OMB Control Number: 3150-0217

Expires: 1/31/2017

Dear RIC 2016 attendee:

Thank you for making the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 28th Annual Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) such a success! We really appreciate your participation and support this year.

In an effort to build on achievements and to address any challenges from our 2016 conference, we are asking you to complete this short survey. Please note that this survey takes approximately ten (10) minutes to complete, and that your responses are kept confidential. Data will only be presented in aggregate form.

Once again, we thank you for your support of the RIC!

Public Burden Statement: The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is 10 minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future conferences. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection. Send comments regarding this burden to NRC Clearance Officer, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852.

Click the button below to begin the survey.

<< Page Break>>

SECTION 1: GENERAL	
 Including this year, how many NRC Regulatory Information Conferences have you attended? 1. This year was my first conference 2. This year was my second conference 3. I have attended 3 to 5 conferences 4. I have attended more than 5 conferences 	
 What best describes your employment affiliation? □ 1. NRC Employee □ 2. A company or government agency other than the NRC 	
3. Is your work position primarily technical or administrative?□ 1. Technical□ 2. Administrative	
< <page break="">></page>	

The conference addressed issues of									
The conference addressed issues of nuclear safety.									
The conference addressed issues of nuclear security.									
The conference is easily accessible.									
I wanted the opportunity to network with others in my field.									
I believe that attending this conference is helpful to my									
future career goals and advancem									
I can voice my opinions to those in th	ne field of n	uclear]		
regulation.									
< <page break="">></page>									
5. How much did each of the following	ng factors i	nfluence yo	ur decisio	n to atte	nd this	year's c	onferen	ce?	
		Not at All	Only A Little	Some	what	Very Much			
The metro accessibility of the confere	ence								
The free registration of the conference									
The cost of the hotel									
< <page break="">></page>									
-									
SECTION 2: PLENARY SESSIONS	<u>.</u>								
	-								
6. Please evaluate the following:									
							Did n	ot	
	Poor	Avera	ge C	Good	Exc	ellent	Atten	ıd	
The opening session					[
The planem, coordings overall				_	_				
The plenary sessions overall									
	Ш				[
<page break="">></page>									
< <page break="">></page>									
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION</page>	I <u>S</u>								
< <page break="">></page>	I <u>S</u>		ssions.						
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION</page>	I <u>S</u>	echnical ses	ssions.	Ц					
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION</page>	I <u>S</u>	echnical ses					Stroi		Not
<page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a</page>	IS about the te	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disaç	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ee	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my expensions</page>	IS about the te	echnical ses		gree		ree		ee	
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my expenses on how they were promoted.</page>	IS about the te	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disaç	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my expensions</page>	IS about the te	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disaç	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my expensed on how they were promoted. The information presented was specified.</page>	about the te	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disaç	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my experioused on how they were promoted. The information presented was specienough to be useful to me in my were</page>	ctations ific ork.	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disag	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my experimental sessions met</page>	ctations ific ork.	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disag	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my experimental sessions met</page>	ctations ific ork.	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disag	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable
< <page break="">> SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSION 7. Please respond to the following a The technical sessions met my experimental sessions met</page>	ctations ific ork.	echnical ses Strongly Disagree	Disag	gree	Agı	ree	Agr	ree	Applicable

Please respond to each of the following statements about why you attended this year's conference.

Strongly

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Strongly

Agree

Not

Applicable

I attended the 2016 NRC RIC because...

regulation.

conference.

The conference provides updated information on nuclear

I could get the latest research information by attending the

SECTION 4: MATERIALS AND SUPPORT

8.	How much do	you agree o	r disagree	with each	of the	following?

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
Conference information on the RIC website was timely.					
The printed program for the RIC helped me to navigate the conference.					
The RIC mobile optimized agenda was helpful.					
I followed social media (NRC Blog, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Flickr) for information about the conference.					
The RIC technical poster and tabletop presentations were relevant to my work.					
Touring the NRC Headquarters Operations Center was useful for me.					
The online registration and automated confirmation system was easy to use.					

<<Page Break>>

SECTION 5: TOURS

9. Please respond to the following about the tours.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Not Applicable
The tours met my expectations based on how they were promoted.					
The information presented was specific enough to be useful to me in my work.					
There was enough time for me to ask questions during the tours.					

<<Page Break>>

SECTION 6: OVERALL

10.	nuclear safety and security initiatives and reg	a communication forum and to encourage openness in areas regarding gulatory issues", and "to provide attendees with new, important, pertinent gree or disagree that these objectives were met:
	\square Strongly Disagree \square Disagree	□ Agree□ Strongly Agree
	. How would you rate your overall experience	at this year's RIC:
	□ Needs Improvement □ Satisfactory	□ Good □ Excellent
< <f< td=""><td>Page Break>></td><td></td></f<>	Page Break>>	

In cond	lusion, please feel free to use the space below to:
1)	elaborate on any of your responses;
2)	suggest a topic for consideration; or
3)	simply share any feedback that would be helpful to RIC organizers in planning the next RIC:
< <page< td=""><td>e Break>></td></page<>	e Break>>
Thank	you for your time and we look forward to seeing you at next year's RIC.

To submit your response, click the button below.