrated an acceptable credit history and sufficient experience owning and operating other facilities. The underwriter recommends this principal as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>

<u>O</u>	<u>perator</u>			
Na	ime:			
Sta	ate of organization:			
	te Formed:			
Te	rmination date:			
K	ey Questions			
			Yes	No
1.	Does the operator currently own, operate, or manage any other facilities? you answer "yes," a waiver is required.)			
2.	Does the operator contract out nursing services, exclusive of temporary staffing, through an agency and/or contracting for ancillary services (e.g., therapies, pharmaceuticals)?			
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the operator been delinquer on any federal debt?			
4.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the operator been a defendation any suit or legal action?			
5.	According to the application exhibits, has the operator ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?			
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded agains the operator?			
7.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?	•••		
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussio scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated. >>	n on	the topic	C
0	rganization			
< <	Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable.>>			
E	kperience/Qualifications			
hig sh	Provide narrative description of operator's experience and qualifications. If the provide the construction of operator's experience and qualifications, if any, we could clearly demonstrate that the operator has the expertise to successfully could operate a facility.	. Thi	s sectior	1

<u>Program Guidance – Supporting Documentation of Appropriate Experience:</u>

The application for Firm Commitment must include complete information on the individuals and/or entity that will be bringing appropriate experience to the project. Appropriate experience is 3 to 5 years successful practice in developing, owning and/or operating board and care

facilities, assisted living facilities, and/or skilled nursing facilities. If an entity or its principal does not have the appropriate experience, it may contract with a third-party experienced operator. Evidence of appropriate experience <u>must</u> be provided that includes specific project examples including project name, type of care provided, location, unit/bed count, year opened and key operating metrics (fill pace, occupancy, net operating income margins) and specific responsibilities for the management and operation of the example health care facility. ORCF is seeking assurance that the developers and other stakeholders are committed to the long-term success of their project and have the requisite experience to operate and manage the project.

In addition to the requirements of the application package, the Lender Narrative must also provide a complete discussion on the borrower's commitment to the project, both financially and in a business sense over the long-term as well as his/her experience.

Credit History			
Report date:	< <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
Reporting firm:			
Score:			
Key Questions			
		Yes	No
	eport identify any material derogatory information not seed?		
	riter have any concerns related to their review of the credit		
3. Is the credit report	rt dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
mitigated below. Pro	es" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and leavide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, media score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit	um, or h	_
Financial State	ments		
The application inclu	des the following operator financial statements:		
Year to date:	< <dates and="" end="" for="" of="" period="" start="">></dates>		
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		

Κŧ	ey Questions	
	•	Yes
1.	Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the operator? .	
2.	Are the financial statements missing any required information or schedules?	
3.	Do any of the financial statements indicate a loss prior to depreciation?	
4.	Do the Aging of Accounts Payable schedules show any material accounts payables (amount in excess of 5% effective gross income) over 90 days?	
5.	Do the Aging of Accounts Receivable schedules show any material accounts receivables (amounts in excess of 2% of gross income) over 120 days?	
6.	Are there any issues or discrepancies related to tenant deposit accounts (e.g., not fully funded)?	
7.	Did your review and analysis of the financial statements indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?	
8.	Within the last 3 fiscal years (as applicable) are there any negative or declining NOI?	

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. The Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable analysis provides information regarding an entity's collection and payment practices, policies, and potential risks to the new project. Discuss your analysis of these issues and how the lender determined they are an acceptable risk. For example: "No Financial Statements: The operator is a newly formed entity and does not have a financial history to report. At this time, the operation of this facility is the new entity's sole purpose, so there is no need to review financial data from other facilities or sources.">>>

General Review

<<Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, net working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Net Income Analysis

Net Income*

In total \$				
20XX	20 XX	20XX	YTD	
			(Indicate time frame)	
\$	\$	\$		

^{*}before depreciation, amortization, and any other non-cash expense

<< Provide an explanation of any Net Losses or declining Net Incomes for the year-to-date and last 3 fiscal years, as applicable.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The operator entity has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history as demonstrated in our analysis of their financial statements and credit history as discussed above. The operator has the experience to successfully operate this facility. The underwriter recommends this operator for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>>

Parent of Operator (if applicable)

<< Provide this section for each parent organization of the operator. This section is not applicable to individuals who are principals unless you are depending on the person or persons for approval of the operator (e.g., newly formed entity). In that instance (individuals), follow the Principal of the Borrower template and modify it appropriately for an operator. >>

Na	me:		
Sta	ate of organization:		
Da	te formed:		
Te	rmination date:		
Ke	ey Questions	*7	3 .7
1.	Does the parent of operator currently own, operate, or manage any other facilities?	Yes	No
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the parent of operator been delinquent on any federal debt?		
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the parent of operator been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, has the parent of operator ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the parent of operator?		
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
7.	Does the parent of operator have other HUD properties that are master leased separately from the subject project?		
		.1 .	

<< As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated. Example: <u>S&P Rating</u>: The entity is rated X by S&P. The rating agency indicates the outlook for the company is X.>>

Organization

<< Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<< Provide narrative description of experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other FHA transactions. This section should clearly demonstrate the expertise to successfully lease up a new facility and operate the facility.>>

Credit History			
Report date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>			
Reporting firm:			
Score:			
Key Questions			
		Yes	No
Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information previously discussed?			
2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the report?			
3. Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application d	ate?		
mitigated below. Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of risk, etc. Also, if the score is evaluated numberically, explain the value on the score.>> Other Business Concerns			
Key Questions			
		Yes	No
1. Does the parent of the operator identify any other business concerns	;?		
a. Do any of the other business concerns have pending judgments,	t ha		
legal actions/suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must obtained on the business concern.)			
b. If so, was a credit report obtained on the business concern?			
2. Do the credit reports on the 10% sampling of the other business con			
indicate any material derogatory information?	N/A		
<as "yes"="" <u="" a="" answer="" applicable,="" discussion="" narrative="" on="" requires="" risk="" the="">and how it will be mitigated.>></as>	ıe topic deso	cribing ti	he

Credit Reports for Other Business Concerns:

<<Provide narrative discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX identified XX other business concerns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX other business concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loan transaction.>>

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory information, etc.)

Other Section 232 Projects

T7	\sim	. •	
Key	()11	Actio	nc
IXCY	Qu	Coul	

		Yes	No
1.	Does the parent of the operator identify any other Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) applications on their consolidated certification?		
	Consolidated Certification:		
2.	Does the parent of the operator identify any other existing Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) projects on their consolidated certification?		

<<For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Business Concerns: XXXXX identified XX other business concerns in addition to the borrower and the newly formed operator discussed in this narrative. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX Other Business Concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX participation in this loan transaction.</p>

Example: <u>Other Section 232 Applications</u>: XXXXX identified XX other Section 232 loan application – {projects}. The applications were submitted XXX and closed in XXX. As this is only XXXXX's Xth FHA-insured healthcare loan, no additional reviews are required>>

Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed

Key Questions					
Does the parent of the operator ow facilities?	Yes	No			
2. Do any of the other facilities have or, bankruptcy claims?					
	any open professional liability insurance				
4. Do any of the other facilities have					
<< As applicable, for each "yes" answ describing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been	ver above, provide a narrative discussion on n or will be mitigated.	the topic			
Program Guidance:					
For other projects/facilities owned, operated, or managed, the lender must submit copies of inspection reports for the facilities that have <u>open</u> level "G" or higher citations/deficiencies. This includes negative inspection results for ALF and B&C facilities. The lender must address any issues/risks associated with the reports and show how they would be mitigated. If no open/unresolved level G or higher deficiencies, this should be stated. *Note: If any facility has recent (within last 2 years) <u>resolved</u> "G" or higher citations/deficiencies, the lender must address this in the narrative; however, a copy of the report is not required.					
Financial Statements The application includes the following	g financial statements for the Parent of the Op	perator:			
Year to date: < <<<<d<dd<a< td=""><th>ates for start and end of period>></th><th></th><td></td></a<>	ates for start and end of period>>				
Fiscal year ending: < <a< td=""><th>ate – end of period>></th><th></th><td></td></a<>	ate – end of period>>				
Fiscal year ending: < <a>d	ate – end of period>>				
Fiscal year ending: < <a>a<a<a>a<a<a>a<a<a>a<a<a>a<a<a>a<a>a</a<a></a<a></a<a></a<a></a<a>	ate – end of period>>				
Key Questions		Yes	No		
<u> </u>	financial data available for the parent of				
2. Are the financial statements missing	ng any required information or schedules?				

		Yes	No
3.	Do the Aging of Accounts Payable schedules show any material accounts payables (amount in excess of 5% effective gross income) over 90 days?		
4.	Did your review and analysis of the financial statements indicate any other		
	material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please identify each risk factor and how it is mitigated below. The Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable analysis provides information regarding an entities collection and payment practices, policies, and potential risk to the subject. Discuss your analysis of these issues and how the lender determined they are an acceptable risk. >>

General Review

<< Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Net Income Analysis

Net Income* In total \$

20XX 20XX		20XX	YTD (Indicate time frame)
\$	\$	\$	

^{*}before depreciation, amortization, and any other non-cash expense

<< Provide an explanation of any Net Losses or declining Net Incomes for the year-to-date and last 3 fiscal years, as applicable.>>

Conclusion

<<Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The parent of the operator entity has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history. The underwriter's review of the parent of the operator does not reveal any material derogatory information that would prohibit the approval of the operator entity as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>>

Management Agent (if applicable) - <<insert name here>>

Na	me:			
Re	lation to borrower:	< <owner entity="" independent="" ioi="" managed="" other="">></owner>		
Pri	ncipals/officers:			
Ke	y Questions			
			Yes	No
1.		olication exhibits, is or has the management agent been deral debt?		
2.	0 11	olication exhibits, is or has the management agent been a t or legal action?		
3.	0 11	olication exhibits, has the management agent ever filed ade compromised settlements with creditors?		
4.		olication exhibits, are there judgments recorded against nt?		
5.	According to the app	olication exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		

<>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it has been or will be mitigated.>>

Management Agent's Duties and Responsibilities

<<Briefly describe/list the management agent's duties and responsibilities (i.e., will the management agent control the operating accounts; contract for services; recruit, select or train employees; take responsibility for the management of the functional operation of the facility or the execution of the day-to-day policies of the facility; etc.).>>

<< Also describe the nature of the management agent's compensation and how it was calculated.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<<Provide narrative description of experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other FHA transactions, if any. Include a discussion/explanation of any current REAC scores less than 60. This section should clearly demonstrate the expertise to successfully manage the facility and meet the obligations of the management agreement. This section should clearly demonstrate that the management agent has the expertise to successfully lease up a new facility and operate a facility.>>

Credit History						
Report date: <= within 60 days of submission>>						
Reporting firm:						
Score:						
Key Questions	Yes	No				
Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed?						
2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report?						
3. Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date?						
<>If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, medium, or high risk, etc. Also, if the score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit agency places on the score.>>						
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed						
Key Questions	Yes	No				
1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities?						
2. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims?						
3. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims?						
4. Do any of the other facilities have any open Citations or state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)?						
<< As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion describing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated.	on the topic	С				
Program Guidance:						
For other projects/facilities owned, operated, or managed, the lender must subminspection reports for the facilities that have <u>open</u> level "G" or higher citations/dincludes negative inspection results for ALF and B&C facilities. The lender muissues/risks associated with the reports and show how they would be mitigated.	leficiencies st address a	. This				

Note: If any facility has recent (within last 2 years) <u>resolved</u> "G" or higher citations/ deficiencies, the lender must address this in the narrative; however, a copy of the report is not required.

Past and Current Performance

Ind	licator Findings		
Bil	lling < <acceptable>></acceptable>		
Co	ntrolling operating expenses		
Va	cancy rates		
Re	sident turnover		
Re	nt collection and accounts receivable		
Ph	ysical security		
	ysical condition and maintenance		
Re	sident relations		
the poi dei	Provide narrative support for review and finding. For example, "Based on integration of the Borrower and management agent, as well as a review of the licies and procedures, the underwriter has concluded that the management age monstrated acceptable past and current performance with regard to all of the addicators.">>	managen nt has	
	anagement Agreement		
	te of agreement:		
Αg	reement expires:		
Ma	anagement fee:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the agreement sufficiently describe the services the agent is		
	responsible for performing and for which the agent will be paid management		
_	fees?		
2.	Does the agreement provide that the management fees will be computed and		
	paid according to HUD requirements?		
3.	Does the agreement provide that HUD may require the owner to terminate		
	the agreement without penalty and without cause upon written request by		
	HUD and contain a provision that gives no more than a 30-day notice of		
	termination?		
4.	Does the agreement provide that HUD's rights and requirements will prevail		
	in the event the management agreement conflicts with them?		

		Yes	No
5.	Does the agreement provide that the management agent will turn over to the owner all of the project's cash trust accounts, investments, and records immediately, but in no event more than 30 days after the date the management agreement is terminated?		
6.	The agreement does <u>not</u> exempt the agent from gross negligence and or		
	willful misconduct?		
7.	Is the Form HUD-9839-ORCF consistent with the Management Agreement?		
	For each "no" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic descented how it will be mitigated. >>	ribing th	e risk
С	onclusion		
ext ext	Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation ample, "The management agent has demonstrated an acceptable credit history perience to continue to successfully manage this facility. The underwriter reconnagement agent for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction."	and has i	
<u>G</u>	<u>eneral Contractor</u>		
Na	me:		
Sta	ate of organization:		
Li	cense number/state:		
Su	rety:		
Κe	ey Questions		
1.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the general contractor been	Yes	No
	delinquent on any federal debt?		
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the general contractor been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
3.	According to the application exhibits, has the general contractor ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the general contractor?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
6.	Is the general contractor a joint-venture?		
7.	If the general contractor is a subsidiary of another entity, are they relying upon the parent to demonstrate financial capacity? (If yes, provide financial analysis of parent.)		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<< Provide narrative description of general contractor's experience and qualifications.</p>
Discussion should highlight the contractor's experience constructing similar type and size projects. It should discuss the architectural and cost reviewer's analysis of the contractor's experience, bonding capacity, financial capacity, etc.>>

Cı	redit History		
	port date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>	_	
Re	porting firm:	_	
Sc	ore:	_	
Κŧ	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed?		
2.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the cred report?		
3.	Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
O i	ther Business Concerns		
Κŧ	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the general contractor identify any other business concerns?		
	a. Do any of the other business concerns have pending judgments, legal actions/suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be obtained on the business concern.)	//A	
	b. If so, was a credit report obtained on the business concern? N	[/A	
2.	Do the credit reports on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns indicate any material derogatory information?	//A	
	As applicable, a "yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic k <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated.>>	c describing	the

Credit Reports for Other Business Concerns:

<<Provide narrative discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX identified XX other business concerns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX other business concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loan transaction.>>

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory information, etc.)

<<dates for start and end of period>>

Financial Statements

Year to date:

The application includes the following General Contractor financial statements:

F 15	scal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fis	scal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fis	scal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Κe	ey Questions			
			Yes	No
1.	5	ical financial data available for the general		
2.	Are the financial statements m	nissing any required information or schedules?		
3.	1 0	t downward income prior to depreciation over he general contractor's Income & Expense		
4.	0 0	yable schedules show any material accounts 5% effective gross income) over 90 days?		
5.	5 5	ceivable schedules show any material accounts s of 2% of gross income) over 120 days?		
6.		of the financial statements indicate any other ses that need to be addressed?		
7.		ave less than the required 5% adjusted working		
< <	<if "yes"="" answer="" any="" of<="" td="" to="" you=""><td>the above questions, identify the risk factor and l</td><td>how it is</td><td></td></if>	the above questions, identify the risk factor and l	how it is	

Contractor may hypothecate fixed assets. The contractor has a sale pending on another building

mitigated below. For example: Item 7 – Contractor has less than 5% working capital.

that they have constructed. Lender will provide evidence prior to closing that funds are available to meet the 5% working capital.>>

General Review

<< Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, net working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Working Capital Analysis

<< Provide narrative and analysis of contractor's working capital. Analysis should discuss appropriate adjustments to current assets and liabilities; how you account for work-in-progress; lines-of-credit; verifications of deposit; etc.</p>

Example: XXXX current balance sheet is summarized below.

		Financial Statement As of	Working Capital	
	,	XXXXXXXX	Analysis	
Current Assets				-
Cash Accounts	\$	1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000	
Retainage Receivable		3,600,000	3,600,000	
Accounts Receivable		4,900,000	4,700,000	
Accounts Receivable - Employees		110,000	-	
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		5,000	-	
Accounts Receivable - RELATED		25,000	-	
Cost & Profit in Excess of Bill		650,000	650,000	
Prepaid Insurance		150,000	-	
Total Current Assets	\$	10,640,000	\$ 10,150,000	-
Current Liabilities				
Retainage Payable	\$	2,680,000	\$ 2,680,000	
Accounts Payable		4,720,000	4,720,000	
Profit Sharing Payable		-	-	
Current Portion of Notes Payable		66,000	66,000	
Accrued Payables		445,000	445,000	
Total Current Liabilities	\$	7.911.000	\$ 7.911.000	-

The underwriter has made the following modification for the working capital analysis:

Example:

- Only used accounts receivable less than 90 days old
- Did not use accounts receivable from related parties.
- *Did not include prepaid expenses.*

The underwriter's analysis of Work in Progress is as follows:

		%			Used for
1 o lo	Contract	Compl	Contract		Work In
Job	### Amount	ete	Balance \$		Progress
Project A	э 309,875	87.0%	ф 40,284	\$	40,284
Project B	25,790,007	92.6%	1,908,461		-
Project C	11,050,619	99.6%	44,202		-
Project D	1,673,600	66.5%	560,656		560,656
Project E	5,935,000	77.0%	1,365,050		1,365,050
:	8,807,800	61.0%	3,435,042		3,435,042
:	196,200	42.2%	113,404		113,404
:	244,429	39.2%	148,613		148,613
:	833,806	98.0%	16,676		-
:	100,164	16.8%	83,336		83,336
:	2,063,500	4.6%	1,968,579		1,968,579
:	74,434	36.5%	47,266		47,266
:	922,400	25.7%	685,343	. <u></u>	685,343
	\$ 58,001,834 5 9	% of Worl	\$ 10,416,912 k in Progress	\$	8,447,572 422,379

The underwriter calculated the working capital necessary for the work in progress as 5% of the contract balances for all work that was less than 90% complete. The working capital for the planned sister facility in XXXXX is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,356,426. The working capital for the subject is 5% of the contract amount of \$6,502,743.

Based on the above adjustments and analysis, the underwriter concludes to the following working capital analysis:

Current Assets	10,150,000
Current Liabilities	(7,911,000)
Working Capital	\$ 2,239,000
Working Capital for Other Work in Progress	(422,379)
Working Capital for planned SISTER Facility	(317,821)
Working Capital for Subject	(325,137)
Excess Working Capital	\$ 1.173.663

The contractor clearly demonstrates sufficient working capital for the current work in progress and the planned sister facility and the subject facility. In addition to the above working capital, the contractor also has a \$XXXXM revolving line of credit that currently has no balance. The line of credit is available to supplement the above working capital, if necessary, during construction. >>

Conclusion

Administrator

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The general contractor has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history. The general contractor has the experience to continue to complete the construction. The underwriter recommends this general contractor for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction." >>

Operation of the Facility

Name:	
Employed by:	< <name administrator="" employs="" entity="" of="" pays="" who="">></name>
Facility Start Date:	< <date administrator="" as="" at="" facility="" started="" this="">></date>

<<Narrative description of experience and qualifications - For example, "{Administrator} has been a licensed administrator since XXXX. Her current Residential Care Administrator's license No. XXXXXXX expires XXXXX. It was issued by XXXXXX in the State of XXXX. Her experience includes... Since arriving at the facility, XXXX has helped to increase the revenues and profitability of the project, as evidenced by the increasing effective gross income and net operating income (NOI). XXXXX is well qualified and has demonstrated her ability to act as Administrator for the subject facility.">>

Subject's State Surveys

The application includes the following state surveys issued on the following dates over the last three (3) years of operations: (State when the survey was conducted and when the project was found in compliance.)

3 Years of Survey Inspections

Date of survey/inspection	Date state issued letter approving POC

Ke	ey Questions	3 7	N T
1.	Do the state surveys identify any instances of actual harm and/or immediate	Yes	No
	jeopardy (during last 3 year period)?		
2.	Are there currently any open findings?		
<u>an</u> de	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic descept to the descept of the descept of the mitigated. Example: General Review and Findings: Provide new scription of review. For example: "The {date} state survey inspection letter indextending the deficiencies">>	arrative	
Ot	ther Facilities Operated or Managed		
< <	This section is only applicable for skilled nursing facilities. >>		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Do any state surveys identify any instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy?		
2.	Are there currently any open findings at any of the facilities?		
< <	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the top	pic.>>	
<< ins	eneral Review and Findings Provide a narrative description of review. For example, "The most recent state pections are provided for XX skilled nursing facilities that are owned, operated XXXX. The underwriter has reviewed the findings and found">>>		ıged
Si	taffing		
<< rev	Provide narrative description of review. For example: "The appraiser and unviewed the current and proposed staffing to be charged to the facility and found ceptable and within reason">>		r have
0	perating Lease		
Da	ate of agreement:		
	urrent lease term expires:		
	escription of renewals:		
Cu	rrent lease payment:		
M	ajor movable equipment ownership: << borrower/operator>>		

Key Questions Yes No 1. Will the facility be leased? 2. Will the facility be subleased (master lease)? 3. At closing, will the lease have a term that will expire within 5 years with no lease renewal options? 4. Does the lease contain any non-disturbance provisions? 5. Does the lease require the borrower to escrow any funds other than those associated with this loan? 6. Has the lender recommended any special conditions concerning the lease? ... 7. Is the lease payment adequate to provide sufficient debt coverage after the costs of the mortgage? << For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic. For example: **Item 10 – Leased Facility** The facility will be leased to XXX. The lease and the operator are discussed in the appropriate sections of this narrative. There are no known special provisions or considerations involved with this lease that require special consideration in the underwriting.>> **Lease Payment – During Rehabilitation Period** Program Guidance – Lease Payment/Net Income During Construction Period 1. At the time of cost certification, an audited operating statement covering the period from the beginning of marketing and rent-up activities (or date of initial endorsement in rehabilitation projects involving insurance of advances or start of construction for rehabilitation projects involving insurance upon completion) to the cost certification cut-off date, must be submitted a. The borrower entity, in all cases. b. The lessee, when an identity-of-interest exists between the borrower and lessee and the lessee has executed the Regulatory Agreement, Form HUD-92466-ORCF. c. The borrower entity only, where no identity-of-interest exists between the borrower and lessee and the lessee has executed the Regulatory AgreementForm HUD-92466-ORCF. The borrower's income statement should reflect a market comparable lease payment as income. d. The borrower, where the borrower and the administrator are the same entity and Form HUD-92466-ORCF has not been executed. 2. Treat net income resulting from review of the operating statement as a recovery of

construction costs for a profit-motivated borrower and for a non-profit borrower as:

a. At cost certification, as a recovery of construction costs to the extent it was used to

reduce liquidated/actual damages.

b. As an offset for any eligible mortgage increase.

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made during the rehabilitation.>>

Lease Payment – During Lease Up

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made while the project is in lease-up.>>

Lease Payment Analysis – Stabilized, As Rehabilitated

The lease payments must be sufficient to (1) enable the borrower to meet debt service and impound requirements and (2) enable the operator to properly maintain the project and cover operating expenses. The minimum annual lease payment must be at least 1.05 times the sum of the annual principal, interest, mortgage insurance premium, reserve for replacement deposit, property insurance and property taxes.

The underwriter has prepared an analysis demonstrating the minimum annual lease payment.

a.	Annual principal and interest	\$
b.	Annual mortgage insurance premium	
c.	Annual replacement reserves	
d.	Annual property insurance	
e.	Annual real estate taxes	
f.	Total debt service and impounds	\$
h	Minimum annual lease navment	4
h	Minimum annual lease payment	Þ
•		

<<Compare the minimum annual lease payment to the current lease payment. If the lease payment needs to increase, add the following language: "The lease payment must be increased to \$XX per year (\$XX per month). The underwriter has included a special condition to the firm commitment requiring the lease payment be revised to meet or exceed this minimum." If the lease payment does not need to increase, add the following language: "The current lease payment is sufficient. The recommended annual lease payment also provides the operator with an acceptable profit margin.">>>

Program Guidance:

• <u>Clarification of minimum lease payments</u>. The annual lease payment must be calculated using a minimum of a 1.05 coverage ratio (e.g., the sum of the annual principal, annual interest, annual mortgage insurance premium, annual reserve for replacement deposit, annual

property insurance, and annual property taxes times a multiplier of 1.05). This minimum coverage level required for executed leases is different than the test measurement used in the 223(f) Lender's Narrative, which remains unchanged; it will continue at the 1.17 coverage level.

• <u>Subordination, non-disturbance and attornment agreement (SNDA)</u>. If there is an identity of interest between the borrower and the operator, a SNDA is not permitted.

Responsibilities

<< Provide a description of the responsibilities of the lessor and lessee under the terms of the lease with regard to the following: payment of real estate taxes, maintenance of building, capital improvements, replacement of equipment, property insurance, etc.>>

Master Lease

K	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Are three or more projects (or two projects with an aggregate total mortgage loan amount greater than \$15 million) being submitted to HUD that are under common control or have the same ownership?		
2.	Will the projects be submitted within an 18-month window? N/A		
3.	Is the parent of the operator the same for all of these projects? N/A		
pr de	scribing the terms of the master lease, lease payments, all parties involved, rene ovisions, etc. The HUD Lease Addendum must be attached to the Subleases. Re finitions of Common Control and Same Ownership previously provided in this le rrative.>>	efer to	
A	ccounts Receivable (A/R) Financing		
ΑI	R lender:		
Αŀ	R borrower:		

Maximum loan amount: _____

Current balance:

Current maturity date:

Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the AR loan require any guarantees from the borrower, operator, or parent of the operator, or any of those entities' principals? 2. Are the guarantors guaranteeing performance on any other AR loans? 3. Does the AR loan involve multiple facilities or borrowers? a. Does the AR loan involve any non-FHA-insured properties? N/A b. Does the AR loan involve facilities located in multiple states or HUD field office jurisdictions? 4. Is there an identity of interest between the AR lender and the AR borrower? 5. Is there any conflict of interest between the AR lender and the borrower or its principals as defined in Notice H 08-09? 6. Does the maximum AR loan amount exceed 85% of the Medicaid, Medicare, and other governmental accounts receivable less than 121 days old? 7. Of the total Medicaid, Medicare and other governmental accounts receivable less than 121 days old, are more than 30% over 90 days old? 8. Does the AR lender have less than 3 years of experience providing AR financing? 9. Does the AR lender lack the financial controls and capability to monitor the operator's performance? 10. Is the borrower or operator out of compliance with any business agreements with HUD (i.e., in default on those agreements, not current on financial submissions, etc.)? 11. Is the AR loan being syndicated or participated? 12. Is the lockbox associated with the DAISA Government Receivables account a "springing lockbox"? << For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the topic.>> **Terms and Conditions** 1. Describe the borrowing base formula (e.g., XX% of the AR borrowers accounts receivable up to 120 days): 2. Describe term and renewal options: 3. Describe the rate applied to the used and unused portion of the AR loan: 4. Other fees (i.e., financing fees, late payment fees, etc.):

Mechanisms for operator receipts, disbursements and control of operator funds:

<<Describe the flow of all funds, into and out of accounts (i.e., point of origination to final destination). Describe how deposit accounts are controlled (e.g., number of controlled accounts, hard or springing lockbox, daily sweeps, etc.). Attach cash flow chart.>>

Collateral/Security

<Provide narrative description of the AR lender's collateral/security. Explain any unsecured AR financing.>>

Permitted Uses and Payment Priorities

<< Provide descriptions of the permitted uses of the AR loan funds in order of priority. For example: (1) debt service incurred in connection with the AR loan; (2) operating costs; and (3) distributions to the operator's shareholders. See Attachment C of Notice H 08-09, Rider to Intercreditor, Paragraph 3 or any other successor guidance.>>

Financial Analysis

Maximum AR Loan Calculation

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	0-90 days	١	91-	120 da	ys	121-15	odays	151+ days
Medicare		ſ						
Medicaid								
Other Govt								
Subtotal	\$ -	ı	\$	-	5	\$	-	\$ -
Commercial		ı						
Private*								
Total	\$ -		\$	-	5	\$	-	\$ -

^{*}Private is not considered when determining HUD's maximum AR loan amount.

#DIV/0! of Medicare, Medicaid, Commercial AR less than 121 days old is over 90 days old.

\$ - HUD Maximum AR Loan Amt = 85% of Medicare, Medicaid, and Commercial AR 120 or less days old.

AR Lender Maximum Loan Amount

Historical AR Loan Costs

<<If there is an existing AR loan that is not yet approved by HUD, provide a financial analysis that explains how the cost of the AR loan has been factored into the NOI calculation. Complete the Historical AR Loan Costs table.>>

Historical AR Loan Costs

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

20XX	20XX	20XX	YTD specify months	20XX-20XX Average	UW

Proposed AR Loan Costs

<<If the AR borrower is obtaining AR financing for the first time, provide a financial analysis that demonstrates that the AR borrower has sufficient financial capacity to pay all projected operating expenses, AR financing costs and loan payments, and all rent or debt service payments. The analysis must assume the maximum AR loan amount to stress test the AR financing based on the lesser of the operator's 12-month trailing operating statements or the underwritten NOI. Calculate the impact on the borrower's debt coverage after payment of the AR loan expenses and payments.>>

Assuming the \$ maximum AR loan limit, an annual interest rate of %, and that the entire amount is outstanding for the year, the maximum annual interest expense would be \$. In addition to the interest, the other associated fees are the fees << list types of fees>>, that total \$ per year for the same assumed balance. An analysis of the operator's 12 month trailing financial statement (Month 20XX – Month 20XX) is below:

12-Month Trailing Operating History					
Operating revenue	\$				
Less: Operating expenses					
Net operating income (NOI)	\$				
Annual P&I + MIP	\$				
AR fee: Interest					
AR fee: Other					
Total annual mortgage & AR debt service	\$				
DSCR including AR					

The underwriting assumed an NOI of \$. The 12-month trailing NOI is \$. The annual debt service including the MIP amount is \$ per year. Adding the AR fees equates to a total mortgage and AR debt service expense of \$ per year. This equates to prospective debt service coverage.

<< If multiple FHA-insured facilities have access to the AR loan, repeat the analysis above with the consolidated revenues and expenses for all those facilities.>>

Recommendation

<<The lender recommends approval of the AR loan.>>

<u>Insurance</u>

Professional Liability Coverage

Program Guidance:		
operations of the subject facility	be in the name of the entity that is conducting the day-to-day. The PLI policy can be issued to the parent operator as londucting the day-to-day operations of the facility is listed or	ng as
Commercial insurance:	Yes No	
Self insurance:	Yes No	
If self insurance, describe:		
Is there a fronting policy?	Yes No	
Name of insured:		
Insurance company:		
Rating:	Rater:	
Insurance company is licensed		
in the United States:	Yes No	
Statute of limitations:		
Current coverage:	Per occurrence:	
	Aggregate:	
	Deductible:	
OR	0.10:	
<u>on</u>	retention:	
Policy Basis:	Per occurrence Claims made	
Current Expiration:		
Retroactive Date:		
Policy Premium:		

Summary of Six-Year Loss History for Operator or its Parent of Operator						
	Year	Total claims paid under this policy (dollars)	Total claims paid under this policy (no. of claims)	Total bed count covered under the policy	Dollars paid in claims per bed	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
Total/a	average					

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Will the insurance policy cover multiple properties? (<i>If yes, complete questions a through e below.</i>)		
	a. Is less than 6 years of loss history available?		
	b. Does the loss history indicate any professional liability claims over \$35,000?		
	c. Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any uncovered claims?		
	d. Does the loss history or potential claims certification indicate any claims that would exceed the per occurrence or aggregate coverage limits?		
	e. Have the facilities been covered by a "claims made" policy at any time during the statute of limitations for the states where the facilities are located?		
2.	Is the policy funded on a "cash front" basis?		
3.	Is an actuarial study applicable (self-insurance)? (If yes, discuss results below.)		
4.	For all facilities identified on the insured's Schedule of Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed, are there any surveys/reports that have open G-level or higher citations outstanding? (As appropriate, provide a complete analysis of the surveys.)		
5.	Are any entities that provide resident care (as discussed in the Provider Agreements and "Resident Care Agreements/Rental Agreements) not covered by the PLI policy?		
6.	Are there any PLI issues that require special consideration?		

If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please address here. Examples:

<u>Multiple properties</u>: The underwriter notes that the professional liability policy is a "blanket" policy covering XXX facilities, including the subject... {Address potential impact of other facilities on the subject's coverage}

<u>Less than 6-year loss history</u>: The claims history reports were examined for the period XX through XX. The underwriter determined that there were no professional liability XX claims during that period...{address claims and sufficiency of coverage, etc. based on history}.

Claims made coverage: The project's previous professional liability insurance coverage was a "claims made" form policy with XXXX, which expired XXXX, when the current policy was put in place. In XXXX, the borrower purchased a "nose coverage" policy, which is the coverage needed when going from a "claims made" form of insurance to a "per occurrence" form of insurance. The premium for this "nose" coverage liability was a one-time charge and was paid in XXX. Because of that additional insurance coverage, the insurance expense for XXXX was substantially higher than the current expense. The current "per occurrence basis" insurance policy covers the entire statute of limitations. The project's professional liability insurance is in compliance with HUD's requirements.>>

Lawsuits

<As applicable, discuss each lawsuit and describe the potential risk related to the party's participation in the proposed project. Discuss how that risk is mitigated.

If the suit is closed, does it contribute to a pattern? Does it materially affect the party's ability to participate in the project? If not closed, describe the circumstances, identify the potential award amount, provide evidence and analysis showing that the suits are covered by insurance (general or professional liability—identify which one), and if the insurance is not sufficient, do they demonstrate adequate funds to cover the potential excess? Describe any other information that mitigates the risk.>>

Recommendation

<<Provide narrative recommendation regarding acceptability of professional liability insurance. For example, "The mortgagor's professional liability insurance was analyzed in accordance HUD requirements. The property has XX current potential (threatened) insurance claims at this time as reflected on the certification provided by the borrower. It is {lender}'s opinion that the information provided above and in the application sufficiently demonstrates that the existing professional liability coverage meets HUD's requirements and that the risk from professional liability issues is sufficiently addressed. No modifications to the current coverage are recommended.">>>

Program Guidance:

State licensing surveys of all individual facilities of the operator for the last 3 years, are to be transmitted as part of the application submission. These surveys will be used to determine the quality of care provided by the operator. The operator or its parent must also submit a 6-year loss history of all professional liability claims filed against it for all facilities controlled by the operator or its parent. This loss history should be provided in annual summary form and should:

- A. Provide a current inventory of all paid or settled claims.
- B. Break out the expected cost of claims in a year-by-year summary. In separate line items, list the amount of the actual and/or anticipated awards, claims expenses, and any funds reserved for estimated claims.
- C. List total actual or estimated claims costs for compensatory damages, medical expenses, punitive damages, and legal expenses incurred processing the claim.
- D. Identify potential or expected professional liability claims in excess of \$35,000 that have been or may be filed for all periods within the statute of limitations for the state where the claim occurred.
- E. Include a brief discussion or chart that provides the timeframe for the statutes of limitations for filing claims of negligence, injuries, wrongful death, and/or improper care based on the law in the states where the parent operator's facilities are located.
- F. Include a certification from the parent operator (or operator, if no parent) as to the accuracy of this documentation. The certification must be signed and dated by a senior officer of the parent operator (or operator, if no parent), and include the following statement:

"HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Convictions may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)"

Property Insurance

<< Provide narrative discussion of review. For example, "Hazard and Liability insurance has been and/or will be provided by XX. The underwriter has confirmed estimates of the cost and coverage for underwriting and that it complies with HUD requirements.">>>

Builder's Risk

<< If contractor is paying, show in contractor's other fees. If borrower is paying, show in borrower's other fees.>>

Fidelity Bond/Employee Dishonesty Coverage

<<Provide narrative discussion of review. For example, "The current insurance policy reflects fidelity (crime) insurance with the limit of \$XX and \$XX deductible. The HUD requirement for at least two months gross income receipts would total \$XX. The current level of coverage is sufficient for this project." If not sufficient, recommend commitment condition.>>

Mortgage Loan Determinants

Overview

The mortgage criteria shown on the form HUD-92264a-ORCF are summarized as follows:

Requested amount:	\$
Amount based on replacement cost:	\$
Amount based on loan-to-value:	\$
Amount based on debt service coverage:	\$
Amount based on cost of rehabilitation plus:	\$
Amount based on deduction of loan(s), grant(s), LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable items:	\$

Mortgage Term

The underwriter concluded to a mortgage term of years.

Type of Financing

The type of financing available to the borrower upon issuance of the commitment will likely be in the form of

Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost

The amount based on replacement cost limit is \$. This is based on 90% of the replacement cost of the improvements of \$.

Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value

Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage

The \$ debt service limit was calculated using HUD's guidelines.

The underwriter's NOI for the project after improvement is \$ << indicate if this amount differs from the appraiser's NOI for the project after improvement>>. Annual debt service payments on outstanding indebtedness related to the property is \$. There is no annual ground rent or annual special assessments on the property. Therefore, the NOI available for the supplemental loan is \$. There is an interest rate of % and an assumed remaining term of months. << the insured loans must be coterminous>>

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Calculation of Net Operating Income Available for Supplemental Loan		
Net Operating Income (NOI) of Project After Improvement:	\$	-
Percentage of NOI Available for Total Debt Service:		90.000%
NOI Available for Total Debt Service:	\$	-
Less: Annual Debt Service Payments Required on		
All Outstanding Indebtedness Relating to Property:		-
Less: Annual Ground Rent:		-
Less: Annual Special Assessment(s):		-
Incremental NOI Criterion E:	\$	-

Criterion F: Cost of Rehabilitation Plus

The estimated cost of rehabilitation limit is \$. This amount is based on % of the total estimated rehabilitation cost of \$ plus the offsite costs of \$ plus the lesser of 90.0% of as-is value of \$ or the allowable existing debt \$.

Program Guidance:

- **Property held in fee**: 100% of the estimated cost of rehabilitation less grant/loan funds attributable to replacement costs items.
- **Property subject to existing mortgage**: Lender's estimated cost of rehabilitation, plus the lesser of:
 - (1) Secured indebtedness, or
 - (2) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimate of the fair market (as-is) value of the property before rehabilitation less:
 - (a) The value of the leased fee, if leasehold, and
 - (b) The amount of non-prepayable special assessments.
- **Property to be acquired**: 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimated cost of rehabilitation plus the lesser of:
 - (1) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the actual purchase price of the property,

- (2) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimate of the fair market (as-is) value of the property before rehabilitation less:
 - (a) The value of the leased fee, if leasehold and
 - (b) The amount of nonprepayable special assessments.

Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts

The limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines as follows:

a.	Amount based on estimated cost of rehabilitation	\$
b.	(1) Grants/loans/gifts(2) Tax credits(3) Value of leased fee	
	(4) Excess unusual land improvement cost(5) Unpaid balance of special assessment	
	(6) Sum of lines (1) through (5)	\$
c.	Line a minus line b (6)	\$

The secondary sources are discussed in detail below in the Sources & Uses section of the narrative.

Program Guidance:

The grants, loans, gifts, and tax credits to be deducted are those credits for mortgageable cost only. Sources for non-mortgageable cost are not included in the calculations and are also not reflected in any of the other criterion on Form HUD-92264a-ORCF. The sources and uses statement provided by the borrower should outline all mortgageable and non-mortgageable costs and the source(s) to fund each.

Existing Indebtedness

<<For a purchase, this section should be titled "Purchase Price" and the information below should be replaced by an appropriate narrative section describing the pertinent terms of the purchase transaction, generally including: purchase price, itemization of costs to be paid by seller, date of agreement and addendums, expiration date, date by which sale must occur, etc.>>

<<Provide detailed breakdown of all existing debt(s) being included in requested mortgage
amount below. Include similar detail on HUD-92264a-ORCF.>>

Schedule of Debt to Refinance

	Lender	Pay-off Amount		
		\$		
		\$		
		\$		
	Total:	*************************************		
Ke	ey Questions		• •	•
			Yes	No
	Are there any debts on the borrower's balance sheet or property, other than the primary mortgage, that will sur	vive closing?		
2.	Are any of the debts to be paid off less than 2 years old Guidance below.)	,		
3.	Does the borrower have any identities of interest with a lenders or noteholders? (Refer to Program Guidance be	ž –		
4.	Do any of the debts to be paid off have prepayment per significant cost associated with them?			
5.	Is any of the existing debt cross-collateralized with other or master leased) or financed with a line of credit? (If you allocated the debt between the facilities cross-collateral	yes, explain how you		
6.	Are delinquent real estate taxes included as an eligible	transaction cost?		
<u>an</u> << Sw If S	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discent to the discent to subject transaction to the same are not applicable to subject transaction to the same are eligible and will be included in the FHA-blowing questions:	his section may be dele	ted>>	
	y Questions			
			Yes	No
1.	If the original financing is tax exempt, is there a legal of counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel substantially in conformance with that definition? (Chois taxable.)	Qualified Hedge" or is eck N/A if financing		
2.	For interest rate swap contracts related to taxable financing integrated with the original financing and entered into a hedge within 15 days of the original financing? (Checktax exempt.)	as an interest rate k N/A if financing is		
3.	Is the loan-to-value with the swap termination costs inc. 80%	<u>cluded</u> at or below		

		Yes	No
4.	Is the swap termination cost proposed no more than 10% of the insured mortgage proceeds?		
5.	Was the interest rate swap contract put into place prior to January 1, 2009?		
	Does the Fairness Certification acceptably address the requirements outlined		
	in Mortgagee Letter 2012-08?		
Pro	ogram Guidance – Eligible Debt on a Refinance:		
A.	Definition of Eligible Debt. Project debt that meets any of the below definition included as a mortgageable item in calculating the Maximum Insurable Mortgageable.	_	be
	1. Outstanding mortgage(s). Outstanding mortgage(s) on the property that are least two years old at the time that HUD begins processing the loan are conceligible debt. If the mortgage was generated less than two years before the HUD begins processing the application, the lender must determine that the no cash out to the mortgagor of the proposed FHA-insured loan or its prince order for the debt to be considered eligible debt. Debt incurred as a result of identity-of-interest purchase or as a result of buying out a partner is not considered eligible debt and must meet the two-year debt seasoning require. An identity-of-interest purchase is defined as one where there is an identity interest, however slight, between the seller and purchaser that survives the transaction. An owner operator that continues to operate the facility after the constitutes an identity of interest.	nsidered date re was cipals in of an ement. v of sale	
	2. Other recorded indebtedness. Other recorded indebtedness such as mechan liens and tax liens, provided they did not result from personal obligations of mortgagor.		
	3. <u>Unrecorded debt</u> . Unrecorded debt directly connected with the project that supported by documentation from the mortgagor. If the indebtedness is no recorded, the mortgagor must provide the lender with documentation that substantially verifies that the obligation is directly connected to the project Examples include:	t	
	a. Indebtedness incurred in making needed improvements and betterments to the property.b. Indebtedness incurred or advances made to cover operating deficits		
	4. Other eligible costs associated with paying off the eligible debt. Examples other eligible costs associated with paying off the eligible debt are:	of	
	a. Reasonable delinquent and accrued interest.b. Reasonable prepayment penalties on the mortgage.		

c. Recording, release, and re-conveyance fees.

d. Documentation or processing fees.

Note: Program penalties arising from the defeasance of tax-exempt and taxable bonds cannot be recognized.

- B. <u>Swap Fees</u>: Swap Fees may be included as an eligible mortgageable item when reviewed and approved by HUD in accordance with Mortgagee Letter 2012-08.
- C. ORCF does not recognize indebtedness:
 - 1. Recently placed against the project to increase the mortgage or circumvent program intent.
 - 2. On operating debts of the operating entity.
 - 3. Created by wrap mortgages:
 - a. Unless the mortgagor and Lender give a detailed explanation of the purpose of the wrap and a documented accounting of disbursement of the loan proceeds.
 - b. Loan proceeds used for capital improvements or project operations qualify for inclusion as eligible debt.

General Overview

<<Narrative review of debt and pay-off information. For example, "Per the statement from XXX dated XXXX, the current existing indebtedness is \$XXXX. The pay-off balance will be reconfirmed prior to closing and only eligible pay-off charges will be included in the cost certification.">>>

Sources & Uses - Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF

<<Provide a statement of Sources and Uses of actual estimated cost at closing. Include all
eligible and ineligible costs.>>

Secondary Sources

<< List and discuss all secondary sources, including terms and conditions of each. Secondary sources include surplus cash notes, grants/loans, tax credits, and the like.>>

Program Guidance:

Government Sources

- 1. Secondary financing may be on a form of promissory note and mortgage lien as is prescribed by the governmental funding source and reviewed and approved by ORCF.
- 2. Secondary financing or grants lent to the property as a secondary loan may be used to cover up to 100% of the applicable Section of the Act equity requirements.
- 3. Secondary financing or grants advanced to the property as a secondary loan may also be used to finance non-mortgageable costs and when added to the HUD mortgage and required equity contribution, may exceed 100% of the project's fair market value (FMV) or replacement cost.
- 4. Non-mortgageable costs (i.e., replacement cost items not eligible for inclusion in the HUD insured loan) to be covered by governmental secondary loans, or grants advanced to the property as a secondary loan, must be certified by the funding source to be reasonable and necessary to complete the project and that the project costs to be covered by the secondary financing are reasonable. Documentation to this effect must be included with the application submission.
- 5. The governmental secondary financing lender must agree to and enter into a HUD-prescribed form of Subordination Agreement that details the rights and legal relationship between the FHA-insured first mortgage and the secondary financing loan.

Private Sources

Secondary financing from a private source is not permitted on Section 232 new construction, substantial rehabilitation, and blended rate projects.

Surviving Debt

<tand discuss all existing long-term debt that will survive closing.>>

Cash Requirements

Initial operating deficit:				
	Absorption rate/no. units per month:			
	No. months to cover shortfalls:			
	Breakeven (Occupancy %:		
Working capital:	\$	\$		
Cash investment:	\$	\$		
Debt service reserve escrow:	\$			
	No. months of principal & interest payments:			
Offsite escrow:	\$			
Minor movable equipment escrow:	\$			
Demolition:	\$			
Other:	•			
TOTAL:	\$	% of total project cost: %*		
*Total cash requirements divided by total	project cost.			
Cash requirement will be met by:		<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>ec</pre> <pre>cash and letters of credit.">></pre></pre></pre></pre>		
Based on a review of the principals << their liquidity meets/exceeds \$.	identify princ	<pre>ipal(s)>> their net worth is estimated at \$;</pre>		

Circumstances that May Require Additional Information

In addition to the information required in this narrative, depending upon the facility for which mortgage insurance is to be provided, the mortgagor, operator, management agent and such other parties involved in the operation of the facility, current economic conditions, or other factors or conditions as identified by HUD, HUD may require additional information from the lender to accurately determine the strengths and weaknesses of the transaction. If additional information is required, the questions will be included in an appendix that accompanies the narrative.

Special Commitment Conditions

<tist any recommended special conditions. If none, state "None.">>

1.

2.

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative conclusion and recommendation.>>

Signatures

Lender hereby certifies that the statements and representations of fact contained in this instrument and all documents submitted and executed by lender in connection with this transaction are, to the best of lender's knowledge, true, accurate, and complete. This instrument has been made, presented, and delivered for the purpose of influencing an official action of HUD in insuring the loan and may be relied upon by HUD as a true statement of the facts contained therein.

Lender: HUD Mortgagee/Lender No.:			
This report was prepared by:	Date	This report was reviewed by:	Date
< <name>> <<title>></td><td></td><td><<Name>> <<Title>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Phone>>
<<Email>></td><td></td><td><<Phone>>
<<Email>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This report was reviewed and the site inspected by:</td><td>Date</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Name>> <<Title>> <<Phone>> <<Email>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></tbody></table></title></name>			