Dear 2014 RIC attendees:

Thank you for making the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 26thth annual Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) such a success! We really do appreciate your participation and support this year.

In an effort to build on the achievements and to address any challenges from our 2014 conference, we are asking you to complete this stakeholder survey to help the NRC provide a better experience for you at future RICs. Please note that this survey takes approximately ten (10) minutes to complete and is completely anonymous. Responses are tracked by question and not by respondent.

Once again, we thank you for your support of the RIC!

SECTION 1: GENERAL

What best describes your participation at the RIC? _ This was my first year _ I attend fairly often _ I attend every year
What best describes your professional status? _ NRC _ Non-NRC
What factors influenced your decision to attend the conference (mark all that apply): _ Interest in nuclear regulation
ECTION 2: PROGRAM FORMAT
The duration of the RIC is 2 ½ days. Do you feel this is: _ Too short _ Appropriate _ Too long
The start time and duration of the RIC were reasonably convenient: _ Strongly Agree _ Agree _ Neutral _ Disagree _ Strongly Disagree
ECTION 3: PLENARY SESSIONS
What are your thoughts or comments about the Opening Session: _ Excellent _ Good _ Average _ Poor _ I did not attend

	_	-			ioners Plenary Sessions: _ I did not attend
	What are your th	-		•	_
	_ Excellent				_ I did not attend
SE	ECTION 4: TECH				
	How many sess	_		_5	_6+
2.	met my expecta	tions based o	on how they we	ere promoted:	sufficiently technical and
	_ Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
3.	Sufficient oppor and/or express i		_	the technical s	sessions to ask questions
	_ Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
SE	ECTION 5: MATE	RIALS AND S	UPPORT		
1.	The program co			mation were re	eadily accessible on the RIC
	_ Strongly Agree	e _ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
2.				-	informative and helpful:
	_ Strongly Agree	e _ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
3.	The onsite hard and helpful:	copy confere	ence program a	ınd pocket gui	de were clear, informative
	_ Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
4.	The RIC mobile the conference				ole to RIC participants durin
		_ Agree		=	_ Strongly Disagree

į	information and and helpful:	materials dur	ing the confer	ence was stra	ccess RIC program ight forward, user friendly,
	_ Strongly Agree _ I did not use the		_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
SE	CTION 6: ONSITI	E ACTIVITIES			
	The RIC technica	-		ntations on di	splay were sufficiently
_ S	Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
2.	Touring the NRC	headquarter	s Operations	Center was a v	aluable experience:
	Strongly Agree did not participate		_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
3.	The Lunchtime \	Workshop wa	s a interestino	ı and informati	ve:
		_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
4.	The MILES Demo	onstration wa	s interesting a	and informativ	e:
-		_ Agree	_ Neutral		_ Strongly Disagree
<u>SE</u>	CTION 7: CONFE	ERENCE FAC	LITY (HOTEL)	<u>):</u>	
	The physical set e of audio visual	-	_		ut session (i.e., room size,
_ S	Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
	Do you have any at you would like	`	garding the co	onference facil	ity's property or its location
SE	CTION 8: ONLIN	E AND ONSIT	E REGISTRAT	ΓΙΟΝ	
	The online regist use:	ration proces	s on the RIC	website was cl	ear, informative, and easy to
		_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree

2. The automated registration confirmation was clear, timely and helpful:

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The electronic se _ Strongly Agree _ I did not use this	_ Agree			and effective: _ Strongly Disagree
ECTION 9: Overall	l			
	ness in area	-		ication forum and to d security initiatives and
•		_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
	d attendees	with new, impo	ortant, pertinen	t, and useful information:
_ Strongly Agree	_ Agree	_ Neutral	_ Disagree	_ Strongly Disagree
_ Strongly Agree Please rate your would be helpful	_ Agree overall expe	_ Neutral erience at the 2 nizers in cons	_ Disagree 2014 RIC, and s ideration and p	
_ Strongly Agree Please rate your would be helpful _ Excellent	_ Agree overall expe to RIC orga _ Good	_ Neutral erience at the 2 nizers in consi _ Satisfacto	_ Disagree 2014 RIC, and s ideration and p ry _ Nee ward to seeing y	_ Strongly Disagree hare any feedback that lanning for the next RIC:
_ Strongly Agree Please rate your would be helpful _ Excellent	_ Agree overall expe to RIC orga _ Good	_ Neutral erience at the 2 nizers in cons _ Satisfacto and we look for	_ Disagree 2014 RIC, and s ideration and p ry _ Nee ward to seeing y	_ Strongly Disagree hare any feedback that lanning for the next RIC: eds Improvement
_ Strongly Agree Please rate your would be helpful _ Excellent	_ Agree overall expe to RIC orga _ Good	_ Neutral erience at the 2 nizers in cons _ Satisfacto and we look for	_ Disagree 2014 RIC, and s ideration and p ry _ Nee ward to seeing y	_ Strongly Disagree hare any feedback that lanning for the next RIC: eds Improvement
_ Strongly Agree Please rate your would be helpful _ Excellent	_ Agree overall expe to RIC orga _ Good	_ Neutral erience at the 2 nizers in cons _ Satisfacto and we look for	_ Disagree 2014 RIC, and s ideration and p ry _ Nee ward to seeing y	_ Strongly Disagree hare any feedback that lanning for the next RIC: eds Improvement

EXPIRES: January 31, 2017

provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future conferences. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or

sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection.

3150-0217

OMB NO.