

Team Members FY 2016

The NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is performing a satisfaction survey of its administration of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The satisfaction survey will cover those IMPEP reviews of NRC regional materials programs and Agreement State radiation control programs performed during fiscal year 2016. Also, the satisfaction survey will provide useful information for management decision making regarding areas where NRC should dedicate more resources or management attention.

Please answer the following questions based on your involvement and experience during the IMPEP review you supported in FY2016.

1. Was the training you received in advance of the IMPEP review helpful in preparing for the onsite review?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

2. Did you receive all of the reference material you needed, including background information on the Agreement State program from the appropriate NRC Program Office?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Did you receive adequate guidance from the IMPEP review Team Leader during the preparation, performance and reporting on the IMPEP review?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Was there enough time spent on site to evaluate your indicators?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Was the IMPEP review team able to reach consensus on its findings for each indicator as a result of the review?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Was the template for the report easy to use?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Were you able to document your review findings using the report template?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Was the size of the IMPEP review team appropriate for the review?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Did you feel comfortable raising issues and questions to the Team Leader?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. Did you receive adequate cooperation from the Agreement State or NRC Region representatives during the onsite review so that all planned activities could be completed?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Did you feel that you were prepared to present your indicator during the Management Review Board (MRB) meeting?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. Did the MRB support your findings in the report?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. Based on the deliberations of the MRB, do you think the MRB reached fair conclusions on the performance of the Agreement State or NRC Region?

	Not at all	Sometimes	Usually	Frequently	Almost Always	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. What technology might have made the IMPEP review easier?

15. Please comment on any aspect of the IMPEP review process that you think worked well, and/or did not work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve the IMPEP review process?

16. Please comment on any aspect of the MRB evaluation that you think worked well, and/or did not work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve the MRB process?

17. Which IMPEP program reviews did you support, and what was your role in the review?

18. Additional comments you would like to add?

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION (OPTIONAL):

19. Last Name (Family Name/ Surname):

20. First Name:

21. Please select the Agreement State or NRC program reviewed:

22. Work email address:

Please provide an email address for the purpose of feedback or follow-up

The estimated burden to respond to this voluntary information collection is 15 minutes. The information provided will be used to determine areas of improvement for future IMPEP reviews. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person not required to respond to, the information collection.

OMB NO. 3150-0217

EXPIRES: January 31, 2017