ith § 201.6. condition of receiving project grant ands under the HMGP, in accordance

pproval to continue program eligilan every five years from date of plan ssary, update the local mitigation (2) At a minimum, review and, if nec-

ectly to us, they will assume the re-ponsibilities of the State, or grantee, and if they apply through the State, hrough the State. If they apply diption of applying directly to us for lazard Mitigation Grant Program ribal governments will be given the he local government, or subgrantee. hey will assume the responsibilities of (e) Indian tribal, governments. Indian

37 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 R 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13,

201.4 Standard Plans. State Mitigation

horized under disasters declared prior o November 1, 2004 will continue to be ng the requirements of this section in rder to receive assistance under the ng, FEMA approved State Mitigation standard State Mitigation Plan meetmergency assistance provided under 42 r.S.C. 5170a, 5170b, 5173, 5174, 5177, 5179, nade available. Until that date, existtafford Act, although assistance au-Haster Relief and Emergency Assist-nce Act, 42 U.S.C. 5133, will also con-inue to be available. The mitigation ion (PDM) program, authorized under ection 203 of the Robert T. Stafford ected. Mitigation planning grants pro-ided through the Pre-Disaster Mitiga-180, 5182, 5183, 5184, 5192 will not be aflans will be accepted. In any case (a) Plan requirement. (1) By November ommit resources to reducing the efuide for State decision makers as they om natural hazards and serves as a 2004, States must have an approved mendments, or revisions listed under teir mitigation plan, but must comply hoose to include the requirements of he HMGP Administrative Plan in ets of natural hazards. States may tate's commitment to reduce risks lan is the requirement for updates, the demonstration of the

request in writing to the Director of FEMA, through the Regional Director. At a minimum, this must be signed by the Governor or the Indian tribal leadplan approval deadline by submitting a er, may request an extension to the plan, and a strategy for finalizing the plan. The Director of FEMA will review identification of the amount of addisons the plan has not been completed, the extension, identification of the reaer, and must include justification for 2005. deadline will be no later than May 1, proval extension of up to six months. each request and may grant a plan aptional time required to complete the However, any extended plan approval (2) A Governor, or Indian tribal lead-

planning process is essential in developing and maintaining a good plan. The mitigation planning process should include coordination with other State agencies, appropriate Federal agencies, FEMA mitigation programs and initiathe extent possible with other ongoing State planning efforts as well as other interested groups, and be integrated to tives. (b) Planning process: An effective

plan must include the following ele-Plan content. To be effective the

it was prepared, used to develop the plan, including how ments: the process, and how other agencies (1) Description of the planning process who was involved in

ards and risks to provide a statewide overview. This overview will allow the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy portion of the mitigation gation measures under the strategy State to compare potential losses characterize and analyze natural hazplan. Statewide risk assessments must participated. and to prioritize jurisdictions for retheir priorities for implementing mitithroughout the State and to determine ceiving technical and financial support risk assessment shall include the in developing more detailed local risk :Surwol (2) Risk assessments that provide the vulnerability assessments. The fol-

fect the State, including information tion of all natural hazards that can af-(i) An overview of the type and locaprevious occurrences

> appropriate; ture hazard events, using maps where events, as well as the probability of fu-

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

and loss associated with hazard events. State owned critical or operated facilities located in the identified hazard nerability in terms of the jurisdictions sessment. The State shall describe vulsessments as well as the State risk asscribed in this paragraph (c)(2), based ards, and most vulnerable to damage most threatened by the identified hazon estimates provided in local risk as-State's vulnerability to the hazards de-(ii) An overview and analysis of the

areas shall also be addressed; able structures, based on estimates (iii) An overview and analysis of potential losses to the identified vulnerard areas. facilities located in the identified hazwell as the State risk assessment. provided in local risk assessments as buildings, infrastructure, and critical State shall estimate the potential dollar losses to State owned or operated The

(3) A Mitigation Strategy that provides the State's blueprint for reducing the losses identified in the risk assessment. This section shall include:

guide the selection of activities mitigate and reduce potential losses. (i) A description of State goals to ð

and post-disaster hazard management cies, programs, and capabilities. effectiveness of local mitigation poligeneral description and analysis of the discussion of State funding capabilities for hazard mitigation projects; and a development in hazard-prone areas; a cluding: an evaluation of State laws, regulations, policies, and programs repolicies, programs, and capabilities to mitigate the hazards in the area, inlated to hazard mitigation as well as to (ii) A discussion of the State's pre-

plans, where specific local actions and feasible mitigation actions and activi-ties the State is considering and an exvironmentally sound, and technically and prioritization of cost-effective, enprojects are identified. This section should be linked to local utes to the overall mitigation strategy planation of how each activity contrib-(iii) An identification, evaluation,

tential sources of Federal, State, local (iv) Identification of current and po-

> gation activities. or private funding to implement miti

Local Mitigation Planning that includes (4) A section on the Coordination of

nical assistance, the development of to support, through funding and techlocal mitigation plans. (i) A description of the State process

and timeframe by which the local plans will be reviewed, coordinated, and linked to the State Mitigation Plan. (ii) A description of the State process

risks, ation for communities with the highest nities and local jurisdictions that would receive planning and project grants under available funding progrants shall be the extent to which a principal criterion for prioritizing most intense development pressures. Further, that for non-planning grants, grams, which should include considerand their associated costs. cost benefit review of proposed projects benefits are maximized according to a (iii) Criteria for prioritizing commurepetitive loss properties, and

includes: (5) A Plan Maintenance Process that

dating the plan. ule for monitoring, evaluating, and up-(i) An established method and sched-

mentation of mitigation measures and project closeouts. (ii) A system for monitoring imple-

on achieving goals as well as activities and projects identified in the Mitigation Strategy. (iii) A system for reviewing progress

prior to submittal to us for final review and approval. must be formally adopted by the State (6) A Plan Adoption Process. The plan

statutes as required in 44 CFR 13.11(d) to the periods for which it receives and regulations in effect with respect with all applicable Federal statutes (7) Assurances. The plan must include assurances that the State will comply plan whenever necessary to reflect changes in State or Federal laws and grant funding, in compliance with 44 CFR 13.11(c). The State will amend its

orities and resubmitted for approval to the appropriate Regional Director mitigation efforts, and changes in priin development, progress in statewide reviewed and revised to reflect changes (d) Review and updates. Plan must be

sible. We also encourage a State to rebut it is not required. view its plan in the post-disaster timereceipt from the State, whenever poswill be completed within 45 days after frame to reflect changing priorities, every three years. The Regional review

[67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1/2002; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13,

§ 201.5 Enhanced State Mitigation

- igible for the 20 percent HMGP funding, FEMA must have approved the plan within three years prior to the disaster able mitigation funding, and that it is capable of managing the increased onstrate that a State has developed a total estimated eligible Stafford Act disaster assistance. The Enhanced declaration. funding. In order for the State to be elthat the State effectively uses availcomprehensive mitigation program, HMGP, based on twenty percent of the time of a disaster declaration is eligible to receive increased funds under the Enhanced State Mitigation Plan at the (a) A State with a FEMA approved er assistance. The Enhanced Mitigation Plan must dem-
- (b) Enhanced State Mitigation Plans must include all elements of the Stand-ard State Mitigation Plan identified in \$201.4, as well as document the fol-
- plans) and FEMA mitigation programs and initiatives that provide guidance capital improvement, land developto State and regional agencies. other State and/or regional planning ment, and/or emergency management management, economic development, nitiatives tegrated to the extent practicable with (1) Demonstration that the plan is in-(comprehensive, growth
- ability to implement the plan, includproject implementation dentifying and demonstrating the (2) Documentation of the State's capability,
- multi-hazard mitigation measures. (i) Established eligibility criteria for
- Guidelines and Discount Rates for Beneffectiveness of mitigation measures, consistent with OMB Circular A-94, efit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs (ii) A system to determine the cost

the State's eligibility criteria. and to rank the measures according to

- of the following: age the HMGP as well as other mitigation grant programs, including a record (iii) Demonstration that the State the capability to effectively man-
- with sible, and eligible project applications submitting complete, technically feation grant application timeframes and mentation; (A) Meeting HMGP and other mitigaappropriate supporting docu-
- efit-cost analyses; rate environmental reviews and ben-(B) Preparing and submitting accu-
- reports on time; and rate quarterly progress and financial (C) Submitting complete and accu-
- mitigation grant projects within estabfinancial reconciliation. lished performance periods, including (D) Completing HMGP and other

mitigation action. and include a record of the effectiveof the completed mitigation actions the State will conduct an assessment ness (actual cost avoidance) of each (iv) A system and strategy by which

grams to achieve its mitigation goals. fectively uses existing mitigation pro-(3) Demonstration that the State ef-

- clude any of the following: committed to a comprehensive state mitigation program, which might in-(4) Demonstration that the State is
- Floodplain Management certifications. 301 ning grants, or coordinated capability development of local officials, includ-(i) A commitment to support local mitigation planning by providing workshops and training, State plan-Emergency Management and
- tion. (ii) A statewide program of hazard mitigation through the development of actions that promote hazard mitigapartnerships, and/or other executive legislative initiatives, mitigation councils, formation of public/private
- or other mitigation projects. the non-Federal match for HMGP and/ (iii) The State provides a portion of
- addresses natural hazards as a basis for model building code or standard that version of a nationally applicable local governments to use law, the State requires or encourages (iv) To the extent allowed by State a current

sored mitigation projects. design and construction of State sponFederal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

- buildings that have been identified as necessary for post-disaster response and recovery operations. to mitigate the risks posed to existing (v) A comprehensive, multi-year plan
- into its post-disaster recovery operhow the State integrates mitigation (vi) A comprehensive description of
- for approval to the appropriate Regional Director every three years. The must review and revise its plan to rechanges in prior ties, and resubmit it State, whenever possible. in statewide miligation efforts, and flect changes in development, progress within 45 days after receipt from the Regional review will be completed (c) Review and updates. (1) A State
- years prior to the current major disbe approved by FEMA within the three for the 20 percent HMGP funding, the Enhanced State Mitigation plan must aster declaration. (2) In order for a State to be eligible

§ 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans.

hazards, serving as a guide for decision makers as they commit resources to resentation of the jurisdiction's comsistance and to prioritize project fundreducing the effects of natural hazards. mitment to reduce risks from natural for the State to provide technical as-Local plans will also serve as the basis The local mitigation plan is the rep-

section in order to receive HMGP declared on or after November 1, 2004, a tion plan approved pursuant local government must have a mitiga-(a) Plan requirements. (1) For disasters to this

project grants.

project grant through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program under any Notice of funding opportunity issued after November 1, 2003. The PDM promitigation plan approved pursuant to gram is authorized under §203 of the this section in order to receive a 5133. PDM planning grants will continue to be made available to local gov-Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and ernments after this time to enable (2) Local governments must have a

them to meet the requirements of this

- within 12 months of the award of the project grant. If a plan is not provided extraordinary circumstances, such as (3) Regional Directors may grant an exception to the plan requirement in these cases, a plan will be completed in a small and impoverished community, when justification is provided. In incurred after notice of grant's termigrant will be terminated, and any costs FEMA. nation will not be reimbursed within this timeframe, the project
- officially adopted the plan. State-wide propriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process and has tershed plans) may be accepted, as aprisdictional plans. plans will not be accepted as multi-ju-(4) Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g. wa-

order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natdevelopment of an effective plan. involvement process is essential to the shall include: ural disasters, the planning process (b) Planning process. An open public H

comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval; (1) An opportunity for the public to

- cies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the aucommunities, local and regional agenwell as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be thority to regulate development, private and non-profit interests to involved in the planning process; and (2) An opportunity for neighboring 28
- propriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information. (c) Plan content. The plan shall in (3) Review and incorporation, if ap-
- clude the following:
- lic was involved. volved in the process, and how the pubprocess used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was in-(1) Documentation of the planning
- enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation acmust provide sufficient information to factual basis for activities proposed in tions to reduce losses from identified the strategy to reduce losses from iden-(2) A risk assessment that provides the

hazards. The risk assessment shall in-

on the probability of future hazard vious occurrences of hazard events and plan shall include information on prethat can affect the jurisdiction. The tion, and extent of all natural hazards (i) A description of the type, loca-

should describe vulnerability in terms all summary of each hazard and its impact on the community. The plan This description shall include an overvulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. (ii) A description of the jurisdiction's

ing and future buildings, infrastructhe identified hazard areas; ture, and critical facilities located in (A) The types and numbers of exist-

methodology used to prepare the estithis section and a description of the identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of lar losses to vulnerable structures (B) An estimate of the potential dol-

options can be considered in future land use decisions. in the community so that mitigation land uses and development trends with-(C) Providing a general description of

tire planning area. they vary from the risks facing the ensess each jurisdiction's risks where the risk assessment section must as-(iii) For multi-jurisdictional plans,

section shall include: and improve these existing tools. This sources, and its ability to expand on authorities, policies, programs and rethe risk assessment, based on existing (3) A mitigation strategy that provides the potential losses identified in jurisdiction's blueprint for reduc-

vulnerabilities to the identified haz-(i) A description of mitigation goals reduce or avoid long-term

new and existing buildings and infrahazard, with particular emphasis on considered to reduce the effects of each mitigation actions and projects being lyzes a comprehensive range of specific (ii) A section that identifies and ana-

the actions identified in paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of (iii) An action plan describing how this section Will

> prioritized, implemented, and adminisand their associated costs. benefit review of the proposed projects fits are maximized according to a cost emphasis on the extent to which bene-Prioritization shall include a special the local jurisdiction

specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan. there must be identifiable action items (iv) For multi-jurisdictional plans,

(4) A plan maintenance process that in-

plan within a five-year cycle. ating, and updating the mitigation and schedule of monitoring, evalu-(i) A section describing the method

when appropriate. sive or capital improvement plans ning mechanisms such as comprehenthe mitigation plan into other planments incorporate the requirements of (ii) A process by which local govern-

nity will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process. (iii) Discussion on how the commu-

it has been formally adopted. proval of the plan must document that plans, each jurisdiction requesting ap-Council, County Commissioner, Tribal ing approval of the plan (e.g., erning body of the jurisdiction requestbeen formally adopted by the gov-Documentation that the plan has For multi-jurisdictional

fice for formal review and approval. to the appropriate FEMA Regional Oftion. The State will then send the plan Officer for initial review and coordinamitted to the State Hazard Mitigation (d) Plan review. (1) Plans must be sub-

pleted within 45 days after receipt from the State, whenever possible. (2) The Regional review will be com-

appropriate, and resubmitted for approject grant funding. continue to proval within five years in order to (3) Plans must be reviewed, revised if be eligible for HMGP

this part. Managing States will review view will be based on the criteria in will be delegated approval authority the plans within 45 days of receipt of for local mitigation plans, and the reby FEMA pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5170c(c) approved under the criteria established (4) Managing States that have been

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

the plans, whenever possible, and prothe Regional Office. vide a copy of the approved plans to

[67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 68 FR 61370, Oct. 28, 2003; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13, 2004]

PARTS 202-203 [RESERVED]

ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM PART 204—FIRE MANAGEMENT

Subpart A—General

Sec. Purpose.
204.1 Purpose.
204.2 Scope.
The Definition of the second s 204.2 Scope.
204.3 Definitions used throughout this part. 204.4-204.20 [Reserved]

Subpart B—Declaration Process

204.21 Fire management assistance declara-

204.23 Processing a request for a fire man-204.22 Submitting a request for a fire management assistance declaration. tion criteria.

204.26 Appeal of fire management assistance 204.25 FEMA-State agreement for fire man-204.24 Determination on request for a fire agement assistance grant program agement assistance declaration. management assistance declaration.

Subpart C-Eligibility

204.27-204.40 [Reserved]

declaration denial

Eligible costs. Applicant eligibility

204.44-204.50 [Reserved] Ineligible costs.

Subpart D—Application Procedures

204.52 Application and approval procedures 204.51 Application and approval procedures for a subgrant under a fire management for a fire management assistance grant. assistance grant.

Certifying costs and payments

204.55-204.60 [Reserved]

Subpart E—Grant Administration

204.62 Duplication and recovery of assistance Cost share.

204.64 Reporting and audit requirements. Allowable costs.

Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121-5206; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 43 FR 41943, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; AUTHORITY: Robert T. Stafford Disaster

Comp., p. 412; and E.O. CFR, 1989 Comp., p. 214. 376; E.O. 12148, 44 FR E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 412; and E.O.

otherwise noted. SOURCE: 66 FR 57347, 1

Subpart A—

Purpose.

and Tribal emergeno considered under bility and the eligibil This part also detail sions of section 420 of Program in accordanc grants management the procedures for th by this part. fire management assi foresters on the effi (FEMA) will actively This part provides Management

Scope.

Grant Program, as we larations and viduals responsible fo ing for assistance und under the Fire Manag This part is intend

§ 204.3 Definitions this part.

government submitti approved fire manas government submitti grant, or a State, loca to us for a fire manag grant. to the Grantee for a s Applicant. A State

rector or Assistant C cable, of the Readine her designated represe Recovery Directorate Associate Director. 1

aster, which the Asso approved in response fire complex, threater criteria listed in § 204. declaration and in ac quest for a fire manas tion as would constit Declared fire. An un

cedures for deactiv bling, and transports Demobilization. The