

Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 6, 2013.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment

Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of November 2013.

**Michael W. Jaffe,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

#### APPENDIX

[20 TAA petitions instituted between 11/4/13 and 11/8/13]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
83192	Osram-Sylvania (Company)	Wellsboro, PA	11/04/13	10/21/13
83193	REO Group Properties, LLC (State/One-Stop)	Pasadena, CA	11/04/13	11/01/13
83194	Merck Sharpe & Dohme (State/One-Stop)	West Point, PA	11/04/13	11/01/13
83195	Kimball Electronics Jasper (Company)	Jasper, IN	11/05/13	11/04/13
83196	Microchip Technology (Workers)	Hauppauge, NY	11/05/13	11/04/13
83197	Quad Graphics (Workers)	Dubuque, IA	11/05/13	10/23/13
83198	IPS Operations (Company)	Sandston, VA	11/05/13	11/04/13
83199	Northeast Utilities (Workers)	Berlin, CT	11/06/13	11/05/13
83200	Ebay Inc. (Workers)	Pacoima, CA	11/06/13	10/24/13
83201	Autosplice Inc (State/One-Stop)	San Diego, CA	11/07/13	11/05/13
83202	Floturn, Inc. (Company)	Fairfield, OH	11/07/13	11/05/13
83203	Ciber, Inc., Corporate Accounting and Finance Administration (Company).	Greenwood Village, CO	11/07/13	11/06/13
83204	Accent Marketing (State/One-Stop)	Monroe, LA	11/07/13	11/06/13
83205	Brooks Automation Polycold MFG (Workers)	Petaluma, CA	11/08/13	11/06/13
83206	Dyno Nobel, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Ulster Park, NY	11/08/13	11/06/13
83207	Dayton Rogers Manufacturing Company of Florida (Company)	St. Petersburg, FL	11/08/13	11/08/13
83208	The Longaberger Co.—Longaberger Pottery Works (State/One-Stop).	Buffalo, NY	11/08/13	11/07/13
83209	Saint Jean Industries Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Heber Springs, AR	11/08/13	11/07/13
83210	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. (Company)	Charlotte, NC	11/08/13	11/07/13
83211	Creavey Seal Company (Company)	Scott Township, PA	11/08/13	11/07/13

[FR Doc. 2013-28336 Filed 11-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,999]

#### **ATOS IT Solutions & Services, Inc., Billing and Collections Department, Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages are Reported Through Siemens IT Solutions and Services, Mason, Ohio; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 17, 2013, applicable to workers of ATOS IT Solutions & Services, Inc., Billing and Collections Department, Mason, Ohio. The workers are engaged in activities related to the supply of accounting services for the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on November 6, 2013 (78 FR 66782).

At the request of Ohio State, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information from the company shows that some workers separated from employment at the Mason, Ohio location of ATOS IT Solutions & Services, Inc., Billing and Collections Department had their wages reported through a separate unemployment insurance (UI) tax account under the name Siemens IT Solutions and Services.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of the subject firm whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages are reported through Siemens IT Solutions and Services.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by a shift in accounting services to a foreign country. The amended notice applicable to TA-W-82,999 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of ATOS IT Solutions & Services, Inc., Billing and Collections Department, including workers whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages are reported through Siemens IT Solutions and Services, Mason, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 16, 2012, through October 17, 2015, and all workers in the group threatened

with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended."

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of November 2013.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28332 Filed 11-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of Labor-Management Standards

#### **Extension of Information Collection; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) [44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)(A)]. The program

helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of the collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of Labor-Management Standards (OLMS) of the Department of Labor (Department) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the collection of information requirements for processing applications under 49 U.S.C. 5333(b), the Federal Transit Law. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before January 27, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Andrew R. Davis, Chief of the Division of Interpretations and Standards, Office of Labor-Management Standards, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N-5609, Washington, DC 20210, *olms-public@dol.gov*, (202) 693-0123 (this is not a toll-free number), (800) 877-8339 (TTY/TDD).

Please use only one method of transmission (mail or Email) to submit comments or to request a copy of this information collection and its supporting documentation; including a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*I. Background:* Under 49 U.S.C. 5333(b), when Federal funds are used to acquire, improve, or operate a transit system, the Department must ensure that the recipient of those funds establishes arrangements to protect the rights of affected transit employees. Federal law requires such arrangements to be “fair and equitable,” and the Department of Labor (DOL or “the Department”) must certify the arrangements before the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Transit Administration (FTA) can award certain funds to grantees. These employee protective arrangements must include provisions that may be necessary for the preservation of rights, privileges, and benefits under existing collective bargaining agreements or otherwise; the continuation of collective bargaining rights; the protection of individual employees against a worsening of their positions related to employment; assurances of employment to employees of acquired transportation

systems; assurances of priority of reemployment of employees whose employment is ended or who are laid off; and paid training or retraining programs. 49 U.S.C. 5333(b)(2). Pursuant to 29 CFR Part 215, upon receipt of copies of applications for Federal assistance subject to 49 U.S.C. 5333(b) from the FTA, together with a request for the certification of employee protective arrangements from the Department of Labor, DOL will process those applications. The FTA will provide the Department with the information necessary to enable the Department to process employee protections for certification of the project.

*II. Review Focus:* The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*III. Current Actions:* The Department seeks extension of the current approval to collect this information. An extension is necessary because, if the information is not collected, DOL will be unable to determine that arrangements are “fair and equitable” concerning the rights of affected transit employees. The information collected by OLMS is used to certify projects and allow funds to reach the applying transit agencies, which would prevent a reduction in services for the public and work for employees.

DOL Procedural Guidelines (29 CFR Part 215), encourage the development of employee protections through local negotiations, but establish time frames for certification to expedite the process and make it more predictable, while assuring that the required protections are in place.

Pursuant to the Guidelines, DOL refers for review the grant application and the proposed terms and conditions

to unions representing transit employees in the service area of the project and to the applicant and/or sub-recipient. No referral is made if the application falls under one of the following exceptions: (1) Employees in the service area are not represented by a union; (2) the grant is for routine replacement items; (3) the grant is for a Job Access project serving populations less than 200,000. (29 C.F.R. § 215.3). Grants where employees in the service area are not represented by a union will be certified without referral based on protective terms and conditions set forth by DOL.

When a grant application is referred to the parties, DOL recommends the terms and conditions to serve as the basis for certification. The parties have 15 days to inform DOL of any objections to the recommended terms including reasons for such objections. If no objections are registered and no circumstances exist inconsistent with the statute, or if objections are found not sufficient, DOL certifies the project on the basis of the recommended terms.

If DOL determines that the objections are sufficient, the Department, as appropriate, will direct the parties to negotiate for up to 30 days, limited to issues defined by DOL.

If the parties are unable to reach agreement within 30 days, DOL will review the final proposals and where no circumstances exist inconsistent with the statute, issue an interim certification permitting FTA to release funds, provided that no action is taken relating to the issues in dispute that would irreparably harm employees.

Following the interim certification, the parties may continue negotiations. If they are unable to reach agreement, DOL sets the terms for Final Certification within 60 days. DOL may request briefs on the issues in dispute before issuing the final certification.

Notwithstanding the above, the Department retains the right to withhold certification where circumstances inconsistent with the statute so warrant until such circumstances have been resolved.

*Type of Review:* Extension

*Agency:* Office of Labor-Management Standards.

*Title of Collection:* Protections for Transit Workers under Section 5333(b) Urban Program.

*OMB Control Number:* 1245-0006.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2,294.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 2,294.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 18,352.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 19, 2013.

**Andrew R. Davis,**

*Chief of the Division of Interpretations and Standards, Office of Labor-Management Standards, U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28261 Filed 11-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE**

---

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order No. 12866

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requests comments on the Technical Support Document entitled *Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866*, available at: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/inforeg/technical-update-social-cost-of-carbon-for-regulator-impact-analysis.pdf>. The Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) is used to estimate the value to society of marginal reductions in carbon emissions. This Technical Support Document explains the derivation of the SCC estimates using three peer reviewed integrated assessment models and provides updated values of the SCC that reflect minor technical corrections to the estimates released in May of this year.

OMB requests that comments be submitted electronically to OMB by January 27, 2014 through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments must be in writing and received by January 27, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments by one of the following methods:

- [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov): Direct comments to Docket ID OMB-OMB-2013-0007.

- *Email:* [SCC@omb.gov](mailto:SCC@omb.gov).

- *Fax:* (202) 395-7285.

- *Mail:* Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: Mabel Echols, NEOB, Room 10202, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. To ensure that your comments are received, we recommend that comments be electronically submitted.

All comments and recommendations submitted in response to this notice will be made available to the public. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. The [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means OMB will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment.

For further information, contact: Mabel Echols, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10202, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Telephone: (202) 395-3741.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Rigorous evaluation of costs and benefits is a core tenet of the rulemaking process. It is particularly important in the area of climate change. The current estimate of the social cost of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (SCC) has been developed over many years, using the best science available, and with input from the public.

In February 2010, after considering public comments on interim values that agencies used in a number of rules, an interagency group of technical experts, coordinated by OMB and the Council of Economic Advisers (CEA), released improved SCC estimates. The interagency group estimated the improved SCC values using the most widely cited climate economic impact models. Those climate impact models, known as integrated assessment models, were developed by outside experts and published in the peer-reviewed literature. Recognizing that the models underlying the SCC estimates would evolve and improve over time as scientific and economic understanding increased, the Administration committed in 2010 to regular updates of these estimates.

In May of this year, after all three of the underlying models were updated and used in peer-reviewed literature, and agencies received public comments urging them to update their estimates, the interagency group released revised SCC values. The May 2013 estimates reflect values that are similar to those used by other governments, international institutions, and major

corporations. Those estimates have been available for public comment in several proposed rulemakings since May, and agencies have already received comments that are under review.

The revised Technical Support Document that was issued in November, 2013 is based on the best available scientific information on the impacts of climate change. We will continue to refine the SCC estimates to ensure that agencies are appropriately measuring the social cost of carbon emissions as they evaluate the costs and benefits of rules.

*Request for Comment:* OMB requests comments on all aspects of the TSD (<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/for-agencies/Social-Cost-of-Carbon-for-RIA.pdf>) and its use of integrated assessment models (IAMs) to estimate SCC values to support agency regulatory impact analyses. We are particularly interested in comments on the following topics:

- The selection of the three IAMs for use in the analysis and the synthesis of the resulting SCC estimates, as outlined in the 2010 TSD the model inputs used to develop the SCC estimates, including economic growth, emissions trajectories, climate sensitivity and intergenerational discounting;

- how the distribution of SCC estimates should be represented in regulatory impact analyses; and

- the strengths and limitations of the overall approach (see also the February, 2010 TSD available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/for-agencies/Social-Cost-of-Carbon-for-RIA.pdf>).

OMB is not requesting comments on the three peer reviewed IAMs themselves; rather we are requesting comments on their use in developing the SCC estimates.

**Howard Shelanski,**

*Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28242 Filed 11-25-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

---

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

[NARA-2014-004]

### Records Schedules; Availability and Request for Comments

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed records schedules; request for comments.

---