Lender Narrative – Substantial Rehabilitation

Section 232 – 2 Stage, Initial Firm Submission

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Residential Care Facilities

OMB Approval No. 9999-9999 (exp. mm/dd/yyyy)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 70 hours. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data. The information is being collected to obtain the supportive documentation which must be submitted to HUD for approval, and is necessary to ensure that viable projects are developed and maintained. The Department will use this information to determine if properties meet HUD requirements with respect to development, operation and/or asset management, as well as ensuring the continued marketability of the properties. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Warning: Any person who knowingly presents a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or claim in a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development is subject to criminal penalties, civil liability, and administrative sanctions.

Privacy Act Notice: The Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Housing Administration, is authorized to collect the information requested in this form by virtue of: The National Housing Act, 12 USC 1701 et seq. and the regulations at 24 CFR 5.212 and 24 CFR 200.6; and the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, 42 USC 3543(a). The information requested is mandatory to receive the mortgage insurance benefits to be derived from the National Housing Act Section 232 Healthcare Facility Insurance Program. No confidentiality is assured.

INSTRUCTIONS:

The narrative is a document critical to the Lean Underwriting process. Each section of the narrative and all questions need to be completed and answered. If the lender's underwriter disagrees and modifies any third-party report conclusions, provide sufficient detail to justify. The narrative should identify the strengths and weaknesses of the transactions and demonstrate how the weaknesses are mitigated by the underwriting.

- Charts: The charts contained in this document have been created with versatility in mind; however they will not be able to accommodate all situations. For this reason, you are allowed to alter the charts as the situation demands. Be sure to state how you have altered the charts along with your justification. Include all the information the form calls for. Charts that include blue text indicate names that should be modified by the lender as the situation dictates.
- Applicability: If a section is not applicable, state so in that section and provide a reason. Do not delete a section heading that is not applicable. The narrative will be checked to make certain all sections are provided. If a major section is not applicable, add " Not Applicable" to the heading and provide the reason. For instance:

Parent of the Operator – Not Applicable

This section is not applicable because there is no operator.

The rest of the subsections under the inapplicable section can then be deleted. This instruction page may also be deleted.

• **Format:** In addition to submitting the PDF version of the Lender Narrative to HUD, please also submit an electronic Word version.

• **Historical Information:** Substantial rehabilitation can encompass a wide range of renovations—from "gut" rehabilitations that replace or newly construct nearly everything, to replacements and renovations that barely exceed the substantial rehabilitation threshold. Because of these types of variables, historical financial data on the previous operations may not be available or applicable. In those instances where historical information is not applicable, the underwriter should follow the above instructions for inapplicable sections and provide the reason. Acceptable reasons for not providing historical data include: the lack of data due to a sale or previous use or a significant change in use. Be cautioned that changes in census mix without a change in the type of license will likely not warrant elimination of the historical data as an underwriting tool.

Instead of pasting large portions of text from third-party reports into the narrative, it is preferred that the lender simply reference the page number and the report. The focus of this document is for lender conclusions, analyses, and summaries.

Italicized text found between these characters << EXAMPLE>> is instructional in nature, and may be deleted from the lender's final version. Please use the gray shaded areas (e.g.,) for your response. Double click on a check box and then change the default value to mark selection (e.g., \boxtimes).

<Insert Project Photo>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	7
Portfolios	
Special or Atypical Underwriting Considerations	10
Program Eligibility	
Substantial Rehabilitation	
Commercial Space/Income	11
Facility Type	
Independent Units	
Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendment	
Identities-of-Interest	
Risk Factors	
Strengths	
Underwriting Team	
Lender	16
Lender's Loan Committee Process	17
Recommendation to HUD	
Third Party Reviewers	
Housing Consultant (if applicable)	
Project Description	19
Site	
Neighborhood	20
Zoning	20
Utilities	
Improvement Description	20
Building Description	20
Landscaping	20
Parking	
Unit Mix & Features	20
Services	21
Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life	22
Development Budget	
Construction Costs	22
Architect's Fees	22
Other Fees-Borrower	23
Offsite and Demolition	23
Appraisal	23
Hypothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions	24
Market Analysis	25
Market Analysis Overview	25
Primary Market Area	25
Target Population	25
Demand	25
Competitive Environment (Supply)	26
Conclusion	26
Income Capitalization Approach – As-Is	26
Financial Statements	26
Occupancy	26
Census Mix – As Is	27
Rents - As Is	
Historical Revenue Summary	28

Expenses – As Is	
Net Operating Income (NOI)	36
Capitalization Rate – As Is	38
Sales Comparison Approach – As Is	39
Price Per Unit/Bed – As Is	
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Is	40
Subject Purchases	
Cost Approach – As Is	40
Development Costs	40
Depreciation	40
Major Movable Equipment	40
Marketing Allowance	40
Land Value	
Overall Value Reconciliation – As Is	40
Lender Modifications – As Is	
Income Capitalization Approach – As Proposed	41
Census Mix – As Proposed	
Rents - As Proposed	
Expenses – As Proposed	
Net Operating Income – As Proposed	
Capitalization Rate – As Proposed	
Sales Comparison Approach – As Proposed	
Price Per Unit/Bed – As Proposed	
Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Proposed	
Cost Approach – As Proposed	
Development Cost	
Depreciation	
Major Movable Equipment	
Land Value	
Reconciliation – As Proposed	
Lender Modifications – As Proposed	
Initial Operating Deficit	
ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey	
Title	
Title Search	55
Pro-forma Policy	
Environmental	
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	
Lender Comments	
Other Potential Environmental Concerns	58
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance	
Flood Plain	
Borrower	60
Organization	61
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Financial Statements	
Conclusion	
Principal of the Borrower – << enter name of principal here>>	
Organization	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Other Business Concerns.	
Other Section 232 Projects	
Conclusion	65

Financial Statements – For Party(ies) Responsible For Financial Requirements for Closing a	
name(s) of responsible party(ies) here>>	
Other Section 232 Projects	
Conclusion	
Operator	
Organization	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Financial Statements	
Net Income Analysis	
Conclusion	
Parent of Operator (if applicable)	
Organization	
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Other Business Concerns	
Other Section 232 Projects	
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed	
Financial Statements.	
Net Income Analysis	
Conclusion	
Management Agent (if applicable) – < <insert here="" name="">></insert>	
Management Agent's Duties and Responsibilities	77
Experience/Qualifications	
Credit History	
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed	
Past and Current Performance	
Management Agreement	
Conclusion	
Operation of the Facility	
Administrator	
Subject's State Surveys	
Staffing	
Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed	
Operating Lease	
Lease Payment – During Rehabilitation Period	
Lease Payment – During Lease Up	
Lease Payment Analysis – Stabilized, As Rehabilitated	
Responsibilities	
Master Lease	
Accounts Receivable (A/R) Financing	
Terms and Conditions	
Collateral/Security	
Permitted Uses and Payment Priorities	
Financial Analysis	
Historical AR Loan Costs	
Proposed AR Loan Costs	
Recommendation	
Mortgage Loan Determinants	
Overview	
Mortgage Term	
Type of Financing	
Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost	
Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value	
Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage	88 p.g
L RITERION H. L OST OF KANADILITATION PHIS	χq

Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts	90
Existing Indebtedness	
Sources & Uses – Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF	
Secondary Sources	93
Surviving Debt	94
Cash Requirements	95
Circumstances that May Require Additional Information	95
Special Commitment Conditions.	95
Conclusion.	96
Signatures	96

Executive Summary

FHA number:									
Project name:									
Project location:	<	<stree< td=""><td>et address, city</td><td>, county</td><td>, and sta</td><td>te>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></stree<>	et address, city	, county	, and sta	te>>			
Lender's name:									
Lenders UW:					UW	trainee:			
Borrower:									
Operator:									
Parent of operator:									
Management agent:									
General contractor:									
License holder:		Borr	ower	Opera	or	Mana	gemen	ggei	nt
License norder.		_ D 011	owei	_ Орсти	.01	ividilu	Semen	ugei	
Type of facility:			Skilled Nurs	ing (SNF)	:	beds			units
			Assisted Li	ving (AL)	:	beds			units
			Board & Ca	are (B&C)	:	beds			units
			Demen	itia Care	:	beds			units
]	Independent L	iving (IL)	:	beds			units
				Total	:	beds			units
						ī	Loan to		
Mortgage	φ		Loan-to-value	<u>:</u>	%				%
Amount:	\$								0.4
Dringing 0			Term	ı:	years	Intere	st rate:		%
Principal & interest:			DSCF	3		Marke	t value		
(without MIP)	\$		(with MIP)		%		l/unit*:	\$	
							ortgage		
Underwritten						amo	unt per		
market value:	\$		Cap rate	<u> </u>	%	bed	l/unit*:		
*Use per bed for SNF, o	r facili	ties with	n multiple care tvi	nes (e a	SNF/ALF)	Use ner unit	for ALI	7 only	
ose per seu jer sini, e	. Juoin		· manupie care typ	pes (e.g., .	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. Ose per unit	10. 1221	only.	
Mortgage Criteria:					Sensitivi	ty Analysis:			
	J 1		φ				·	4:11	1:1:6.
<u>Criterion A</u> : Requested Criterion C: Amount b			t:\$	•	A 1.0 dei	bt service cov	erage 1	s stiii	realized ii:
replacement cost:	Jaseu C)11	\$		(a) Ave	rage rental d	lrons \$		per month
Criterion D: Amount b	pased		Ψ			upancy rate		Ses	%.
on loan-to-value:	\$			rating expen					
Criterion E: Amount b	ased o	n debt			per y				, ,
service coverage:			\$	5		ual net oper	ating ii	ıcom	e (NOI)
<u>Criterion F</u> : Amount b						eases \$	or		%.
estimated cost of rehal	bilitati	on plus	s:	3		- +	=	,	
Criterion L: Amount b	ased o	n	\$						

deduction of grant(s), loan(s and gift(s) for mortgageable						
As rehabilitated:						
Gross income:	\$		UW	voccupanc	y rate:	%
Effective gross income:	\$					
Expenses & repl. res.:	\$			Expense	ratio:	%
Net operating income:	\$		Exper	nse per bed	unit*:	\$
Total project cost:	\$	То	tal project cos	st per bed/	unit*:	\$
*Use per bed for SNF, or facili	ties with multipl	e care types (e.g., SNF/ALF).	Use per un	it for Al	LF only.
Operating deficit: Number of months to cover	shortfall:	\$	Absorptio (# beds pe	n rate er month):	_	
	_	Break-even occupancy:			%	
Borrower's working capital: Special escrows (describe below):		\$ Minor movables:		_	\$	
< <describe escrows<="" special="" td=""><td>here>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></describe>	here>>					
Major movable equipment budget:	\$		Major mova amount per		\$	
Construction contract:	\$	Offsites	\$	Demo	lition	\$
Total construction costs: As reported on HUD-2328, Line 53 plus Offisites and Demolition Costs	\$					
Construction contingency:	\$					
Relocation escrow:	\$	Const	ruction perio	d: # of mo	nths:	
Architectural contract:	\$	N	Iultiple AIA	Agreement	S	
	Year	FT		Operating Revenues		SWB
Operations – Base year				\$		\$
Operations – Post constructi	on			\$		\$

<< <u>Definitions</u>:

Base year: Year before construction.

Year: First year of stabilized occupancy after completion of construction. Example: Add the number of months to reach <u>stabilized</u> occupancy (as reported on the IOD spreadsheet "Output-Summary Exhibit" tab) to the completion date. For a completion date of June 1, 2013 and 12 months to reach stabilized occupancy, enter 2014.

FTE's: As reported on the "Staffing Schedule" - Exhibit in the Operations Section of the application checklist.

SWB (Salaries, Wages, Benefits): As reported on the "Staffing Schedule" - Exhibit in the Operations Section of the application checklist.>>

	Yes	No	Comments:
Secondary Financing:			(If yes, provide details.)
A/R Financing:			
Master Lease:			
Waivers: (list, as applicable)			

Portfolios

<u>Program Guidance – Portfolio Definitions:</u>

<u>Portfolio:</u> Two or more borrower entities that are under common control.

<u>Small portfolio:</u> Up to 49 facilities and aggregate mortgage loan amount less than or equal to \$90,000,000.

Midsize portfolio: Up to 49 facilities and a total mortgage loan amount greater than \$90,000,000 and less than or equal to \$250,000,000.

Large portfolio: 50 or more facilities and/or aggregate mortgage loan amount greater than \$250,000,000.

Common control: Business entities that are ultimately controlled by the same party or parties. Examples of common control may include, but are not limited to:

- Each entity has the same managing member, general partner, or other person or entity in a controlling role {OR}
- 50% or more of each entity is owned by the same persons or entities.

<u>Same ownership:</u> Different properties or business entities that are wholly-owned by the same natural person, entity, or group—generally 100% common ownership among the properties. In the case of not-for-profit entities, "ownership" will be evaluated based on the principals identified through the HUD previous participation (2530/APPS) process. The ownership structure may be a corporation, limited liability company, partnership or limited partnership, or other legal structure. This term applies to master lease requirements.

Ke	y Questions	Yes	No					
1.	Do any of the principals of the borrower own any other projects insured or held by HUD?							
2.	Do any of the principals of the borrower plan to submit an application for mortgage insurance to HUD in the next 18 months?							
3.	Have any of the principals of the borrower submitted an application for mortgage insurance to HUD in the past 18 months?							
the	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the top size of the portfolio and complete the "Other Section 232 Applications" chart is consolidated Certification – Parent of the Operator.">>		ify					
Sp	pecial or Atypical Underwriting Considerations							
	There are NO special or atypical underwriting considerations.							
Th	 The following are unique characteristics, key deal points, special, or atypical underwriting considerations: < Examples: Facility will be master leased Identity-of-interest issues Timing issues for closing or permits, land, licensing, etc. This section should not be a lengthy restatement of the rest of the narrative. It is merely to highlight key points.>> Third Party reports provided: Market Study (if required) Conclusion is: Accepted as is. Modified by underwriter. 							
		by underw by underw						
<u>Pı</u>	rogram Eligibility							
	ubstantial Rehabilitation							
Th	is project qualifies for substantial rehabilitation because:							
	The hard costs of rehabilitation/construction \$< <amount>>, represents value as rehabilitated, which exceeds 15% of the project's value after comple the hard costs of an addition to the building are included in this calculation.)</amount>	•	-					
	The scope of rehabilitation includes substantial replacement of two or more necomponents, including: << list all applicable components here>>.	najor buil	ding					

	ey Questions				
				Yes	No
1.	Will the facility charge "founder charges associated with "buy-in"				
2.	Will the facility require more tha 24 CFR 232.3)? (Not applicable		•		
3.	Are any residents required to acc through a public corridor or area <i>SNFs.</i>)	(see 24 CFR 232.3))? (Not applicable for		
4.	Has the borrower, operator, or an reformulated companies, filed fo last five (5) years?	r or emerged from l	bankruptcy within the		
5.	Is the borrower, operator, or any companies, currently in bankrupt				
6.	Are there floodways or coastal his portions, located onsite?				
pro	If you answered "yes" to any of to gram. >> ogram. >> ommercial Space/Income	·	, this facility is <u>not eligible</u> ι	ınder th	is
	lect one of the following:	•			
	There will be <u>no</u> commercial s	pace at the subject.			
	There will be commercial space limitations of 20% of the total gross income.	5			
	a. Total net rentable area :		d. EGI:		
	b. Net rentable commercial area:		e. Eff. commercial income	e:	
	D. Net rentable commercial area:			ne:	

above, the loan is not eligible under this program.>>

Program Guidance:

The commercial limits are a maximum of 20% of the gross floor area of the project and 20% of the gross project income. Commercial space that is intended to exclusively serve the residents of the facility is not counted toward the 20% space and income limitations. Non-resident adult day care space will <u>not</u> be considered commercial space. However, the adult day care space may not be located on a separate site, the space may not exceed 20% of the gross floor area of the facility, and the income may not exceed 20% of gross income. (Provide a Certificate of Need or operating license, if applicable.)

Facility Type

Select **ALL** that apply:

	11 0
	Nursing Home
	Consists of at least 20 beds.
	Considered a "Skilled Nursing Facility" by Department of Health & Human Services.
	Intermediate Care Facility
	Consists of at least 20 beds.
	Considered an "Intermediate Care Facility" by Department of Health & Human Services.
	Board and Care
	Consists of at least 5 beds.
	Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight."
	Provides areas for central dining.
	Offers three meals per day to each resident.
	Resident must take at least one meal a day.
	Regulated by the state in accordance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security Act
	(Keys Amendment)
	Assisted Living
	Consists of at least 5 units.
	Provides "Continuous Protective Oversight."
	Provides areas for central dining.
	Offers three meals per day to each resident.
	Resident must take at least one meal a day.
	Caters to frail elderly persons (62 years and older) who need assistance with 3 or more
	activities of daily living (ADLs).
	Other - Requires explanation. < <describe here="">></describe>
<< <i>N</i> (OTE: The above reflect HUD's definitions of facility or care types. Those definitions ma
	lian with state licensina definitions.>>

Independent Units

Select all applicable statements:

There will be NO unlicensed/independent units at the subject.

There will be unlicensed/independent units at the subject; however, the total does not exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.

a. Total beds:
b. Unlicensed independent beds:
c. Independent beds as % of total:

A waiver is requested to exceed 25% of the total beds at the facility.

Program Guidance:

It has been longstanding policy that HUD will allow up to 25% of the units in a Section 232 facility to be Independent Living (IL) units. This policy remains unchanged under Lean. However, please note the following:

- The facility must offer services to all residents in the project comparable to those found in a skilled nursing facility, assisted living facility, board and care, or intermediate care facility.
- A license is not required for the IL units; however, all of the other units in the facility <u>must</u> be licensed.
- Waivers to exceed the 25% limit will be considered on a case-by-case basis for good cause.
 Please note that waivers have not been provided when the number of IL units exceeds 30% of the total project units.

Licensing/Certificate of Need/Keys Amendment

<< Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The facility is to be licensed by the State of $\{State\}$'s Department of Health and Welfare as a $\{Type \ of \ Facility\}$ for $\{X\}$ beds. The license is to be issued to $\{Name \ of \ Entity \ on \ License\}$." Describe the licensing process. It is effective $\{date\}$, through $\{date\}$. The license covers $\{number \ of \ beds\}$.">>

<<Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "There is no Certificate of Need (CON) requirement in {State} for {Type of Facility}." – OR – "A Certificate of Need (CON), dated {XXX} was issued by the State of {State} authorizing XX beds...">> For skilled nursing, where the state does not require a CON, discuss the required independent study conducted by the state or commissioned by the state of market need and feasibility. Include in the discussion the number of beds and the date through which it is current.

<<(Applicable to B&C's.) Provide affirmative statement along the lines of: "The State of {State} has certified its compliance with Section 1616(e) of the Social Security Act (Keys Amendment).">>

Identities-of-Interest

Κe	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Have you, as the lender, identified any identities of interest on your certification?		
2.	Does the borrower's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
3.	Do any of the certifications provided by principals of the borrower identify any identities of interest?		
4.	Does the operator's certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest?		
5.	Does the Management Agent's Certification (if applicable) indicate any identities of interest?		
6.	Does the General Contractor's certification indicate any identities of interest?		
7.	Does the HUD Addendum to the AIA Agreement of the Design Architect identify any identities of interest?		
8.	Does the lender know, or have any reason to believe, that any of the assertions in the other Consolidated Certifications submitted herewith, are inaccurate or incomplete?		
ар ор	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the toplicable, describe the risk and how it will be mitigated. For example: The borrow erator are related parties – John Doe has ownership in both entities. No other the erest are disclosed.>>	ower and	
<u>R</u>	isk Factors		
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	If the project is proposing new construction of assisted living units, is the proposed mortgage higher than the maximum loan-to-value (LTV) identified in the guidance below?		
2.	Is the debt service coverage of the loan less than 1.45?		

		Yes	No
3.	Is this a "special use facility"—one that serves a "niche" type of market (e.g., psychiatric facilities; drug, alcohol, or eating disorder recovery facilities; hospice facilities; or short-term rehabilitation facilities?		
4.	Will the combined underwritten occupancy percentage of Medicare and private pay beds (of the total SNF beds in the project) exceed 30%?*		
5.	Will the percentage of private pay beds used in the underwriting exceed the average percentage demonstrated in the market, defined as the average of no less than 5 competing facilities in the primary and secondary market?*		
6.	Will the underwritten occupancy percentage of Medicare beds (of the total SNF beds in the project) exceed 10% or the average percentage demonstrated in the market, defined as the average of no less than 5 competing facilities in the primary and secondary market?*		

<>For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.

Example: **Debt Service Coverage Lower than 1.45:** {If the debt service coverage of the loan is less than 1.45, the lender must provide sufficient justification/mitigation to support the additional risk associated with the loan. The HUD underwriter will be required to specifically approve this item and may ask for additional input and request a discussion with the lender and/or HUD headquarters.}>>

^{*}If the answer to question 4, 5, or 6 is "yes," a waiver must be requested.

<< Below is a summary of the Lean underwriting benchmarks for loan-to-value (LTV) and debt service coverage ratio (DSCR).

Type of Unit	New/Existing Units	Borrower Type	Max. LTV*	Min. DSCR*
SNF/ILU	Both	For Profit	80%	1.45
SNF/ILU	Both	Non-Profit **	85%	1.45
ALF	New	For Profit	<i>75%</i>	1.45
ALF	New	Non-Profit **	80%	1.45
ALF	Existing	For Profit	80%	1.45
ALF	Existing	Non-Profit **	85%	1.45

^{*}Maximum loan-to-values and minimum debt service coverage ratios are set by the Section 232 Statute and Regulations. Any submittal above the LTV's listed or below the DSCR's listed will require justification/mitigation.

Other Risk Factors Identified by Lender

Additionally, the lender has identified the following risk factors:

<< Provide discussion on other risk factors identified by the lender and how they are mitigated.>>

Strengths

<< Provide discussion of the strengths of the transaction.>>

Underwriting Team

Lender Name: Underwriter: Underwriter trainee: Lender number: Site inspection date: Inspecting underwriter: Broker:

^{**}To qualify for the higher non-profit benchmarks, the owner/operator must demonstrate a successful operating track record, significant project operating and management experience, an a solid financial track record.>>

Lender's Underwriter

<<Bri>description of qualifications. The inspecting underwriter must be underwriter of record that is assigned to the project. >>

<u>Underwriter Trainee</u> (if applicable)

<<Brief description of qualifications.>>

Inspecting Underwriter (if applicable)

<< Brief description of qualifications. A MAP-approved 232 Underwriter or Lean-approved 232 Underwriter employed by the lender must visit the site <u>AND</u> sign this narrative.>>

Program Guidance:

On projects involving the addition of beds/units, the Lender's Approved Underwriter of record on the project must inspect not only the subject site, but also the market competitors and/or comparables from the appraisal/market study. HUD is not requiring inspection of all comparables listed in the appraisal/market study; it is up to the Underwriter to determine which comparables will give them enough information to become familiar with the market.

Lender's Loan Committee Process

Date of loan committee:	
Loan committee process:	
Loan committee conditions:	
•	mmary of loan committee, including: information provided; any tions of the loan committee to gain the committee's

Recommendation to HUD

recommendation.>>

<<Based on analysis and underwriting, XXXXX recommends that HUD issue a firm commitment to insure the proposed mortgage for the subject transaction, subject to the terms and conditions identified in this narrative and the accompanying application exhibits.>>

Third Party Reviewers

Role	Name	Firm	Phone	E-mail
Environmental				
consultant				

	Role	Name	Firm	Phone		E-mail		
Ma	arket analyst	T vanie	2 11 11	1 Hone				
Aŗ	praiser							
K	ey Questions -	- Environmental C	onsultant(s)			Yes	No	
1.	Does the env	ironmental consulta	nt(s) meet all the qualifi	cation requiren	nents	res	No	
			27-05?	-				
2.			int(s) meet the license/ce					
			uirements of Section X.2 1527-05?					
3.	Were any Ph	ase II investigations	performed by environm	nental				
			fied to meet the responsi					
	13346(3) 01 66	mcciii:	••••••••••••	•••••	••••••			
K	ey Questions -	- Market Analyst				Yes	No	
1.	Does the mar	ket analyst have the	e knowledge and experie	nce to complet	e the	165	110	
		-		=				
2.			ctive in the market analy					
3.	-	•	d in the market area that					
	is located in	or established exper	tise by a thorough inves	tigation of the	1 0			
1								
4.			y inspect the property, p e market study?					
17	-		•					
N	ey Questions -	Appraiser				Yes	No	
1.			neral Appraiser under th					
			state where the subject poraisal? (See note below					
2.			irements of the Compete					
	in USPAP?	_	·····		•••••			
3.	= =		sal and the required cert					
4.			nce appraising a minimu					
5.	Is the apprais	ser currently active i	n the appraisal of other	healthcare				
	properties? .				•••••			

		Yes	No
6.	Is the appraiser experienced in the market area in which the subject property is located, or establish competency as per USPAP?		
7.	Did the appraiser meeting the above qualifications, personally inspect the property being appraised?		
8.	If more than one appraiser worked on the appraisal, did they all sign the report and certifications?		
a (sui per ver	OTE: Any "no" answers should be thoroughly explained and justified. The app Certified General Appraiser under the appraiser certification requirements of the bject property is located, as of the effective date of the appraisal (temporary cer rmissible) and must meet all requirements of the Competency Rule of the USPA rification of an appraiser's current standing can be done at		

Neighborhood

<< <u>Brief</u> narrative description about neighborhood area to include major cross streets and access routes; distance to services, hospitals, etc.; adjacent property uses; predominant character or neighborhood; etc.>>

Z	0	n	i	n	g

	Legal Conforming	Legal Non-Conforming	Other
	Legai Comonning	Legal Non-Comorning	Other

<< Provide narrative description: identify local jurisdiction; zoning designation; results of Zoning Letter provided in application submission; and discuss any variances, conditional uses, non-conformance or other pertinent issues affecting zoning.>>

Utilities

<< Provide narrative description: identify utilities in use at the sitee. Discuss any limitations in service and any other issues that would affect the operation of the facility. Also, clearly identify the utilities to be paid by the residents.>>

Improvement Description

Building Description

<< Provide narrative description to include "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated": number of proposed buildings; construction types; floor area; describe common areas; etc. >>

Landscaping

<< Provide narrative description about the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" landscaping.>>

Parking

<< Provide narrative description about the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" parking including the number of spaces, compliance with accessibility, adequacy of the parking, and any parking easements. Also, discuss any zoning or marketability issues. >>

Unit Mix & Features

<<Complete "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" tables or provide equivalent detail.>>

As-is Unit Mix

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	Unit	Bed			Unit	Care
	OHIL	Bed				Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrm s	Baths	Sqft	Туре
А						
В						
С						
D						
E						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
J						
Totals	_	-				

As-rehabilitated Unit Mix

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	Un it	Bed			Un it	Care
Line	Qty	Qty	Bdrm s	Baths	Sqft	Туре
А						
В						
С						
D						
E						
F						
G						
Н						
I						
Ј						
Totals	-	-				

Living Unit Description

<< Provide <u>brief</u> narrative description of the "as-is" and "as-rehabilitate" units including: bathrooms, appliances, flooring, included furnishings, hook-ups, patios, etc. >>

Services

<< Provide narrative description of "as-is" and "as-rehabilitated" services to be provided.</p>
Identify which services will be included in rent and which services will be available for extra charges, as applicable.>>

Obsolescence/Depreciation and Remaining Economic Life

<< There are three categories that need to be addressed. Each should be discussed before and after the rehabilitation..>>

Functional Obsolescence

<<How the physical plant compares to an optimally configured project and how does that impact income potential? (Discuss for example, 3 and/or 4 bed wards, unusual design issues, etc.)>>

External Obsolescence

<< How do the market, economic environment, and location impact the income potential of the project? >>

Remaining Economic Life

<<The appraiser has estimated the economic life of the property at XX years. The appraiser has estimated the effective age of the property at XX years. Therefore, the remaining economic life is XX years. Explain the basis for this estimate. Discuss any physical depreciation associated with any improvements that are not new construction. >>

Development Budget

Construction Costs

<< Discuss the estimated construction budget assumed by the developer for the initial submission.>>

Description	Cost	% of contrac	Per sq ft	Per bed
Structures	0001		0.027	1 01 200
Accessory structures				
Land improvements				
General requirements				
Builder's overhead				
Builder's profit				
Other fees				
Bond premium				
Total construction contract				

Architect's Fees

<<Provide narrative describing budgeted architect fees (design/supervision). For example: "The total architectural fees of \$XXX are estimated. The fee represents XX% of the total cost of structures, land improvements, and general requirements." Indicate whether or not an identity</p>

of interest between the borrower and the architect is planned or if there will be multiple AIA B108 contracts.>>

Other Fees-Borrower

<< Provide narrative discussion as necessary.>>

Schedule of Other Fees to be paid by Borrower

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Line	De scription	Am oun t
Α	Survey - Land and Final "As Built"	
В	Building Permits	
С	Soils Report	
D	Traffic Study	
E	Impact Fees	
F	Hook-up Fees	
G		
Н		
I		
J		
TO TAL		\$ -

Offsite and Demolition

<<Describe any offsite work to be accomplished and who will be performing the work. If the general contractor is responsible, describe the cost attributed to it and the cost reviewer's conclusions about the work and the cost. If the city will be performing the work, describe any related costs or hookup fees. Describe any demolition that may apply; discuss costs and any other requirements or issues.>>

<u>Appraisal</u>	
Date of valuation:	
Appraisal firm:	
Appraiser:	
License no./State:	

The report was prepared to comply with the reporting requirement outlined under the USPAP as a self-contained report. The report also complies with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute and the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA), Title XI Regulations

The report was prepared in accordance with the ORCF Appraisal Guidelines.

Ke	y Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Will there be a ground lease?		
2.	Are any tax credits involved in this transaction?		
3.	Are any real estate tax abatement or exemptions included in the underwriting assumptions?		
4.	Are there any special escrows or reserves proposed for this transaction?		
5.	Does the underwriting include income from adult day care? (Note: Non-resident adult day care space <u>may not</u> be located on a separate site. The adult day care space will not be considered commercial space; however, the space may not exceed 20% of the gross floor area of the facility and the income may not exceed 20% of gross income. Provide a Certificate of Need or operating license, if applicable.)		
6.	Are there any other issues that require special or atypical underwriting considerations?		
7.	Does the submission date of the application (date the application enters the queue) exceed the 120-day timeframe from the effective date of the appraisal?		
exa rea the hov	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the top ample, Item 3, Real Estate Tax Abatement – The borrower will be receiving an all estate taxes for at least two years after opening the facility. The abatement is taxes due. We have not assumed the abatement for valuation purposes. The unvever, excluded 70% of the underwritten taxes from the debt service calculation is in the operating deficit calculation.	ibatement to be 70% nderwriter	% of r has
Ну	pothetical Conditions and Extraordinary Assumptions		
<< and the	pothetical Conditions Identify any conditions that are contrary to what exists but are supposed for the alysis. For example, "The appraisal assumes that the proposed construction is property has attained the operating levels concluded by the appraiser. There conthetical conditions.">>	complete	and

Extraordinary Assumptions

<<Identify any assumptions specific to this assignment that if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. For example, "The appraisal assumes the subject project meets the state licensing requirements and that the facility is constructed as planned. There are no other extraordinary assumptions.>>

Jurisdictional Exceptions

<<These are rare and should be discussed with HUD before invoking. >>

Market Analysis

< <the an="" and="" appearate="" appraisal="" appraisal,="" be="" conclusions.="" consistent="" cover,="" cover.="" have="" if="" integral="" is="" market="" may="" need="" not="" of="" part="" same="" separate="" should="" so="" study="" the="" under="" valuation="" with="">></the>		the
Date of analysis:		
Market analysis firm:		
Market analyst:		
Key Questions	V	NI.
1. Is the subject located in a declining market in terms of population, target population, real estate values, or employment?	Yes	No
$2. \ \ \text{Are there any negative market influences that require special consideration?}$		
3. Is there a projected or current oversupply that could affect the subject?		
< <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" discussion="" each="" narrative="" provide="" regarding="" the<br="">the risk and how it is mitigated. For example, "Oversupply: The projected overs specifically addressed in the Risk Factors section of this narrative.">>></for>	-	ribing
Market Analysis Overview		
< <provide an="" analysis,="" and="" barriers="" be="" brief="" entry,="" etc.="" from="" general="" growth="" here.="" in="" including="" influences,="" large="" market="" of="" overview="" pasting="" please="" poinformation,="" refrain="" sections="" study="" the="" to="" unique="">></provide>		and
Primary Market Area		
< <describe (e.g.,="" about="" and="" are="" area="" attention="" close="" commaking="" competitors="" conclusions="" distance,="" drawing="" from.="" market="" method="" of="" pay="" pma,="" primary="" selection="" size="" tenants="" the="" their="" to="" where="" your="" zip="">></describe>		
Target Population		
<< Describe age, income, and type of resident (i.e., assisted living, independent, and acuity of care.>>	dementia,	etc.)
Demand		
<pre><<describe (i.e.,="" acuity="" age,="" and="" assisted="" care="" demand="" demogra="" describe="" factors.="" income,="" indenepdent,="" living,="" of="" population="" population.="" resident="" target="" the="" type="">></describe></pre>		etc.)

Competitive Environment (Supply)

<<Describe and identify competing facilities, planned facilities, facilities under construction, and other supply factors that compete with the subject facility. Description of supply should include types of facilities, acuity, and occupancy. Discuss recent and/or historic absorption of competitive units. Discuss any perceived changes to competitive environment.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide conclusion of market analysis: summarize demand, market saturation, continued health of market, negative and positive factors impacting the continued demand for the subject's units/beds.>>

Income Capitalization Approach - As-Is

Financial Statements

The appraiser and underwriter have analyzed the following historical financial statements pertaining to the operation of this facility:

<>If less than three years financial information is available for the project's operations, provide a narrative justifying why the data is not available. Even in the cases where there was an acquisition within the past 3 years, the owners will usually have been supplied with the income and expense information from the previous owner.>>

Occupancy

A summary of the subject's occupancy is provided below.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Historical Occupancy	Year ending	Year ending	Year ending	YTD annlzd. or T- 12 (specify dates)
Potential Resident Days OR units (specify)	0	0	0	0
Actual Resident Days OR Occ. Units (specify)	0	0	0	0
Occupancy	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

A summary of the market occupancy is provided below.

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Market Occupancy	# of Units	Occupancy
Competitor 1 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 2 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 3 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 4 - (Name)	0	0%
Competitor 5 - (Name) - add rows as needed	0	0%
Subject	0	0%
Market Total/Average	0	0%
Appraiser's Conclusion for Subject		0%
Underwriter's Conclusion for Subject		0%

<<Indicate if the market percentages quoted represent a single day survey, or are a year over average. The number of competitors will depend on the size of the market. Please expand or reduce the chart above as needed. Provide brief narrative discussion of conclusion. The narrative should address any decline in or below average occupancy. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days may be more appropriate than units or beds. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Census Mix - As Is

An analysis of the subject and market comparable census mix is provided below.

Census Mix – Subject History (% of beds)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Source	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year en ding xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	YTD or T- 12 (specify dates)	Appraisal	UW
Private-pay	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Medicare	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Welfare (Medicaid)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. V.A.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. HMO (Insurance)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Census Mix - Market Comparables

(% of beds not revenue)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Local Market Census Mix - As Is	Private-pay	Medicare	Medicaid	e.g. V.A.	e.g. HMO (Insurance)	e.g. Other	Total
Comp 1 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 2 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 3 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 4 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 5 - (Name) add rows as needed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Subject - Actual	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Market Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide the above analysis for each care type. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Rents - As Is

The rent schedule is currently as follows:

<< Insert a summary chart of the rent schedule here that shows rents, number of units, and room/service types.>>

<<Discuss the subject Rent Schedule. For skilled nursing and other facilities, a daily rate may be more appropriate than a monthly conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Historical Revenue Summary

<< Please adapt the chart to show the income sources specific to your facility. Bad debt can either included in the table below or dealt with as an expense. >>

History by Revenue Source

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Double click inside the Excer rable to add information)												
					Actual							
Period Year Ending XX/XX/XX					Year Ending XX/XX/XX				Year Ending XX/XX/XX			
Income Source	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Source Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Source Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit
e.g. Independent Living e.g. Assisted Living e.g. Private Pay e.g. Ins/Mngd Care Nursing e.g. Medicaid Nursing e.g. Medicare Nursing		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
e.g. VA e.g. Therapy Residential Revenue A chieved	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Other Income		#D IV/0!		#D IV/0!	,-	#DIV/0!		#D IV/0!	,-	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!
Effective Gross In come	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!

Actual					Forecast							
Period YTD Annizd or T-12 (specify dates)					Appraisal As-Is Appraisal - As Proposed						ed	
Income Source	Sour ce Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Source Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit	Source Total	% of EGI	# D ay s OR Units	Per Day OR Unit
e.g. Independent Living e.g. As sisted Living e.g. Private Pay e.g. Ins /Mngd Care Nursing e.g. Medicaid Nursing e.g. Medicare Nursing e.g. VA e.g. Therapy		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0! #D IV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Actual Residential Revenue	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!
Other Income Effective Cross Income	\$0	#D IV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#D IV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Actu al Residential Revenue		#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	0	#DI

<< In the chart above, the most recent reporting period may be presented as the annualization of the first months of the year (Annualized YTD), or presented as the 12 trailing months (T-12) of income that overlaps into the prior reporting period. Please indicate which you are showing and the months covered by the T-12 or YTD.

Above you are asked to report the number of resident days or occupied units. Nursing homes should be reported by resident day, the total of which should be equal to the **number of operating beds** x 365 x occupancy percentage. Assisted living may be reported by occupied unit, the total of which should equal the **number of operating units** x 12 x occupancy percentage. Do not enter potential gross incomes here, but rather effective gross income, wherein vacancy has already been accounted for.>>

<< Discuss any departures from historical reimbursements, mix, and trends here.>>

<< <u>Instructions</u>: Each type of care should have its own subsection below discussing the payor source identified in the rent schedule, as demonstrated below. You may delete the sections (Skilled Nursing, Assisted Living, and Independent Living) that do not apply to your subject. >>

SKILLED NURSING - AS IS

Private Pav

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent roll, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the private pay rates at X comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Private Pay Rates Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Skilled Nursing - Private Pay	Unadjused	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. Priva	ate Room	e.g. Sen	ni-Private	e. g. V	Ward	e.g. Sul	o-Acute
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Market Average	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Appraisal Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Underwriter Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_

<< Provide narrative discussion of private pay rate conclusion. Discuss how the rate conclusion compares to the achieved rents shown on the rent roll. Expand or shorten the table above as needed to accommodate the types of rooms or the number of comparables used. Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusions, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification. >>

	Medicare	
Daily rate – Underwriting:	\$ Appraisal:	\$
Subject's historical average	Time period of	
RUG Rate:	\$ quoted average:	

<<Identify any anticipated changes to the reimbursement rate. Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For example: "The appraiser provided a detailed Resource Utilization Group (RUG) rate analysis of the facility's operation over the last 12-month operating period. The analysis concluded a weighted average Medicare rate of \$XX PRD. The RUG Rates used to determine the average rate are based on the <<DATE>> rates. The underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion.">>

Medicaid

Daily Rate – Underwriting:	\$ A _I	opraisal: \$
Published Rate:	\$ Date	of Rate
tenant's rate is determined. If r rate. If rate is based on residen rates for this payor source, as a copayments that are required, e	n of the state's reimbursement systate is facility specific, discuss event care requirements, provide an oppropriate. Identify and discuss e.g., Supplemental Security Incomate, such as when rates are tied t	idence of current or prospective analysis of the last 12-months of any other sources or ne (SSI). Identify any anticipated
	Veteran's Administration (VA)
Daily Rate – Underwriting:		opraisal: _\$
evidence (e.g., rate letter) or his	tive discussion of how the rate is storical precedent for the underw HMO or Other Private Insuran	ritten rate. >>
Daily Rate – Underwriting:	\$ A _I	opraisal: \$
• • • •	tive discussion of how the rate is storical precedent for the underw	
	Other	
	tive discussion of other types of p Discuss review of evidence (e.g. rate. >>	
	ACCICTED I IVING AC IC	

ASSISTED LIVING – AS IS

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the assisted living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Assisted Living - Private Pay	Un adju sted	Adjusted	Un adju sted	Adjused	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Un adju sted	Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. 9	Studio	e.g. One	Bedroom	e.g. Two	Bedroom	e.g. Sem	i-Private
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Market Average	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Appraisal Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

<< Provide narrative discussion of the private pay conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Medicaid

<<If applicable, provide narrative discussion of state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last 12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required (e.g., SSI). >>

Independent Units

In addition to an analysis of the subjects rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the independent living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Independent Living	Un adju sted		Adjusted		Un adju sted		Adjusted	-	Un adju sted		Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. S	tud	io	e. g	, One	Bed	lroom	e.g	Two	Bed	lroom
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Other Income Breakdown

<<Input effective income conclusions, not gross income.>>

Underwriter's Break down of "Other" Income							
Line	Description	Annual Amount	Per Occupied Day/Unit				
А							
В							
С							
D							
Е							
F							
	Total	0					

<< Provide narrative discussion and support for each other income category as appropriate. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support their conclusion, as appropriate.</p>

Example: Additional Personal Care Fees: The project bases additional care fees on levels of care needed as determined by the initial assessment and subsequent assessments as needed. The appraiser concludes to a net amount of X annually. The underwriter has analyzed the history to determine the average monthly charge of X, net of vacancie. Insert historical or comparable data as appropriate.

Example: Second Occupant Income: The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X second occupants at X per month. Over the last 12 months, the facility has averaged X second occupants per month. Competitive facilities in the market place report second occupant charges ranging between X and X with a range of X to X second occupants. Based on the history and the market, the underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion for a net annual income of X.

Example: <u>Miscellaneous Income</u>: In addition to room rents, additional care, and second occupant income, the project receives miscellaneous income from X (list miscellaneous). The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X. Historically, typical miscellaneous income is between X and X percent of effective income. The appraiser's conclusion is X. The underwriter has concluded to a net X per annum (calculation shown).

Expenses - As Is

The appraiser concludes to total expenses of \$	including reser	ve for replaceme	nt of
\$. The underwriter concludes to total expense	s of \$ inc	luding reserve fo	r
replacement of \$. An analysis of subject's his	tory is provided	below. The app	oraiser also
compared the subject's expense conclusions to	comparable pr	ojects located in	ı .

<<Explain how the appraiser's expenses used for valuing the facility differ from the expenses used by the lender for the Debt Service Coverage analysis. Typically, these may differ in the categories of reserves, management fee, and taxes. The appraiser's numbers will represent market expenses and the lender's expenses for DSC analysis will represent what will actually be paid. >

Historic Comparison

<<The data in the following table must be in totals, not per resident day or per occupied unit. Cells with grey shading will calculate automatically. You are given some latitude in defining the expense categories. The expense categories in black text are required items. You have the option of presenting the current year's expense data in an annualized amount or in the form of trailing 12 months (T-12) of expense. The lender must include the most current historical income and expense data available to them, and not the dated information from the appraisal.>>

Expense Analysis -Subject

(use totals not per patient day/occupied bed)
(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Double Click I	iiisiuc uic La	icci rabic ic	auu IIIIOIIII	ation		
Expense Categories	Year Ending 12/31/09	Year Ending 12/31/10	Year En din g 12/31/2011	T-12 or YTD (specify dates)	As-Is Appraisal (Market)	As-Is Lender's (Market)
e.g. General & Administrative						
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits						
e.g. Resident Care						
e.g. Food Services						
e.g. Activities						
e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry						
e.g. Maintenance						
e.g. Utilities						
e.g. Marketing and Promotion						
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)						
e.g. Bad Debt						
Sub-total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Real Estate (Property) Taxes						
Management Fees						
Replacement Reserves						
Total Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Normalization Calculations						
Deduct Actual Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Rate Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Deduct Actual Management Fee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Management Fee @ enter %	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Deduct Actual Reserves for Replacement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Add Market Reserves by Appraiser	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Normalized Expenses	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Normalized Expense Percentage	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Normalized Expense per Res Day OR Occ. Ur	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!		
Kar Data	Year En din g 12/31/09	Year En din g 12/31/10	Year En din g 12/31/2011	T-12 or YTD (specify dates)	As-Is Appraisal (Market)	As-Is Lender's (Market)
Key Data Effective Gross Income	12 31/03	12 31/10	12/31/2011	dutes)	(market)	(market)
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Operating Income Normalized Net Operating Income	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	***	
	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Occupancy Potential # Res Days (beds x 365) OR Units A			#DIV/0!	#1017/0!	#1517/0!	#1517/0!
Actual # Res Days (SNF) OR Occ. Units (AL		113 X 12)				
recall with Day's (DAT) OR Occ. Onto (AL	. 51 115)					

Comparable Expense Data

<<Unlike the previous table, the information for the expense comparables should be entered on a per resident day basis (# beds x 365 x occupancy rate) or per occupied unit basis (# units x 12

x occupancy rate). A minimum of three expense comps are required. More columns or tables can be added if needed.>>

Expense Analysis – Comparables

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Expense Comparables - As Is	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Appraiser's
	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Condusion
Expense Categories	Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	Comp 4	Comp 5	
e.g. General & Administrative						
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits						
e.g. Resident Care						
e.g. Food Services						
e.g. Activities						
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry						
e.g. Maintenance						
e.g. Utilities						
e.g. Marketing and Promotion						
e.g. In surance (property & liability)						
e.g. Bad Debt						
Sub-total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes						
Management Fees						
Replacement Reserves						
Total Expenses	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross Income						
Net Operating Income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Occup ancy						
Number of Res Days OR Occ. Units						
Date of Expense Information	e.g. Jul-12					
A djustment amount applied for date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<<Provide narrative discussion of comparable information. The appraiser should trend the expense comparables to the effective date of the appraisal. An explanation of the adjustments should be included here. Explain any other adjustments made to the comparables such as for normalization of reserves, management fee, taxes, etc., required to put the comparables on the same footing as the subject. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days are more appropriate than occupied units. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

Net Operating Income (NOI)

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically, the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to the Office of Residential Care Facilities (ORCF) requirements (e.g., specific</p>

reserve requirements, tax abatements that the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for loan sizing based on Debt Service Coverage.>>

<<Reproduce or paste the pro forma that follows. If the lender disagrees with the appraiser's value conclusion, present a separate pro forma for both the lender's conclusions and the appraiser's conclusions. A separate pro forma is not required to show the underwriter's conclusions for debt coverage (i.e., when expnses for management fee, reserves, or taxes will differ from the appraiser's market conclusion).</p>

At a minimum, the pro forma supplied needs to:

- Summarize the income by source. The income detail needs to be sufficient to show a line item for each source that a specific rate was concluded. Include the payor type (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, private pay, etc.) and the care type (i.e., AL, MC, IL, SNF), and the room type (i.e., private, ward, one-bedroom, studio, etc.). A count of each type should also be shown.
- Show occupancy assumptions and the assumed number of resident days <u>OR</u> occupied units.
- Show the conclusions for the major expense categories.
- Show the NOI, EGI, expense per bed <u>OR</u> unit, and the overall expense percentage. It is not necessary to show the Potential Gross Income.

If the appraiser's pro forma does not include sufficient detail, the following table may be used or adapted to produce a pro forma acceptable to ORCF. The input fields are shaded. Non shaded fields are automatic calculations. Double click the table to open for editing.>>

	p. only). Other	wise figures s hov	vn are "Per Re	sident Day"		
EnterNumberof Beds ▶	0	(Account for se	cond occupan	ts in Other In	come.)	
Income Source (separate line for each concluded rate)	Rate Per Resident	what % of total units are this	% for this	# of Resident	Source Total Annually	% of E
< <pre><<payor care="" room="" source="" type="">></payor></pre>	Day \$0.00	ty pe?	ty pe*	Days/year 0	\$0	4DB2
<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>		0.0% 0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0 \$0	#DIV
<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00 \$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0 \$0	#DIV
< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre><<pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre><td>\$0.00</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0</td><td>\$0</td><td>#DIV</td></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
<pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
<pre></pre> <pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre><pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
< <pre><<payorsource care="" room="" type="">></payorsource></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
< <pre><<pre>payorsource/care type/room type>></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
	Income Total	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0	#DIV
Other Income Sources	Other Inc. @ Forecasted	% of EGI	Per Resident			
	Occupancy		Day			
e.g. Therapy	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	•		
e.g. Level of Care Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
e.g. Second Occupant Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
e.g. Misc/Assessment Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
Other Income Total	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!			
Effective 6	Gross Income	\$0				
Expense Categories	Dollars Annually	% of EGI	Per Resident Day			
Expense Categories		% of EGI #DIV/0!				
	Annually		Day			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits	Annually \$0	#DIV/0!	Day #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care	Annually \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	Day #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry e.g. Maintenance	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	Day #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry e.g. Maintenance e.g. Utilities	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry e.g. Maintenance	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	Day #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			
e.g. General & Administrative e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits e.g. Resident Care e.g. Food Services e.g. Activities e.g. Housekeeping & Laundry e.g. Maintenance e.g. Utilities	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!	#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!			

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

\$0

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0U

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

#DIV/0!

Capitalization Rate - As Is

Sub-total

Real Estate (Property) Taxes

Management Fees

Replacement Reserves

Total Expenses

Net Operating Income

<<The selection of the capitalization rate should be primarily based on recent sales rather than from investment models. Ideally, these rates would come from the Building Sales Comparables. However, these are often chosen by location before sale date. Recent cap rate data should be included every time, even if an additional set of cap rate comps or a survey needs to be introduced. In the table below, please add columns or duplicate the table as needed to accommodate additional comps.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Capitalization Rate Summary	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 3	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 5	Comp. Average	Appraiser Conclusion	Un derwriter Conclusion
Capitalization Rate								
Identify Source of Income Data								
Uses Retro or Prospective NOI								
Date of Sale								
Year Built								

<< Provide narrative discussion as necessary. If the subject was sold within the past 3 years, include the cap rate analysis here. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Sales Comparison Approach - As Is

<< If large adjustments are required in the sales comparison approach, extra attention and explanation are required to support the determination of the adjustments. Generally, those sales that require the smallest adjustment are the most desirable.>>

Summary of Comparable Sales Data

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

		Comparable 1	Comp arab le 2	Comp arab le 3	Comparable 4	Comp arab le 5			
Summary of Compar	rable Sales Data	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX					Average	Appraisal	UW
	Unadjusted						#DIV/0!		
Price Per (specify	A djus ted						#DIV/0!		
Unit OR Bed)	Net Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!		
	Net A ajus unent	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
	EGIM						#DIV/0!		
	Expense Ratio						#DIV/0!		
	Year Built								
	Date of Sale								

Price Per Unit/Bed - As Is

<<Provide narrative discussion and summary of the appraisal conclusions. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Include a general discussion of adjustments made to the sales and the comparables that best represent the subject facility. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) - As Is

<< Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be

appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. >>

Subject Purchases

<<Provide analysis of subject's purchase price for all sales that have occurred within the last 3 years. (The analysis should provide: date of purchase; purchase price; whether the purchase was an arms-length transaction; and the financing term. In addition, the analysis should also state whether the sale was a market price. If not, explain.)>>

Cost Approach – As Is

Development Costs

<<Provide narrative discussion. If this approach was not expanded by the appraiser, indicate so here. Instead of deleting the remainder of the subsection, provide any lender insights in each category.>>

Depreciation

<< Provide narrative discussion of depreciation assumptions and conclusion.>>

Major Movable Equipment

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Address discrepancies between appraiser and cost analyst. Additionally, address ownership of the major movable equipment (e.g., borrower or operator). >>

Marketing Allowance

<< Provide narrative discussion.>>

Land Value

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. A land valuation is no longer required if the cost approach is not utilized.>>

Overall Value Reconciliation - As Is

<<Provide narrative discussion of how the value approaches were reconciled to reach the final conclusions. The statement may be simple. For example: "As demonstrated in the Appraisal Overview section above, the underwritten value conclusion is based on the income approach to value." If the value conclusion is based on weighting multiple approaches provide an explanation of the rationale.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

As -Is										
Mark et Value Summary										
Approach	Appraisal	Un der writ er								
In come Capit alization										
Sales Comparison										
Cost Approach (if utilized)										
Conclusion:										

Lender Modifications - As Is

<<State if the lender concurs or not with the appraiser's value conclusion. When there is a disagreement, summarize the valuation modifications made by the lender underwriter. Insert a pro forma to highlight the differences in conclusions as needed. View the appraisal as a tool to do your underwriting and loan sizing correctly. Lenders should not use a value they disagree with and are allowed to use a lower value/NOI for loan sizing purposes. If lenders feel they are prohibited from doing this, they should cite the FIREA rule at issue in the narrative.>>

Income Capitalization Approach - As Proposed

Census Mix - As Proposed

An analysis of the subject and market comparable census mix is provided below.

Census Mix – Subject History (% of beds)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Source	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	Year ending xx/xx/xx	YTD or T- 12 (specify dates)	Appraisal	UW
Private-p ay	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Medicare	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Welfare (Medicaid)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. V.A.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. HMO (Insurance)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
e.g. Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Census Mix – Market Comparables

(% of beds not revenue)

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Local Market Census Mix - As Is	Private-pay	Medicare	Medicaid	e.g. V.A.	e.g. HMO (Insurance)	e.g. Other	Total
Comp 1 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 2 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 3 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 4 - (Name)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Comp 5 - (Name) add rows as needed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Subject - Appraiser's Forecast	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Market Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide the above analysis for each care type. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Rents - As Proposed

The rent schedule is currently as follows:

<< Insert a summary chart of the rent schedule here that shows rents, number of units, and room/service types.>>

<<Discuss the subject Rent Schedule. For skilled nursing and other facilities, a daily rate may be more appropriate than a monthly conclusion. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type.>>

SKILLED NURSING - AS PROPOSED

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent roll, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the private pay rates at X comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Private Pay Rates Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Skilled Nursing - Private Pay	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused	Un adju sted	Adjused
Unit/Care Type	e.g. Priva	ite Room	e.g. Sen	i-Private	e. g. 1	Ward	e.g. Sul	o-Acute
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Market Average	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Appraisal Conclusion	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_

<< Provide narrative discussion of private pay rate conclusion. Discuss how the rate conclusion compares to the achieved rents shown on the rent roll. Expand or shorten the table above as needed to accommodate the types of rooms or the number of comparables used. Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusions, as appropriate. Identify any modification from the appraiser's concluded rent and provide justification. >>

Daily rate – Underwriting: Subject's historical average RUG Rate:		Appraisal: Time period of quoted average:	\$
< <identify "the="" (rug)="" a="" analysis="" anticipated="" any="" appraiser's="" are="" average="" characteristics.="" concluded="" conclusion."="" determine="" example:="" faci="" for="" of="" rate="" the="" weighted="">></identify>	e appraiser provided o lity's operation over th average Medicare rate based on the < <dat< td=""><td>detailed Resource (ne last 12-month ope e of \$XX PRD. The</td><td>Utilization Group erating period. The RUG Rates used to</td></dat<>	detailed Resource (ne last 12-month ope e of \$XX PRD. The	Utilization Group erating period. The RUG Rates used to
	Medicaid	l	
Daily Rate – Underwriting: Published Rate:		Appraisal: Date of Rate	\$

<<Provide narrative discussion of the state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last 12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required, e.g., Supplemental Security Income (SSI). Identify any anticipated changes to the reimbursement rate, such as when rates are tied to depreciating capital components .>>

Veteran's Administration (VA) Daily Rate – Underwriting: \$ Appraisal: \$ <- If applicable, provide narrative discussion of how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical precedent for the underwritten rate. >> HMO or Other Private Insurance Daily Rate – Underwriting: \$ Appraisal: \$ -- If applicable, provide narrative discussion of how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical precedent for the underwritten rate. >> Other

ASSISTED LIVING - AS PROPOSED

<< If applicable, provide narrative discussion of other types of payor sources. Describe source and how the rate is determined. Discuss review of evidence (e.g., rate letter) or historical

Private Pay

In addition to an analysis of the subject's rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the assisted living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Assisted Living - Private Pay	Un adju sted	,	Adjusted		Un adju sted	-	Adjused		Un adju ⊈ed	-	Adjusted		Un adju sted	-	Adjused
Unit/Care Type	e.g. 5	Studi	0	e.g	, One	Bed	room	e.g.	Two	Bed	lroom	e. g	g Sen	i-Pr	ivate
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		-	\$			_	\$			-	\$			-
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of the private pay conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Medicaid

<>If applicable, provide narrative discussion of state's reimbursement system and how the subject's or tenant's rate is determined. If rate is facility specific, discuss evidence of current or prospective rate. If rate is based on resident care requirements, provide an analysis of the last

precedent for the underwritten rate. >>

12-months of rates for this payor source, as appropriate. Identify and discuss any other sources or copayments that are required (e.g., SSI). >>

Independent Units

In addition to an analysis of the subjects rent rolls, the appraiser and underwriter analyzed the independent living rents at comparable facilities. A summary of their analysis is provided below.

Rent Comparability Analysis

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

Independent Living	Un adju sted		Adjusted		Un adju sted		Adjusted	1 :: 1	on adjusted	-	Adjusted
Unit/Care Type	e.g. 9	Stud	io	e.g	, One	Bed	lroom	e.g.	Two	Bed	room
Rent Comp 1 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 2 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 3 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 4 - (Name)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Rent Comp 5 - (Name) - add lines as needed	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Subject (Current Achieving)	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Market Average	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Appraisal Conclusion	\$		_	\$			-	\$			_
Underwriter Conclusion	\$		-	\$			-	\$			-

<< Provide narrative discussion of conclusion. Include a discussion on achieved rents shown on the rent roll versus asking rates. >>

Other Income Breakdown

<< Input effective income conclusions, not gross income.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Tables to add information)

	Underwriter's Breakdown of "C	ther" Income	
Line	Description	Annual Amount	Per Occupied Day/Unit
А			
В			
С			
D			
Е			
F			
	Total	0	

<< Provide narrative discussion and support for each other income category as appropriate. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support their conclusion, as appropriate.</p>

Example: Additional Personal Care Fees: The project bases additional care fees on levels of care needed as determined by the initial assessment and subsequent assessments as needed. The appraiser concludes to a net amount of X annually. The underwriter has analyzed the history to determine the average monthly charge of X, net of vacancie. Insert historical or comparable data as appropriate.

Example: Second Occupant Income: The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X second occupants at X per month. Over the last 12 months, the facility has averaged X second occupants per month. Competitive facilities in the market place report second occupant charges ranging between X and X with a range of X to X second occupants. Based on the history and the market, the underwriter concurs with the appraiser's conclusion for a net annual income of X.

Example: <u>Miscellaneous Income</u>: In addition to room rents, additional care, and second occupant income, the project receives miscellaneous income from X (list miscellaneous). The appraiser has included a net annual projection of X. Historically, typical miscellaneous income is between X and X percent of effective income. The appraiser's conclusion is X. The underwriter has concluded to a net X per annum (calculation shown). >>

Expenses – As Proposed

Comparable Expense Data – As Proposed

Expense Analysis –Comparables (Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Expense Comparables - As Is	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare	Hope Healthcare
• •	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX	Anywhere, XX
Expense Categories	Comp 1	Comp 2	Comp 3	Comp 4	Comp 5
e.g. General & Administrative					
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits					
e.g. Resident Care					
e.g. Food Services					
e.g. Activities					
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry					
e.g. Maintenance					
e.g. Utilities					
e.g. Marketing and Promotion					
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)					
e.g. Bad Debt					
Sub-total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes					
Management Fees					
Replacement Reserves					
Total Expenses	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Effective Gross In come					
Net Operating Income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Occup ancy					
Number of Res Days OR Occ. Units					
Date of Expense Information	e.g. Jul-12				
A djustment amount applied for date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

(Bodore erren	morae the E	cei Table to	add milorinat	1011)			
Expense Categories	As-Proposed Appraisal (Market)			As-Proposed Lender (Market)		As-Proposed Lender (DSCR)	
						-	
		Per Occpd.		Per Occpd.		Per Occpd.	
	Total	Unit/Bed	Total	Unit/Bed	Total	Unit/Bed	
e.g. General & Administrative		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Resident Care		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Food Services		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Activities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Hous ekeeping & Laundry		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Maintenance		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Utilities		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Marketing and Promotion		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Insurance (property & liability)		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
e.g. Bad Debt		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Sub-total	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	
Re al Estate (Property) Taxes		\$1.00		\$1.00		\$1.00	
Management Fees		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Replacement Reserves		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Total Expenses	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	
Expense Percentage	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
Effective Gross In come		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
Net Operating Income	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	
Oc cup ancy	#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DI	V/0!	
Potential# Res Days OR Units Annually							
Actual# Res Days OR Occ. Units							

<<Provide narrative discussion of comparable information. The appraiser should trend the expense comparables to the effective date of the appraisal. An explanation of the adjustments should be included here. Explain any other adjustments made to the comparables such as for normalization of reserves/management fee/taxes, etc. required to put the comparables on the same footing as the subject. For skilled nursing and other facilities, resident days are more appropriate than occupied units. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. >>

Net Operating Income – As Proposed

<< Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically, the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to ORCF requirements (e.g., specific reserve requirements, tax abatements that</p>

the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for loan sizing based on debt service coverage. >>

<<Below reproduce or paste the appraiser's pro forma. If the lender disagrees with the appraiser's value conclusion, a separate pro forma with the lender's conclusions should be added in section entitled "Lender Modifications of Value." A separate lender's pro forma is not required to show ORCF required revisions to items such as management fee, reserves, or taxes as part of the Debt Coverage analysis. Those changes will be summarized later in the expense section.</p>

At a minimum the pro forma supplied needs to:

- Summarize the income by source. The income detail needs to be sufficient to show a line item for each source that a specific rate was concluded. Include the payor type (i.e., Medicare, Medicaid, private pay, etc.), the care type (i.e., AL, MC, IL, or SNF), and the room type (i.e., private, ward, one-bedroom, or studio). A count of each type should also be shown.
- Show occupancy assumptions and the assumed number of resident days <u>or</u> occupied units.
- Show the conclusions for the major expense categories.
- Show the net operating income, effective gross income, expense per bed <u>or</u> unit, and the overall expense percentage. It is not necessary to show the potential gross income.

If the appraiser's pro forma does not include sufficient detail, the following table may be used or adapted to produce a pro forma acceptable to ORCF. The input fields are shaded. Non-shaded fields are automatic calculations. Double click the table to open for editing.>>

Pro Forma - Appraiser's Conclusions

Income Source (separate line for each concluded rate)	Rate Per Re siden t Day	what % of total units are this type?	Occupancy % for this type*	# of Resident Days/year	Source Total Annually
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care type/room type>></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
< <pre><<pre><<pre><<pre>yor source/care ty pe/room type>></pre></pre></pre></pre>	\$0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0
Re siden tial	In come Total	0.0%	0.0%	0	\$0

		0.070	0.070
	Other Inc. @		Per Resident
Other In come Sources	Forecasted	% of EGI	Day
	Occupancy		Day
e.g. Therapy	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Level of Care Fees	\$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
e.g. Se con d Occupant Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Misc/Assessment Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0
Other In co	ome Total \$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
		+ -	

Effective Gross Income \$0

Expense Categories	Dollars Annually	% of EGI	Per Resident Day
e.g. General & Administrative	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Payroll Taxes and Benefits	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Resident Care	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Food Services	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Activities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Hou sekeeping & Laun dry	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Maintenance	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Utilities	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Marketing and Promotion	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. In surance (property & liability)	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
e.g. Collection Loss/Bad Debt	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Su b-total	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Real Estate (Property) Taxes	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
M an agement Fees	\$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
Replacement Reserves	\$0	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!
Total Expenses	\$0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Net Operating Income		\$0	

Net Operating Income

ing Income

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. Summarize and compare the NOI of the appraiser and the lender's NOI that incorporates all potential changes to incomes and expenses. Typically the lender would explain here that the appraiser's "market" NOI was used for valuation and loan sizing based on value. The lender's NOI, which may vary from the appraiser's due to HUD requirements (e.g., specific reserve requirements, or for tax abatements that the appraiser was not allowed to recognize, or unusual management fees) will be used for</p>

loan sizing based on Debt Service Coverage. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate. >>

Capitalization Rate – As Proposed

<<The selection of the capitalization rate should be based primarily on recent sales rather than from investment models. Ideally, these rates would come from the Building Sales Comparables. However, these are often chosen by location before sale date. Recent cap rate data should be included every time, even if an additional set of cap rate comps or a survey needs to be introduced. In the table below, please add columns or duplicate the table as needed to accommodate additional comps.>>

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

Capitalization Rate Summary	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 1	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 3	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 4	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX Comp 5	Comp. Average	Appraiser Conclusion	Un derwriter Conclusion
Capitalization Rate								
Identify Source of Income Data								
Uses Retro or Prospective NOI								
Date of Sale								
Year Built								

<<Provide narrative discussion as necessary. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate schedule for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion</p>

Sales Comparison Approach - As Proposed

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

		(Dodote circii	morae are En	cer rabre to a	aa iiiioiiiiatioi				
			Comparable 2	Comparable 3	Comparable 4	Comparable 5			
Summary of Compa	rable Sales Data	Hope Health care Anywhere, XX					Average	Appraisal	UW
	Unadjusted						#DIV/0!		
Price Per (specify	Adjusted						#DIV/0!		
Unit OR Bed)	Net Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	#DIV/0!		
		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
	EGIM						#DIV/0!		
Expense Ratio Year Built							#DIV/0!		
	Date of Sale								

Price Per Unit/Bed - As Proposed

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Include a general discussion of adjustments made to the sales and which comparables best represent the subject facility.</p>

Additional analysis can be provided at the Lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM) – As Proposed

<<Provide narrative discussion. An equivalent analysis of the information provided above is required. For continuum of care facilities (e.g., skilled and assisted living), it may be appropriate to provide a separate analysis for each care type. Additional analysis can be provided at the lender's option to support its conclusion, as appropriate.>>

Cost Approach – As Proposed

Development Cost

<< Provide narrative discussion. This section is a place for the lender to summarize the cost conclusions of the appraisal. The costs in this section will be different than those in the Cost Review Section. This section will focus on market costs, as opposed to the Cost Reviewer Section that will be geared toward HUD-specific costs, such as Davis-Bacon wages.>>

Depreciation

<< With new construction this will normally be not applicable, but if the appraiser concludes there is external obsolescence, or depreciation associated with a preexisting structure, it should be discussed here.>>

Major Movable Equipment

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Address discrepancies between appraiser and cost analyst. Additionally, address ownership of the major movable equipment (e.g., borrower or operator).>>

Land Value

<< Provide narrative discussion of assumptions and conclusion. Include an analysis of the comparable data.>>

Reconciliation – As Proposed

As -Pr oposed					
Mark et Value Summary					
Approach	Appraisal	Un der writ er			
In come Capit alization					
Sales Comparison					
Cost Approach (if utilized)					
Conclusion:					

<<Provide narrative discussion of how the value approaches were reconciled to reach the final conclusions. The statement may be simple. For example, "As demonstrated in the Appraisal Overview section above, the underwritten value conclusion is based on the income approach to value." If the value conclusion is based on weighting multiple approaches provide an explanation of the rationale.>>

Lender Modifications - As Proposed

<<State if the lender concurs, or not, with the appraiser's value conclusion. When there is a disagreement, summarize the valuation modifications made by lender underwriter. Insert a pro forma to highlight the differences in conclusions as needed. View the appraisal as a tool to do your underwriting and loan sizing correctly. Lenders should not use a value they disagree with and are allowed to use a lower value/NOI for loan sizing purposes. If lenders feel they are prohibited from doing this, they should cite the FIRREA rule at issue in the narrative.>>

Initial Operating Deficit

<<Below is the "output screen" of OHP's required IOD model. Double click to open. There are 3 tabs, the first of which is the "Input" screen. At this early stage, the first tab is the only area you will make entries (entry cells are shaded in light blue). Once finished with the entries, return to the "Output – Summary Exhibit" tab and click your mouse outside the excel chart to close. All three tabs are to be included as exhibit 1-3A.1. The electronic version of exhibit 1-3A.1, should be submitted as a functioning Excel (or equivalent) workbook. After construction is complete, this workbook will again be used to make draw requests on the IOD escrow account (Details and Draw Request tab). Enter narrative explanations below as needed below. >>

0	Prepared:
---	-----------

Occupancy Assumptions

Unit Type	Total # Beds	UW Occupancy	Number of Preleases
e.g. Assisted Living	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Memory Care	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Skilled Nursing or Sub-Acute	0	0.00%	0.00
e.g. Independent Living	0	0.00%	0.00
Totals	0	#DIV/0!	0.00

Rounded Operating Deficit Total

	Occupied	Occupied	Income	Exp. & Mort
Period	Units	Percent	per Period	per Period
Month 1	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 2	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 3	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 4	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 5	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 6	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 7	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 8	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 9	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 10	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 11	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 12	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 13	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 14	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 15	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 16	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 17	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 18	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 19	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 20	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 21	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 22	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 23	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 24	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 25	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 26	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 27	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 28	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 29	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 30	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 31	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 32	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 33	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Month 34	0.00	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!

ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey

Da	te:		
Fir	m:		
Ke	ey Questions	Yes	No
1.	Are there any differences between the legal description on the survey and legal description included in the pro forma title policy?		
2.	Are there any revisions or modification required to the survey prior to closing?		
3.	Does the survey indicate any boundary encroachments?		
4.	Does the survey evidence any buildings encroaching on utility or other easements or rights-of-way?		
5.	Are there any unusual circumstances or items that require special attention or conditions?		
clo	tice on the easterly portion of the property. An encroachment endorsement wasing. There is no impact on the value or marketability of the project.>> tle		ived di
Ti	tle Search		
Da	te of search:		
Fir			
	e number:		
Ke	ey Questions	V	N T-
1.	Is the title currently vested in an entity or individual other than the propose borrower?		No
2.	Does the report indicate that delinquent real estate taxes are owed?		
3.	Does the report indicate any outstanding special assessments?		
4.	Does the report identify any outstanding debt that is not disclosed on the borrower's listing of outstanding obligations?		

			Yes	No
5.	Are there or will there be any Use and Maintenance Agreements associat with this facility?			
	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topid how it will be mitigated.>>	c descr	ribing th	ne risk
Pi	o-forma Policy			
Da	te/time:			
Fir	m:			
Po	licy number:			
V.	ey Questions			
Ιζ	y Questions		Yes	No
1.	Is the title vested in an entity or individual other than the proposed borrower?	•••••		
2.	Are there any covenants, , encumbrances, liens, restrictions, or other exceptions indicated on Schedule B-1?	••••		
3.	Are there any use or affordability restrictions remaining in effect on the property?			
4.	Are there any easements or rights-of-way listed that are not indicated on survey?			
5.	Are there any endorsements included aside from the standard HUD-requiendorsements?			
6.	Are there any subordination agreements, encroachments or similar issues that require HUD's approval?			
7.	Are there any other matters requiring special consideration, agreements, conditions that require HUD's attention?			
8.	Are there any easements, rights-of-way, encroachments, etc., identified of Schedules B-1 and B-2 that, in the lenders opinion, affect value or the marketability of the project?			
exc	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding ample, "Additional Endorsements: As described in the Risk Factors section XXXX does not conform to the past or current zoning requirements. The	on of th	ne narro	

Previous versions obsolete

recommends...>>

Environmental

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Date of inspection:					
Fir	m:				
Co	nsultant:				
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No	
1.	Does the report recommend a Phase II assessment, other reports, or additional testing?	•••••			
2.	Does the vapor encroachment screen amendment to the Phase I identify "vapor encroachment condition" (VEC)? (<i>The vapor encroachment screen mu performed using the Tier 1 "non-invasive" screening pursuant to ASTM E 2600-10.</i>)	ıst be			
3.	Does the report indicate evidence of any soil staining or distressed vegetation, unusual odors, pools of liquid, leaking containers or equipme hazardous materials or other unidentified substances?				
4.	Does the report indicate evidence of any chemical misuse or unlawful dumping at the site?	•••••			
	Does the report indicate the presence or suspected presence of any underground storage tanks or aboveground storage tanks on the site?				
6.	Does the report's review of all major governmental databases for listings potentially hazardous sites within the ASTM required search distances for the property identify any potential contamination concerns for the property.	rom			
7.	Do the Phase I or Phase II reports recommend any required actions or conditions?				
8.	Was the Phase I ESA conducted more than 180 days before the firm commitment application was submitted? (This report must not be more than 1 days old at the time of submission. ORCF is not able to waive this requirement.)				
< <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" and="" answer="" be="" describing="" discussion="" each="" how="" it="" mitigated.="" narrative="" on="" provide="" risk="" the="" topic="" will="">></for>					
Program Guidance – Above-ground storage tanks (ASTs):					
HUD is required to qualitatively evaluate the risks associated with proximity to hazardous facilities. OHP reviews on Section 232 applications will consider the potential danger presented by liquid fuel and gas aboveground storage tanks (ASTs). When existing or proposed ASTs are located onsite or when offsite tanks are visible from the property, a calculation of the Acceptable Separation Distance must be included in the application.					

General Overview

The Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was performed in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-05 << *Because ASTM may amend these requirements, please reference the most current version.*>> The investigation specifically included a reconnaissance of the subject site and the immediate surrounding area, a review of regulatory agency information, a survey of local geological and topographical maps, a review of aerial photographic studies, survey of water sources, a review of historical information, and a limited visual inspection for suspect asbestos containing materials (ACMs).

Lender Comments

<< Provide a brief summary of comments made by underwriter. If none, state none.>>

Other Potential Environmental Concerns

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Is the project located within a designated coastal barrier resource area		
2.	Is the project located within 5 miles of a civil airport or within 15 miles of a military airfield?		
3.	Is the project located within 1,000 feet of major highways or busy roads		
4.	Is the project located within 3,000 feet of a railroad?		
5.	Are there existing or proposed stationary tanks containing explosive or fire- prone materials of 100 gallons or larger on the site or nearby the site that are visible from satellite images or site reconnaissance?		
6.	Are there any wetlands on the subject site?		
	• If so, will the project impact or disturb wetland areas or their buffer zones?		
7.	Is any construction of the project likely to affect any listed or proposed endangered or threatened species or critical habitats?		
8.	Is the project located on a sole source aquifer?		
9.	Are there any known landfills within ½-mile of the site?		
10.	Are any buildings located in the fall zone of any high voltage power transmission or other towers?		
11.	Does the project include a structure that was built before 1978?		
	• If so, was a comprehensive asbestos survey performed by a qualified asbestos inspector pursuant to the "baseline survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 provided (required for all buildings constructed before 1978)?		

<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated. For example: **Item 11 - Existing Structures on Site:** A vacant one-story house and two storage sheds currently occupy the site. The current owner of the land

will be relocating these structures prior to initial closing, at no cost to the Borrower. Therefore, no off-site or demolition costs are anticipated.

Because of the existing structures, we have addressed potential asbestos and lead-based paint concerns. A qualified assessor evaluated the house and outbuildings for asbestos containing materials. A comprehensive asbestos survey was performed pursuant to the "baseline survey" requirements of ASTM E 2356-10 and no asbestos containing materials were identified. A visual inspection by the environmental assessor also indicated that there is no evidence of peeling paint and no suspect lead-based paint containing surfaces were identified. Given the condition of the paint, the fact that the buildings are not occupied, and the fact that they will be relocated prior to the start of construction, the underwriter and the assessor conclude that no further action is warranted.>>

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Clearance

<< Provide narrative description indicating whether or not SHPO has been contacted, information sent to SHPO, and any response received. For example: "Since we are not making changes to the exterior of the building, there is no impact on any historical property.">>

Key Questions			
 Are there any known historic preservation issues re Have any other archeological or cultural resource of 		Yes	No
< <as "we="" "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" applicable,="" by="" determined="" each="" for="" from="" have="" historical="" investigation="" is="" letter="" no="" of="" or="" providexample,="" received="" recommended="" site="" st="" state."="" suspected="" that="" the="" was="" xxxx="">></as>	ate Historic Society, dated 2	XXXX. It	
How did the SHPO respond regarding the Historic Pres	servation Review?		
 No potential to cause effect. No adverse effect. Adverse effect (explain below). Other (please describe): Not applicable; response has not yet been recei 	ved.		
< <if ar="" be="" concluded="" have="" mitigated.="" project="" shpo="" that="" the="" will="">></if>	adverse effect, please expl	ain how th	is!
Flood Plain			
NFIP Map Panel #:	Date:		
Flood Zone:			

Ke	ey Questions	T 7	
		Yes	No
1.	Does the community participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)? (A project located in a FEMA-identified special flood hazard area, where the community has been suspended for or does not participate in the NFIP, is not eligible for mortgage insurance.)		
2.	Is the subject located within the 100- or 500-year floodplain?*		
3.	Does the Standard Flood Hazard Determination Form indicate that the subject is located within the 100- or 500-year floodplain?*		
4.	Is flood insurance required for this property?		
exl will of	*If the project is in a 100- or 500-year floodplain, provide a narrative discussion in the application checklist with detailed information about how the altered and improvements designed. Include the elevation of the property, the floodplain, and the location of life support systems.)>>	the prop	erty
	me:		
	ate of organization:		
	te formed:		
	rmination date:		
Fis	scal year-end date:		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the borrower currently own any assets other than the property or participate in any other businesses?		
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the borrower been delinquent on any federal debt?		
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the borrower been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, has the borrower ever claimed bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the borrower?		
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated.>>	the topic	?

Organization

<< Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable. At a minimum, all principals of the borrower should be identified.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<>Narrative description of borrower (experience, if any) and qualifications. For example, "The borrower entity is a newly formed single-asset entity that was established in {date} to develop and own the subject project.">>

Cr	edit History			
Report Date:		< <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
	porting Firm:			
Sco	ore:			
Als		tion of the credit score in terms of risk level (i.e., low, mediu cluated numerically, explain what value the credit agency plo		_
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No
1.	<u>-</u>	ort identify any material derogatory information not		
	report?	er have any concerns related to their review of the credit		
3.	Is the credit report of	dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated.>>				
Pro	ogram Guidance:			
Dunn & Bradstreet (D&B) or other acceptable commercial credit report for business entities and RCMR "residential" for individuals are required. If not using D&B, an acceptable commercial credit report must include the following:				
2.	 Public filings that includes suits, liens, judgments, bankruptcies, and federal debt. UCC filings 			
	Credit payment hist Industry standards s payment trends	showing how the facility compares in the areas of financial s	tress and	
5.	5. A credit payment delinquency risk score over a 12-month period.			

	nancial Statements e application includes the following Borrower financial statements:		
Ba	lance Sheet as of:		
K	ey Questions		
	y Questions	Yes	No
	Is the balance sheet missing any required information or schedules? Does the balance sheet provided include financial data from assets or		
۷٠	liabilities not related to owning and operating this facility?		
3.	Did your review and analysis of the balance sheet indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?		
<<	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion regarding the to	pic.>>	
<< Qı	eneral Review Provide Narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addinates and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addinates above, working capital should be discussed along with the general financy and position of the entity.		-
C	onclusion		
exe for rev	Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation ample, "The borrower is a single-asset entity registered in the state of XXX on { med solely to own and operate the subject project. The organizational document wiewed by counsel and comply with HUD requirements in order to participate as ceptable borrower in this transaction.">>	date}. It nts have	
<u>P</u>	rincipal of the Borrower – < <enter name="" of="" principal<="" td=""><td><u>here></u></td><td><u>></u></td></enter>	<u>here></u>	<u>></u>
< <	Provide this section for each principal of the borrower.>>		
Κŧ	ey Questions	3 7	N T
1.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the principal been delinquent	Yes	No
	on any federal debt?		
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the principal been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		

Credit reports can be no more than 60 days old at the time of the firm application submission.

		Yes	No
3.	According to the application exhibits, has the principal ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the principal?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens against the principal?		
	d how it will be mitigated. >>		
O	rganization		
<<	Not applicable to individuals. If the principal is an <u>entity</u> , provide the followi	ing:>>	
Na	me:		
Sta	ate of organization:		
Da	te formed:		
Te	rmination date:		
<<	As applicable, please provide organization chart and narrative discussion.>>	>	

Experience/Qualifications

<< Provide narrative description of principal's experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other HUD transactions. This section should clearly demonstrate that the borrower will have sufficient expertise from which to draw to successfully on to own the facility.>>

<<Narrative must also include a discussion on the available working capital of the party (or parties) who will be responsible for providing the financial requirements for closing and beyond. The discussion must clearly show that this party has the ability to support the project over the long-term. In addition, include the percentage of owner's/principal's equity into the project, net worth, and liquidity. See Program Guidance below.>>

<u>Program Guidance – Supporting Documentation of Appropriate Experience:</u>

The application for firm commitment must include complete information on the individuals and/or entity that will bring the appropriate experience to the project. Appropriate experience is 3 to 5 years successful practice in developing, owning and/or operating board and care facilities, assisted living facilities, and/or skilled nursing facilities. If an entity or its principal does not have the appropriate experience, it may contract with a third-party experienced operator. Evidence of appropriate experience <u>must</u> be provided that includes specific project examples including project name, type of care provided, location, unit/bed count, year opened and key

ORCF is seeking assurance that the developers and other stakeholders are committed to the long-term success of their project and have the requisite experience to operate and manage the project. In addition to the requirements of the application package, the Lender Narrative must also provide a complete discussion on the borrower's commitment to the project, both financially and in a business sense, over the long-term as well as the borrower's experience. **Credit History** Report date: <<within 60 days of submission>> Reporting firm: Score: **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed? 2. Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report? 3. Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date? << If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, medium, or high risk, etc. Also, if the score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit agency places on the score.>> Other Business Concerns **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Does the principal identify any other business concerns? a. Do any of the other business concerns have pending judgments, legal actions/suits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be b. If so, was a credit report obtained on the business concern? N/A 2. Do the credit reports on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns <>As applicable, a "yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it will be mitigated.>>

operating metrics (fill pace, occupancy, net operating income margins), and specific

responsibilities for the management and operation of the example health care facility. The

Credit Reports for Other Business Concerns:

<<Provide narrative discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX identified XX other business concerns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX other business concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loan transaction.>>

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory information, etc.)

Other Section 232 Projects

Key Questions

		Yes	No
1.	Does the principal identify any other Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) applications on their consolidated certification?		
2.	Does the principal identify any other existing Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) projects on their consolidated certification?		

<<For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. Example: Other Business Concerns: XXXXX identified XX other business concerns in addition to the borrower and the newly formed operator discussed in this narrative. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for XX Other Business Concerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated derogatory information that would prohibit XXXXX participation in this loan transaction.</p>

Example: <u>Other Section 232 Applications</u>: XXXXX identified XX other Section 232 loan application – {projects}. The applications were submitted XXX and closed in XXX. As this is only XXXXX's Xth FHA-insured healthcare loan, no additional reviews are required>>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "XXX has demonstrated an acceptable credit history and sufficient experience owning and operating other facilities. The underwriter recommends this principal as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>

Financial Statements – For Party(ies) Responsible For Financial Requirements for Closing and Beyond –

<<enter name(s) of responsible party(ies) here>>

<<Complete this section if the borrower entity does not have sufficient financial capacity.>>

Year to date:	< <dates and="" end="" for="" of="" period="" start="">></dates>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>
Fiscal year ending:	< <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>

<<Include a discussion on the borrower's financial capacity. Include the percentage of owner's equity into the project. The discussion must address: (1) the borrower's net worth; (2) liquidity; (3) the borrower's ability to meet the cash requirements of the project; and (4) the borrower's ability to meet the financial obligations of the project for the long term.>>

<<If Form HUD-92417-ORCF is included, provide discussion on the individual's financial capacity, net worth and liquidity.>>

Effective date (of HUD-92417- ORCF)	Total assets	Net worth	Total liquidity (cash available)	Comments
	\$	\$	\$	

<u>Program Guidance – Supporting documentation of financial capability, cash requirement, and financial qualifications of the borrower:</u>

The application for Firm Commitment must include year-to-date financial statements for the party who will be responsible for the financial requirements (typically the parent entity) at initial closing. If the legal entity of the borrower will be capitalized by another party, the financial statements for that party(ies) must also be provided. The lender must confirm that sufficient financial resources will be available for the cash requirements for closing and to meet any unanticipated financial needs of the project going forward.

The true financial needs of a project are not limited to just the numbers that are reflected under Part III of Form HUD-92264a-ORCF. Although working capital, initial operating deficit (IOD) and a debt service reserve escrow, along with any other required escrows, are presented in this document and should mirror the figures included in the Sources & Uses Statement, there may be times when an owner or principal may be required to contribute funds in the future to maintain a successful project. While it is difficult to determine when and if such an occasion may occur, it is important that ORCF be able to determine the willingness and ability of the principals to support their project over the long-term. Their willingness can be determined by documentation regarding their experience and relationships in the community. Their financial ability can only be evidenced by actual financial reports and evaluation of available working capital.

Exhibit 3-6B of the application for Firm Commitment must include the last three full years and year-to-date financial statements for the party who will be responsible for providing the financial requirements for closing and beyond. The Lender's Narrative must include a discussion on the available working capital of this party and their ability to support the project over the long-term. In cases where an individual(s) is providing the cash requirement, one full year financial statement on each will suffice. The financial statement must meet either of the following requirements:

- 1. Personal Financial and Credit Statement, Form HUD-92417-ORCF:
 - The spouse of married sponsors or principals must also sign the form.
 - If a spouse's signature cannot be obtained, the principal must prepare the form reflecting only those assets that are solely in their name and any liability, including those joint liabilities, for which they have any responsibility.
- 2. A substitute statement that contains, at a minimum, the information contained on Form HUD-92417-ORCF. This form must contain the following certifications and criminal warning:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing figures and statements contained herein
submitted by me as agent of the Borrower [owner] for the purpose of obtaining mortgage
insurance under the National Housing Act are true and give a correct showing of
''s (Name of Borrower or owner) financial position as of
(date of financial statement).
Signed this day of, 20 Signature of authorized agent with name
printed or typed under signature
Warning – HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in
criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

For married individuals, the spouse must also sign the certification.

During our analysis of new construction or substantial rehabilitation proposals where units are being added to the market, we take into consideration the financial commitment of the owner and their ability to provide financial strength when needed. This includes determining the percentage of cash that the party is putting into the transaction related to the total cost of the project. While a definitive degree of coverage is not required due to the unique nature of each transaction, a level of 20% to 30% equity coverage on new construction or substantial rehabilitation projects is anticipated. Any less than 20% requires an explanation and mitigation. **The discussion under the borrower's financial capability in the Lender's Narrative must include the percentage of owner's equity into the project.**

Other Section 232 Projects

Κŧ	ey Questions		Yes	No
3.	Does the principal identify any other Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) applications on their consolidated certification?	•••••		
4.	Does the principal identify any other existing Section 232 program (i.e., 223(f), 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232(i), or 223(d)) projects on their consolidated certification?			
an bu na Co inf	For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic defeated. Example: Other Business Concerns: XXXXX is siness concerns in addition to the borrower and the newly formed operator arrative. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit reports for incerns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports indicated deformation that would prohibit XXXXX participation in this loan transaction ample: Other Section 232 Applications: XXXXX identified XX other Section plication — {projects}. The applications were submitted XXX and closed in	dentif r disc XX C eroga n. on 23.	ied XX ussed ir Other Bu tory 2 loan	other 1 this 1siness
on	ly XXXXX's Xth FHA-insured healthcare loan, no additional reviews are r			
<< exc	Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommend ample, "XXXXX has demonstrated an acceptable credit history and sufficient of an analysis of the second of the se	ent ex	perienc	re
<u>0</u>	<u>perator</u>			
Sta Da	ame: ate of organization: ate Formed: rmination date:			
Κe	ey Questions		Yes	No
	Does the operator currently own, operate, or manage any other facilities? you answer "yes," a waiver is required.)			
2.	Does the operator contract out nursing services, exclusive of temporary staffing, through an agency and/or contracting for ancillary services (e.g. therapies, pharmaceuticals)?			

		Yes	No
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the operator been delinquent on any federal debt?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the operator been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, has the operator ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the operator?		
7.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated. >>	the topic	

Organization

<< Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<<Provide narrative description of operator's experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other FHA transactions, if any. This section should clearly demonstrate that the operator has the expertise to successfully lease up a new facility and operate a facility.>>

Program Guidance – Supporting Documentation of Appropriate Experience:

The application for Firm Commitment must include complete information on the individuals and/or entity that will be bringing appropriate experience to the project. Appropriate experience is 3 to 5 years successful practice in developing, owning and/or operating board and care facilities, assisted living facilities, and/or skilled nursing facilities. If an entity or its principal does not have the appropriate experience, it may contract with a third-party experienced operator. Evidence of appropriate experience must be provided that includes specific project examples including project name, type of care provided, location, unit/bed count, year opened and key operating metrics (fill pace, occupancy, net operating income margins) and specific responsibilities for the management and operation of the example health care facility. ORCF is seeking assurance that the developers and other stakeholders are committed to the long-term success of their project and have the requisite experience to operate and manage the project.

In addition to the requirements of the application package, the Lender Narrative must also provide a complete discussion on the borrower's commitment to the project, both financially and in a business sense over the long-term as well as his/her experience.

Cı	redit History		
Re	port date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
	porting firm:		
Sc	ore:		
Ke	ey Questions	3 7	NI.
1	Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not	Yes	No
1.	previously discussed?		
2.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the credit report?		
3.	Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
mi ris	If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor at tigated below. Provide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, mk, etc. Also, if the score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the crethe score.>>	edium, o	r high
Fi	nancial Statements		
Th	e application includes the following operator financial statements:		
Yε	ear to date: < <dates and="" end="" for="" of="" period="" start="">></dates>		
Fis	scal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fis	scal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Fis	scal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the operator?		
2.	Are the financial statements missing any required information or schedules		
3.	Do any of the financial statements indicate a loss prior to depreciation?		
4.	Do the Aging of Accounts Payable schedules show any material accounts payables (amount in excess of 5% effective gross income) over 90 days?		
5.	Do the Aging of Accounts Receivable schedules show any material account receivables (amounts in excess of 2% of gross income) over 120 days?		
6.	Are there any issues or discrepancies related to tenant deposit accounts (e.g not fully funded)?		
7.	Did your review and analysis of the financial statements indicate any other material concerns or weaknesses that need to be addressed?		
8.	Within the last 3 fiscal years (as applicable) are there any negative or declining NOI?		

<<If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, identify the risk factor and how it is mitigated below. The Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable analysis provides information regarding an entity's collection and payment practices, policies, and potential risks to the new project. Discuss your analysis of these issues and how the lender determined they are an acceptable risk. For example: "No Financial Statements: The operator is a newly formed entity and does not have a financial history to report. At this time, the operation of this facility is the new entity's sole purpose, so there is no need to review financial data from other facilities or sources.">>>

General Review

<<Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, net working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Net Income Analysis

Net Income*

III total \$				
20XX	20XX	20XX	YTD	
			(Indicate time frame)	
\$	\$	\$		

^{*}before depreciation, amortization, and any other non-cash expense

<< Provide an explanation of any Net Losses or declining Net Incomes for the year-to-date and last 3 fiscal years, as applicable.>>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The operator entity has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history as demonstrated in our analysis of their financial statements and credit history as discussed above. The operator has the experience to successfully operate this facility. The underwriter recommends this operator for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>>

Parent of Operator (if applicable)

<< Provide this section for each parent organization of the operator. This section is not applicable to individuals who are principals unless you are depending on the person or persons for approval of the operator (e.g., newly formed entity). In that instance (individuals), follow the Principal of the Borrower template and modify it appropriately for an operator. >>

Na	ime:		
Sta	ate of organization:		
Da	ite formed:		
Te	rmination date:		
Κŧ	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the parent of operator currently own, operate, or manage any other facilities?		
2.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the parent of operator been delinquent on any federal debt?		
3.	According to the application exhibits, is or has the parent of operator been a defendant in any suit or legal action?		
4.	According to the application exhibits, has the parent of operator ever filed for bankruptcy or made compromised settlements with creditors?		
5.	According to the application exhibits, are there judgments recorded against the parent of operator?		
6.	According to the application exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		
7.	Does the parent of operator have other HUD properties that are master leased separately from the subject project?		
de:	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk and how it has been or will be mitigated. Example: S&P Ratin rated X by S&P. The rating agency indicates the outlook for the company is X.2	ıg : The e	

Organization

<< Provide organization chart and narrative, as applicable.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<< Provide narrative description of experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other FHA transactions. This section should clearly demonstrate the expertise to successfully lease up a new facility and operate the facility.>>

Cı	edit History			
Re	port date: porting firm: ore:	< <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>		
Ke	y Questions			
	previously discuss	port identify any material derogatory information not sed?iter have any concerns related to their review of the credit	Yes	No
۷٠		mer have any concerns related to their review of the credit		
3.	Is the credit repor	t dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
ris on	•	vide an explanation of the credit score in terms of low, medit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically, explain the value the credit score is evaluated numberically in the concerns.	•	-
Ke	y Questions		Vac	No
1.	Does the parent of	f the operator identify any other business concerns?	Yes	No
	a. Do any of the legal actions/s obtained on the l	other business concerns have pending judgments, uits, or bankruptcy claims? (If so, a credit report must be pusiness concern.)		
2.	Do the credit repo	edit report obtained on the business concern? N/A orts on the 10% sampling of the other business concerns rial derogatory information? N/A		
	As applicable, a " k <u>and</u> how it will be	yes" answer requires a narrative discussion on the topic des e mitigated.>>	scribing t	he
<< oth oth der	Provide narrative er business concer er business concer	Other Business Concerns: discussion on other business concerns. For example, "XXX rns. The underwriter reviewed Dunn and Bradstreet credit re rns identified by XXXX. {discuss each report}. No reports inconthat would prohibit XXXXX from participation in this loans.	ports for licated	

Name of Entity	Report Type (Commercial, etc.)	Report Date	Comments (i.e., any derogatory	informatio	n, etc.)
Other Section 232	Projects				
Key Questions					
1. Does the parent of the 223(f), 241(a), 223(a) consolidated certificat	(7), 232(i), or 223(d))	applications o	n their	Yes	No
2. Does the parent of the program (i.e., 223(f), 2 consolidated certificat	operator identify any 241(a), 223(a)(7), 232	other existing 2(i), or 223(d))	Section 232 projects on their		
business concerns in addinarrative. The underwrite Concerns identified by XX information that would present Example: Other Section 2 application – {projects}. only XXXXXX's Xth FHA-in	er reviewed Dunn and XXX. {discuss each re ohibit XXXXX partici 232 Applications: XX The applications wer	I Bradstreet creport}. No repont pation in this leads to the XXX identified esubmitted XX	edit reports for XX C rts indicated deroga oan transaction. XX other Section 23 X and closed in XXX	Other Bus story 2 loan K. As this	siness
Other Facilities Ow			J		
	/nea, Operatea (or Managed	1		
Key Questions	mea, Operatea (or Managed	1	Ves	No
Key Questions1. Does the parent of the facilities?	operator own, operat	e, or manage a	ny other	Yes	No
 Does the parent of the facilities? Do any of the other fa or, bankruptcy claims 	operator own, operat cilities have pending	e, or manage a judgments; leg	ny other al actions or suits;	Yes	No
 Does the parent of the facilities? Do any of the other fa 	operator own, operat cilities have pending? cilities have any oper	e, or manage a judgments; leg professional li	ny other al actions or suits; ability insurance	Yes	No

<< As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated.

For other projects/facilities owned, operated, or managed, the lender must submit copies of inspection reports for the facilities that have open level "G" or higher citations/deficiencies. This includes negative inspection results for ALF and B&C facilities. The lender must address any issues/risks associated with the reports and show how they would be mitigated. If no open/unresolved level G or higher deficiencies, this should be stated. Note: If any facility has recent (within last 2 years) resolved "G" or higher citations/deficiencies, the lender must address this in the narrative; however, a copy of the report is not required. Financial Statements The application includes the following financial statements for the Parent of the Operator: Year to date: < dates for start and end of period>> Fiscal year ending: < date - end of period>> Fiscal year ending: < date - end of period>> Key Questions Yes No 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?			
inspection reports for the facilities that have open level "G" or higher citations/deficiencies. This includes negative inspection results for ALF and B&C facilities. The lender must address any issues/risks associated with the reports and show how they would be mitigated. If no open/unresolved level G or higher deficiencies, this should be stated. Note: If any facility has recent (within last 2 years) resolved "G" or higher citations/ deficiencies, the lender must address this in the narrative; however, a copy of the report is not required. Financial Statements The application includes the following financial statements for the Parent of the Operator: Year to date: <<dates and="" end="" for="" of="" period="" start="">></dates> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<date -="" end="" of="" period="">></date> Fiscal year ending: <<ate style="text-align: right;">Yes No</ate> 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator? Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator? Are notes in the parent of operator? Are notes in the parent of operator? <a address="" alf="" and="" any="" associated="" b&c="" be="" citations="" deficiencies,="" deficiencies.="" facilities.="" for="" g="" g"="" higher="" how="" href="text-align:</td><td>Program Guidance:</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>### Financial Statements The application includes the following financial statements for the Parent of the Operator: Year to date: </td><td colspan=6>For other projects/facilities owned, operated, or managed, the lender must submit copies of inspection reports for the facilities that have <u>open</u> level " if="" includes="" inspection="" issues="" lender="" level="" mitigated.="" must="" negative="" no="" open="" or="" reports="" results="" risks="" should="" show="" stated.<="" td="" the="" they="" this="" unresolved="" with="" would="">			
The application includes the following financial statements for the Parent of the Operator: Year to date:			ot
Fiscal year ending: < < date – end of period>> Fiscal year ending: < < date – end of period>> Key Questions Yes No 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?		perator:	
Key Questions Yes No 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?	Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Key Questions Yes No 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?	Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
Yes No 1. Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?	Fiscal year ending: < <date end="" of="" period="" –="">></date>		
 Are less than 3-years of historical financial data available for the parent of operator?	Key Questions	X 7	NT.
indicinal concerns of weaknesses that need to be addressed:	 Are the financial statements missing any required information or schedules? Do the Aging of Accounts Payable schedules show any material accounts 		

<< If you answer "yes" to any of the above questions, please identify each risk factor and how it is mitigated below. The Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable analysis provides information regarding an entities collection and payment practices, policies, and potential risk to the subject. Discuss your analysis of these issues and how the lender determined they are an acceptable risk. >>

General Review

<< Provide narrative and analysis of financial statements as appropriate. In addition to the Key Questions above, working capital should be discussed along with the general financial stability and strength of the entity.>>

Net Income Analysis

Net Income*

III total ψ				
20 XX	20 XX	20 XX	YTD	
			(Indicate time frame)	
\$	\$	\$		

^{*}before depreciation, amortization, and any other non-cash expense

<< Provide an explanation of any Net Losses or declining Net Incomes for the year-to-date and last 3 fiscal years, as applicable.>>

Conclusion

<<Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The parent of the operator entity has demonstrated an acceptable financial and credit history. The underwriter's review of the parent of the operator does not reveal any material derogatory information that would prohibit the approval of the operator entity as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>

Management Agent (if applicable) - <<insert name here>>

Na	me:			
	lation to borrower: ncipals/officers:	< <owner entity="" independent="" ioi="" managed="" other="">></owner>		
Ke	y Questions		Yes	No
1.		cation exhibits, is or has the management agent been ral debt?		
2.	0 11	cation exhibits, is or has the management agent been a r legal action?		
3.	0 11	cation exhibits, has the management agent ever filed e compromised settlements with creditors?		
4.	0 11	cation exhibits, are there judgments recorded against?		
5.	According to the application	cation exhibits, are there any unsatisfied tax liens?		

<< For each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it has been or will be mitigated.>>

Management Agent's Duties and Responsibilities

<<Briefly describe/list the management agent's duties and responsibilities (i.e., will the management agent control the operating accounts; contract for services; recruit, select or train employees; take responsibility for the management of the functional operation of the facility or the execution of the day-to-day policies of the facility; etc.).>>

<<Also describe the nature of the management agent's compensation and how it was calculated.>>

Experience/Qualifications

<<Provide narrative description of experience and qualifications. Discussion should highlight direct experience and involvement in other FHA transactions, if any. Include a discussion/explanation of any current REAC scores less than 60. This section should clearly demonstrate the expertise to successfully manage the facility and meet the obligations of the management agreement. This section should clearly demonstrate that the management agent has the expertise to successfully lease up a new facility and operate a facility.>>

Cı	redit History		
Re	eport date: < <within 60="" days="" of="" submission="">></within>	<u></u>	
Re	eporting firm:		
Sc	core:	_	
Ke	ey Questions		
		Yes	No
1.	Does the credit report identify any material derogatory information not previously discussed?		
2.	Does the underwriter have any concerns related to their review of the cre report?	dit 	
3.	Is the credit report dated more than 60 days before the application date?		
mi ris	<if "yes"="" above="" also,="" an="" answer="" any="" below.="" continuous.<="" credit="" etc.="" evaluated="" explain="" explanation="" factor="" identify="" if="" in="" is="" itigated="" low,="" numberically,="" of="" p="" provide="" questions,="" risk="" score="" sk,="" terms="" the="" to="" value="" you=""></if>	medium, or hig	_

Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed

Key Questions Yes No 1. Does the management agent own, operate, or manage any other facilities? ... 2. Do any of the other facilities have pending judgments; legal actions or suits; or, bankruptcy claims? 3. Do any of the other facilities have any open professional liability insurance claims? 4. Do any of the other facilities have any open Citations or state findings related to instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy (G or higher)? << As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it has been or will be mitigated. **Program Guidance:** For other projects/facilities owned, operated, or managed, the lender must submit copies of inspection reports for the facilities that have open level "G" or higher citations/deficiencies. This includes negative inspection results for ALF and B&C facilities. The lender must address any issues/risks associated with the reports and show how they would be mitigated. If no open/unresolved level G or higher deficiencies, this should be stated. **Note**: If any facility has recent (within last 2 years) <u>resolved</u> "G" or higher citations/ deficiencies, the lender must address this in the narrative; however, a copy of the report is not required.

Past and Current Performance

Indicator	Findings
Billing	< <acceptable>></acceptable>
Controlling operating expenses	
Vacancy rates	
Resident turnover	
Rent collection and accounts receivable	
Physical security	
Physical condition and maintenance	
Resident relations	

<< Provide narrative support for review and finding. For example, "Based on interviews with the principals of the Borrower and management agent, as well as a review of the management policies and procedures, the underwriter has concluded that the management agent has demonstrated acceptable past and current performance with regard to all of the above indicators.">>

Management Agreement Date of agreement: Agreement expires: Management fee: **Key Questions** Yes No 1. Does the agreement sufficiently describe the services the agent is responsible for performing and for which the agent will be paid management fees? 2. Does the agreement provide that the management fees will be computed and paid according to HUD requirements? 3. Does the agreement provide that HUD may require the owner to terminate the agreement without penalty and without cause upon written request by HUD and contain a provision that gives no more than a 30-day notice of termination? 4. Does the agreement provide that HUD's rights and requirements will prevail in the event the management agreement conflicts with them? 5. Does the agreement provide that the management agent will turn over to the owner all of the project's cash trust accounts, investments, and records immediately, but in no event more than 30 days after the date the management agreement is terminated? 6. The agreement does <u>not</u> exempt the agent from gross negligence and or willful misconduct? 7. Is the Form HUD-9839-ORCF consistent with the Management Agreement? << For each "no" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on the topic describing the risk and how it will be mitigated. >>

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative discussion of underwriter's conclusion and recommendation. For example, "The management agent has demonstrated an acceptable credit history and has the experience to continue to successfully manage this facility. The underwriter recommends this management agent for approval as an acceptable participant in this transaction.">>>

Operation of the Facility

Administrato	or						
Name:							
Employed by:	< <name entity="" of="" td="" who<=""><td>o employs/pays administrator>></td><td></td></name>	o employs/pays administrator>>					
Facility Start Date	e: < <date at="" started="" td="" this<=""><td>s facility as Administrator>></td><td></td></date>	s facility as Administrator>>					
been a licensed at license No. XXXX experience include and profitability of operating income	< <narrative "{administrator}="" (noi).="" -="" a="" ability="" act="" administrator="" administrator's="" and="" arriving="" as="" at="" been="" by="" care="" current="" demonstrated="" description="" effective="" evidenced="" example,="" experience="" expires="" facility,="" facility."="" for="" gross="" has="" helped="" her="" in="" includes="" income="" increase="" increasing="" is="" issued="" it="" license="" licensed="" net="" no.="" of="" operating="" profitability="" project,="" qualifications="" qualified="" residential="" revenues="" since="" state="" subject="" the="" to="" was="" well="" xxxx="" xxxx.="" xxxxx.="" xxxxxx="" xxxxxxx="">>></narrative>						
The application in three (3) years of	Subject's State Surveys The application includes the following state surveys issued on the following dates over the last three (3) years of operations: (State when the survey was conducted and when the project was found in compliance.)						
	3 Years of Surve						
	Date of survey/inspection	Date state issued letter approving POC					
	Date of saryey/mopeedion	approxime 100					
Key Questions			Yes No				
jeopardy (duri	urveys identify any instances of aing last 3 year period)?						
and how it will be description of rev	" answer above, provide a narro e mitigated. Example: General F riew. For example: "The {date} ciencies. The deficiencies">>	Review and Findings: Provide state survey inspection letter in	narrative				

Staffing

<< Provide narrative description of review. For example: "The appraiser and underwriter have reviewed the current and proposed staffing to be charged to the facility and found it to be acceptable and within reason...">>

Other Facilities Owned, Operated or Managed

<<This section is only applicable for skilled nursing facilities.>>

Ke	y Questions		
	Do any state surveys identify any instances of actual harm and/or immediate jeopardy?	Yes	No
	As applicable, for each "yes" answer above, provide a narrative discussion on scribing the risk <u>and</u> how it has been or will be mitigated.	the topic	
<< ins	neral Review and Findings Provide a narrative description of review. For example, "The most recent state pections are provided for XX skilled nursing facilities that are owned, operated, XXXX. The underwriter has reviewed the findings and found">>	-	ıed
_	perating Lease te of Agreement:		
Cu	rrent Lease Term Expires:		
	scription of Renewals:		
	rrent Lease Payment:		
Ma	ajor Movable Equipment Current Ownership: << Borrower/Operator>>		
	Current Ownership: << Borrower/Operator>> Post Closing Ownership: << Borrower/Operator>>		
Ke	y Questions		
	Will the facility be leased?	Yes	No

		Yes	No
4. 5. 6. 7.	costs of the mortgage? <for "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" discussion="" each="" narrative="" on="" provide="" th="" the="" topic<=""><th></th><th></th></for>		
<u>an</u>	<u>nd</u> how it will be mitigated.>>		
Le	ease Payment – During Rehabilitation Period		
<u>Pr</u>	ogram Guidance – Lease Payment/Net Income During Construction Period		
1.	At the time of cost certification, an audited operating statement covering the beginning of marketing and rent-up activities (or date of initial endorsement projects involving insurance of advances or start of construction for rehabilities involving insurance upon completion) to the cost certification cut-off date, by:	nt in rehabili litation proje	tation ects
	 a. The borrower entity, in all cases. b. The lessee, when an identity-of-interest exists between the borrower and lessee has executed the Regulatory Agreement, Form HUD-92466-OR. c. The borrower entity only, where no identity-of-interest exists between lessee and the lessee has executed the Regulatory AgreementForm HU. The borrower's income statement should reflect a market comparable lincome. d. The borrower, where the borrower and the administrator are the same of HUD-92466-ORCF has not been executed. 	CF. the borrower D-92466-OF ease paymer	r and RCF. nt as
2.	Treat net income resulting from review of the operating statement as a reconstruction costs for a profit-motivated borrower and for a non-profit borrower.		
	a. At cost certification, as a recovery of construction costs to the extent it reduce liquidated/actual damages.b. As an offset for any eligible mortgage increase.	was used to	

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made during the rehabilitation.>>

Lease Payment – During Lease Up

<< Provide narrative explaining the terms of the lease and the payments to be made while the project is in lease-up.>>

Lease Payment Analysis – Stabilized, As Rehabilitated

The lease payments must be sufficient to (1) enable the borrower to meet debt service and impound requirements and (2) enable the operator to properly maintain the project and cover operating expenses. The minimum annual lease payment must be at least 1.05 times the sum of the annual principal, interest, mortgage insurance premium, reserve for replacement deposit, property insurance and property taxes.

The underwriter has prepared an analysis demonstrating the minimum annual lease payment.

a.	Annual principal and interest	\$
b.	Annual mortgage insurance premium	
c.	Annual replacement reserves	
d.	Annual property insurance	
e.	Annual real estate taxes	
f.	Total debt service and impounds	\$
h	Minimum annual lease payment	\$

<<Compare the minimum annual lease payment to the current lease payment. If the lease payment needs to increase, add the following language: "The lease payment must be increased to \$XX per year (\$XX per month). The underwriter has included a special condition to the firm commitment requiring the lease payment be revised to meet or exceed this minimum." If the lease payment does not need to increase, add the following language: "The current lease payment is sufficient. The recommended annual lease payment also provides the operator with an acceptable profit margin.">>>

Program Guidance:

- <u>Clarification of minimum lease payments</u>. The annual lease payment must be calculated using a minimum of a 1.05 coverage ratio (e.g., the sum of the annual principal, annual interest, annual mortgage insurance premium, annual reserve for replacement deposit, annual property insurance, and annual property taxes times a multiplier of 1.05). This minimum coverage level required for executed leases is different than the test measurement used in the 223(f) Lender's Narrative, which remains unchanged; it will continue at the 1.17 coverage level.
- <u>Subordination, non-disturbance and attornment agreement (SNDA)</u>. If there is an identity of

interest between the borrower and the operator, a SNDA is not permitted.		
Responsibilities <-Provide a description of the responsibilities of the lessor and lessee under the lease with regard to the following: payment of real estate taxes, maintenance of becapital improvements, replacement of equipment, property insurance, etc.>>		the
Master Lease		
 Key Questions Are three or more projects (or two projects with an aggregate total mortgage loan amount greater than \$15 million) being submitted to HUD that are under common control or have the same ownership?	ewal efer to	No
AR lender: AR borrower: Maximum loan amount: Current balance: Current maturity date: Key Questions 1. Does the AR loan require any guarantees from the borrower, operator, or	Yes	No
parent of the operator, or any of those entities' principals?		

opic.>>	
ts receivabl	le up

Mechanisms for operator receipts, disbursements and control of operator funds:

<<Describe the flow of all funds, into and out of accounts (i.e., point of origination to final destination). Describe how deposit accounts are controlled (e.g., number of controlled accounts, hard or springing lockbox, daily sweeps, etc.). Attach cash flow chart.>>

Collateral/Security

<Provide narrative description of the AR lender's collateral/security. Explain any unsecured AR financing.>>

Permitted Uses and Payment Priorities

<< Provide descriptions of the permitted uses of the AR loan funds in order of priority. For example: (1) debt service incurred in connection with the AR loan; (2) operating costs; and (3) distributions to the operator's shareholders. See Attachment C of Notice H 08-09, Rider to Intercreditor, Paragraph 3 or any other successor guidance.>>

Financial Analysis

Maximum AR Loan Calculation

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

	0-	-90 days		91-120 da	ays	121-15	0 days	151+ days
Medicare								
Medicaid			1					
Other Govt			1					
Subtotal	\$	-	\$	-		\$	-	\$ -
Commercial								
Private*								
Total	\$	-	\$	-		\$	-	\$ -

^{*}Private is not considered when determining HUD's maximum AR loan amount.

#DIV/0!	of Medicare, Medicaid, Commercial AR less than 121 days old is over 90 days old.
\$ -	HUD Maximum AR Loan Amt = 85% of Medicare, Medicaid, and Commercial AR 120 or less days old.
	AR Lender Maximum Loan Amount

Historical AR Loan Costs

<<If there is an existing AR loan that is not yet approved by HUD, provide a financial analysis that explains how the cost of the AR loan has been factored into the NOI calculation. Complete the Historical AR Loan Costs table.>>

Historical AR Loan Costs

(Double click inside the Excel Table to add information)

20XX	(20XX	20XX	YTD specify months	20XX-20XX Average	UW

Proposed AR Loan Costs

<<If the AR borrower is obtaining AR financing for the first time, provide a financial analysis that demonstrates that the AR borrower has sufficient financial capacity to pay all projected operating expenses, AR financing costs and loan payments, and all rent or debt service payments. The analysis must assume the maximum AR loan amount to stress test the AR financing based on the lesser of the operator's 12-month trailing operating statements or the underwritten NOI. Calculate the impact on the borrower's debt coverage after payment of the AR loan expenses and payments.>>

Assuming the \$ maximum AR loan limit, an annual interest rate of %, and that the entire amount is outstanding for the year, the maximum annual interest expense would be \$. In addition to the interest, the other associated fees are the fees << list types of fees>>, that total \$ per year for the same assumed balance. An analysis of the operator's 12 month trailing financial statement (Month 20XX – Month 20XX) is below:

12-Month Trailing Operating History			
Operating revenue	\$		
Less: Operating expenses			
Net operating income (NOI)	\$		
Annual P&I + MIP	\$		
AR fee: Interest			
AR fee: Other			
Total annual mortgage & AR debt service	\$		
DSCR including AR			

The underwriting assumed an NOI of \$. The 12-month trailing NOI is \$. The annual debt service including the MIP amount is \$ per year. Adding the AR fees equates to a total mortgage and AR debt service expense of \$ per year. This equates to prospective debt service coverage.

<< If multiple FHA-insured facilities have access to the AR loan, repeat the analysis above with the consolidated revenues and expenses for all those facilities.>>

Recommendation

<<The lender recommends approval of the AR loan.>>

Mortgage Loan Determinants

Overview

The mortgage criteria shown on the form HUD-92264a-ORCF are summarized as follows:

Requested amount:	\$
Amount based on replacement cost:	\$
Amount based on loan-to-value:	\$
Amount based on debt service coverage:	\$
Amount based on cost of rehabilitation plus:	\$
Amount based on deduction of loans, grant(s), loan(s), LIHTCs, and gift(s) for mortgageable items:	\$

Mortgage Term

The underwriter concluded to a mortgage term of years.

Type of Financing

The type of financing available to the borrower upon issuance of the commitment will likely be in the form of .

Criterion C: Amount Based on Replacement Cost

The amount based on replacement cost limit is \$. This is based on 90% of the replacement cost of the improvements of \$.

Criterion D: Amount Based on Loan-to-Value

Criterion E: Amount Based on Debt Service Coverage

The \$ debt service limit was calculated using HUD's guidelines.

The underwriter's NOI for the project after improvement is \$ << indicate if this amount differs from the appraiser's NOI for the project after improvement>>. Annual debt service payments on outstanding indebtedness related to the property is \$. There is no annual ground rent or annual special assessments on the property. Therefore, the NOI available for the supplemental loan is \$. There is an interest rate of % and an assumed remaining term of months. << the insured loans must be coterminous>>

Calculation of Net Operating Income Available for Supplemental Loan		
Net Operating Income (NOI) of Project After Improvement:	\$	-
Percentage of NOI Available for Total Debt Service:		90.000%
NOI Available for Total Debt Service:	\$	-
Less: Annual Debt Service Payments Required on		
All Outstanding Indebtedness Relating to Property:		-
Less: Annual Ground Rent:		-
Less: Annual Special Assessment(s):		-
Incremental NOI Criterion E:	\$	-

Criterion F: Cost of Rehabilitation Plus

The estimated cost of rehabilitation limit is \$. This amount is based on % of the total estimated rehabilitation cost of \$ plus the offsite costs of \$ plus the lesser of 90.0% of as-is value of \$ or the allowable existing debt \$.

Program Guidance:

- **Property held in fee**: 100% of the estimated cost of rehabilitation less grant/loan funds attributable to replacement costs items.
- Property subject to existing mortgage: Lender's estimated cost of rehabilitation, plus the lesser of:
 - (1) Secured indebtedness, or
 - (2) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimate of the fair market (as-is) value of the property before rehabilitation less:
 - 1. The value of the leased fee, if leasehold, and
 - 2. The amount of non-prepayable special assessments.
- **Property to be acquired**: 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimated cost of rehabilitation plus the lesser of:
 - (3) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the actual purchase price of the property,
 - (4) 90% (95% for non-profit borrowers) of the sum of lender's estimate of the fair market (as-is) value of the property before rehabilitation less:

- (a) The value of the leased fee, if leasehold and
- (b) The amount of nonprepayable special assessments.

Criterion L: Deduction of Grants, Loans, LIHTCs, and Gifts

The limit was calculated in accordance with HUD guidelines as follows:

a.	Amount based on estimated cost of rehabilitation	\$
b.	(1) Grants/loans/gifts (2) Tay gradits	
	(2) Tax credits(3) Value of leased fee	
	(4) Excess unusual land improvement cost	
	(5) Unpaid balance of special assessment	
	(6) Sum of lines (1) through (5)	\$
c.	Line a minus line b (6)	\$

The secondary sources are discussed in detail below in the Sources & Uses section of the narrative.

Program Guidance:

The grants, loans, gifts, and tax credits to be deducted are those credits for mortgageable cost only. Sources for non-mortgageable cost are not included in the calculations and are also not reflected in any of the other criterion on Form HUD-92264a-ORCF. The sources and uses statement provided by the borrower should outline all mortgageable and non-mortgageable costs and the source(s) to fund each.

Existing Indebtedness

<<For a purchase, this section should be titled "Purchase Price" and the information below should be replaced by an appropriate narrative section describing the pertinent terms of the purchase transaction, generally including: purchase price, itemization of costs to be paid by seller, date of agreement and addendums, expiration date, date by which sale must occur, etc.>>

<< Provide detailed breakdown of all existing debt(s) being included in requested mortgage amount below. Include similar detail on HUD-92264a-ORCF.>>

Schedule of Debt to Refinance

	Lender	Pay-off Amount		
		\$ \$		
		\$		
	Total:	\$		
Ke	ey Questions		Yes	No
1.	Are there any debts on the borrower's balance sheet or i	0		
2.	5	? (Refer to Program		
3.	Does the borrower have any identities of interest with a	ny of the existing		
4.	lenders or noteholders? (Refer to Program Guidance bell Do any of the debts to be paid off have prepayment pen	alties or other		
5.	or master leased) or financed with a line of credit? (If y	er assets (pooled debt ves, explain how you		
6.	allocated the debt between the facilities cross-collateral. Are delinquent real estate taxes included as an eligible t	<i>'</i>		
	<pre><for "yes"="" a="" above,="" answer="" be="" d="" discu="" each="" how="" it="" mitigated.="" narrative="" provide="" will="">></for></pre>	ussion on the topic desc	cribing tl	he risk
<<	If Swap Fees are not applicable to subject transaction the	his section may be dele	ted>>	
If	vap Fees: Swap Fees are eligible and will be included in the FHA-i llowing questions:	nsured mortgage, pleas	e answe	r the
Ke	ey Questions		Yes	No
1.	counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets the definition of a "Counsel that states the swap meets" is a substantially in conformance with that definition?	Qualified Hedge" or is eck N/A if financing		
2.	is taxable.)	cing, was the swap		
	integrated with the original financing and entered into a hedge within 15 days of the original financing? (Check tax exempt.)	N/A if financing is		
3.	± /	luded at or below		

		Yes	No
	Is the swap termination cost proposed no more than 10% of the insured mortgage proceeds?		
	Was the interest rate swap contract put into place prior to January 1, 2009? Does the Fairness Certification acceptably address the requirements outlined in Mortgagee Letter 2012-08?		
Pro	ogram Guidance – Eligible Debt on a Refinance:		
A.	Definition of Eligible Debt. Project debt that meets any of the below definition included as a mortgageable item in calculating the Maximum Insurable Mortgageable.	_	oe
	1. Outstanding mortgage(s). Outstanding mortgage(s) on the property that are least two years old at the time that HUD begins processing the loan are conceligible debt. If the mortgage was generated less than two years before the HUD begins processing the application, the lender must determine that the no cash out to the mortgagor of the proposed FHA-insured loan or its prince order for the debt to be considered eligible debt. Debt incurred as a result of identity-of-interest purchase or as a result of buying out a partner is not considered eligible debt and must meet the two-year debt seasoning required An identity-of-interest purchase is defined as one where there is an identity interest, however slight, between the seller and purchaser that survives the transaction. An owner operator that continues to operate the facility after the constitutes an identity of interest.	nsidered date re was cipals in of an ement.	
	2. <u>Other recorded indebtedness</u> . Other recorded indebtedness such as mechan liens and tax liens, provided they did not result from personal obligations of mortgagor.		
	3. <u>Unrecorded debt</u> . Unrecorded debt directly connected with the project that supported by documentation from the mortgagor. If the indebtedness is no recorded, the mortgagor must provide the lender with documentation that substantially verifies that the obligation is directly connected to the project Examples include:	t	
	a. Indebtedness incurred in making needed improvements and betterments to the property.b. Indebtedness incurred or advances made to cover operating deficits		
	4. Other eligible costs associated with paying off the eligible debt. Examples other eligible costs associated with paying off the eligible debt are:	of	
	a. Reasonable delinquent and accrued interest.		

b. Reasonable prepayment penalties on the mortgage.

c. Recording, release, and re-conveyance fees.

d. Documentation or processing fees.

Note: Program penalties arising from the defeasance of tax-exempt and taxable bonds cannot be recognized.

- B. <u>Swap Fees</u>: Swap Fees may be included as an eligible mortgageable item when reviewed and approved by HUD in accordance with Mortgagee Letter 2012-08.
- C. ORCF does not recognize indebtedness:
 - 1. Recently placed against the project to increase the mortgage or circumvent program intent.
 - 2. On operating debts of the operating entity.
 - 3. Created by wrap mortgages:
 - a. Unless the mortgagor and Lender give a detailed explanation of the purpose of the wrap and a documented accounting of disbursement of the loan proceeds.
 - b. Loan proceeds used for capital improvements or project operations qualify for inclusion as eligible debt.

General Overview

<<Narrative review of debt and pay-off information. For example, "Per the statement from XXX dated XXXX, the current existing indebtedness is \$XXXX. The pay-off balance will be reconfirmed prior to closing and only eligible pay-off charges will be included in the cost certification.">>>

Sources & Uses - Copied From HUD 92264a-ORCF

<<Provide a statement of Sources and Uses of actual estimated cost at closing. Include all
eligible and ineligible costs.>>

Secondary Sources

<< List and discuss all secondary sources, including terms and conditions of each. Secondary sources include surplus cash notes, grants/loans, tax credits, and the like.>>

Program Guidance:

Government Sources

- 1. Secondary financing may be on a form of promissory note and mortgage lien as is prescribed by the governmental funding source and reviewed and approved by ORCF.
- 2. Secondary financing or grants lent to the property as a secondary loan may be used to cover up to 100% of the applicable Section of the Act equity requirements.
- 3. Secondary financing or grants advanced to the property as a secondary loan may also be used to finance non-mortgageable costs and when added to the HUD mortgage and required equity contribution, may exceed 100% of the project's fair market value (FMV) or replacement cost.
- 4. Non-mortgageable costs (i.e., replacement cost items not eligible for inclusion in the HUD insured loan) to be covered by governmental secondary loans, or grants advanced to the property as a secondary loan, must be certified by the funding source to be reasonable and necessary to complete the project and that the project costs to be covered by the secondary financing are reasonable. Documentation to this effect must be included with the application submission.
- 5. The governmental secondary financing lender must agree to and enter into a HUD-prescribed form of Subordination Agreement that details the rights and legal relationship between the FHA-insured first mortgage and the secondary financing loan.

Private Sources

Secondary financing from a private source is not permitted on Section 232 new construction, substantial rehabilitation, and blended rate projects.

Surviving Debt

<tand discuss all existing long-term debt that will survive closing.>>

Cash Requirements

Initial operating deficit:	perating deficit:			
	Absorption	rate/no. units per month:		
	No. months	to cover shortfalls:		
	Breakeven Occupancy %:			
Working capital:	\$			
Cash investment:	\$			
Debt service reserve escrow:	\$			
	No. months of principal & interest payments:			
Offsite escrow:	\$			
Minor movable equipment escrow:	\$			
Demolition:	\$			
Other:	\$			
TOTAL:	\$	% of total project cost: %*		
*Total cash requirements divided by total	project cost.			
Cash requirement will be met by: < <pre></pre>				
Based on a review of the principals $<<$ identify $principal(s)>>$ their net worth is estimated at $$$ their liquidity meets/exceeds $$$.				

Circumstances that May Require Additional Information

In addition to the information required in this narrative, depending upon the facility for which mortgage insurance is to be provided, the mortgagor, operator, management agent and such other parties involved in the operation of the facility, current economic conditions, or other factors or conditions as identified by HUD, HUD may require additional information from the lender to accurately determine the strengths and weaknesses of the transaction. If additional information is required, the questions will be included in an appendix that accompanies the narrative.

Special Commitment Conditions

<state "None.">>

1.

2.

Conclusion

<< Provide narrative conclusion and recommendation.>>

Signatures

Lender hereby certifies that the statements and representations of fact contained in this instrument and all documents submitted and executed by lender in connection with this transaction are, to the best of lender's knowledge, true, accurate, and complete. This instrument has been made, presented, and delivered for the purpose of influencing an official action of HUD in insuring the loan and may be relied upon by HUD as a true statement of the facts contained therein.

Lender: HUD Mortgagee/Lender No.:			
This report was prepared by:	Date	This report was reviewed by:	Date
< <name>> <<title>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Phone>>
<<Email>></td><td></td><td><<Phone>>
<<Email>></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This report was reviewed and the site inspected by:</td><td>Date</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td><<Name>> <<Title>> <<Phone>> <<Email>></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></tbody></table></title></name>			