OMB Number: 0584-

Expiration

Date:

Special Nutrition Program Operations Study

SN-OPS

State Child Nutrition Director

Survey SY 2013-14

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0562. The time required to compete this information collection is estimated to average 2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the information collection.



This survey is being conducted for the Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture as part of a study of the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), School Breakfast Program (SBP), and other USDA food programs throughout the country. All responses will be kept private; no names will be used in our reports, and only aggregated results will be reported.

Section 305 of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 States that "States, State educational agencies, local educational agencies, schools, institutions, facilities, and contractors participating in programs authorized under this Act and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.) shall cooperate with officials and contractors acting on behalf of the Secretary, in the conduct of evaluations and studies under those Acts."

Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service Office of Policy Support Alexandria, VA 22302 Attn: Dr. Allison Magness

We thank you for your cooperation and participation in this very important study.

INSTRUCTIONS Please answer all questions. Unless you see the words CHECK ALL THAT APPLY after a question, please check only one answer for each question. If you have any questions about the study or about completing this survey, please email CNSurveyHelp@2mresearchservices.com or call 1-866-465-7738 (toll-free). Date: |__|_/|__|/|__| Month Day Year **Contact information for the Child Nutrition Director:** Name: City, State, Zip Code: Phone Number: |__|_|-|_|-|_||-|_|| Area Code Number Extension Email Address: Name and address of person filling out this survey (if other than the Child Nutrition Director): Name:

Address:	
City, State, Zip Code:	
Phone Number: _ - - -	
Email Address:	

3

SECTION A	ADMINISTR.	ATIVE REVIEW	PROCESS
JEGINA.			FRUGESS

This first section is about the Administrative Review process, including program reviews, fiscal action, and certification and benefit issuance. Please answer questions in this section for what has been conducted as of June 1, 2014 and what is planned for the rest of 2013-2014 school year.

A1. During the 2013-2014 school year, State Agencies have the option of either adopting the new Administrative Review process in its entirety, or continuing with the Coordinated Review Effort (CRE) process plus a weighted nutrient analysis.

Did your State Agency adopt the new Administrative Review Process for the 2013-2014 school year?

₁ □ Yes	
$_2 \square N_0 \rightarrow$	SKIP TO QUESTION B

A2. For the 2013-2014 school year, how many targeted menu reviews using Option 1 (complete Dietary Specifications Assessment Tool) did your State conduct as of June 1, and approximately how many are planned for the rest of the school year? How many nutrient analyses were performed by State Agency staff, and how many are planned for the rest of the school year?

	OPTION 1 TOTAL	NUTRIENT ANALYSES BY STATE AGENCY STAFF
Number of Option 1 targeted menu reviews conducted as of June 1, 2014	,	
b. Number of Option 1 targeted menu reviews planned for the rest of the school year		

A2a. Of the nutrient analyses conducted by State Agency staff as of June 1, 2014, how many reviews showed noncompliance?

,	REVIEWS
---	---------

A3. For the 2013-2014 school year, how many targeted menu reviews using Option 2 (validate existing nutrient analysis performed by school food authority or contractor) did your State conduct as of June 1, and approximately how many are planned for the rest of the school year? How many nutrient analyses were successfully validated by State Agency staff (that is, verified as correct)?

	OPTION 2 TOTAL	SUCCESSFULLY VALIDATED
a. Number of Option 2 targeted menu reviews conducted as of June 1, 2014		,
b. Number of Option 2 targeted menu reviews planned for the rest of the school year		

4

A 4.	•	For the 2013-2014 school year, how many targeted menu reviews using Option 3 (State Age conducts nutrient analysis) did your State conduct as of June 1, and approximately how many planned for the rest of the school year? How many nutrient analyses were performed by State Age staff, and how many are planned for the rest of the school year?		
			OPTION 3 TOTAL	NUTRIENT ANALYSES BY STATE AGENCY STAFF
	a.	Number of Option 3 targeted menu reviews conducted as of June 1, 2014		
	b.	Number of Option 3 targeted menu reviews planned for the rest of the school year	,	,
A5.		The Resource Management Comprehensive Reare maintaining and using nonprofit school requirements and ensuring that related costs at For the 2013-2014 school year, how many Scomprehensive Review as of June 1, and appress of the school year? How many Resource due to failure to complete the Resource Managon-site portion of the administrative review? Indicator Tool may be due to either the SFA or the	food service accounts in re necessary, reasonable, a SFAs in your State received from the food of the failure to complete the	n accordance with regulatory and allowable. Yed a Resource Management planned to receive one for the sive Reviews were conducted at least four weeks prior to the
			RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW TOTAL	CONDUCTED DUE TO FAILURE TO COMPLETE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT RISK INDICATOR TOOL
	a.	Number of SFAs receiving a Resource Management Comprehensive Review as of June 1, 2014	,	,
	b.	Number of SFAs planned to receive a Resource Management Comprehensive Review for the rest of the school year	,	

The next question is about Special Provision Options (SPOs). SPOs include:

- Provision 1—Reducing certification to once every two years
- Provision 2—Reducing certification to once every four years and claiming based on derived percentages
- Provision 3—Reducing certification to once every four years and claiming based on prior funding levels
- Community Eligibility Provision—Eliminating household applications in high poverty local educational agencies and schools and claiming based on derived percentages
- A6. An abbreviated SPO review is conducted if the school selection procedures do not result in the review of an SPO in a non-base year.

For the 2013-2014 school year, how many abbreviated Special Provision Option (SPO) reviews were conducted as of June 1, and approximately how many are planned for the rest of the school year?

	SPO REVIEW TOTAL
a. Number of SPO reviews conducted as of June 1, 2014	· ,
b. Number of SPO reviews planned for the rest of the year	.

A7. If a school selected for an Administrative Review operates the NSLP Afterschool Snack Program (ASP), a review of the program should be conducted. This review is intended to ensure that participating schools serve students nutritionally-balanced snacks, provide appropriate activities, and count and claim snacks accurately.

For the 2013-2014 school year, how many...

	TOTAL NUMBER
a. Schools selected for an Administrative review operate the ASP?	
b. On-site reviews for the ASP were conducted as of June 1, 2014?	,
c. On-site reviews for the ASP are planned for the rest of the year?	

The next few questions are about fiscal action (FA). FA is the recovery of overpayment through direct assessment or offset of future claims, disallowance of overclaims as reflected in unpaid Claims for Reimbursement, submission of a revised Claim for Reimbursement, and correction of records to ensure that unfiled Claims for Reimbursement are corrected when filed (7 CFR 210.19(c)).

As. As of June 1, 2014, what was the total dollar amount of the fiscal action your State Agency assessed in the 2013-2014 school year for the NSLP and the SBP? *Include only fiscal action above the States' disregard for reviews that have been completed (or closed).*

	FISCAL ACTION TOTAL
a. Total dollar amount assessed for the NSI	_P\$ _ , _ .00
b. Total dollar amount assessed for the SBF	P\$ _ , _ _ .00

6

A9.	A State Agency may disregard an overclaim if the overclaim does not exceed \$600 per program. Some States may have a disregard of overclaim that is less than \$600.		
	Does your State have a disregard of overclaim	that is less than \$600?	
	· 1 🗆 Yes		
	2 ☐ No → SKIP TO QUESTION A10		
♥ A9a.	What is the amount of the disregard of overclai	m in your State?	
	\$ _ .00 DOLLARS		
A10.	As of June 1, 2014, how many reviews in the disregard of overclaim?	2013-2014 school year tha	at had fiscal action used the
	, REVIEWS		
A11.	Out of the completed (or closed) reviews as findings from the new Administrative Review p		
	· ı 🗌 Yes		
	$_2$ \square No \longrightarrow SKIP TO QUESTION A12		
↓ A11a.	How many SFAs have appealed findings from the new Administrative Review process as of June 1? How many of the SFAs that appealed findings from the new Administrative Review process had findings resulting in fiscal action?		
		APPEALED FINDINGS	FINDINGS RESULTING IN FISCAL ACTION
a.	Number of SFAs	 	
A12.	The Meal Access and Reimbursement (Performensure that all free, reduced price, and paid children eligible for free, reduced price, and consolidated and reported through a system the	meals claimed for reimbut paid meals, respectively, at consistently yields corre	ursement are served only to and are counted, recorded, ct claims.
	Compared to school year 2012-2013 (or 2011-2012 if your State opted to postpone reviews in 2012-2013), has the number of your State's Meal Access and Reimbursement (Performance Standard 1) findings increased or decreased using the new Administrative Review process?		
	$_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ \square Increased		
	₂ Decreased		
	₃ ☐ No change		
A13.	Part of the Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality (Performance Standard 2) portion of the review is designed to establish that meals claimed for reimbursement meet dietary specifications requirements for calories, saturated fat, and trans fat. Reimbursable breakfasts and lunches claimed for reimbursement must meet the requirements as applicable to the age/grade group being reviewed within all reimbursable meal service lines.		
	In your view, does the risk-based approach for evaluating the dietary specifications improve the new Administrative Review process compared to the previous process?		
	ı □ Yes		
	₂ \square No		

7

A1	4.	I. The Resource Management portion of the review is a systematic approach to ensuring the overall financial health of an SFA's nonprofit school food service. It consists of a review of the areas integral to the financial health of the SFA's school food service.			
		In your view, do the resource management corReview process compared to the previous proc $_{\rm 1} \square {\rm Yes}$		nprove the new Administrative	
		2 No			
A1 !	5.	In your view, why does the risk-based approamanagement components of the review improve			
A1	6.	When selecting students' certification and bene can elect to review either all free and reduced			
		and reduced-price students for all schools in chosen for a confidence level of 95 or 99 percer		id sample of students can be	
		For the 2013-2014 school year, how many SF Approximately how many are planned for the re		were conducted as of June 1?	
			CONDUCTED AS OF JUNE 1, 2014	PLANNED FOR REST OF SCHOOL YEAR	
	a.	All free and reduced-price students			
	b.	Sampling method at the 95% confidence level	,		
	c.	Sampling method at the 99% confidence level	,	,	

8

a. Time consuming to complete a review?	a. Time consuming to complete a review?				SELECT ON	E RESPONS	SE PER ROW	
b. Time consuming to complete the review of the meal pattern and nutritional quality of menus? c. Accurate for findings in the following review areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?	b. Time consuming to complete the review of the meal pattern and nutritional quality of menus? c. Accurate for findings in the following review areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?							
meal pattern and nutritional quality of menus? c. Accurate for findings in the following review areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?	meal pattern and nutritional quality of menus? c. Accurate for findings in the following review areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?	a.	Time consuming to complete a review?	₀□	1	2	з□	4
areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?	areas: 1. Meal Access and Reimbursement (Critical Area – Performance Standard 1)?	b.		0□	1	2	3 🗆	4 🗆
Area – Performance Standard 1)?	Area – Performance Standard 1)?	C.	<u> </u>					
Area – Performance Standard 2)?	Area – Performance Standard 2)?			₀□	1	2	3 🗌	4 🗌
4. General Program Compliance (General Areas)?	4. General Program Compliance (General Areas)?				1	2	3 🗌	4
Areas)?	Areas)?		3. Resource Management (General Areas)?	₀□	1	2	з□	4 🗆
and General Areas)? $0 \square 1 \square 2 \square 3 \square 4 \square$ 8. What is the <u>most important</u> recommendation that would help USDA improve the new Administ	and General Areas)? $0 \square 1 \square 2 \square 3 \square 4 \square$ 8. What is the <u>most important</u> recommendation that would help USDA improve the new Administ			0 🗆	1	2	3	4
3. What is the <u>most important</u> recommendation that would help USDA improve the new Administ	3. What is the <u>most important</u> recommendation that would help USDA improve the new Administ				.□	۰	۰□	.□

SECTION B.	RESOURCES AND FINANCES	

The following questions are about resources and finances, including funding that your State provides to SFAs, Federal funding used for State administrative purposes, and State staffing.

B1. Does your State provide a subsidy for breakfasts or lunches to SFAs? If yes, how is the subsidy provided, and what was the total amount of subsidies given to all SFAs in your State during school year 2012-2013?

	B1a. DOES YOUR	MARK ONE PER MEAL	
MEAL	STATE PROVIDE A SUBSIDY?	B1b. IF YES, HOW IS THE SUBSIDY PROVIDED?	B1c. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THESE SUBSIDIES GIVEN TO ALL SFAS DURING 2012-2013?
a. Breakfast	ı □ Yes ₂ □ No	Per-meal reimbursement Annual lump sum Supplement to cover specific costs Based on a percentage of low- income students Other (specify):	\$, .00
b. Lunch	ı □ Yes ₂ □ No	Per-meal reimbursement Annual lump sum Supplement to cover specific costs Based on a percentage of low- income students Other (specify):	\$, _ .00

2.	operations at the SFA level?		
		YES	NO
а	Reimbursable meal preparation (including food purchase and labor)	1 🗆	2 🔲
b	Non-reimbursable meal preparation	1 🔲	2
С	Equipment	1 🔲	2 🔲
d	Preparing claims	1 🔲	2
е	Storage	1 🗆	2
f.	Contracted services	1 🔲	2 🗌
g	Overhead/indirect costs	1 🔲	2 🗌
h	Other (specify)	1 🗆	2 🗌
3.	How many full-time equivalent (FTE) State Agency staff are resp	onsible for cond	lucting monitoring
•	school meal operations?		idoting monitoring
	NUMBER OF FTE STATE STAFF		
1.	NUMBER OF FTE STATE STAFF How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation	s?	
1.		s?	
1.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate	s?	
1.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate	s?	
1. 5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate		nse (SAE) funds?
	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate		nse (SAE) funds?
	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm		nse (SAE) funds?
	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm Yes	inistrative Expen	
ō.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm Yes No	inistrative Expen	
ō.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm Yes No Did your State use any of the SAE funds to improve the operation	inistrative Expen	
ō.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm Yes No Did your State use any of the SAE funds to improve the operation Yes Yes Yes	inistrative Expen	Foods program?
5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation 1	inistrative Expen	Foods program?
5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate	inistrative Expen	Foods program?
5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation 1	inistrative Expen	Foods program?
5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate	inistrative Expen on of the USDA F A Foods progran	Foods program?
5.	How adequate is this staffing for monitoring program operation Adequate Somewhat adequate Not adequate Has your State been able to fully use your 2012-2013 State Adm Yes No Did your State use any of the SAE funds to improve the operation Yes No SKIP TO QUESTION B7 How were SAE funds used to improve the operation of the USD MARK ALL THAT APPLY USDA Foods storage USDA Foods distribution	inistrative Expen	Foods program?

В7	•	Did your State request SAE funding reallocation for the past	school year (that is,	2012-2013)?
		」 ☐ Yes → SKIP TO QUESTION B8		
	_	- 2 No		
В7	↓	What was the primary reason your State did not request fund	ling roollooption?	
D/	d.	What was the <u>primary</u> reason your State did not request func MARK ONE ONLY	ing reallocation?	
		Did not have eligible projects or activities to fund		
		2 Would have been unable to expend reallocated funds in t	the specified time limit	
		Requesting reallocated funds would exceed the 20 perce	nt carryover limitation	
		$_4$ \square Alternate funding sources were available		
		5 Reallocation request process was too burdensome		
		Reallocation reporting process was too burdensome		
		7 U Other (specify):		
В8	•	Were any of the following challenges to your State's ability to	o fully use all Federa	l funds?
			YES	NO
	a.	Union agreements	1 🗆	2 🗆
	b.	State policy	1 🗆	2 🗆
	C.	State legislation	1 🗆	2 🗆
	d.	Governor's mandates	1 🔲	2 🗆
	e.	Other (specify)	1 🗌	2 🔲

YES	В9.	•	Did any of the following actions affect your State's abilit	ty to fully use	Federal fun	ds?
b. Work furloughs				Y	/ES	NO
c. Travel restrictions		a.	Hiring freezes	1	. 🗆	2 🗌
d. Work shutdowns		b.	Work furloughs	1		2 🔲
e. Other (specify)		c.	Travel restrictions			2 🔲
B10. Is your State currently using contracted staff for any of the following functions? YES NO DON'T		d.	Work shutdowns	1		2 🔲
A. Monitoring		e.	Other (specify)	1	. 🗆	2 🗌
YES NO KNOW a. Monitoring	B1 (0.	Is your State currently using contracted staff for any of	the following	functions?	
a. Monitoring				YES	NO	
b. Technical assistance		a.	Monitoring			
c. Claims processing						
d. Nutrition education 1 2 D						
				<u></u>		
		С.	Other (specify)	1 📙	2 📙	D L

		SECTION	S. OPERATIO	NAL PROCED	UKES	
The	fo	llowing questions are about operation	onal procedures	for the 2013-2014	school year.	
C1.		How many <u>SFAs</u> have schools that School Breakfast Program (SBP) u				(NSLP) and/or
	SF	As	PROVISION 1	PROVISION 2	PROVISION 3	COMMUNITY ELIGIBILITY PROVISION
	a.	Number of SFAs with schools operating NSLP only		 <u> </u> , _ _		
		Number of SFAs with schools operating SBP only			,	
	C.	Number of SFAs with schools operating both NSLP and SBP		,		
C2.		How many <u>schools</u> in the State are provisions?	operating the NS	SLP and/or SBP (under each of the	following
	SC	HOOLS	PROVISION 1	PROVISION 2	PROVISION 3	COMMUNITY ELIGIBILITY PROVISION
	a.	Number of schools operating <u>NSLP</u> only				
	b.	Number of schools operating <u>SBP</u> only		,	,	
	C.	Number of schools operating both NSLP and SBP		,		
C3.		Charter schools include those inde schools?	pendent or part	of an SFA. Does	your State have a	ny charter
Г		· 1 ☐ Yes	104			
↓ C3a		2 □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION How many charter schools are curr		n vour State?		
		, NUMBER OF CHAR		•		
C3b		How many of these charter schools	are participatin	g in the NSLP an	d SBP programs?	•
	СН	ARTER SCHOOLS			NUMBER OF	SCHOOLS
	a.	Participating in <u>NSLP</u> only				
	b.	Participating in <u>SBP</u> only				_ _
	c.	Participating in both NSLP and SBP				

14

C3	C.	For purposes of school food operations, how many of these	charter schools are	considered to be
		separate SFAs or part of a larger SFA?		
	СН	IARTER SCHOOLS	NUMBI	ER OF SCHOOLS
	a.	A separate SFA		,
	b.	Part of a larger SFA		,
The	e ne	ext few questions are about the USDA Foods program and hov	v it operates in your	State.
C4		Does the State allow SFAs to order from the full list of USDA	Foods?	
		1 ☐ Yes → SKIP TO QUESTION C5		
_		- ₂ No		
↓ C4	a.	How does the State obtain feedback from SFAs regarding wh	nich USDA Foods to	offer?
			YES	NO
	a.	Survey all SFA directors	1 🗆	2 🗆
	b.	Utilize advisory council consisting of SFA directors	1 <u></u>	2 🗆
	C.	Obtain feedback from SFA directors at annual State distribution meetings	ı 🗆	2 🗆
	d.	Other (specify)	1 🗆	2 🗌
C5	•	How do SFAs submit their requests for specific quantities of	USDA Foods?	
			YES	NO
	a.	Web based supply chain management system food requisition (WBSCM)	1 🔲	2
	b.	SDA food ordering system	1 🗆	2 🗆
	C.	SDA ordering that allocates products to SFAs	1 🗆	2 🗌
	d.	Other (specify)	1 🗆	2
C6		How often can SFAs order USDA Foods?	<u> </u>	
		1 Once a year		
		2 Twice a year		
		$_3$ \square More than twice a year		

C 7		How does the State reallocate unused entitlement	funds at th	ne end of the schoo	l year?
		Reallocate to all SFAs based on percentage o	of total mea	ls	
		Reallocate to SFAs by request			
		No reallocation or carry forward into the next s Other (<i>specify</i>):	•		
		4 Utner (<i>specify</i>):			
		llowing questions are about food service management practices.	ent compa	nies (FSMCs), coop	perative agreements,
C8	•	In your State, how many SFAs and schools are usi	ing each o	f the following kind	s of FSMCs?
				SFAs	SCHOOLS
	a.	Total number using national companies		,	,
		1. Aramark		,	,
		2. Chartwells		,	,
		3. Preferred Meal Systems		,	,
		4. Sodexo		,	
		5. Other national companies		,	
	b.	Number using regional companies (i.e., within multi-sta	ate area)	,	,
	c.	Number using local companies		,	
	d.	Total number using FSMCs			,
С9	•	Prior to their execution, does your State review SF purchasing organization (GPO) contracts, or FSMC			reements, group
		$_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ Yes, all are reviewed $ ightharpoonup$ SKIP TO QUESTIC	ON C10		
ſ		$-$ 2 \square Yes, some but not all are reviewed			
ļ		$_{3}$ \square No, none are reviewed \Longrightarrow SKIP TO QUEST	ION C10		
C9	a.	Under which circumstances does your State review contracts, or FSMC contracts prior to their execution		perative purchasin	g agreements, GPO
			MA	RK ALL THAT APPLY	PER COLUMN
			COOPERA AGREEN	TIVE PURCHASING MENTS AND GPO ONTRACTS	FSMC CONTRACTS
	а	Dollar value of contract		1 D	
		Length of contract term		1 □	2 📙
		New vendor		1 □	2 📙
		Potential co-op size		1 Ll	2 🔲
		SFA history		1 🗆	2 🔲
	f.	Other (specify)		1 🗆	2 🗆

C10.	Does your State have a prototype procurement document or model cocoperative purchasing, GPOs, or FSMC contracts?	ontract that SFAs	may use for
	$_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ Yes, and use is required under all circumstances $ ightharpoonup$ SKIP TO QU	JESTION D1	
_	- 2 Yes, and use is required under some circumstances		
	₃ ☐ Yes, but use is not required → SKIP TO QUESTION D1		
	。 ☐ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D1		
↓ C10a.		rocurement docu	ıment or model
	contract?	MARK ALL T	HAT ADDI V
	ı	PER CO	
		COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENTS AND GPO CONTRACTS	FSMC CONTRACTS
	Della visibile of contract		
	Dollar value of contract	1 📗	2 📙
	Length of contract term		2 📙
	New vendor	т Ш	2
	Potential co-op size		
e.	SFA history	1 🗆	2
-			_
f.	Other (specify)	1 📙	2 📙

SECTION D	STATE DATA	CVCTEMC
SECTION D.	SIAIEDAIA	O LO LEIVIO

		SECTION D. STATE DATA SYSTEMS		
		llowing questions are about the use of computer-based reporting systems nt analysis of menus.	and software	used to conduct
D1		Does your State have a standardized, computer-based reporting system to SFAs to submit claims data and/or other reporting information on the school State?		
		$_{1}$ Yes, all SFAs use the system		
		$_2$ \square Yes, some but not all SFAs use the system		
		$_{3}$ \square No \longrightarrow SKIP TO QUESTION D12		
D2		Is the standardized, computer-based system linked to any of the following	g Child Nutritio	on Programs?
			YES	NO
	a.	Afterschool Snack Program	1 🗆	2 🔲
	b.	Child and Adult Care Food Program	1 🗆	2 🗆
	C.	Food Distribution	1 🗆	2 🔲
	d.	Seamless Summer Option	ı 🗆	2 🗆
	e.	Special Milk Program	1 🗆	2 🔲
	f.	Summer Food Service Program	1 🗆	2 🔲
	g.	Other (specify)	1 🗆	2 🗆
			-	

	What functions are provided by the standardized, computer-based system		
		YES	NO
a	Administrative Reviews	1 🗆	2
	Applications processing	1 🗆	2 🔲
C.	Certification processing	1 🗌	2 🗌
d.	Direct certification matching or reporting	1 🗆	2
e.	Financial services	1	2 🔲
f.	Food safety records or training	1 🗆	2
g.	Generating USDA reports	1 🔲	2 🔲
h.	Managing FSMCs or cooperative purchasing agreements	1 🗌	2
i.	Meal counting	1 🔲	2 🔲
j.	Meal claiming	1 🔲	2
k.	Menu planning	1 🔲	2
I.	Program renewal	1 🔲	2
m	. Running monitoring reports or queries	1 🔲	2 🔲
n.	Verification activities	1 🔲	2 🔲
0.	Wellness policy reporting	1 🗆	2 🔲
p.	Other (specify)	1 🗌	2
p.	Other (specify)	1 🗆	2
		1 🗆	2 🗆
	Other (specify) What year was your data system first implemented?	1 🗆	2 🗆
		1 🗆	2
) 4 .	What year was your data system first implemented?		
04.	What year was your data system first implemented?		
)4.)5.	What year was your data system first implemented?	ıter-based s	ystem?
)4.)5.	What year was your data system first implemented? YEAR What type of site-level information is contained in the standardized, compa	i ter-based s YES	ystem?
D4. D5.	What year was your data system first implemented? YEAR What type of site-level information is contained in the standardized, compact of the contained in the standardized in the contained in the standardized in the contained in the	yes	ystem? NO 2 2
04. 05. a. b.	What year was your data system first implemented? YEAR What type of site-level information is contained in the standardized, compact of the compact of the standardized in th	ıter-based s YES	ystem? NO

) 6.	How is this system linked to SFAs, schools, or other sites?		MARK ALL THAT APPLY PER COLUMN		
		SFAS	SCHOOL S	OTHER SITES	
a.	Online (automatic uploading or sharing of files)	. 1 🗆	2 🔲	з 🗌	
b.	Web-based site (data uploaded through a web interface or FTP site)	. 1	2 🔲	з 🔲	
C.	Data sent through encrypted email	. 1	2 🔲	з 🔲	
d.	Data sent through unencrypted email	. 1	2 🔲	з 🔲	
e.	Manual re-keying of data into system	. 1	2 🔲	з 🔲	
f.	Other (specify)	. 1	2 🗌	3 🗌	
) 7.	Who <u>developed</u> your State's standardized, computer-based reporting system? Who currently <u>manages</u> the system?				
		MARK ALL THAT APPLY PER COLUMN		Y PER	
		DEVELOPEI SYSTEM) MA	NAGES /STEM	
a.	Vendor or contractor	1 🗆	'	2 🗌	
b.	State child nutrition information technology (IT) staff	ı 🔲		2 🗌	
c.	State IT staff from agencies other than child nutrition	ı 🔲		2 🗌	
d.	Other, non-IT State staff	1 🔲		2 🗌	
e.	Other (specify)	1 🗆		2 🗌	
f a ve 08. 07a.	ndor or contractor <u>developed</u> the system, please continue to question what is the name of the vendor or contractor company that developed companies if more than one was involved.				

			MARK ONE RESPONSE PER COLUMN	
		DEVELOP SYSTEM	MAINTAIN SYSTEM	
a.	Federal grant	. 1	2 🗌	
b.	Other grant	. 1 🗆	2 🔲	
C.	State Administrative Expense funds	. 1 🗆	2	
d.	State Child Nutrition operating funds	. 1	2	
e.	Other State funds	· 1 🗆	2	
f.	Other (specify)	· 1 🗆	2	
g	No funds required	. 1	2 🔲	
9.	How satisfied are you with your State's standardized computer-based	I reporting syste	m?	
	□ Very satisfied			
	Cationia			
	2 La Satisfied			
	2 Satisfied 3 Dissatisfied			
10.	3 Dissatisfied		ired data on	
10.	 Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you 		ired data on	
10.	Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable your school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (ired data on	
,	 □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
•	 □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
•	 □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR 	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
,	 □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR □ Very satisfied 	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
,	 □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR □ Very satisfied □ Satisfied 	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
, 10a.	□ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR □ Very satisfied □ Satisfied □ Dissatisfied	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
, 10a.	□ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (□ Yes □ No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR □ Very satisfied □ Satisfied □ Dissatisfied □ Very dissatisfied	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
10a.	Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable your school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (1	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
10. , 10a.	Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable your school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (Yes No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR Very satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied Very dissatisfied How are the data for FPRS reports generated? MARK ALL THAT APPLY	(FPRS)?	ired data on	
, 10a.	Joissatisfied Does your standardized computer-based reporting system enable you school meal programs to USDA's Food Programs Reporting System (Yes No → SKIP TO QUESTION D11 How satisfied are you with the computer based link with USDA's FPR Very satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied Very dissatisfied Wery dissatisfied Satisfied Satisfied	(FPRS)?	ired data on	

D12.	2. Which software system does your State Agency use to conduct nutrient analysis of menus				
	MARK ONE ONLY				
	1	CookenPro Commercial			
	2	Eatec Solutions by Agilsys			
	3	eTrition			
	4	KidServe			
	5	Meal Magic Suite – Nutrition Magic (formerly Meal Magic .Net Suite)			
	6	Meals Plus Menus			
	7	Menus & Inventory (Planning\Production) (part of MSchoolTools; formerly WinFSIM)			
	8	NUTRIKIDS: Menu Planning & Nutritional Analysis			
	9	OneSource			
	10	PrimeroEdge – Menu Planning Module			
	11	TrakNOW – Nutrition and Inventory			
	12	Visual B.O.S.S.			
	13	WebSMARTT 3			
	14	Webtrition			
	15	Custom-developed system			
	16	Other (specify):			

Thank you for your participation in this important study.