

**Public Burden Statement:** The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is 3 minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future conferences. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection. Send comments regarding this burden to NRC Clearance Officer, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852.

### Evaluation of NRC Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) Technical Session

<<Session Title>>

How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The topic and content of this session are relevant, useful, and pertinent to stakeholder needs and interests.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The session description accurately reflects the proposed content.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I learned new information, knowledge, or skills.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Overall, the session was well run.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

How would you evaluate the effectiveness of the Session Chair(s) in coordinating the speakers' presentations and moderating the panel discussion and Q/A portions of the session?

Poor       Average       Good       Excellent

<Page Break>>

(Required)

Are you willing to evaluate some or all of the session speakers?

Yes

No

<<Page Break>>

(If yes)

Which of the following speakers are you willing to evaluate?

Speaker 1

Speaker 4

Speaker 2

Speaker 5

Speaker 3

Speaker 6

<<Page Break>>

(The next block of questions is asked for each of the selected speakers. The order is randomized to control for order effects.)

Please evaluate the quality of and presentation of <<Speaker 1>> considering the following descriptors:

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	Not Applicable
Knowledge of subject matter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Objectivity and perspective	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of content	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effectiveness of materials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Delivery of presentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Value added to Q/A portion	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<<Page Break>>

(All)

Please provide any comments on this session or the speakers below.

Thank you very much for your feedback! Please click the submit button below.

(Upon clicking the Submit button, respondents are redirected to the NRC RIC webpage)