

## **IMPEP VIEWPOINT SURVEY**

## Agreement State and NRC Materials Programs FY 2016

The NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is performing a satisfaction survey of its administration of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The satisfaction survey will cover IMPEP reviews of Agreement State radiation control programs and NRC materials programs performed during fiscal year 2016. Also the satisfaction survey will provide useful information for management decision making regarding areas where NRC should dedicate more resources or management attention.

Please answer the following questions based on your involvement and experience during the IMPEP review you supported in FY2016.

1. How would you rate the review team with regard to their preparation and knowledge in their respective technical areas?								
		Needs						
	Poor	Improvement	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A		
Rating								
2. Did you find the questionnaire helpful for preparing for the IMPEP on site review?								
					Almost			
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Always	N/A		
Rating								
3. Were the review team's questions based on the requirements in Management Directive 5.6,								
Integrated Materials F	erformance	Evaluation Pro	gram?					
					Almost			
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Always	N/A		
Rating								
4. Did the review look at the most important aspects of the program?								
					Almost			
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Always	N/A		
Rating								

5. Did the review result in a report that was consistent with IMPEP criteria?								
					Almost			
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Always	N/A		
Rating								
6. Did the report accurately reflect the strengths and areas for improvement of the program?								
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A		
Rating								
7. Did the Management Review Board (MRB) add value to the IMPEP review process and was it consistent with Management Directive 5.6?								
				_	Almost			
	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Always	N/A		
Rating								
8. Was the Team Lead	der effective  Not at all	in conducting a	a thorough a	and fair review o	of your progra Almost Always	am? N/A		
Rating			<u> </u>	( )				
9. Is the format of the	report usefu	II? Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A		
Rating								
10. Is the report an ac	ccurate recoi	rd of the results	of the revio	e <b>w?</b> Frequently	Almost Always	N/A		
Rating								
11. Please comment of did not work well. Do			-	<del>-</del>		ell, and/or		

12. Please comment on any aspect of the MRB evaluations work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve t	
13. Additional comments you would like to add?	
PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION (	OPTIONAL):
14. Last Name (Family Name/Surname):	
15. First Name:	
16. Please select the Agreement State or NRC progra	m reviewed:
17. Work email address:  Please provide an email address for the purpose of fe	eedback or follow-up
i icase provide an email address for the purpose of te	eaduon of follow-up.

The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is 15 minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future IMPEP reviews. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection.

OMB NO. 3150-0217

EXPIRES: January 31, 2017