ORNL BACKGROUND INFORMATION Host Name: Plant Name:_____ **Training Type (Select One):** Steam, Process Heating, Compressed Air, Fans, Pumping Systems, Other Total Number of Energy Saving Opportunities:_____ Original Training Date:_____ Current Follow-Up Date:_____ **Current Follow-Up Period:** _____ 12 months _____ other **QUESTIONS ON ENERGY SAVINGS OPPORTUNITIES** (the energy savings opportunities discussed below correspond with the opportunities identified in the training. Please refer to the instructor's assessment report for information on each energy saving opportunity.) Energy Saving Opportunity #_____ Text Description _____ 1.0 What is the current status of this opportunity? (Select one from list below) 1 = Implementation Complete (*qo to 2.0*) 2 = Implementation In-Progress (go to 2.0) 3 = Scheduled or Planned for Implementation (*qo to 4.0*) 4 = Rejected (if selected go to 1.1) 5 = Decommissioned (if selected go to 1.1) 1.1 What is the reason for << rejection or decommissioning >> of the measure? (Select one from list below; Go to next Energy Saving Opportunity #) 1 = Unsuitable return on investment 2 = Too expensive initially 3 = Cash flow prevents implementation 4 = Unacceptable operating changes

In-Plant Training (INPLT) Host Follow Up Questions: Implementation Data

Revised 8/26/2013

	5 = In	npractical		
		6 = Process and/or equipment changes 7 = Facility change		
		ersonnel changes		
		9 = Production schedule changes		
	 10 = Material restrictions 11 = Bureaucratic restrictions 12 = Lack of staff for analysis and/or implementation 13 = Not worthwhile 14 = Disagree 			
		Risk or inconvenience to personnel		
		16 = Suspected risk or problem with equipment or product		
	17 = Rejected after implementation failed			
	18 = Unknown			
	19 = Could not contact plant			
	20 = Plant Closure			
	21 = 0	21 = Other (please indicate)		
<u>2.0</u>	The original estimate of capital expense needed to implement this project was			
	<estimated capital="" expense="" range="">>. What is your best estimate of the total investment</estimated>			
	required to implement the energy savings opportunity? You may provide a range, if			
	necessary. (Provide Low Cost \$ and/or High Cost \$ estimate(s); go to 3.0)			
	The contract of the cost of and or ingh cost of confidences, go to one,			
<u>3.0</u>	The original estimate of energy cost savings for this opportunity was <<\$ per year>>. In your opinion, will you achieve less, the same, more or none of these annual energy cost savings? (Select one: less, same, more or none; if less or more go to 3.1, if same or none			
			go to 4.0; "none" may consider providing comments in 6.0)	
				2.4
		<u>3.1</u>	If less or more, what is your estimate of the difference, in percent? (+/- %;	
		go to 4.0)		
<u>4.0</u>	Was this energy saving opportunity implemented elsewhere within your plant? (Y or N; if			
	Y go to 4.1, if N go to 5.0)			
	4.1	If yes, please estimate additional cost savings from in-plant replication as		
		a multiplier of the original savings (<i>Indicate multiplier of 0.1-100</i> ; <i>go to</i>		
		5.0)		
<u>5.0</u>	Was this energy saving opportunity implemented at other plants within your			
	organization?			
	<u>5.1</u>	If yes, please identify the number of replicating plants that were:		
		INPLT Participating Plants, Internationally Located Plants, Others		
	<u>5.2</u>	Please estimate additional cost savings from these plants as a multiplier of		
		the original cost savings (<i>Indicate multiplier of 0.1-100</i> ; <i>Go to 1.6</i>)		
		INPLT Participating Plants, Internationally Located Plants, Others		

6.0 Additional Comments on Energy Savings Opportunity (*Provide comment or go to next opportunity #*)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Records Management Division, IM-23, Paperwork Reduction Project (1910-5141), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave SW, Washington, DC, 20585-1290; and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (1910-5141), Washington, DC 20503.