Dear 2014 RIC attendees:

Thank you for making the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (NRC’s) 26thth annual Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) such a success! We really do appreciate your participation and support this year.

In an effort to build on the achievements and to address any challenges from our 2014 conference, we are asking you to complete this stakeholder survey to help the NRC provide a better experience for you at future RICs. Please note that this survey takes approximately ten (10) minutes to complete and is completely anonymous. Responses are tracked by question and not by respondent.

Once again, we thank you for your support of the RIC!

**SECTION 1: GENERAL**

1. **What best describes your participation at the RIC?**

\_ This was my first year \_ I attend fairly often \_ I attend every year

1. **What best describes your professional status?**

\_ NRC \_ Non-NRC

1. **What factors influenced your decision to attend the conference (mark all that apply):**

\_ Interest in nuclear regulation \_ Networking opportunities

\_ Latest research information \_ No registration fee

\_ Interest in nuclear safety and security \_ Location

\_ Opportunity to present views \_ Cost of hotel

\_ Easy access on Metrorail \_ Career advancement

**SECTION 2: PROGRAM FORMAT**

**1. The duration of the RIC is 2 ½ days. Do you feel this is:**

\_ Too short \_ Appropriate \_ Too long

**2. The start time and duration of the RIC were reasonably convenient:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**SECTION 3: PLENARY SESSIONS**

**1. What are your thoughts or comments about the Opening Session:**

\_ Excellent \_ Good \_ Average \_ Poor \_ I did not attend

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**2. What are your thoughts or comments about the Commissioners Plenary Sessions:**

\_ Excellent \_ Good \_ Average \_ Poor \_ I did not attend

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**3. What are your thoughts or comments about the Special Plenary session:**

\_ Excellent \_ Good \_ Average \_ Poor \_ I did not attend

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**SECTION 4: TECHNICAL SESSIONS**

**1. How many sessions did you attend?**

**\_ 1 \_2 \_3 \_4 \_5 \_6+**

**2. The content of the technical sessions, as delivered, were sufficiently technical and met my expectations based on how they were promoted:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**3. Sufficient opportunity was provided during the technical sessions to ask questions and/or express individual views:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**SECTION 5: MATERIALS AND SUPPORT**

1. **The program content and supporting information were readily accessible on the RIC website prior to the conference:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

1. **The conference information on the RIC website was clear, informative and helpful:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

1. **The onsite hard copy conference program and pocket guide were clear, informative and helpful:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**4. The RIC mobile optimized agenda feature that was available to RIC participants during the conference was straight forward, user friendly, and helpful:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not access it

**5. The use of Quick Response (QR) codes to electronically access RIC program information and materials during the conference was straight forward, user friendly, and helpful:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not use them

**SECTION 6: ONSITE ACTIVITIES**

**1. The RIC technical poster and tabletop presentations on display were sufficiently technical in content and worthwhile:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**2. Touring the NRC headquarters Operations Center was a valuable experience:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not participate in a tour

**3. The Lunchtime Workshop was a interesting and informative:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not participate in the lunchtime workshop

**4. The MILES Demonstration was interesting and informative:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not participate in the demonstration

**SECTION 7: CONFERENCE FACILITY (HOTEL):**

**1. The physical setup for each plenary and technical breakout session (i.e., room size, use of audio visual support) was appropriate:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**2. Do you have any feedback regarding the conference facility’s property or its location that you would like to share:**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**SECTION 8: ONLINE AND ONSITE REGISTRATION**

**1. The online registration process on the RIC website was clear, informative, and easy to use:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**2. The automated registration confirmation was clear, timely and helpful:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**3. The electronic self-registration onsite was easy, efficient, and effective:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

\_ I did not use this service

**SECTION 9: Overall**

**1. The RIC met the overall objective: “to provide a communication forum and to encourage openness in areas regarding nuclear safety and security initiatives and regulatory issues”:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**2. The RIC provided attendees with new, important, pertinent, and useful information:**

\_ Strongly Agree \_ Agree \_ Neutral \_ Disagree \_ Strongly Disagree

**3. Please rate your overall experience at the 2014 RIC, and share any feedback that would be helpful to RIC organizers in consideration and planning for the next RIC:**

\_ Excellent \_ Good \_ Satisfactory \_ Needs Improvement

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

*Thank you for your time and we look forward to seeing you at next year’s RIC.*

**Submit**

The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is 10 minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future conferences. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection.

OMB NO. 3150-0217 EXPIRES: January 31, 2017