

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Satisfaction Survey - Acquisition 360 - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by USNRC

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/?sm=DN_2F0R201nWnWstQQw0AU_2Bw_3D_3D

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Office of Informatio... U.S. Nuclear Re...

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Satisfaction Survey - Acquisition 360

Pre-Award & Debriefing Satisfaction Survey

Your firm submitted an offer for Solicitation No. NRC-HQ-10-14-E-0001, Task Order: NRC-HQ-10-14-T-0001 from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Management Division.

Please provide us with your feedback on the acquisition process. Your answers will help us assess our performance and identify our strengths and weaknesses. The survey should take no more than 10 minutes to complete. The results from this anonymous survey will not be published or made publicly available.

Please submit your response within the next thirty days via Survey Monkey. If you have any questions, please contact Chane Petty, NRC Acquisition Management Division, at chane.petty@nrc.gov

Please rate your level of satisfaction on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being "Very Satisfied" and 1 being "Very Dissatisfied"

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**
Check out our [sample surveys](#) and create your own now!

Internet | Protected Mode: On 125%

3:05 PM
05/21/2015

1. With the agency's vendor engagement methods (e.g., RFIs, draft RFP, pre-award conferences) in fostering early communication and exchange before receipt of proposals?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

2. With the agency's vendor engagement methods (e.g., RFIs, draft RFP, pre-award conferences) in fostering early communication and exchange before receipt of proposals?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. With the agency's understanding of your firm's marketplace?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. With the clarity of the final requirements?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. That the agency kept vendors informed about any delays in the solicitation process (considering both the initial release and any subsequent delays)?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. That the solicitation included clear proposal submission instructions that sufficiently guided offerors or respondents in preparing proposals or responses to requests for information?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. That the government chose an appropriate contract type?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. That the government chose an appropriate source selection methodology?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. That the agency answered questions regarding the solicitation in such a way that it helped you to prepare the proposal?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. With the opportunity to propose unique and innovative solutions (i.e., the solicitation promoted innovation)?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. With the clarity of the solicitation's evaluation criteria?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. With the amount of time the agency gave to submit a proposal?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. That the solicitation's evaluation criteria allowed for the best selection among competing proposals?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. With the agency's resolution of issues/concerns related to the contracting process?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

15. With the robustness of the agency's debriefing (i.e., it allowed you to understand how to improve on similar efforts in the future)?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

15. With the robustness of the agency's debriefing (i.e., it allowed you to understand how to improve on similar efforts in the future)?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. How satisfied were you with your overall experience on this acquisition?

Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	N/A
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

17. Please provide any additional comments:

18. Are you a small business?

Yes
 No

Prev Next

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**
Check out our [sample surveys](#) and create your own now!

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Satisfaction Survey - Acquisition 360

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. Burden is estimated to average 10 minutes per response. Please send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection for information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to:

Mailing address: FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch
Mail Stop T-5 F53
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Email address: Infocollects.Resource@NRC.gov

OMB Control Number: 3150-0217
Expiration: 01/31/2017

Prev Done

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**
Check out our [sample surveys](#) and create your own now!