

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
1545-1631
REG-209619-93 TD 9249

1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

Section 468B(g) requires that escrow accounts, settlement funds, and similar funds be subject to current taxation either as grantor trusts or otherwise.

The final regulations relate to the taxation and reporting of income earned on qualified settlement funds and certain other escrow accounts, trusts, and funds, and other related rules and affect qualified settlement funds, escrow accounts established in connection with sales of property, disputed ownership funds, and the parties to these escrow accounts, trusts, and funds. An election statement is filed for a qualified settlement fund (QSF) that the QSF has elected grantor trust treatment for the QSF and a statement is required from a transferor with respect to the transfer of cash or property to a disputed ownership fund.

2. USE OF DATA

The reporting requirements enable the Internal Revenue Service to determine whether a QSF is taxable as a separate entity or as a grantor trust owned by the transferor and the basis of property held by a disputed ownership fund.

3. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998.

4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

5. METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES

Not applicable.

6. CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES

Not applicable.

7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

Not applicable.

8. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

The notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the *Federal Register* on February 1, 1999 (64 FR 4801). A public hearing was held on May 12, 1999. The final regulation was published in the *Federal Register* on February 7, 2006 (71 FR 6197) that did not adopt §1.468B-6 (reproposed) or §1.468B-8 (reserved).

We received no comments during the comment period in response to the Federal Register Notice dated October 22, 2013 (78 FR 62948).

9. EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS

Not applicable.

10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

No personally identifiable information (PII) is collected.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

The collection of information in § 1.468B-1(k)(2) is an election statement attached to a tax return filed for a qualified settlement fund (QSF). The statement notifies the IRS that the transferor to the QSF has elected grantor trust treatment for the QSF.

The collection of information in § 1.468B-9(g) is a statement that a transferor must provide with respect to the transfer of cash or property to a disputed ownership fund.

We estimate that 9,300 respondents will require a total of 3,720 burden hours to prepare appropriate statements.

Estimates of the annualized cost to taxpayers for the hour burdens shown are not available at this time.

13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

As suggested by OMB, our *Federal Register* notice dated October 22, 2013 (78 FR 62948), requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any response from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of the cost burden are not available at this time.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Not applicable.

15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

There is no change in the burden previously submitted to OMB. We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulation sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Not applicable.

Note: The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.