

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

FOR THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION FOR THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

“ELECTRONIC DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM”

Justification

1. Information Collection Necessity

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) uses an Electronic Data Collection System (the “Database”) for the receipt, collection and analysis of tips, complaints and referrals (“tips”). An individual wishing to provide the Commission with information regarding an alleged violation of the federal securities laws may elect to submit information through the Database.

The public interface to the Database is available using the agency’s [Tips, Complaints and Referrals Portal](#).

2. Information Collection Purpose and Use

The information in the Database provides the ability for the SEC to review and respond promptly and appropriately to information provided by the general public. In particular, the Database: (i) provides a simple, easy-to-use and convenient medium for individuals to provide tips to the Commission; (ii) increases the likelihood that individuals will provide essential, relevant information regarding their tips; (iii) allows the Commission to collect relevant information regarding tips more effectively and efficiently (compared to information provided in hard-copy); and (iv) allows the Commission to evaluate and analyze information regarding tips more effectively and efficiently.

The information collected primarily is used 1) to determine individuals and entities to investigate or examine for potential securities laws violations; 2) for analysis to identify trends in tips and complaints to highlight areas of risk; and 3) to identify patterns in behavior of securities laws violators to discover other potential violators. The Database is also used throughout the Commission as a source of information for other Commission functions including informing policy, helping on registration requests, and evaluation of required filings among others.

3. Consideration Given to Information Technology

The Database is an e-filed dynamic report based on current technology. Based on initial information provided by an individual submitting a tip (e.g., the nature of alleged violation or the characteristics of the persons or entities involved in the alleged violations), the Database pre-populates certain data fields and determines the appropriate additional questions to elicit relevant information regarding the tip. Pre-populating the answers to the questions reduces burden and alleviates the need to enter a response to every question. The information collection is voluntary.

4. Duplication

There is no other collection instrument available to collect the information necessary to meet the purposes described in item 2 above.

5. Reducing the Burden on Small Businesses

The burden of compliance with the information collection requirement does not impact small businesses or other small entities.

6. Consequences of Not Requiring Collection

Without this database, the SEC will not have timely information to review and respond promptly to information provided by the general public. The information specified in the complaint database is needed in order for the agency to determine whether the tips and related allegations (i) are credible; (ii) indicate a potential violation of the federal securities laws, and (iii) should be investigated further as well as for additional analyses consistent with the agency's overall mission and responsibilities.

7. Inconsistencies with Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.8(d)

There are no special circumstances. This collection is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.8(d).

8. Consultations Outside the Agency

We have published the required Federal Register Notice as required. The Commission did not receive any public comments.

9. Payment or Gift

There are no gifts or payments to respondents.

10. Confidentiality

The extent of confidentiality of information submitted to the Database by individuals may depend upon whether the individual elects to participate in the Commission's Whistleblower Program, established pursuant to provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Pub. L. No. 11-203, H.R. 4173).

a. Information submitted by individuals not participating in the Whistleblower Program.

Individuals not participating in the Whistleblower Program who submit information to the Commission may request that their identities be held in confidence. Absent compelling cause, the Commission ordinarily does not disclose the identities of these persons. The Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7)(D)), and the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5)) permit agencies to withhold the identity of a confidential source. However, there may be circumstances in which disclosure will nonetheless be legally required or will be essential for the

protection of the public interest. For example, in litigation a court may order disclosure, or the Commission may have to present a bounty claimant as a witness in order to assure the success of an enforcement action. Thus, while the Commission and its staff will give serious consideration to requests for confidentiality of identity, no guarantees of confidentiality are possible.

Section 21F(h)(2) also allows the Commission to share information received from whistleblowers with certain domestic and foreign regulatory and law enforcement agencies. However, the statute requires the domestic entities to maintain such information as confidential, and requires foreign entities to maintain such information in accordance with such assurances of confidentiality as the Commission deems appropriate.

11. Sensitive Questions

No questions will be asked that are of a personal or sensitive nature. The information collection does not collect any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The Systems of Records of Notice (SORN) may be found at the following link:

<http://www.sec.gov/about/privacy/sorn/secsorn63.pdf>. The Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) is provided as a supplementary document.

12. Annual Estimated Burden

The Commission anticipates that the burdens imposed by the Database will vary greatly depending on the complexity of the alleged violations that are the subject of the tip and the amount of information possessed by the individual submitting the tip. With that in mind, the Commission estimates the burden as follows:

Estimated number of annual responses = 13,120

Estimated annual reporting burden = 6,560 hours (30 minutes per submission)

13. Total Annualized Cost Burden

There are no costs associated with this information collection.

14. Cost to Federal Government

The estimated cost to the government that includes the system's operations and maintenance cost is \$2,000,000. This estimate is solely for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act. In addition, the internal staff cost is broken down by assigning professionals for 61% (\$255/Hour) of the time and office staff (\$69/hour) for the other 39% of the time, with the total cost \$1,196,938¹.

¹ The \$255 per hour and \$69 per hour estimates for SEC staff are CPI inflation adjustments from the 2009 estimates. The 2009 estimate for SEC office workers (\$60) was the midpoint of the Washington, DC annual salary for a Grade SK-5 employee, divided by the OMB standard of 2087 hours and multiplied by 2.93 to account for benefit and overhead costs. The 2009 estimate for SEC professionals (\$235) was the average of the midpoints of the Washington, DC annual salaries for SK-11 and SK-12 employees, divided by the OMB standard of 2087 hours and multiplied by 5.35 to account for benefit and overhead costs.

15. Changes in Burden

The decrease in annual burden of 5,940 hours is due to the actual number of submissions that were received within the past three years. The previous estimate was used when the Database was still in its development phase.

16. Information Collections Planned for Statistical Purposes

Not applicable. The information collected is not used for tabulation, statistical analysis or publication.

17. Approval to Omit OMB Expiration Date

We request authorization to omit the expiration date on the electronic version of the database. Including the expiration date will result in increased costs, because the need to make changes may not follow the application's scheduled version release dates. The OMB control number will be displayed.

18. Exceptions to Certification

This collection complies with the requirements in 5 CFR 1320.9.