

# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: PART I

for reporting on  
**School Year 2013-14**

**PART I DUE DECEMBER 18, 2014**  
**5PM EST**

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 9303<sup>1</sup> of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended. The CSPR consists of two parts. Part I of the CSPR collects data related to the five *ESEA* goals established in the approved June 2002 Consolidated State Application, information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in section 1111(h)(4) of *ESEA*, and data required under McKinney-Vento Homeless Program. Part II of the CSPR collects information related to state activities and outcomes of specific *ESEA* programs needed for the programs' GPRA indicators or other assessment and reporting requirement.

## **Paperwork Burden Statement**

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is **1810-0614 (expires 7/31/15)**. The time required to complete this information collection for Part I and Part II combined is estimated to average 30.84 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

## Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: PART I.....		1
1.1	STANDARDS AND ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT.....	5
1.1.1	Academic Content Standards.....	5
1.1.1.1	<i>Academic Achievement Standards in Mathematics, Reading/Language Arts and Science...</i>	6
1.1.2	Assessments in Mathematics, Reading/Language Arts and Science.....	7
1.1.3	Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities.....	8
1.1.3.1	<i>Percentages of Funds Used for Standards and Assessment Development and Other Purposes</i>	8
1.1.3.2	<i>Uses of Funds for Purposes Other than Standards and Assessment Development.....</i>	9
1.2	PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS.....	10
1.2.1	Participation of All Students in Mathematics Assessment.....	10
1.2.2	Participation of Students with Disabilities in Mathematics Assessment....	11
1.2.3	Participation of All Students in the Reading/Language Arts Assessment. .	12
1.2.3.1	<i>Recently Arrived LEP Students Taking ELP Assessments in Lieu of Reading/Language Arts Assessments</i>	12
1.2.4	Participation of Students with Disabilities in Reading/Language Arts Assessment.....	12
1.2.5	Participation of All Students in the Science Assessment.....	13
1.2.6	Participation of Students with Disabilities in Science Assessment.....	13
1.3	STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT.....	13
1.3.1	Student Academic Achievement in Mathematics.....	13
1.3.2	Student Academic Achievement in Reading/Language Arts.....	14
1.3.3	Student Academic Achievement in Science.....	15
1.4	SCHOOL AND DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY.....	15
1.4.1	All Schools and Districts Accountability.....	15
For an SEA with an approved ESEA flexibility request that submitted its request in February 2012 or November 2011:.....		15
1.4.2	Title I School Accountability.....	16
1.4.3	Accountability of Districts That Received Title I Funds.....	17
1.4.4.3	<i>Corrective Action.....</i>	17
1.4.4.4	<i>Restructuring – Year 2.....</i>	18
1.4.5.2	<i>Actions Taken for Districts That Received Title I Funds and Were Identified for Improvement</i>	18
1.4.5.3	<i>Corrective Action.....</i>	19
1.4.7	Appeal of AYP and Identification Determinations.....	19
1.4.8	Sections 1003 (a) and (g) School Improvement Funds.....	20
1.4.8.5	<i>Use of Sections 1003(a) and (g) School Improvement Funds.....</i>	20
1.4.8.6	<i>Actions Taken for Title I Schools Identified for Improvement Supported by Funds Other than Those of Sections 1003(a) and 1003(g).....</i>	22
1.4.9	Public School Choice and Supplemental Educational Services.....	22
1.4.9.1	<i>Public School Choice.....</i>	22
1.4.9.2	<i>Supplemental Educational Services.....</i>	25
1.5	TEACHER QUALITY.....	25

1.5.1	Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified.....	25
1.5.2	Reasons Core Academic Classes Are Taught by Teachers Who Are Not Highly Qualified.....	28
1.5.2.1	<i>Elementary School Classes.....</i>	28
1.5.2.2	<i>Secondary School Classes.....</i>	29
1.5.3	Poverty Quartiles and Metrics Used.....	29
1.5.3.1	<i>Poverty Quartile Breaks.....</i>	30
1.6	TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS.....	31
1.6.1	Language Instruction Educational Programs.....	31
1.6.2	Student Demographic Data.....	32
1.6.2.1	<i>Number of ALL LEP Students in the State.....</i>	32
1.6.2.2	<i>Number of LEP Students Who Received Title III Language Instruction Educational Program Services.....</i>	33
1.6.2.3	<i>Most Commonly Spoken Languages in the State.....</i>	33
1.6.3	Student Performance Data.....	33
1.6.3.5	<i>Native Language Assessments.....</i>	36
1.6.3.6	<i>Title III Served Monitored Former LEP (MFLEP) Students.....</i>	37
1.6.4	Title III Subgrantees.....	39
1.6.4.1	<i>Title III Subgrantee Performance.....</i>	40
1.6.4.2	<i>State Accountability.....</i>	40
1.6.4.3	<i>Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs.....</i>	41
1.6.5	Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students.....	41
1.6.5.1	<i>Immigrant Students.....</i>	41
1.6.6	Teacher Information and Professional Development.....	42
1.6.6.1	<i>Teacher Information.....</i>	42
1.6.6.2	<i>Professional Development Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of LEP Students<sup>43</sup>.....</i>	43
1.6.7	State Subgrant Activities.....	44
1.6.7.1	<i>State Subgrant Process.....</i>	44
1.6.7.2	<i>Steps To Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees.....</i>	45
1.7	PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS.....	45
1.9	EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM.....	45
1.9.1	All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants).....	46
1.9.1.1	<i>Homeless Children and Youths.....</i>	46
1.9.1.2	<i>Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youths.....</i>	46
1.9.1.3	<i>Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled.....</i>	47
1.9.2	LEAs with McKinney-Vento Subgrants.....	47
1.9.2.1	<i>Homeless Children and Youths Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants.....</i>	47
1.9.2.2	<i>Subgroups of Homeless Students Served.....</i>	48
1.9.3	Academic Achievement of Homeless Students.....	48
1.9.3.1	<i>Reading Assessment.....</i>	48
1.9.3.2	<i>Mathematics Assessment.....</i>	49
1.9.3.3	<i>Science Assessment.....</i>	49

## 1.1 STANDARDS AND ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT

This section requests descriptions of the State's implementation of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act*, as amended (*ESEA*) academic content standards, academic achievement standards and assessments to meet the requirements of Section 1111(b)(1) of *ESEA*.

### 1.1.1 Academic Content Standards

Indicate below whether your state has made or is planning to make revisions to or change the State's academic content standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science since the State's content standards were most recently approved through ED's peer review process for State assessment systems. If yes, indicate specifically in what school year your State implemented or will implement the revisions or changes.

\_\_\_\_\_ No revisions or changes to academic content standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science made or planned.

\_\_\_\_\_ State has revised or changed its academic content standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science or is planning to make revisions to or change its academic content standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science. Indicate below the year these changes were or will be implemented or "Not Applicable" to indicate that changes were not made or will not be made in the subject area.

Acceptable responses are a school year (e.g., 2013-14) or Not Applicable.

<b>Academic Content Standards</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Reading/ Language Arts</b>	<b>Science</b>
Academic Content Standards			

If the responses above do not fully describe revisions or changes to your State's academic content standards, describe the revisions or changes below.

The response is limited to 1,000 characters.

### 1.1.1.1 Academic Achievement Standards in Mathematics, Reading/Language Arts and Science

Indicate below whether your state has changed or is planning to change the State's academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science since the State's academic achievement standards were most recently approved through ED's peer review process for State assessment systems. If yes, indicate specifically in what school year your State implemented or will implement the changes.

As applicable, include changes to academic achievement standards based on any assessments (e.g., alternate assessments based on alternate achievement standards, alternate assessments based on modified achievement standards, native language assessments, or others) implemented to meet the assessment requirements under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*.

\_\_\_\_\_ No revisions or changes to academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science made or planned.

\_\_\_\_\_ State has changed its academic achievement standards or is planning to change its academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts or science. Indicate below either the school year in which these changes were or will be implemented or "Not Applicable" to indicate that changes were not made or will not be made in the subject area.

Acceptable responses are a school year (e.g., 2013-14) or Not Applicable.

<b>Academic Achievement Standards for</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Reading/ Language Arts</b>	<b>Science</b>
Regular Assessments in Grades 3-8			
Regular Assessments in High School			
Alternate Assessments Based on Grade-Level Achievement Standards (if applicable)			
Alternate Assessments Based on Modified Achievement Standards (if applicable)			
Alternate Assessments Based on Alternate			

<b>Academic Achievement Standards for</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Reading/ Language Arts</b>	<b>Science</b>
Achievement Standards			

If the responses above do not fully describe revisions or changes to your State’s academic achievement standards, describe the revisions or changes below.

The response is limited to 1,000 characters.

### **1.1.2 Assessments in Mathematics, Reading/Language Arts and Science**

Indicate below whether your state has changed or is planning to change the State’s academic assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts or science since the State’s academic assessments were most recently approved through ED’s peer review process for State assessment systems. If yes, indicate specifically in what school year your State implemented or will implement the changes.

As applicable, include any assessments (e.g., alternate assessments based on alternate achievement standards, alternate assessments based on modified achievement standards, native language assessments, or others) implemented to meet the assessment requirements under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*.

\_\_\_\_\_ No changes to assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts or science made or planned.

\_\_\_\_\_ State has changed or is planning to change its assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts or science. Indicate below the year these changes were implemented or “Not Applicable” to indicate that changes were not made or will not be made in the subject area.

Acceptable responses are a school year (e.g., 2013-14) or Not Applicable.

<b>Academic Assessments</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Reading/ Language Arts</b>	<b>Science</b>
Regular Assessments in Grades 3-8			
Regular Assessments in High School			
Alternate Assessments			

<b>Academic Assessments</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Reading/ Language Arts</b>	<b>Science</b>
Based on Grade-Level Achievement Standards (if applicable)			
Alternate Assessments Based on Modified Achievement Standards (if applicable)			
Alternate Assessments Based on Alternate Achievement Standards			

If the responses above do not fully describe revisions or changes to your State’s academic achievement standards, describe the revisions or changes below.

The response is limited to 1,000 characters.

### **1.1.3 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities**

#### **1.1.3.1 Percentages of Funds Used for Standards and Assessment Development and Other Purposes**

For funds your State had available under *ESEA* section 6111 (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY 2013-14, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest ten percent).

<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Percentage (rounded to the nearest ten percent)</b>
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b)	_____ %
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) or to carry out other activities described in section 6111 and other activities related to ensuring that the State’s schools and local educational agencies are held accountable for results	_____ %

### 1.1.3.2 Uses of Funds for Purposes Other than Standards and Assessment Development

For funds your State had available under *ESEA* section 6111 (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY 2013-14 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by section 1111(b), for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter “yes” for all that apply and “no” for all that do not apply).

Purpose	Used for Purpose (yes/no)
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b)	
Developing challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which standards and assessments are not required by Section 1111(b).	
Developing or improving assessments of English language proficiency necessary to comply with Section 1111(b)(7)	
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments, and/or refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with the State’s academic content standards and to improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials	
Developing multiple measures to increase the reliability and validity of State assessment systems	
Strengthening the capacity of local educational agencies and schools to provide all students the opportunity to increase educational achievement, including carrying out professional development activities aligned with State student academic achievement standards and assessments	
Expanding the range of accommodations available to students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities (IDEA) to improve the rates of inclusion of such students, including professional development activities aligned with State academic achievement standards and assessments	
Improving the dissemination of information on student achievement and school performance to parents and the community, including the development of information and reporting systems designed to identify best educational practices based on scientifically based research or to assist in linking records of student achievement, length of enrollment, and graduation over time	
Other	

## 1.2 PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS<sup>1</sup>

This section collects data on the participation of students in the State assessments.

**Note:** States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that are identified in their Accountability Workbooks. The charts below display racial/ethnic data that have been mapped from the major racial and ethnic groups identified in their workbooks to the racial/ethnic groups shown.

### 1.2.1 Participation of All Students in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of students enrolled during the State’s testing window for mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA* (regardless of whether the students were present for a full academic year) and the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment in accordance with *ESEA*. The percentage of students who were tested for mathematics will be calculated automatically.

The student group “children with disabilities (*IDEA*)” includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former students with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group “limited English proficient (LEP) students” includes recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months. Do not include former LEP students.

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating
All students			(Auto calculated)
American Indian or Alaska Native			(Auto calculated)
Asian or Pacific Islander			(Auto calculated)

<sup>1</sup> The “Asian/Pacific Islander” row in the tables below represent either the value reported by the state to the Department of Education for the major racial and ethnic group “Asian/Pacific Islander” or an aggregation of values reported by the state for the major racial and ethnic groups “Asian” and “Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or Pacific Islander” (and “Filipino” in the case of California). When the values reported in the Asian/Pacific Islander row represent the U. S. Department of Education aggregation of other values reported by the state, the detail for “Asian” and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander” are also included in the following rows. Disaggregated reporting for assessment participation data is done according to the provisions outlined within each state’s Accountability Workbook. Accordingly, not every state uses major racial and ethnic groups which enable detail of Asian American/Pacific Islander (AAPI) populations.

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating
Asian			(Auto calculated)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			(Auto calculated)
Black or African American			(Auto calculated)
Hispanic or Latino			(Auto calculated)
White			(Auto calculated)
Two or more races			
Children with disabilities (IDEA)			(Auto calculated)
Limited English proficient (LEP) students			(Auto calculated)
Economically disadvantaged students			(Auto calculated)
Migratory students			(Auto calculated)
Male			(Auto calculated)
Female			(Auto calculated)

### 1.2.2 Participation of Students with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA* (regardless of whether the children were present for a full academic year) by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (IDEA) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating will also be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all students with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)*. Do not include former students with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations		(Auto calculated)
Regular Assessment with Accommodations		(Auto calculated)
Alternate Assessment Based on Grade-Level Achievement Standards		(Auto calculated)
Alternate Assessment Based on Modified Achievement Standards		(Auto calculated)
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards		(Auto calculated)
Total	(Auto calculated)	////////////////////////////////////

### 1.2.3 Participation of All Students in the Reading/Language Arts Assessment

This section is similar to 1.2.1 and collects data on the State's reading/language arts assessment.

#### 1.2.3.1 Recently Arrived LEP Students Taking ELP Assessments in Lieu of Reading/Language Arts Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived LEP students (as defined in 34 C.F.R. Part 200.6(b)(4)) included in the participation counts in 1.2.3 who took an assessment of English language proficiency in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under 34 C.F.R. Part 200.20.

Recently Arrived LEP Students	#
Recently arrived LEP students who took an assessment of English language proficiency in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment	

#### **1.2.4 Participation of Students with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts Assessment**

This section is similar to 1.2.2 and collects data on the State's reading/language arts assessment.

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all students with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)*. Do not include former students with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Note: For this question only, report on students with disabilities (IDEA) who are also LEP students in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

#### **1.2.5 Participation of All Students in the Science Assessment**

This section is similar to 1.2.1 and collects data on the State's science assessment.

#### **1.2.6 Participation of Students with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment**

This section is similar to 1.2.2 and collects data on the State's science assessment. The data provided should include science participation results from all students with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)*. Do not include former students with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

### 1.3 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT<sup>2</sup>

This section collects data on student academic achievement on the State assessments.

**Note:** States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that are identified in their Accountability Workbooks. The charts below display racial/ethnic data that have been mapped from the major racial and ethnic groups identified in their workbooks to the racial/ethnic groups shown.

#### 1.3.1 Student Academic Achievement in Mathematics

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students who received a valid score on the State assessment(s) in mathematics implemented to meet the requirements of Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA* (regardless of whether the students were present for a full academic year) and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, and the number of these students who scored at or above proficient, in grades 3 through 8 and high school. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

The student group “children with disabilities (IDEA)” includes children who participated, and for whom a proficiency level was assigned in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former students with disabilities (IDEA). The student group “limited English proficient (LEP) students” does include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months. Do not include former LEP students.

Grade (insert grade #)	# Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students			(Auto calculated)
American Indian or Alaska			(Auto calculated)

<sup>2</sup> The “Asian/Pacific Islander” row in the tables below represent either the value reported by the state to the Department of Education for the major racial and ethnic group “Asian/Pacific Islander” or an aggregation of values reported by the state for the major racial and ethnic groups “Asian” and “Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or Pacific Islander” (and “Filipino” in the case of California). When the values reported in the Asian/Pacific Islander row represent the U. S. Department of Education aggregation of other values reported by the state, the detail for “Asian” and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander” are also included in the following rows. Disaggregated reporting for academic achievement data is done according to the provisions outlined within each state’s Accountability Workbook. Accordingly, not every state uses major racial and ethnic groups which enable detail of Asian American/Pacific Islander (AAPI) populations.

<b>Grade (insert grade #)</b>	<b># Students Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
Native			
Asian or Pacific Islander			(Auto calculated)
<i>Asian</i>			(Auto calculated)
<i>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</i>			(Auto calculated)
Black or African American			(Auto calculated)
Hispanic or Latino			(Auto calculated)
White			(Auto calculated)
Two or more races			
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )			(Auto calculated)
Limited English proficient (LEP) students			(Auto calculated)
Economically disadvantaged students			(Auto calculated)
Migratory students			(Auto calculated)
Male			(Auto calculated)
Female			(Auto calculated)

### 1.3.2 Student Academic Achievement in Reading/Language Arts

This section is similar to 1.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State’s reading/language arts assessment, and the difference noted in the paragraph below.

The student group “limited English proficient (LEP) students” does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency in lieu of the State’s reading/language arts assessment. Do not include former LEP students.

### 1.3.3 Student Academic Achievement in Science

This section is similar to 1.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State’s science assessment administered at least once in each of the following grade spans: 3 through 5, 6 through 9, and 10 through 12.

Limited English Proficient (LEP) students include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months. Do not include former LEP students.

## 1.4 SCHOOL AND DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY

This section collects data on the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) status of schools and districts.

### 1.4.1 All Schools and Districts Accountability

For an SEA that has not received ESEA flexibility, or an SEA that received ESEA flexibility without the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of public elementary and secondary schools and districts in the State, including charters, and the total number of those schools and districts that made AYP based on data for SY 2013-14. The percentage that made AYP will be calculated automatically.

Entity	Total #	Total # that Made AYP in SY 2013-14	Percentage that Made AYP in SY 2013-14
Schools			(Auto calculated)
Districts			(Auto calculated)

For an SEA with an approved ESEA flexibility request that includes the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of public elementary and secondary schools and districts in the State, including charters, and the total number of those schools and districts that made all of their AMOs, the 95 percent participation rate, and other academic indicator<sup>3</sup> based on data for SY 2013-14. The percentage will be calculated automatically.

---

<sup>3</sup> For a high school, the other academic indicator is always graduation rate.

<b>Entity</b>	<b>Total #</b>	<b>Total # that Met All AMOs, 95 Percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14</b>	<b>Percentage that Met All AMOs, 95 Percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14</b>
Schools			(Auto calculated)
Districts			(Auto calculated)

### 1.4.2 Title I School Accountability

For an SEA that has not received ESEA flexibility, or an SEA that received ESEA flexibility without the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of public Title I schools by type and the total number of those schools that made AYP based on data for SY 2013-14. Include only public Title I schools. Do not include Title I programs operated by local educational agencies in private schools. The percentage that made AYP will be calculated automatically.

<b>Title I School</b>	<b># Title I Schools</b>	<b># Title I Schools that Made AYP in SY 2013-14</b>	<b>Percentage of Title I Schools that Made AYP in SY 2013-14</b>
All Title I schools			(Auto calculated)
Schoolwide (SWP) Title I schools			(Auto calculated)
Targeted assistance (TAS) Title I schools			(Auto calculated)

For an SEA with an approved ESEA flexibility request that includes the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of public Title I schools by type and the total number of those schools that made all of their AMOs, the 95 percent participation rate, and other academic indicator<sup>4</sup> based on data for SY 2013-14. Include only public Title I schools. Do not include Title I programs operated by LEAs in private schools. The percentage will be calculated automatically.

---

<sup>4</sup> For a high school, the other academic indicator is always graduation rate.

Title I School	# Title I Schools	# Title I Schools that Met All AMOs, 95 Percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14	Percentage of Title I Schools that Met All AMOs, 95 Percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14
All Title I schools			(Auto calculated)
Schoolwide (SWP) Title I schools			(Auto calculated)
Targeted assistance (TAS) Title I schools			(Auto calculated)

### 1.4.3 Accountability of Districts That Received Title I Funds

For an SEA that has not received ESEA flexibility, or an SEA that received ESEA flexibility without the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of districts that received Title I funds and the total number of those districts that made AYP based on data for SY —2013-14. The percentage that made AYP will be calculated automatically.

# Districts That Received Title I Funds in SY 2013-14	# Districts That Received Title I Funds and Made AYP in SY 2013-14	Percentage of Districts That Received Title I Funds and Made AYP in SY 2013-14
		(Auto calculated)

For an SEA with an approved ESEA flexibility request that includes the optional waiver to not make AYP determinations for LEAs and schools:

In the table below, provide the total number of districts that received Title I funds and the total number of those districts that met all of their AMOs, the 95 percent participation rate, and other academic indicator<sup>5</sup> based on data for SY 2013-14. The percentage will be calculated automatically.

---

<sup>5</sup> For a high school, the other academic indicator is always graduation rate.

<p><b># Districts That Received Title I Funds in SY 2013-14</b></p>	<p><b># Districts That Received Title I Funds and Met All AMOs, 95 percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14</b></p>	<p><b>Percentage of Districts That Received Title I Funds and Met All AMOs, 95 percent Participation Rate, and Other Academic Indicator in SY 2013-14</b></p>
		<p>(Auto calculated)</p>

### 1.4.4.3 Corrective Action

In the table below, for schools in corrective action, provide the number of schools for which the listed corrective actions under *ESEA* were implemented in SY 2013-14 (based on SY 2012-13 assessments under Section 1111 of *ESEA*).

<p><b>Corrective Action</b></p>	<p><b># of Title I Schools in Corrective Action in Which the Corrective Action was Implemented in SY 2013-14</b></p>
<p>Required implementation of a new research-based curriculum or instructional program</p>	
<p>Extension of the school year or school day</p>	
<p>Replacement of staff members, not including the principal, who were relevant to the school's low performance</p>	
<p>Significant decrease in management authority at the school level</p>	
<p>Replacement of the principal</p>	
<p>Restructuring the internal organization of the school</p>	
<p>Appointment of an outside expert to advise the school</p>	

### 1.4.4.4 Restructuring – Year 2

In the table below, for schools in restructuring – year 2 (implementation year), provide the number of schools for which the listed restructuring actions under *ESEA* were implemented in SY 2013-14 (based on SY 2012-13 assessments under Section 1111 of *ESEA*).

<p><b>Restructuring Action</b></p>	<p><b># of Title I Schools in Restructuring in Which Restructuring Action Is Being Implemented</b></p>
<p>Replacement of all or most of the school staff (which may include the principal)</p>	

<b>Restructuring Action</b>	<b># of Title I Schools in Restructuring in Which Restructuring Action Is Being Implemented</b>
Reopening the school as a public charter school	
Entering into a contract with a private entity to operate the school	
Takeover of the school by the State	
Other major restructuring of the school governance	

In the space below, list specifically the “other major restructuring of the school governance” action(s) that were implemented.

This response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**1.4.5.2 Actions Taken for Districts That Received Title I Funds and Were Identified for Improvement**

In the space below, briefly describe the measures being taken to address the achievement problems of districts identified for improvement or corrective action. Include a discussion of the technical assistance provided by the State (e.g., the number of districts served, the nature and duration of assistance provided, etc.).

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**1.4.5.3 Corrective Action**

In the table below, for districts in corrective action, provide the number of districts in corrective action in which the listed corrective actions under *ESEA* were implemented in SY 2013-14 (based on SY 2012-13 assessments under Section 1111 of *ESEA*).

<b>Corrective Action</b>	<b># of Districts receiving Title I funds in Corrective Action in Which Corrective Action was Implemented in SY 2013-14</b>
Implemented a new curriculum based on State standards	
Authorized students to transfer from district schools to higher performing schools in a neighboring district	
Deferred programmatic funds or reduced administrative funds	
Replaced district personnel who are relevant to the failure to make AYP	
Removed one or more schools from the jurisdiction of the district	
Appointed a receiver or trustee to administer the affairs of the district	
Restructured the district	
Abolished the district (list the number or districts abolished between the end of SY 2012-13 and beginning of SY 2013-14 as a corrective action)	

### 1.4.7 Appeal of AYP and Identification Determinations

In the table below, provide the number of districts and schools that appealed their AYP designations based on SY 2013-14 data and the results of those appeals.

<b>Entity</b>	<b># Appealed Their AYP Designations</b>	<b># Appeals Resulted in a Change in the AYP Designation</b>
Districts		
Schools		

In the table below, provide the data by which processing appeals based on SY 2013-14 data was complete.

<b>Processing Appeals completion</b>	<b>Date</b>
Date (MM/DD/YY) that processing appeals based on SY 2013-14 data was complete	

### 1.4.8 Sections 1003 (a) and (g) School Improvement Funds

In the section below, “schools in improvement” refers to Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Section 1116 of *ESEA*.

### 1.4.8.5 Use of Sections 1003(a) and (g) School Improvement Funds

#### 1.4.8.5.1 Section 1003(a) State Reservations

In the space provided, enter the percentage of the FY 2013 (SY 2013-14) Title I, Part A allocation that the SEA reserved in accordance with Section 1003(a) of ESEA and §200.100(a) of ED’s regulations governing the reservation of funds for school improvement under Section 1003(a) of ESEA: \_\_\_\_\_%

#### 1.4.8.5.2 Sections 1003(a) and 1003(g) Allocations to LEAs and Schools

The requested information below for FY 2013 (SY 2013-14) is displayed in the EDEN012 report, which is a report in the ED Facts system.

Name of LEA with One or More Schools Provided Assistance through Section 1003(a) Funds in SY 2013-14	NCES LEA ID	Amount of LEA’s 1003(a) Allocation

Name of LEA with One or More Schools Provided Assistance through Section 1003(g) Funds in SY 2013-14	NCES LEA ID	Amount of LEA’s 1003(g) Allocation

Name of LEA with One or More Schools Provided Assistance through Section 1003(g) Funds in SY 2013-14	NCES LEA ID	Amount of LEA's 1003(g) Allocation

**1.4.8.5.3 Use of Section 1003(g)(8) Funds for Evaluation and Technical Assistance**

Section 1003(g)(8) of *ESEA* allows States to reserve up to five percent of Section 1003(g) funds for administration and to meet the evaluation and technical assistance requirements for this program. In the space below, identify and describe the specific Section 1003(g) evaluation and technical assistance activities that your State conducted during SY 2013-14.

This response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**1.4.8.6 Actions Taken for Title I Schools Identified for Improvement Supported by Funds Other than Those of Sections 1003(a) and 1003(g)**

In the space below, describe actions (if any) taken by your State in SY 2013-14 that were supported by **funds other than Sections 1003(a) and 1003(g) funds** to address the achievement problems of schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Section 1116 of *ESEA* .

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

## **1.4.9 Public School Choice and Supplemental Educational Services**

This section collects data on public school choice and supplemental educational services.

### **1.4.9.1 Public School Choice**

This section collects data on public school choice. FAQs related to the public school choice provisions are at the end of this section.

#### **1.4.9.1.2 Public School Choice – Students**

In the table below, provide the number of students who were eligible for public school choice, the number of eligible students who applied to transfer, and the number who transferred under the provisions for public school choice under Section 1116 of *ESEA*.

The number of students who were eligible for public school choice should include:

- (1) All students currently enrolled in a Title I school identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring.
- (2) All students who transferred in the current school year under the public school choice provisions of Section 1116, and
- (3) All students who previously transferred under the public school choice provisions of Section 1116 and are continuing to transfer for the current school year under Section 1116.

The number of students who applied to transfer should include:

- (1) All students who applied to transfer in the current school year but did not or were unable to transfer.
- (2) All students who transferred in the current school year under the public school choice provisions of Section 1116; and
- (3) All students who previously transferred under the public school choice provisions of Section 1116 and are continuing to transfer for the current school year under Section 1116.

For any of the respective student counts, States should indicate in the Comment section if the count does not include any of the categories of students discussed above.

<b>Public School Choice</b>	<b># Students</b>
Eligible for public school choice	
Applied to transfer	
Transferred to another school under the Title I public school choice provisions	

### 1.4.9.1.3 Funds Spent on Public School Choice

In the table below, provide the total dollar amount spent by LEAs on transportation for public school choice under Section 1116 of *ESEA*.

<b>Transportation for Public School Choice</b>	<b>Dollars Spent</b>
Dollars spent by LEAs on transportation for public school choice	\$

### 1.4.9.1.4 Availability of Public School Choice Options

In the table below provide the number of LEAs in your State that are unable to provide public school choice to eligible students due to any of the following reasons:

1. All schools at a grade level in the LEA are in school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring.
2. LEA only has a single school at the grade level of the school at which students are eligible for public school choice.
3. LEA's schools are so remote from one another that choice is impracticable.

<b>Unable to Provide Public School Choice</b>	<b># LEAs</b>
LEAs Unable to Provide Public School Choice	

### FAQs about public school choice:

- a. *How should States report data on Title I public school choice for those LEAs that have open enrollment and other school choice programs?* For those LEAs that implement open enrollment or other school choice programs in addition to public school choice under Section 1116 of *ESEA*, the State may consider a student as having applied to transfer if the student meets the following:
  - Has a "home" or "neighborhood" school (to which the student would have been assigned, in the absence of a school choice program) that receives Title I funds and has been identified, under the statute, as in need of improvement, corrective action, or restructuring; and
  - Has elected to enroll, at some point since July 1, 2002 (the effective date of the Title I choice provisions), and after the home school has

been identified as in need of improvement, in a school that has not been so identified and is attending that school; and

- Is using district transportation services to attend such a school.<sup>6</sup>

In addition, the State may consider costs for transporting a student meeting the above conditions towards the funds spent by an LEA on transportation for public school choice if the student is using district transportation services to attend the non-identified school.<sup>7</sup>

- b. How should States report on public school choice for those LEAs that are not able to offer public school choice?* In the count of LEAs that are not able to offer public school choice (for any of the reasons specified in 1.4.9.1.4), States should include those LEAs that are unable to offer public school choice at one or more grade levels. For instance, if an LEA is able to provide public school choice to eligible students at the elementary level but not at the secondary level, the State should include the LEA in the count. States should also include LEAs that are not able to provide public school choice at all (i.e., at any grade level). States should provide the reason(s) why public school choice was not possible in these LEAs at the grade level(s) in the Comment section. In addition, States may also include in the Comment section a separate count just of LEAs that are not able to offer public school choice at any grade level.

For LEAs that are not able to offer public school choice at one or more grade levels, States should count as eligible for public school choice (in 1.4.9.1.2) all students who attend identified Title I schools regardless of whether the LEA is able to offer the students public school choice.

## **1.4.9.2 Supplemental Educational Services**

This section collects data on supplemental educational services.

### **1.4.9.2.2 Supplemental Educational Services – Students**

In the table below, provide the number of students who were eligible for, who applied for, and who received supplemental educational services under Section 1116 of *ESEA*.

The number of students who received supplemental educational services should include all students who were enrolled with a provider and participated in some hours of services. States and LEAs have the discretion to determine the

---

<sup>6</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Adapted from Public School Choice Non-Regulatory Guidance, Available at: <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/schoolchoiceguid.doc>

minimum number of hours of participation needed by a student to be considered as having received services.

<b>Supplemental Educational Services</b>	<b># Students</b>
Eligible for supplemental educational services	
Applied for supplemental educational services	
Received supplemental educational services	

### 1.4.9.2.3 Funds Spent on Supplemental Educational Services

In the table below, provide the total dollar amount spent by LEAs on supplemental educational services under Section 1116 of *ESEA*.

<b>Spending on Supplemental Educational Services</b>	<b>Dollars Spent</b>
Dollars spent by LEAs on supplemental educational services	\$

## 1.5 TEACHER QUALITY

This section collects data on “highly qualified” teachers as the term is defined in Section 9101(23) of the *ESEA*.

### 1.5.1 Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified

In the table below, provide the number of core academic classes for the grade levels listed, the number of those core academic classes taught by teachers who are highly qualified, and the number taught by teachers who are not highly qualified. The percentage of core academic classes taught by teachers who are highly qualified and the percentage taught by teachers who are not highly qualified will be calculated automatically. Below the table are FAQs about these data.

<b>Classes</b>	<b>Number of Core Academic Classes (Total)</b>	<b>Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified</b>	<b>Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified</b>	<b>Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are <u>NOT</u> Highly Qualified</b>	<b>Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are <u>NOT</u> Highly Qualified</b>
All classes			(Auto calculated)		(Auto calculated)
All elementary			(Auto calculated)		(Auto calculated)

Classes	Number of Core Academic Classes (Total)	Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified	Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified	Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are <u>NOT</u> Highly Qualified	Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are <u>NOT</u> Highly Qualified
classes					
All secondary classes			(Auto calculated)		(Auto calculated)

Do the data in Table 1.5.1 above include classes taught by special education teachers who provide direct instruction in core academic subjects?

Data table includes classes taught by special education teachers who provide direct instruction in core academic subjects.	Yes	No

If the answer above is no, please explain:

Does the State count elementary classes so that a full-day self-contained classroom equals one class, or does the State use a departmentalized approach where a classroom is counted multiple times, once for each subject taught?

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**FAQs about highly qualified teachers and core academic subjects:**

- a. *What are the core academic subjects?* English, reading/language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography [Title IX, Section 9101(11)]. While the statute includes the arts in the core academic subjects, it does not specify which of the arts are core academic subjects; therefore, States must make this determination.

- b. *How is a teacher defined?* An individual who provides instruction in the core academic areas to kindergarten, grades 1 through 12, or ungraded classes, or individuals who teach in an environment other than a classroom setting (and who maintain daily student attendance records) [from NCES, CCD, 2001-02].
- c. *How is a class defined?* A class is a setting in which organized instruction of core academic course content is provided to one or more students (including cross-age groupings) for a given period of time. (A course may be offered to more than one class.) Instruction, provided by one or more teachers or other staff members, may be delivered in person or via a different medium. Classes that share space should be considered as separate classes if they function as separate units for more than 50% of the time [from NCES Non-fiscal Data Handbook for Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education, 2003].
- d. *Should 6th-, 7th-, and 8th-grade classes be reported in the elementary or the secondary category?* States are responsible for determining whether the content taught at the middle school level meets the competency requirements for elementary or secondary instruction. Report classes in grade 6 through 8 consistent with how teachers have been classified to determine their highly qualified status, regardless of whether their schools are configured as elementary or middle schools.
- e. *How should States count teachers (including specialists or resource teachers) in elementary classes?* States that count self-contained classrooms as one class should, to avoid over-representation, also count subject-area specialists (e.g., mathematics or music teachers) or resource teachers as teaching one class. On the other hand, States using a departmentalized approach to instruction where a self-contained classroom is counted multiple times (once for each subject taught) should also count subject-area specialists or resource teachers as teaching multiple classes.
- f. *How should States count teachers in self-contained multiple-subject secondary classes?* Each core academic subject taught for which students are receiving credit toward graduation should be counted in the numerator and the denominator. For example, if the same teacher teaches English, calculus, history, and science in a self-contained classroom, count these as four classes in the denominator. If the teacher is Highly Qualified to teach English and history, he/she would be counted as Highly Qualified in two of the four subjects in the numerator.
- g. *What is the reporting period?* The reporting period is the school year. The count of classes must include all semesters, quarters, or terms of the school year. For example, if core academic classes are held in summer

sessions, those classes should be included in the count of core academic classes. A state determines into which school year classes fall.

## 1.5.2 Reasons Core Academic Classes Are Taught by Teachers Who Are Not Highly Qualified

In the tables below, estimate the percentages for each of the reasons why teachers who are not highly qualified teach core academic classes. For example, if 900 elementary classes were taught by teachers who are not highly qualified, what percentage of those 900 classes falls into each of the categories listed below? If the three reasons provided at each grade level are not sufficient to explain why core academic classes at a particular grade level are taught by teachers who are not highly qualified, use the row labeled “other” and explain the additional reasons. The total of the reasons is calculated automatically for each grade level and must equal 100% at the elementary level and 100% at the secondary level.

**Note:** Use the numbers of core academic classes taught by teachers who are not highly qualified from 1.5.1 for both elementary school classes (1.5.2.1) and for secondary school classes (1.5.2.2) as your starting point.

### 1.5.2.1 Elementary School Classes

Elementary School Classes	Percentage
Elementary school classes taught by certified general education teachers who did not pass a subject-knowledge test or (if eligible) have not demonstrated subject-matter competency through HOUSSE	
Elementary school classes taught by certified special education teachers who did not pass a subject-knowledge test or have not demonstrated subject-matter competency through HOUSSE	
Elementary school classes taught by teachers who are not fully certified (and are not in an approved alternative route program)	
Other (please explain in comment box below)	
Total	(Auto calculated)

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

### 1.5.2.2 Secondary School Classes

Secondary School Classes	Percentage
Secondary school classes taught by certified general education teachers who have not demonstrated subject-matter knowledge in those subjects (e.g., out-of-field teachers)	
Secondary school classes taught by certified special education teachers who have not demonstrated subject-matter competency in those subjects	
Secondary school classes taught by teachers who are not fully certified (and are not in an approved alternative route program)	
Other (please explain in comment box below)	
Total	(Auto calculated)

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

### 1.5.3 Poverty Quartiles and Metrics Used

In the table below, provide the number of core academic classes for each of the school types listed and the number of those core academic classes taught by teachers who are highly qualified. The percentage of core academic classes taught by teachers who are highly qualified will be calculated automatically. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are FAQs about these data.

**NOTE:** No source of classroom-level poverty data exists, so States may look at school-level data when figuring poverty quartiles. Because not all schools have traditional grade configurations, and because a school may not be counted as both an elementary and as a secondary school, States may include as elementary schools all schools that serve children in grades K through 5 (including K through 8 or K through 12 schools).

This means that *for the purpose of establishing poverty quartiles*, some classes in schools where both elementary and secondary classes are taught would be counted as classes in an elementary school rather than as classes in a secondary school in 1.5.3. This also means that such a 12th grade class would be in a different category in 1.5.3 than it would be in 1.5.1.

School type	Number of Core Academic Classes (Total)	Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified	Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Teachers Who Are Highly Qualified
Elementary Schools			
High-poverty elementary schools			(Auto calculated)
Low-poverty elementary schools			(Auto calculated)
Secondary Schools			
High-poverty secondary schools			(Auto calculated)
Low-poverty Secondary schools			(Auto calculated)

### 1.5.3.1 Poverty Quartile Breaks

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Elementary schools	More than _____%	Less than _____%
Poverty metric used		
Secondary schools	More than _____%	Less than _____%
Poverty metric used		

#### FAQs on poverty quartiles and metrics used to determine poverty

- a. *What is a “high-poverty school”?* Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) defines “high-poverty” schools as schools in the top quartile of poverty in the State.

- b. *What is a “low-poverty school”?* Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) defines “low-poverty” schools as schools in the bottom quartile of poverty in the State.
- c. *How are the poverty quartiles determined?* Separately rank order elementary and secondary schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.
- d. *Since the poverty data are collected at the school and not classroom level, how do we classify schools as either elementary or secondary for this purpose?* States may include as elementary schools all schools that serve children in grades K through 5 (including K through 8 or K through 12 schools) and would therefore include as secondary schools those that exclusively serve children in grades 6 and higher.

## 1.6 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

### 1.6.1 Language Instruction Educational Programs

In the table below, place a check next to each type of language instruction educational program implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3301(8), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1), 3123(b)(1), and 3123(b)(2).

**Table 1.6.1 Definitions:**

1. **Types of Programs** = Types of programs described in the subgrantee’s local plan (as submitted to the State or as implemented) that is closest to the descriptions in [http://www.ncela.gwu.edu/files/rcd/BE021775/Glossary\\_of\\_Terms.pdf](http://www.ncela.gwu.edu/files/rcd/BE021775/Glossary_of_Terms.pdf).
2. **Other Language** = Name of the language of instruction, other than English, used in the programs.

Check Types of Programs	Type of Program	Other Language
	Dual language	
	Two-way immersion	
	Transitional bilingual	
	Developmental bilingual	
	Heritage language	

Check Types of Programs	Type of Program	Other Language
	Sheltered English instruction	////////////////////////////////////
	Structured English immersion	////////////////////////////////////
	Specially designed academic instruction delivered in English (SDAIE)	//////////////////////////////////// //////////////////////////////////// ////////////////////////////////////
	Content-based ESL	////////////////////////////////////
	Pull-out ESL	////////////////////////////////////
	Other (explain in comment box below)	//////////////////////////////////// ////////////////////////////////////

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

## 1.6.2 Student Demographic Data

### 1.6.2.1 Number of ALL LEP Students in the State

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of ALL LEP students in the State who meet the LEP definition under Section 9101(25).

- Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled LEP students, whether or not they receive services in a Title III language instruction educational program
- Do not include Former LEP students (as defined in Section 200.20(f)(2) of the Title I regulation) and monitored Former LEP students (as defined under Section 3121(a)(4) of Title III) in the ALL LEP student count in this table.

Number of ALL LEP students in the State	
---	--

### 1.6.2.2 Number of LEP Students Who Received Title III Language Instruction Educational Program Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of LEP students in the State who received services in Title III language instructional education programs.

LEP Students Receiving Services	#
LEP students who received services in a Title III language	

<b>LEP Students Receiving Services</b>	<b>#</b>
instruction educational program in grades K through 12 for this reporting year.	

### 1.6.2.3 Most Commonly Spoken Languages in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all LEP students, not just LEP students who received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of students speaking each of the languages listed.

Language	# LEP Students

Report additional languages with significant numbers of LEP students in the comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

### 1.6.3 Student Performance Data

This section collects data on LEP students' English language proficiency, as required by Sections 1111(h)(4)(D) and 3121(a)(2).

#### 1.6.3.1.1 ALL LEP Students Tested on the State Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment

In the table below, please provide the number of ALL LEP students tested and not tested on annual State English language proficiency (ELP) assessment (as defined in 1.6.2.1).

All LEP Testing	#
Number tested on State annual ELP assessment	
Number not tested on State annual ELP assessment	
Total	(Auto-calculated)

### 1.6.3.1.2 ALL LEP Student English Language Proficiency Results

All LEP Results	#
Number attained proficiency on State annual ELP assessment	
Percent attained proficiency on State annual ELP assessment	(Auto-calculated)

### 1.6.3.2.1 Title III LEP Students Tested on the State Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of Title III LEP students tested and not tested on annual State English language proficiency assessment.

Title III LEP Testing	#
Number tested on State annual ELP assessment	
Number not tested on State annual ELP assessment	
Total	(Auto-calculated)

In the table below, provide the number of Title III students who took the State annual ELP assessment for the first time and whose progress cannot be determined and whose results were not included in the calculation for AMAO1. Report this number ONLY if the State did not include these students in establishing AMAO1/ making progress target and did not include them in the calculations for AMAO1/ making progress (# and % making progress).

Title III First Time Tested	#
Number of Title III students who took the State annual ELP assessment for the first time, whose progress cannot be determined and whose results were not included in the calculation for AMAO 1.	

### 1.6.3.2.2 Title III LEP English Language Proficiency Results

This section collects information on Title III LEP students' development of English and attainment of English proficiency.

#### **Table 1.6.3.2.2 Definitions:**

**1. Annual Measureable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs)** = State targets for the number and percent of students making progress and attaining proficiency.

**2. Making Progress** = Number and percent of Title III LEP students that met the definition of “Making Progress” as defined by the State and submitted to ED in the State Consolidated Application (CSA), or as amended.

**3. Attained Proficiency** = Number and percent of Title III LEP students that meet the State definition of “Attainment” of English language proficiency submitted to ED in the State Consolidated Application (CSA), or as amended.

**4. Results** = Number and percent of Title III LEP students that met the State definition of “Making Progress” and the number and percent that met the State definition of “Attainment” of English language proficiency.

In the table below, provide the State targets for the number and percent of students making progress and attaining English proficiency for this reporting period. Additionally, provide the results from the annual State English language proficiency assessment for Title III-served LEP students who participated in a Title III language instruction educational program in grades K through 12. If your State uses cohorts, provide us with the range of targets, (i.e., indicate the lowest target among the cohorts, e.g., 10% and the highest target among a cohort, e.g. 70%).

Title III Results	Results #	Results %	Targets #	Targets %
Making progress				
Attained proficiency				

**1.6.3.5 Native Language Assessments**

This section collects data on LEP students assessed in their native language (Section 1111(b)(6)) to be used for AYP determinations.

**1.6.3.5.1 LEP Students Assessed in Native Language**

In the table below, check “yes” if the specified assessment is used for AYP purposes.

<b>Native Language Testing</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students' native language(s).	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students' native language(s).	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
State offers the State science content tests in the students' native language(s).	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

### 1.6.3.5.2 Native Language of Mathematics Tests Given

In the table below, report the language(s) in which native language assessments are given for *ESEA* accountability determinations for mathematics.

<b>Language (s)</b>

### 1.6.3.5.3 Native Language of Reading/Language Arts Tests Given

In the table below, report the language(s) in which native language assessments are given for *ESEA* accountability determinations for reading/language arts.

<b>Language (s)</b>

### 1.6.3.5.4 Native Language of Science Tests Given

In the table below, report the language(s) in which native language assessments are given for *ESEA* accountability determinations for science.

<b>Language (s)</b>

### 1.6.3.6 Title III Served Monitored Former LEP (MFLEP) Students

This section collects data on the performance of former LEP students as required by Sections 3121(a)(4) and 3123(b)(8).

#### 1.6.3.6.1 Title III Served MFLEP Students by Year Monitored

In the table below, report the unduplicated count of monitored former LEP students during the two consecutive years of monitoring, which includes both MFLEP students in AYP grades and in non-AYP grades.

Monitored Former LEP (MFLEP) students include:

- Students who have transitioned out of a language instruction educational program.
- Students who are no longer receiving LEP services and who are being monitored for academic content achievement for 2 years after the transition.

**Table 1.6.3.6.1 Definitions:**

1. **# Year One** = Number of former LEP students in their first year of being monitored.
2. **# Year Two** = Number of former LEP students in their second year of being monitored.
3. **Total** = Number of monitored former LEP students in year one and year two. This is automatically calculated.

# Year One	# Year Two	Total
		(Auto calculated)

#### 1.6.3.6.2 MFLEP Students Results for Mathematics

In the table below, report the number of MFLEP students who took the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs and who no longer received services under Title III in this reporting year. These students include both students who are monitored former LEP students in their first year of monitoring, and those in their second year of monitoring.

**Table 1.6.3.6.2 Definitions:**

1. **# Tested** = State-aggregated number of MFLEP students who were tested in mathematics in all AYP grades.

2. **# At or Above Proficient** = State-aggregated number of MFLEP students who scored at or above proficient on the State annual mathematics assessment.
3. **% Results** = Automatically calculated based on number who scored at or above proficient divided by the number tested.
4. **# Below proficient** = State-aggregated number MFLEP students who did not score proficient on the State annual mathematics assessment. This will be automatically calculated.

# Tested	# At or Above Proficient	% Results	# Below Proficient
		(Auto calculated)	

### 1.6.3.6.3 MFLEP Students Results for Reading/Language Arts

In the table below, report results for MFLEP students who took the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs and who no longer received services under Title III in this reporting year. These students include both students who are monitored former LEP students in their first year of monitoring, and those in their second year of monitoring.

**Table 1.6.3.6.3 Definitions:**

1. **# Tested** = State-aggregated number of MFLEP students who were tested in reading/language arts in all AYP grades.
2. **# At or Above Proficient** = State-aggregated number of MFLEP students who scored at or above proficient on the State annual reading/language arts assessment.
3. **% Results** = Automatically calculated based on number who scored at or above proficient divided by the total number tested. This will be automatically calculated.
4. **# Below proficient** = State-aggregated number MFLEP students who did not score proficient on the State annual reading/language arts assessment.

# Tested	# At or Above Proficient	% Results	# Below Proficient
		(Auto calculated)	

### 1.6.3.6.4 MFLEP Students Results for Science



Title III Subgrantees	#
Number of subgrantees that met AMAO 3	
Number of subgrantees that did not meet any Title III AMAOs	
Number of subgrantees that did not meet Title III AMAOs for two consecutive years (SYs 2012-13 and 2013-14)	
Number of subgrantees implementing an improvement plan in SY 2013-14 for not meeting Title III AMAOs for two consecutive years	
Number of subgrantees that have not met Title III AMAOs for four consecutive years (SYs 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14)	

Provide information on how the State counted consortia members in the total number of subgrantees and in each of the numbers in table 1.6.4.1.

The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

### 1.6.4.2 State Accountability

In the table below, indicate whether the State met all three Title III AMAOs.

**Note:** Meeting all three Title III AMAOs means meeting each State-set target for each objective: Making Progress, Attaining Proficiency, and Making AYP for the LEP subgroup.

State Accountability	Yes	No
State met <u>all</u> three Title III AMAOs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

### 1.6.4.3 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs

**This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3123(b)(7).**

Termination of Title III Programs	Yes	No
Were any Title III language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, provide the number of language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities for immigrant children and youth terminated.		

## 1.6.5 Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students

This section collects data on education programs and activities for immigrant students.

**Note: All immigrant students are not LEP students.**

### 1.6.5.1 Immigrant Students

In the table below, report the unduplicated number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and who participated in qualifying educational programs under Section 3114(d)(1).

**Table 1.6.5.1 Definitions:**

- 1. Immigrant Students Enrolled** = Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3301(6) and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.
- 2. Students in 3114(d)(1) Program** = Number of immigrant students who participated in programs for immigrant children and youth funded under Section 3114(d)(1), using the funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities. This number should not include immigrant students who receive services only in Title III language instructional educational programs under Sections 3114(a) and 3115(a).
- 3. 3114(d)(1) Subgrants** = Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d)(1), with funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities. Do not include Title III Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) subgrants made under Sections 3114(a) and 3115(a) that serve immigrant students enrolled in them.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Students in 3114(d)(1) Program	# of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants

If State reports zero (0) students in programs or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

## 1.6.6 Teacher Information and Professional Development

This section collects data on teachers in Title III language instruction education programs as required under Section 3123(b)(5).

### 1.6.6.1 Teacher Information

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3123(b)(5).

In the table below, report the number of teachers who are working in the Title III language instruction educational programs as defined under Section 3301(8) and reported in 1.6.1 (Types of language instruction educational programs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

**Note:** Section 3301(8) – The term ‘Language instruction educational program’ means an instruction course – (A) in which a limited English proficient child is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency, while meeting challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards, as required by Section 1111(b)(1); and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child’s native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English as a second language.

Title III Teachers	#
Number of all certified/licensed teachers currently working in Title III language instruction educational programs.	
Estimate number of <b>additional</b> certified/licensed teachers that will be needed for Title III language instruction educational programs in the next 5 years*.	

Explain in the comment box below if there is a zero for any item in the table above.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

\*This number should be the total additional teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do not include the number of teachers currently working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

### 1.6.6.2 Professional Development Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of LEP Students

In the tables below, provide information about the subgrantee professional development activities that meets the requirements of Section 3115(c)(2).

**Table 1.6.6.2 Definitions:**

1. **Professional Development Topics:** Subgrantee professional development topics required under Title III.
2. **#Subgrantees** = Number of subgrantees who conducted each type of professional development activity. A subgrantee may conduct more than one professional development activity. (Use the same method of counting subgrantees, including consortia, as in 1.6.1 and 1.6.4.1.)
3. **Total Number of Participants** = Number of teachers, administrators and other personnel who participated in each type of the professional development activities reported.
4. **Total** = Number of all participants in professional development (PD) activities.

Professional Development (PD) Topics	# Subgrantees
Instructional strategies for LEP students	
Understanding and implementation of assessment of LEP students	
Understanding and implementation of ELP standards and academic content standards for LEP students	
Alignment of the curriculum in language instruction educational programs to ELP standards	
Subject matter knowledge for teachers	
Other (Explain in comment box)	

PD Participant Information	# Subgrantees	# Participants
PD provided to content classroom teachers		
PD provided to LEP classroom teachers		
PD provided to principals		
PD provided to administrators/other than principals		
PD provided to other school personnel/non-administrative		
PD provided to community-based organization personnel		
Total	////////////////////////////////////	(Auto calculated)

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

## 1.6.7 State Subgrant Activities

This section collects data on State grant activities.

### 1.6.7.1 State Subgrant Process

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from ED, normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

**Table 1.6.7.1 Definitions:**

1. **Date State Received Allocation** = Annual date the State receives the Title III allocation from U.S. Department of Education (ED).
2. **Date Funds Available to Subgrantees** = Annual date that Title III funds are available to approved subgrantees.
3. **# of Days/\$\$ Distribution** = Average number of days for States receiving Title III funds to make subgrants to subgrantees beginning from July 1 of each year, except under conditions where funds are being withheld.

Example: State received SY 2013-14 funds July 1, 2013, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2013, for SY 2013-14 programs. Then the “# of days/\$\$ Distribution” is 30 days.

Date State Received Allocation	Date Funds Available to Subgrantees	# of Days/\$\$ Distribution

### 1.6.7.2 Steps To Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

--

## 1.7 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B “Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools” in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at:

<http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf>.

<b>Persistently Dangerous Schools</b>	
Persistently Dangerous Schools	

## 1.9 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs without subgrants		
LEAs with subgrants		
Total	(Auto calculated)	(Auto calculated)

### 1.9.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

#### 1.9.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated:

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled in Public School in LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled in Public School in LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)		
K		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
Ungraded		
Total	(Auto calculated)	(Auto calculated)

### 1.9.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student’s nighttime residence when he/she was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

<b>Primary Nighttime Residence</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs <u>Without</u> Subgrants</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs <u>With</u> Subgrants</b>
Shelters, transitional housing, awaiting foster care		
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)		
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)		
Hotels/Motels		
Total	(Auto calculated)	(Auto calculated)

### 1.9.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Special Population	# Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs Without Subgrants	# of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs With Subgrants
Unaccompanied homeless youth		
Migratory children/youth		
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )		
Limited English Proficient (LEP) students		

### 1.9.2 LEAs with McKinney-Vento Subgrants

The following sections collect data on LEAs with McKinney-Vento subgrants.

#### 1.9.2.1 Homeless Children and Youth Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	# Homeless Children/Youth Served by Subgrants
Age Birth Through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
K	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Total	(Auto calculated)

### 1.9.2.2 Subgroups of Homeless Students Served

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students served during the regular school year.

Subgroup	# Homeless Students Served
Unaccompanied homeless youth	
Migratory children/youth	
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	
Limited English Proficient (LEP) students	

### 1.9.3 Academic Achievement of Homeless Students

The following questions collect data on the academic achievement of enrolled homeless children and youth.

#### 1.9.3.1 Reading Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of enrolled homeless children and youth who were tested on the State reading/language arts assessment and the number of those tested who scored at or above proficient. Provide data for grades 9 through 12 only for those grades tested for *ESEA*.

---

<sup>i</sup> SEC.9303. Consolidated Reporting – (a) In general: In order to simplify reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens, the Secretary shall establish procedures and criteria under which a State educational agency, in consultation with the Governor of the State, may submit a consolidated State annual report. (b) Contents: The report shall contain information about the programs included in the report, including the performance of the State under those programs, and other matters as the Secretary determines are necessary, such as monitoring activities. (c) Replacement: The report shall replace separate individual annual reports for the programs included in the consolidated State annual report.

<b>Tested Grade</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs Without Subgrants</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs Without Subgrants</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth - LEAs With Subgrants</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth s - LEAs With Subgrants</b>
<b>Grade</b>	<b># Homeless Children/Youth Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned</b>	<b># Homeless Children/Youth Scoring at or above Proficient</b>	<b># Homeless Children/Youth Who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned</b>	<b># Homeless Children/Youth Scoring at or above Proficient</b>
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
High School				

### **1.9.3.2 Mathematics Assessment**

This section is similar to 1.9.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State mathematics assessment.

### **1.9.3.3 Science Assessment**

This section is similar to 1.9.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on the State science assessment.