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# A. Justification

1. **Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section. Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 pages. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.**

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for of each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 9303[[1]](#endnote-2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended. The CSPR consists of two parts. Part I of the CSPR collects data related to the five ESEA goals established in the approved June 2002 Consolidated State Application, information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as describe in section 1111(h)(4) of ESEA, and data required under Homeless Collection and the Migrant Child Count. Part II of the CSPR collects information related to state activities and outcomes of specific ESEA programs needed for the programs’ GPRA indicators or other assessment and reporting requirement.

This submission requests a revision to the currently-approved CSPR collection (OMB 1810-0614). This submission includes technical amendments such as removing tables that are unnecessary and updating racial and ethnic subgroups to comply with the OMB directive on reporting racial and ethnic data. It also includes substantive changes such as adding one new question for the school year (SY) 2011-2012 collection and adding one new question and new subgroups for particular questions to the SY 2012-2013 collection.

1. **Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

In general, the Department uses the information derived from the CSPR to: (1) monitor and report its progress in meeting *Strategic Plan* goals; (2) assess and report individual program performance; (3) monitor States’ implementation of the ESEA and the extent to which States are meeting program and accountability goals; (4) identify areas for technical assistance to States and overall program improvement; (5) inform policy and program improvement; and (6) inform other reporting and program evaluation requirements.

The program office will use the information to monitor compliance and to obtain a clear understanding of the needs of States to inform program office technical assistance initiatives.

1. **Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.**

All responses are collected electronically via the EDEN submission system. Over 60% of responses are populated with data from EDFacts. The ED*Facts* System allows each State Education Agency (SEA) to provide the ED*Facts* data in the most automated, electronic format that the technology of that specific SEA can currently support. Provisions were made to receive data in multiple formats to ensure the least possible burden on each of the participants.

The remainder of the questions are considered “manual entry” and values are entered into fields in the EDEN submission tool. Once states have completed their submission, they electronically certify that it is correct.

1. **Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.**

The revised CSPR removes 8 questions that have been identified as duplicative. In addition, in order to reduce duplication of information, many of the questions in the CSPR are pre-populated with data from EDFacts.

1. **If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.**

Small businesses or other small entities are not impacted.

1. **Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

The proposed data collection includes information necessary for a report to Congress that is required to be submitted on an annual basis and GPRA data that is required to be collected for multiple grant programs. If the information is not collected, data on key aspects of elementary and secondary education programs will not be available. Consequently, the Department would be unable to meet statutory and regulatory requirements for collection and reporting of data on grantees’ activities and outcomes.

The information collected is used to provide data on education to the public through websites, such as ED Data Express, the Department’s flagship initiative under the Department’s Open Government initiative, and ad-hoc reports for education researchers.

1. **Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:**
* **requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;**
* **requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
* **requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
* **requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
* **in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;**
* **requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
* **that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
* **requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information’s confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

No special circumstances exist that would cause the information collection to be conducted under any of the circumstances described above.

1. **As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.**

**Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**

**Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.**

Individual program offices and principal offices consult extensively with State officials, SEA leadership, and CSPR and ED*Facts* coordinators. Consultations occur through ongoing program monitoring and technical assistance, program related conferences, data conferences, Webinars and teleconferences, which enable ED to ascertain the availability and quality of the required data.

In addition, ED published a 60-day and a 30-day Federal Register Notice to solicit comment from the public.

ED received comments from seven states during the 60-day comment period and has provided responses in an attached document. In response to state concerns about some of the changes, the Department has revised a question to make it more consistent with the language in the statute and has provided further explanation to clarify the intent of other questions.

Further, ED has made some changes to accommodate changes that states are making to their own systems due to ESEA Flexibility. States have indicated that they want to be able to report their revised data and the revisions to the relevant questions in the document will accommodate those changes.

Finally, ED has moved the graduation rate question from Part I to Part II of the CSPR. This was driven by internal discussion about the need to align the school year of the graduation rate with the school year of the CSPR. Moving the question to Part II allows ED to align the data without any impact on the states (the deadline for the file specification is before the deadline for CSPR Part II).

1. **Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.**

No payment or gift of any kind will be provided to respondents.

1. **Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided. Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information). If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentially of the data.**

As a matter of policy ED assures respondents that in reporting data to the public, no n-size will be used that can readily identify individuals. The n-size used to redact values below which individual students could be identified is State specific. The number used for each State is the n-size that the State provided to ED in its accountability workbooks as the number below which ED should not make available to the general public. State accountability workbooks can be found on ED’s Web site at [www.ed.gov](http://www.ed.gov).

1. **Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

The information collection does not include questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The education data collected will only consist of information about states, school districts, and schools.

1. **Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:**
* **Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
* **If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)**
* **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.**

Previously, the total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden for the SY 2010-11 CSPR collection was estimated to be 11,864.82. The annual burden to each of 53 SEA respondents for the CSPR collection was estimated to be 34.34 hours. The new item will not require new or additional data collection. Information required to respond to the new item already is available and accessible by each SEA receiving Title I, Part A funds. The estimate of additional burden to SEAs is for reporting only. The Department estimates that the additional item will increase the SEA burden by 1 hour, which combined with deletions and other changes to the document result in an overall decrease of -1.5 hours to 32.84 hours per SEA respondent for a total decrease of -79.5 burden hours. The combined burden to States for this information collection will be 1,740.52 hours. The LEA burden will not increase. The total new estimated burden will be 11,785.32.

|  |
| --- |
| Burden Estimate SY 2011-2012 Collection |
| CSPR Part I and II | SEA Burden hours | LEA Burden hours | Total |
|  Approximate # of agencies |  53 |  14,600 | 14,653 |
|  Average burden per agency |  32.84 hours  |  .688 hours |  |
|  Total for 1810-0614  |  1,740.52 hours |  10,044.8 hours |  11,785.32 |

ED is also requesting to add an additional question and additional subgroups to specific questions for the SY 2012-2013 collection. The new question and subgroups will not require new or additional data collection. Information required to respond to the new items already is available and accessible by each SEA receiving Title I, Part A funds. The estimate of additional burden to SEAs is for reporting only. The Department estimates that the additional item will increase the SEA burden by 1 hour, which results in an overall increase to 33.84 hours per SEA respondent for a total decrease of 53 burden hours. The combined burden to States for this information collection will be 1,793.52 hours. The LEA burden will not increase. The total new estimated burden for SY 2012-2013 will be 11,838.32.

Therefore the average burden for this data collection for the SY 2011-2012 and SY 2012-2013 is 11,811.

|  |
| --- |
| Burden Estimate SY 2012-2013 Collection |
| CSPR Part I and II | SEA Burden hours | LEA Burden hours | Total |
|  Approximate # of agencies |  53 |  14,600 | 14,653 |
|  Average burden per agency |  33.84 hours  |  .688 hours |  |
|  Total for 1810-0614  |  1,793.52 hours |  10,044.8 hours |  11,838.32 |

At this time we estimate the burden for the SY 2013-2014 collection will remain the same as for the SY 2012-2013 collection.

The average hourly rate for staff in each of the SEAs and LEAs to prepare the responses to this information full collection, including proposed amendments is estimated to be $24.00***.*** Therefore, the total cost of the collection is estimated as $284,120, which is equivalent to 11,838.32 X $24.00*.*

1. **Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)**
* **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.**
* **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**
* **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12**

**Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost:** 0

**Total Annual Costs (O&M):** 0

**Total Annualized Costs Requested:** 0

1. **Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

The cost to the Federal government, beyond the efforts normally associated with Department staff conducting program monitoring, would be to review the submitted data that each State submits to complete their CSPR.

The Department estimates the following: ten people, GS-13, two hours, X 53 SEAs = 1,060 hours X 42.66 per hour=$45,220.

1. **Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency’s control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).**

This is a request for clearance for a currently approved collection with changes. The averaged total CSPRburden estimate is 11,811hours for 2011-12 and 2012-13 (there was a decrease to the state burden and the LEA burden is unchanged).

The program changes in burden are due to the additions, modifications, and deletions of questions in the CSPR collection. Generally, questions have been added or modified due to requests from the field and from Congress, to improve the quality and usefulness of information being collected, to better inform monitoring and technical assistance efforts, and to guide program improvement efforts. Questions have been deleted to reduce burden, streamline requirements, eliminate duplication, and remove questions that no longer provided useful enough information to justify their collection. Over all, the reduced burden from the deletions offset the burden from the additions over the two reporting years. The average burden for this data collection is 11,811, for a net decrease of -53 hours.

1. **For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

SEAs will be asked to submit Part I of the SY 2011-2012 CSPR to the Department in December 2012. Part II of the CSPR will be due in February 11, 2013. After State submissions for Part I have been received, ED program staff will conduct a data quality review. During the review, SEAs will have the opportunity to correct and resubmit final data. Data quality review for Part I will be completed by February 2013. Part II data quality review will be completed in March 2013. After final data have been certified by SEAs, ED will review the submissions for reported values (N’s) below which individual students might be identified. Once low N’s have been suppressed, ED will publish Part 1 of each SEA’s CSPR on our website at www.ed.gov. Reports will be posted no later than June 1.

1. **If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

Approval to not display expiration date has not been requested.

1. **Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.**

No exceptions were identified.

1. SEC.9303. Consolidated Reporting – (a) In general: In order to simplify reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens, the Secretary shall establish procedures and criteria under which a State educational agency, in consultation with the Governor of the State, may submit a consolidated State annual report. (b) Contents: The report shall contain information about the programs included in the report, including the performance of the State under those programs, and other matters as the Secretary determines are necessary, such as monitoring activities. (c) Replacement: The report shall replace separate individual annual reports for the programs included in the consolidated State annual report. [↑](#endnote-ref-2)