

OECA MC 2227A WASHINGTON DC 20460

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 PRE-SORTED
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
EPA
PERMIT NO. G-35

TIME SENSITIVE - RESPONSE NEEDED

IMPORTANT [DATES TO REMEMBER
March 21, 2014:	Submit address verification and order PT Samples DMR-QA Study 34 ends Submit DMR-QA 34 Results to DMR-QA Coordinator

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO NPDES PERMITTEES DMR-QA Study 34 Announcement

DMR-QA STUDY 34

Immediately verify receipt of DMR-QA Study 34 by either filling out the form below and mailing this page to your state coordinator (listed on pages 6-8) or follow the e-mail instructions at the bottom of this page.

The mailed form must be postmarked on or before March 21, 2014.

NPDES PERMITTEE ADDRESS VERIFICATION FORM Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study 34

Pleas	e provide corrections	s to the mailing address wh	nere all DMR-QA	paperwork should be sent.	
	State	NPDES Permi	t Number		
		acter State Code + 7 digit Permit e CA1234567)	Code as shown on t	he mailing label, for	
If Address is corre	ct, you only need to	o check this box			
Facility Name					
Contact Name			Title		
Mailing Address					
City			State	Zip Code	
Phone Number			Fax Number		
E-mail Address					

ELECTRONIC NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE

You may verify receipt electronically by sending an e-mail on or before **March 21, 2014** to <u>your state DMR-QA coordinator</u> (listed on pages 6-8 of the enclosed instructions). The e-mail should be composed in the following manner:

- 1. Subject line should contain **ONLY** the NPDES Permit number (2-character State Code + 7-digit Permit Code as shown on the mailing label, for example CA1234567). Other information is not needed on the subject line.
- 2. If you require any changes to the mailing label on this announcement, the body of the e-mail should contain a list including: Company name, Contact Name/Title, Mailing Address, City, State, Zip Code, Facility Type (select one: federal, state, local or commercial/private). Otherwise, you may simply write "No changes to address" in the body of the e-mail.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE

Dear NPDES Permit Holder:

This letter initiates the 2014 Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study 34. By receipt of this letter, you are required, under Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 308, to participate in DMR-QA Study 34 unless your facility is exempted by an EPA waiver.¹ Your participation plays a key role in monitoring the quality of data used to assure the integrity of the CWA's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.

DMR-QA Study 34 covers major and select minor NPDES permit holders. You (the permittee) are responsible for ensuring results of DMR-QA Study tests, performed by your in-house and/or contract laboratories, are graded by an accredited Proficiency Testing (PT) Provider. If any graded results are "Not Acceptable," you must follow up with the laboratory to determine the cause of the deficiency and ensure corrective action is taken to prevent future occurrences. To satisfy DMR-QA Study requirements, your laboratory may use reporting data from a Water Pollution (WP) study administered by an accredited PT Provider and performed between January 1, 2014 and July 11, 2014. This provides flexibility to you and your laboratories performing DMR-QA Study tests. While performing tests and analyses, please ensure that your test methods/procedures follow 40 CFR 136 guidelines. Use the same personnel, equipment, test methods and procedures as you would for routine DMR reporting.

What changes were made to DMR-QA Study 34?

The Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) checklist was updated to reflect recent method updates. Labs should no longer use a No Observable Effect Concentration (NOEC) Survival endpoint for short-term chronic tests. The Chemistry/ Microbiology analyte checklist has a column for writing in the test method number used. Also new for this year, there is a space in the NPDES Permittee Data Report form to enter WP Study information used in lieu of DMR-QA. Supplying information in these columns is optional but encouraged. Permittees with valid e-mail addresses will receive the DMR-QA Study by e-mail, but may request a hard copy.

Further information

Questions on the national program should be addressed to Brian Krausz (<u>krausz.brian@epa.gov</u>, 202-564-3069), EPA's National DMR-QA Coordinator. State and EPA regional DMR-QA contact information is provided on pages 6-8. Please reference your NPDES permit number on all correspondence.

Thank you for your attention to this Clean Water Act Section 308 requirement.

Sincerely,

Edward J. Messina, Director Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division Office of Compliance

Enclosures

¹ EPA is authorized to collect this information under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1318. This information request is enforceable under 33 U.S.C. § 1319. EPA may grant a waiver from participating in DMR-QA to states with laboratory quality assurance programs approved by EPA as a substitute for the DMR-QA Study. Refer to the footnote on page 2 to determine if you are covered by an EPA waiver, or contact your state coordinator.

DMR-QA Study 34

Table of Contents and Schedule

2
3
4
5
6
6
9
12
13

Checklist and Schedule for DMR-QA Study 34

Deadline*	Permittee	In-House and Contract Laboratories	PT Providers
March 21, 2014	Study 34 begins Send Address Verification Form (inside cover of this package) to the state coordinator by email or postal mail to confirm receipt of Study 34 Announcement Notify all laboratories of DMRQA Study 34	Study 34 begins Order test samples from PT Provider	
July 11, 2014	Study 34 ends	Study 34 ends Send ungraded Data Report to PT Providers (include a list of all NPDES permits using your laboratory data)	
August 1, 2014			Send PT Provider-graded test results, for each permit (listed by NPDES permit numbers), to: - Laboratory - State DMR-QA coordinators
August 15, 2014		Forward PT Provider-graded test results to the Permittee	
August 29, 2014	Forward <u>one copy</u> of the NPDES Permittee Data Report Form, and a copy of the Chemistry/Microbiology and WET Checklists for each laboratory used to the state DMR-QA coordinator	Order testing samples from PT Provider for all "Not Acceptable" analyte test results Complete and send required corrective action letter as soon as possible to your Permittee	
October 10, 2014	Address any "Not Acceptable" data by submitting a completed and signed corrective action report to your state DMR-QA coordinator		

^{*} All materials must be sent on or before the date provided.

DMR-QA STUDY 34 - Frequently Asked Questions

Am I required to participate in this DMR-QA Study?

NPDES Major and select Minor permit holders are required to participate in DMR-QA studies. Be sure to verify the permit number on the front of this package. If you believe you received this study in error, contact your state DMR-QA coordinator (pages 6-8). Some permit holders are not required to perform the DMR-QA study because they are located in states that have laboratory quality assurance programs approved by EPA as a substitute for DMR-QA.²

What is the purpose of this DMR-QA Study?

The purpose of the Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study 34 is to ensure the integrity of data submitted by the permittee for DMR reporting requirements and evaluate the performance of the laboratories to analyze wastewater samples.

What laboratory tests are required under DMR-QA?

Permittees are responsible for having their laboratory(ies) test wastewater analytes that are both in their NPDES permit and included in Study 34. For required Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests, permittees must participate even if the test conditions (e.g., temperature, time of acute test, synthetic seawater matrix, etc.) in the permit do not exactly match those in Study 34. Refer to "WET Testing Laboratory Instructions" (page 5) for more information.

How should laboratory personnel perform DMR-QA tests?

For all pollutant parameters, especially field test parameters (e.g., pH, residual chlorine), use the same personnel, equipment, and procedures as required for NPDES compliance monitoring analyses. Ensure that your test methods and procedures follow 40 CFR 136 guidelines.

Where can laboratories get test samples?

Laboratories (in-house and contract) may order the required DMR-QA Study 34 samples from a PT Provider accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), or ACLASS, a brand of the ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board. A list of chemistry, microbiology and WET accredited PT Providers is on page 6.

What should permittees and laboratories do first?

Permittees must first confirm receipt of this package by March 21, 2014 to their state coordinator (pages 6-8) via postal mail or e-mail. Permittees must also send a copy of the enclosed instructions/checklists to all in-house and contract laboratories. The permittee specifies on the checklist which analyses the laboratory will perform. See Permittee Instructions (page 3) for more information. Upon receipt of instructions, laboratories must order samples from an accredited PT Provider (page 6). This should be done early to allow sufficient time to perform the required analyses and send ungraded results to the PT Provider prior to the close of DMR-QA Study 34 (July 11, 2014). See Laboratory Instructions (pages 4-5) for more information.

Can I use a Water Pollution (WP) Study to satisfy DMR-QA requirements?

Yes, permittees may use a WP study to satisfy some or all of the DMR-QA requirements. However, only results from WP studies closing between January 1 and July 11, 2014 will be accepted. See Permittee Instructions for more information (Step 5 on page 3).

What steps do I take after the proficiency testing?

After laboratories report their data to the Proficiency Test (PT) Providers, the PT Provider issues a report to the laboratory and the state DMR-QA coordinator, indicating the results were "Acceptable" or "Not Acceptable". The laboratory must then forward a copy of the graded report to the permittee. The permittee subsequently completes the checklists on pages 12-13, indicating the laboratory's grade for each required analyte. One set of checklists must be used for each laboratory. The permittee must also fill out the NPDES Permittee Data Report Form (EPA Form 6400-01, pages 9-11) and submit a signed copy with the completed checklists and copies of the laboratory's graded reports to the state coordinator by August 29, 2014.

What do I do if a laboratory receives a "Not Acceptable" result?

If any graded results are "Not Acceptable," the permittee must follow up with the laboratory to determine the cause of the deficiency and ensure corrective action is taken to prevent future occurrences. The laboratory must order retesting samples, reanalyze them, and write a corrective action response for the permittee. The permittee is required to submit a completed and signed corrective action report to the state DMR-QA coordinator by October 10, 2014.

Where do I go for more information?

DMR-QA resources including fill-and-print forms are available at www.epa.gov/compliance/monitoring/programs/cwa/dmr/.

As of January 1, 2014, permittees in California, Kansas, New Jersey, Nevada, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia and Wisconsin have laboratory quality assurance programs approved by EPA as a substitute for DMR-QA. Louisiana has an approved laboratory quality assurance programs for commercial laboratories only. NPDES permittees in Arkansas and Oklahoma that currently participate in their State laboratory accreditation program are also exempted from DMR-QA Study 34. Laboratories in Oklahoma and Arkansas not certified by the State must perform DMR-QA studies. Check with your state coordinator if you have questions about your state's exemption status.

NPDES Permittee Instructions

- 1. Verify your participation in DMR-QA Study 34 by confirming the NPDES permit number on the front of this package. If you believe you received this study in error or have questions about your exemption status, contact your state DMR-QA coordinator (pages 6-8). Please refer to Footnote 2 of the Frequently Asked Questions page (page 2) for a list of exempted states.
- 2. Follow the instructions on the DMR-QA Study 34 Important Notice (inside front cover of study package) and immediately confirm receipt of this package to <u>your state coordinator</u> via postal mail or e-mail. You must submit your response <u>no later than March 21, 2014</u>. If your permit is inactive, please contact your state DMR-QA coordinator immediately.
- 3. Send copies of these instructions to each contract and in-house laboratory, if applicable. Ensure DMR-QA samples are analyzed by the same laboratories that routinely perform analyses for your Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) requirements. Indicate which tests the laboratory will perform by checking the appropriate boxes in the "Test Required" column in the enclosed tables (pages 12-13).
- 4. Ensure that each laboratory uses their U.S. EPA-assigned Laboratory Code on all reported results. Make certain your laboratories understand and complete all requirements. Laboratories needing a new U.S. EPA Lab Code or wanting to confirm their existing U.S. EPA Lab Code, should contact:

Charles Feldmann, US EPA 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, M/S 140 Cincinnati, OH 45268

Tel: (513) 569-7671 Fax: (513) 569-7191 E-mail: <u>feldmann.charles@epa.gov</u>

If you send an e-mail, include your phone number in the message. Submit all requests for lab codes <u>at least one week</u> before the PT Providers' WP study due date or one week prior to the DMR-QA Study 34 end date (**July 11, 2014**) to allow time for response.

- 5. Instruct your laboratory to order samples for analytes that are <u>both in your permit and included in DMR-QA Study 34</u>. Your in-house and contract laboratories must order PT samples from an accredited PT Provider (page 6). Ensure your laboratory orders the samples early enough to allow time to perform the required analyses and send results to the PT Provider prior to the close of DMR-QA Study 34 (**July 11, 2014**). Your laboratory should register your permit number with the PT Provider prior to **July 11, 2014**. **Note:** If your inhouse or contract laboratory chooses to use a WP study to satisfy DMR-QA requirements, please inform the laboratory that all data must be reported to the PT Provider by the published WP study close date, even if it is prior to the DMR-QA deadline of **July 11, 2014**. WP studies are only valid for DMR-QA Study 34 if the WP study meets the following requirements:
 - a) Samples are offered by an accredited PT Provider (page 6).
 - b) The WP study does not close before **January 1, 2014**, or after **July 11, 2014**.
 - c) The PT Provider shows the WP results from each of the permittee's regulated analytes on the DMR-QA reporting form.
- 6. Permittees are responsible for ensuring that laboratories submit data on permittee's behalf to the PT Provider by the end of DMR-QA Study 34 (July 11, 2014). Laboratories must send ungraded data to the same PT Provider they received samples from. By August 1, 2014, PT Provider-graded test results will be sent back to the laboratories. Permittees will not receive graded reports directly from PT Providers unless they are an in-house laboratory. Note: Permittees are not required to report ungraded data to the PT Provider on their laboratories' behalf nor should permittees send ungraded data to their state DMR-QA coordinator.
- 7. Permittees must require laboratories to forward to them, the graded results from the PT Provider by **August 15, 2014**. Using these graded results, permittees must fill out the Chemistry/Microbiology Analyte and WET Organisms/Test Conditions/End Points checklists (pages 12-13) for each laboratory, indicating the analyte tests performed by the laboratory and whether the result was Acceptable or Not Acceptable. Make sure the appropriate NPDES permit number and U.S. EPA Lab Code are on each checklist. If you use more than one laboratory, you must use a separate checklist for each laboratory. If a laboratory reports more than one method to you for any single analyte, you must use a separate checklist for each method reported.
- 8. Follow the directions on the "NPDES Permittee Data Report Form" (pages 9-11) and complete the information. You may use a "fill and print" form available at: www.epa.gov/compliance/monitoring/programs/cwa/dmr/. By August 29, 2014, you must send a copy of the signed NPDES Permittee Data Report Form, graded results, and completed checklists from step 7 for each of your permits to your state DMR-QA coordinator. However, if the PT Provider already submits graded results sorted by permit number to the state DMR-QA coordinator, the permittee is only required to send copies of the checklist and EPA Form 6400-01. In this situation, the laboratory should provide a list of its permittees' permit numbers associated with each required analyte to the PT Provider prior to the close of DMR-QA Study 34 or the WP study. Check with your laboratory to determine whether the PT Provider is sending the graded data directly to the state DMR-QA coordinator. Permittees must maintain a copy of the completed NPDES Permittee Data Report Form, checklists and graded laboratory results as a record for at least three (3) years.
- 9. After receiving laboratory results, permittees must consult with the laboratory and investigate any discrepancies or "Not Acceptable" evaluations reported by the PT Provider. Permittees must identify and report to the state DMR-QA coordinator causes and system changes to correct the discrepancies, and avoid their reoccurrence. Laboratories must order retesting samples by **August 29, 2014** for any "Not Acceptable" results and perform the verification analysis as soon as possible to include the results in the Corrective Action report to be sent to the state DMR-QA coordinator before **October 10, 2014**. The report must include the results of any verification analysis performed.

Page 3

Chemistry and Microbiology Laboratory Instructions

Your laboratory is designated to participate in DMR-QA Study 34 by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permittee, because the permittee uses or plans to use your laboratory to perform chemistry/microbiology analyses to satisfy their NPDES permit requirements during 2014. For measurements of all pollutant parameters, especially field test parameters (e.g., pH, residual chlorine), use the same personnel, equipment, and procedures as required for NPDES compliance monitoring analyses. Please ensure that your test methods/procedures follow 40 CFR 136 guidelines. Please note for low level mercury, the concentration range is 20 - 100 ng/L (20 - 100 parts per trillion) and for low level total residual chlorine, the concentration range is $75 - 250 \mu g/L$ ($75 - 250 \mu g/L$ parts per billion). If you have questions about whether you should perform the test using the normal or the low level mercury and/or low level total residual chlorine concentration, contact your state DMR-QA coordinator.

- 1. Each permittee for whom you analyze or provide data in 2014 will determine which analyses you must perform by checking the appropriate boxes on the enclosed "Chemistry/Microbiology Analyte Checklist" (page 12).
- Order DMR-QA Study 34 chemistry and microbiology samples from one of the Proficiency Test (PT) Providers (page 6). Be sure to allow yourself enough time to perform the analyses before the closing date of DMR-QA Study 34 (July 11, 2014). Maintain a copy of all completed order forms for your records.

Helpful Hint: You may be able to utilize the results from a Water Pollution (WP) study to meet the requirements of DMR-QA, if **all** of the following conditions are met:

- a) Samples are offered by an accredited PT Provider (page 8).
- b) The WP study does not close before January 1, 2014, or after July 11, 2014.
- c) The PT Provider shows the WP study results of each permittee's regulated analytes on the DMR-QA reporting form.
- Record your ungraded analytical data and your EPA Lab Code on the Data Reporting Forms received with your samples. Be sure to follow the PT Provider's instructions and deadlines received with these samples.
- 4. Use of the EPA-assigned Lab Code on all reported results is required. If you need a new EPA Lab Code or need to verify your existing EPA Lab Code, contact Charles Feldmann (see contact information on page 3, step #4).
- 5. Send the data requested by each of your permittees to the PT Provider for grading. You must send data to the same PT Provider that you received samples from. Make sure you provide the DMR-QA Study 34 or WP study results to the PT Provider by **July 11**, **2014**. If you choose to use a WP study, you must report all data to the PT Provider by their published WP study close date, even if it is prior to the DMR-QA deadline of **July 11**, **2014**. (Notify the PT Provider that a WP study is being used for DMR-QA and send copies of the analyte checklist(s) if you are reporting via hardcopy).
- 6. The PT Provider will grade your analyses and send the graded results to you by **August 1, 2014**. If the PT Provider is submitting graded results sorted by permit number to the state DMR-QA coordinator, then you should register your permittees' permit numbers associated with each required analyte with the PT Provider prior to the close of DMR-QA Study 34 or the WP study. Forward the graded results of the analytes to the permittee by **August 15, 2014**, so the permittee can fill out the analyte checklist on page 12.
- 7. If corrective action is required, notify the permittee. Laboratories must order retesting samples for "Not Acceptable" analyte test results by **August 29, 2014**. You must also identify and report causes and system changes to correct the discrepancies and avoid a reoccurrence. The corrective action letter and graded retest report need to be forwarded to the permittee as soon as possible.

WET Testing Laboratory Instructions

Your laboratory is designated to participate in DMR-QA Study 34 by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permittee, because the permittee uses or plans to use this laboratory to perform Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) analyses during 2014. For all analytes, use the same personnel, equipment, and procedures as required for NPDES compliance monitoring analyses. Ensure that your test methods/procedures follow 40 CFR 136 guidelines and the manuals referenced below.

1. The permittee(s) determine which analyses you will perform by checking the appropriate boxes on the enclosed table "WET Organisms/Test Conditions/End Points" (page 13). Ensure that the permittee has selected the test organism(s) and testing conditions that most closely resemble those required by the permit for which you are supplying test results. Use the guidelines immediately below and the table on page 13 to select the proper WET tests.

Guidelines for Choosing the Correct WET Test Organisms/Conditions/End Points

- If the permit requires WET testing with Fathead minnows, Ceriodaphnia dubia, Daphnia magna, Daphnia pulex, Mysidopsis bahia, Inland silverside (Menidia beryllina) or Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegates), test those organisms listed in each permit using the test conditions, including temperature, defined in the Test Codes.
- If the permit's WET testing conditions for *Ceriodaphnia dubia* specify 48-h acute, <u>non-renewal</u> testing, conduct this test using the static, <u>renewal</u> acute conditions defined by Test Codes 19 and 20. The testing conditions defined for these Test Codes have been proven to provide an appropriate measure of your ability to perform WET testing with *Ceriodaphnia dubia*.
- If the permit's WET testing conditions for *Daphnia magna and Daphnia pulex* specify 48-h acute <u>renewal</u> testing, you must conduct this test using the non-renewal conditions specified in Test Codes 32 and 38.
- If the permit's WET testing conditions require 24, 48, or 96-h acute testing using any of the organisms included in Study 34, use the 48-h acute test conditions specified in the Test Codes.
- If the permit requires WET testing with *Mysidopsis bahia*, Inland silverside (*Menidia beryllina*) or Sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegates*) and your laboratory uses an alternate synthetic seawater (e.g. Hawaiian Brands, GP2) other than the 40 Fathoms specified in the Test Codes, you must still perform testing.
- If the permit requires 20°C acute testing for any organisms included in Study 34, use 25°C acute test conditions specified in the Test Codes.
- For laboratory performance quality assurance (QA) purposes, the point estimation techniques that produce end points such as the Inhibiting Concentration 25% (IC25) are the preferred statistical methods in calculating end points for effluent chronic toxicity tests. However, laboratories should choose the statistical methods that allow calculation of the end point(s) required by the NPDES permit and used for routine permit compliance tests. For example, if a permit specifies a No Observable Effect Concentration (NOEC) end point for Growth, and it is reported regularly on DMR's, this end point should be reported for DMR-QA.
- 2. Order DMR-QA toxicity samples from an accredited PT Provider (page 6). Allow yourself enough time to perform the analyses before the closing date of DMR-QA Study 34 (**July 11, 2014**). Maintain a copy of all completed order forms for your records.
- 3. Record your ungraded analytical data and your EPA Lab Code number on the Data Report Form received with your samples. Be sure to follow the PT Provider's instructions and deadlines received with the samples. Use of the EPA-assigned Lab Code on all reported results is required. If you need a new EPA Lab Code or need to verify your current code, contact Charles Feldmann (see contact information on page 3, step #4).
- 4. Send the ungraded data requested by each of your permittees to the PT Provider for grading. You must send it to the same PT Provider that you received samples from. Make sure you provide the DMR-QA Study 34 study results by **July 11, 2014**.
- 5. The PT Provider will grade your results and send them to you by **August 1, 2014**. If the PT Provider is submitting graded results sorted by permit number to the state DMR-QA coordinator, then you should register your permittees' permit numbers associated with each required analyte with the PT Provider prior to the close of DMR-QA Study 34 or the WP study. Forward the graded results of the analytes to the permittee by **August 15, 2014** so the permittee can fill out the analyte checklist on page 13.
- 6. If corrective action is required, notify the permittee. Laboratories must order retesting samples by **August 29, 2014.** You must identify and report the causes and all system changes to correct the discrepancies and avoid a reoccurrence. This corrective action letter and graded retest report must be forwarded to the permittee as soon as possible.

Reference Manuals: (see http://www.epa.gov/scitech/methods/cwa/wet/index.cfm)

- 1. *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, Fifth Edition*, October 2002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC, EPA 821-R-02-012.
- 2. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition, October 2002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC, EPA 821-R-02-013.
- 3. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms, Third Edition, October 2002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC, EPA 821-R-02-014.
- 4. *Method Guidance and Recommendations for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing (40 CFR Part 136).* July, 2000. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC, EPA-821-B -00-004.
- Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to West Coast Marine and Estuarine Organisms, 1st Ed., 1995, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, OH, EPA/600/R-95/136. http://www.epa.gov/eerd/methods/full_doc.pdf

Page 5

Accredited Proficiency Testing Providers

The following Proficiency Test (PT) Providers are accredited by A2LA or ACLASS. A2LA and ACLASS have each been designated a Proficiency Testing Oversight Body (PTOB)/Proficiency Testing Provider Accreditor (PTPA) by The NELAC Institute (TNI). Find the following PT Providers on the internet at: http://www.nelac-institute.org/ptproviders.php.

NELAC-Accredited Provider		Chem	Micro	WET
Absolute Standards, Inc., Hamden, CT Mr. Stephen Arpie (203) 281-2917 or (800) 368-1131, stephen@absolutestandards.com	www.absolutestandards.com	X	X	
Advanced Analytical Solutions, LLC, Parkersburg, WV Fred Anderson (304) 485-6325 Fred@advancedqc.com	www.advancedqc.com	X	X	
Sigma Aldrich RTC, Laramie, WY Mrs. Jennifer Duhon (307) 742-5452 Fax: (855) 831-9211, RTCPTgroup@sial.com	www.sigma-aldrich.com	X	X	X
Environmental Resource Associates (ERA), Golden, CO Rebecca Roemer (303) 463-3531 rroemer@eraqc.com Audrey Cornell (303) 463-3530 acornell@eraqc.com	www.eraqc.com	X	X	X
Phenova Inc., Golden, CO (866) 942-2978 info@phenova.com	www.phenova.com	X	X	X
nsi lab solutions, Raleigh, NC Mr. Mark Hammersla (919) 789-3000 mark.hammersla@nsilabsolutions.com	www.nsilabsolutions.com	X	X	
NYS DOH Environmental Laboratory Approval Program, Albany, NY Dr. Kenneth Aldous (518) 474-7161,	elap@health.state.ny.us	X	X	

EPA Regional DMR-QA Coordinators

EPA Region 1

(CT, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT)

Please contact your State Coordinator.

EPA Region 2

(NJ, NY, PR, VI) Towana Joseph US EPA Region II, DESA/MAB 2890 Woodbridge Avenue (MS 220) Edison, NJ 08837 (732) 321-6607 joseph.towana@epa.gov

EPA Region 3 (DC, DE, MD, PA, VA, WV)

Peter Gold U.S. EPA Region III (3WP42) Water Protection Division 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 814-5236 gold.peter@epa.gov

Charles Jones, Jr. U.S. EPA Region III - Environmental Assessment and Innovation Division 1650 Arch Street (3EA00) Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 814-2734 jones.charlie@epa.gov

EPA Region 4 (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC,

Ray Terhune **US EPA Region IV** SESD/MTSB/QAS 980 College Station Road Athens, GA 30605-2720 (706) 355-8557 terhune.ray@epa.gov

EPA Region 5 (IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI) Kenneth Gunter U.S. EPA Region V Water Division WECAB 77 W. Jackson Boulevard (WC15J) Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 353-9076 gunter.kenneth@epa.gov

EPA Region 6 (AR, LA, NM, OK, TX) Charles Wren, Jr. U.S. EPA Region VI Water Enforcement Branch 1445 Ross Avenue Suite 1200 (6EN-WC) Dallas, TX 75202 (214) 665-6640

EPA Region 7 (IA, KS, MO, NE) **Robert Bryant** U.S. EPA Region VII - Water Enforcement 11201 Renner Blvd Lenexa, KS 66219 (913) 551-7354

bryant.robert@epa.gov

wren.charles@epa.gov

EPA Region 8 (CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY)

Monia Ben-Khaled U.S. EPA Region VIII NPDES Enforcement Unit Water Technical Enforcement Program 1595 Wynkoop St. (8ENF-W-NP) Denver, CO 80202 (303) 312-6209 ben-khaled.monia@epa.gov

EPA Region 9

(AS, AZ, CA, GU, HI, MP, NN, NV) Liliana Christophe U.S. EPA Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street - Mail Code WTR 7 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3502 christophe.liliana@epa.gov

EPA Region 10 (AK, ID, OR, WA)

Robert Grandinetti U.S. EPA Region X **NPDES Compliance Unit** 309 Bradley Boulevard, Suite 115 Richland, WA 99352 (509) 376-3748 grandinetti.robert@epa.gov

State DMR-QA Coordinators

Alabama Sharon Moses Alabama DEM 1350 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, AL 36110 (334) 394-4355 smoses@adem.state.al.us

Alaska
Sharon Morgan
Alaska Department of
Environmental Conservation
410 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 303
Juneau, AK 99801-1800
(907) 465-5530

America Samoa Liliana Christophe U.S. EPA Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street -Mail Code WTR 7 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 972-3502

christophe.liliana@epa.gov

sharon.morgan@alaska.gov

Arizona
Marnie Greenbie
Arizona Dept of Environmental
Quality Permits Unit, Surface
Water Section
1110 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007
(602) 771-4689
greenbie.marnie@azdeq.gov

Kathryn Wangsness Arizona DHS Environmental Lab Licensure 250 North 17th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85007 (602) 364-0724 wangsnk@azdhs.gov

Arkansas*
Jane Hurley
Arkansas Department of
Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118
(501) 682-0938
hurleyj@adeq.state.ar.us

California*
Renee Spears
Office of Information Mgmt & Analysis
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 | Street, 16-39DSacramento, CA 95814
P.O. Box 100- Sacramento, CA 95812
(916) 341-5583
renee.spears@waterboards.ca.gov

Colorado
Eric Mink
Colorado Dept. of Public Health and
Environment
Water Quality Control Division-CWE-B2
4300 S Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80246
303-692-2312
eric.mink@state.co.us

Connecticut
Donald Gonyea
Connecticut DEP
Bureau of Materials Management and
Compliance Assurance
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 424-3827
donald.gonyea@ct.gov

<u>Delaware</u> Glenn Davis Delaware DNREC - Water Resources Branch 89 Kings Hwy R and R Building Dover, DE 19901 (302) 739-9946 glenn.davis@state.de.us

District of Columbia
Adion Chinkuyu
District of Columbia Department of
Environment - Water Quality Division
1200 First Street NE, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 535-2193
adion.chinkuyu@dc.gov

Florida
Mary Mattox
US EPA Region IV MC: 9T25
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303-8960
(404) 562-9733
mattox.mary@epa.gov

Georgia
Emily Wingo
Georgia DNR
Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Protection Branch
4220 International Parkway, Suite 101
Atlanta, GA 30354
(404) 362-2680
emily.wingo@dnr.state.ga.us

Guam
Maricar Quezon
Water Pollution Control Program
Guam EPA
P.O. Box 22439
Barrigada, Guam 96921
(671) 475-1601
maricar.quezon@epa.guam.gov

Hawaii
Scott Miyashiro
Hawaii Department of Health
Clean Water Branch
919 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 301
Honolulu, HI 96814-4920
(808) 586-4309
scott.miyashiro@doh.hawaii.gov

Idaho
Robert Grandinetti
U.S. EPA Region X
NPDES Compliance Unit
309 Bradley Boulevard, Suite 115
Richland, WA 99352
(509) 376-3748
grandinetti.robert@epa.gov

Illinois
Michelle Rousey
Illinois EPA
Bureau of Water
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276 (Mail Code 15)
Springfield, IL 62794-9276
(217) 785-3944
michelle.rousey@illinois.gov

Indiana
Becky Ruark
Indiana DEM/OWQ
100 North Senate Avenue
MC 65-42 IGCN N1255
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2251
(317) 233-2477
bruark@idem.in.gov

Iowa Robert Bryant U.S. EPA Region VII - Water Enforcement 11201 Renner Blvd Lenexa, KS 66219 (913) 551-7354 bryant.robert@epa.gov

Kansas*
N. Myron Gunsalus, Jr.
Kansas Dept of Health & Environment
Kansas Health and Environmental
Laboratories
Forbes Field Building 740
Topeka, KS 66620-0001
(785) 291-3162
ngunsalus@kdheks.gov

Kentucky
Abigail Rains
Kentucky DEP
Surface Water Permits Branch
200 Fair Oaks Lane, 4th Floor
Frankfort, KY 40601
(502) 564-3410 x4891
abigail.rains@ky.gov

Louisiana*
Sandy Bateman
Louisiana DEQ
Office of Environmental Compliance
P.O. Box 4312
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312
(225) 219-3614
sandy.bateman@la.gov

Maine
Richard E. Darling
Maine DEP
Division of Water Quality Management
17 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0017
(207) 287-7806
dick.darling@maine.gov

Maryland
Ron Wicks
Maryland Dept. of the Environment
Water Management Administration
Compliance Program
1800 Washington Blvd., Suite 420
Baltimore, MD 21230
(410) 537-3607
ron.wicks@maryland.gov

Massachusetts
Ping Yee
Massachusetts DEP/Boston Office
1 Winter Street, 6th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 292-5769
ping.yee@state.ma.us

Michigan
Chris Veldkamp
Michigan Dept of Environmental Quality
Water Resources Division
State of Michigan Building
350 Ottawa Ave NW Unit 10
Grand Rapids, MI 49503
(616) 356-0263
veldkampc@michigan.gov

Minnesota
Roger Fisher
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
Environmental Outcomes Division/EMAS
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, MN 55155
(651) 757-2360
roger.fisher@pca.state.mn.us

Mississippi
David Singleton
Mississippi DEQ
Office of Pollution Control
1542 Old Whitfield Road
Pearl, MS 39208
(601) 961-5778
david_singleton@deq.state.ms.us

Missouri
Robert Bryant
U.S. EPA Region VII - Water Enforcement
11201 Renner Blvd
Lenexa, KS 66219
(913) 551-7354
bryant.robert@epa.gov

Montana Lisa Tucker Montana DEQ Water Protection Bureau P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 (406) 444-5388 LTucker@mt.gov

Nebraska
Reuel Anderson
Nebraska DEQ
Water Quality Division
P.O. Box 98922
Lincoln, NE 68509
(402) 471-1367
reuel.anderson@nebraska.gov

New Hampshire
Stephanie Larson
New Hampshire DES - Water Division
P.O. Box 95
Concord, NH 03302-0095
(603) 271-1493
slarson@des.state.nh.us

New Mexico
Barbara Cooney
New Mexico Environment Department
Surface Water Quality Bureau
Harold Runnels Bldg, N 2050
1190 South St. Francis Drive (8750S)
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, NM 87505-5469
(505) 827-0212
barbara.cooney@state.nm.us

New York
Towana Joseph
US EPA Region II, DESA/MAB
2890 Woodbridge Avenue (MS 220)
Edison, NJ 08837
(732) 321-6607
joseph.towana@epa.gov

North Dakota Marty Haroldson North Dakota Dept of Health Division of Water Quality Permits Program 918 East Divide Ave., 4th Floor Bismarck, ND 58501 (701) 328-5234 mharolds@nd.gov Northern Mariana Islands Clarissa T. Bearden Laboratory Director CNMI Division of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 501304 Saipan, MP 96950 (670) 664-8520 clarissa.bearden@deq.gov.mp

Liliana Christophe
U.S. EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
Mail Code WTR 7
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3502
<a href="mailto:chiba:chi

Ohio
Steve Roberts
Ohio EPA
8955 East Main Street
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068
(614) 644-4225
steve.roberts@epa.ohio.gov

Oklahoma*
David Caldwell
Oklahoma DEQ
P.O. Box 1677
Oklahoma City, OK 73101
(405) 702-1039
david.caldwell@deq.ok.qov

Angela Ratcliff Oklahoma DEQ P.O. Box 1677 Oklahoma City, OK 73101 (405) 702-8146 angela.ratcliff@deq.ok.gov

Oregon
Chris Redman
Oregon DEQ
Laboratory& Environmental
Assurance Division
3150 NW 229th Ave Suite 150
Hillsboro, OR 97124
(503) 693-5706
redman.chris@deq.state.or.us

Pennsylvania*
Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Laboratories
Attn: Laboratory Accreditation Program
P.O. Box 1467
Harrisburg, PA 17105-1467
epLabAccredit@pa.gov

Puerto Rico
Towana Joseph
US EPA Region II, DESA/MAB
2890 Woodbridge Avenue (MS 220)
Edison, NJ 08837
(732) 321-6607
joseph.towana@epa.gov

Rhode Island
Alexandre Pinto
Rhode Island DEM
Office of Water Resources
235 Promenade Street
Providence, RI 02908
(401) 222-4700 x7227
alex.pinto@dem.ri.gov

South Dakota
Tim Flor
South Dakota Dept of Environment &
Natural Resources
Surface Water Quality Program
PMB 2020
523 East Capital
Pierre, SD 57501
(605) 773-3351
tim.flor@state.sd.us

Dana Waits Division of Water Resources Compliance & Enforcement Unit William R. Snodgrass TN Tower 312 Rosa Parks Blvd, 11th Floor Nashville, TN 37243 (615) 532-1171

Tennessee

dana.waits@tn.gov

Texas
N.D. Kaku
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087 (MC 165)
Austin, TX 78711
(512) 239-6684
nd.kaku@tceq.texas.gov

Utah*
Monia Ben-Khaled
US EPA Region VIII
NPDES Enforcement Unit
Water Technical Enforcement Program
1595 Wynkoop Street (8ENF-W-NP)
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 312-6209

ben-khaled.monia@epa.gov

Vermont
Andrew Fish
Vermont ANR - DEC / WWMD
103 S. Main Street, Sewing Building
Waterbury, VT 05671
(802) 241-3739
andy.fish@state.vt.us

Virgin Islands
Towana Joseph
US EPA Region II, DESA/MAB
2890 Woodbridge Avenue (MS 220)
Edison, NJ 08837
(732) 321-6607
joseph.towana@epa.gov

Virginia*
DCLS Laboratory Certification Office
600 N. 5th Street
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 648-4480
Lab_Cert@dgs.virginia.gov

Washington
Alan Rue
Washington State Dept of Ecology
Laboratory Accreditation Unit
P.O. Box 488
2350 Colchester Drive
Manchester, WA 98353
(360) 895-6178
arue461@ecy.wa.gov

West Virginia* Linda B. Keller 601 57th Street, S.E. Charleston, WV 26304 (304) 926-0495 Linda.B.Keller@wv.gov

Wyoming Edward Mock Wyoming DEQ Water Quality Division 122 W. 25th Street Cheyenne, WY 82002 (307) 777-7317 emock@wyo.gov

Your coordinator may change. Please consult: http://www.epa.gov/compliance/contact/monitoring-dmr-state.html and http://www.epa.gov/compliance/contact/monitoring-dmr.html for the latest list of coordinators.

For additional guestions, contact US EPA Headquarters:

Brian Krausz USEPA/MAMPD (2227A) 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20460 (202) 564-3069

^{*}Some or all permittees in these states may not be required to participate in DMR-QA due to a full or partial waiver agreement with EPA.



United States

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Washington, DC 20460

Laboratory DMR-QA Evaluation Study 34 Laboratory Performance Evaluation

Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (This data is collected under the authority of Section 308 of the Clean Water Act.)

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 6.3 hours per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Instructions for the NPDES Permittee Data Report Form

- 1. This is a two-page form.
- 2. Enter your NPDES permit number at the top of pages 10 and 11.
- 3. You must fill in the 2-digit **permit extension** field at the top of page 10 if there is an extension for your permit code. If you have one, the extension will appear next to your permit code in the address box on page 11; for example: "NPDES Permittee CA1234567-**01**." If there is no extension, leave this field blank.
- 4. Identify each of your laboratories on page 11, including their U.S. EPA Lab code which is a unique identifier number assigned by EPA. (Refer to page 3, item 4 in the Study 34 packet.) (NOTE: The U.S. EPA lab code of the laboratory that produced the data must also appear at the top of the Chemistry/ Microbiology and WET testing checklists on pages 12-13.)
- Make copies of pages 10 and 11. Then attach a copy of these pages to the Chemistry/Microbiology and WET testing checklists. Separate copies of each checklist must be filled out for each laboratory you used. Also, if a laboratory reports more than one method to you for any single analyte, you must use a separate checklist for each method reported. These checklists must indicate the graded results for the analytes tested by the laboratory that are in your permit and required for DMR-QA (Acceptable or Not Acceptable). New for Study 34, it is optional but encouraged to write in the approved test method used for DMR-QA Chemistry/Microbiology analytes. If you use a state-certified laboratory to generate your NPDES data in a state that has been granted a partial exemption from the DMR-QA study by the EPA Region, check the "Analyte determined by state-certified laboratory" box(es) on the checklists (pages 12 and 13) for all analytes in your permit analyzed by a certified laboratory.
- 6. Sign and date the certification statement on page 10.
- 7. Make copies of the NPDES Permittee Data Report form and checklists for your records.
- 8. Submit the original signed copy of the Permittee Data Packages to the State DMR-QA coordinator **no later than August 29, 2014.**



United States

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Washington, DC 20460

Laboratory DMR-QA Evaluation Study 34 Laboratory Performance Evaluation

Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (This data is collected under the authority of Section 308 of the Clean Water Act.)

NPDES Permittee Data Report Form

29, 2014	State	NPDES Permit Number	Permit Extension
Down itto a Nama			
Permittee Name			
Current Permittee Mailing	Address		
City		State Z	ip Code
Phone Number	Fax Number	E-mail	
Optional: If WP Study was us	and list DT Provider name(s)	Optional: WP Study Num	shar(c)
Optional. II WF Study was us	ea, list FT F10viaer Hame(s).	Optional. We study Num	iver(s)
Yes No	Yes No		red a Graded Report by August 1, 201 Yes No
I certify under penalty of law the designed to assure that qualific who manage the system, or the knowledge and belief, true, acc routinely performs these analy (NPDES) permit. Neither I nor a laboratory before we reported	Certification by Permit Holde (as per 40 C.F.R. Section nat this document and all attachments were ed personnel properly gather and evaluate ose persons directly responsible for gatheri curate, and complete. Each reported value reses to produce compliance monitoring dat any of my subordinates compared our resul our results to the U.S.EPA. I am aware that	122.22 - see instructions.) e prepared under my direction or so the information submitted. Baseding the information, the information was produced from a single analytical arequired under our National Pollults with results from independent a	Yes No Per
I certify under penalty of law the designed to assure that qualification who manage the system, or the knowledge and belief, true, accordinally performs these analy (NPDES) permit. Neither I nor a laboratory before we reported the possibility of fine and impression of the system.	Certification by Permit Holde (as per 40 C.F.R. Section that this document and all attachments were ed personnel properly gather and evaluate ose persons directly responsible for gatheri curate, and complete. Each reported value reses to produce compliance monitoring dat any of my subordinates compared our resul	122.22 - see instructions.) e prepared under my direction or so the information submitted. Baseding the information, the information was produced from a single analytical arequired under our National Pollults with results from independent a	Yes No Per
I certify under penalty of law the designed to assure that qualification who manage the system, or the knowledge and belief, true, accordinely performs these analy (NPDES) permit. Neither I nor a laboratory before we reported the possibility of fine and improved the pos	Certification by Permit Holde (as per 40 C.F.R. Section nat this document and all attachments were ed personnel properly gather and evaluate ose persons directly responsible for gatheri curate, and complete. Each reported value reses to produce compliance monitoring dat any of my subordinates compared our resul our results to the U.S.EPA. I am aware that	122.22 - see instructions.) e prepared under my direction or so the information submitted. Based ing the information, the informatio was produced from a single analyt a required under our National Pollu lts with results from independent a there are significant penalties for s	Yes No Per
I certify under penalty of law the designed to assure that qualifies who manage the system, or the knowledge and belief, true, accordingly performs these analy (NPDES) permit. Neither I nor a laboratory before we reported the possibility of fine and improved the possibi	Certification by Permit Holde (as per 40 C.F.R. Section nat this document and all attachments were ed personnel properly gather and evaluate ose persons directly responsible for gatheri curate, and complete. Each reported value reses to produce compliance monitoring dat any of my subordinates compared our resul our results to the U.S.EPA. I am aware that	122.22 - see instructions.) e prepared under my direction or so the information submitted. Based ing the information, the information was produced from a single analytical required under our National Pollults with results from independent a there are significant penalties for some control of the control o	Yes No Per
I certify under penalty of law the designed to assure that qualifies who manage the system, or the knowledge and belief, true, accordingly performs these analy (NPDES) permit. Neither I nor a laboratory before we reported the possibility of fine and improved the possibi	Certification by Permit Holde (as per 40 C.F.R. Section that this document and all attachments were ded personnel properly gather and evaluate ose persons directly responsible for gatheri curate, and complete. Each reported value reses to produce compliance monitoring dat any of my subordinates compared our resul our results to the U.S.EPA. I am aware that risonment for knowing violations.	122.22 - see instructions.) e prepared under my direction or so the information submitted. Based ing the information, the information was produced from a single analytical required under our National Pollults with results from independent a there are significant penalties for some control of the control o	Yes No Per

United States
Environmental Protection

United States

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Washington, DC 20460

Laboratory DMR-QA Evaluation Study 34 Laboratory Performance Evaluation

Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (This data is collected under the authority of Section 308 of the Clean Water Act.)

Permittee Name		State	NPDE	S Permit	No. F	ermit Ex	tension
Identification of	all CHEM, MICRO and WET labor	atories who pe	rforme	d analy	ses for	this pe	rmit
Name of Laboratory	Address of Laboratory	U.S. EPA Lab Code	Lab Analysis Check box(es) that apply			Lab	State- certified
		Code	Chem	Micro	WET	Type*	Lab**
* Lab Types: $C = Commercial F = Federal G = Local Government I = Industrial O = Other S = State$ ** See Footnote on page 2 (Frequently Asked Questions) for the current list of states with lab accreditation programs							

If you need additional space, please make a copy of this page for additional laboratories.

Permittee name	State	NPDES Permit No.	EPA Lab Code

Chemistry/Microbiology Analyte Checklist DMR-QA Study 34

			Laboratory's Graded Result			
		Method Number Test Required Used (optional)		Not Acceptable	Analyte determined by	
Analyte Test	Test Required		Acceptable	(Corrective Action Required)	state-certified lab*	
Microbiology				<u> </u>		
E. coli.						
Fecal Coliform, MF or MPN						
Total Coliform, MF or MPN						
Trace Metals			_		_	
Aluminum						
Antimony						
Arsenic						
Barium						
Beryllium						
Cadmium						
Chromium, total						
Chromium, hexavalent						
Cobalt	H					
Copper						
Iron				+		
Lead				+ +		
Manganese				 		
Mercury				<u> </u>		
Mercury (Low Level)						
Molybdenum	片			+	<u> </u>	
Nickel				<u> </u>		
Selenium						
Silver						
Thallium						
Vanadium						
Zinc						
Demands				1 -		
5-day BOD						
5-day Carbonaceous BOD						
COD	Ц					
TOC						
Minerals		1				
Alkalinity, total (CaCO ₃)	Ш				Ш	
Chloride						
Fluoride						
Hardness, total (CaCO₃)						
Specific conductance (25°C)						
Sulfate				+		
Total Dissolved Solids (180°C)				<u> </u>		
Nutrients						
Ammonia as N	П				П	
Nitrate as N						
Nitrite as N						
Orthophosphate as P				+		
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen as N				<u> </u>		
Total Phosphorus as P				<u> </u>		
Misc. Analytes						
Non-Filterable Residue (TSS)						
Oil and Grease	 			\dashv		
pH						
Total Cyanide Total Phenolics (4-AAP)				<u> </u>		
				<u> </u>		
Total Residual Chlorine	片			 		
Total Residual Chlorine (Low Level)				<u> </u>		
Settleable Solids						
Turbidity						
Name		Signature		Date		

Permittee name	State	NPDES Permit No.	EPA Lab Code

WET Organisms/Test Conditions/End Points Checklist DMR-QA Study 34

		DIVIK-QA Study	J T				
				Labora	Analyte		
Analyte Number	Urganisms / Conditions	ganisms / Conditions End Points Require		Acceptable	Not Acceptable (Corrective Action Required)	determined by state-certified Lab*	
Test Cod	e 13/EPA Method 2000						
754	Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales promelas</i>) - MHSF 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 14/EPA Method 2000						
755	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) - 20% DMW	LC50					
Test Cod	e 15/EPA Method 1000						
808	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) - MHSF	IC25** (ON) GROWTH					
810	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) - MHSF	NOEC (ON) GROWTH					
Test Cod	e 16/EPA Method 1000						
812	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) - 20% DMW	IC25** (ON) GROWTH					
814	Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) - 20% DMW	NOEC (ON) GROWTH			П		
Test Cod	e 19/EPA Method 2002						
764	Ceriodaphnia dubia - MHSF 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 20/EPA Method 2002						
765	Ceriodaphnia dubia - 20% DMW 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 21/EPA Method 1002						
767	Ceriodaphnia dubia - MHSF	IC25** REPRODUCTION					
768	Ceriodaphnia dubia - MHSF	NOEC REPRODUCTION					
Test Cod	e 22/EPA Method 1002						
770	Ceriodaphnia dubia - 20% DMW	IC25** REPRODUCTION					
771	Ceriodaphnia dubia - 20% DMW	NOEC REPRODUCTION					
Test Cod	e 32/EPA Method 2021						
788	Daphnia magna - MHSF 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 38/EPA Method 2021						
794	Daphnia pulex - MHSF 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 42/EPA Method 2007						
798	Mysid (Mysidopsis bahia) 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 43/EPA Method 1007						
816	Mysid (Mysidopsis bahia)	IC25** (ON) GROWTH					
818	Mysid (Mysidopsis bahia)	NOEC (ON) GROWTH					
Test Cod	e 44/EPA Method 2006						
803	Inland silverside (<i>Menidia berylina</i>) 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 45/EPA Method 1006						
825	Inland silverside (Menidia berylina)	IC25** (ON) GROWTH					
826	Inland silverside (Menidia berylina)	NOEC (ON) GROWTH					
Test Cod	e 46/EPA Method 2004					-	
804	Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus) 25°C	LC50					
Test Cod	e 47/EPA Method 1004						
820	Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus)	IC25** (ON) GROWTH			П		
822	Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus)	NOEC (ON) GROWTH					
		. , ,					

Name	Signature	Date

^{*}See Footnote on page 2.