1. How do you currently use e-106?
   1. Fully. I receive, review, and respond to FCC undertakings entirely on line.
   2. Information only. I receive and review FCC undertakings on line, but issue comments or concurrence in another manner (e.g. letter).
   3. Response only. I receive and review hard copy forms, but use e-106 to respond.
   4. I do not use e-106.
   5. Other. (DESCRIBE) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. When viewing “Submission Packets” using e-106, I find the interface:
   1. Functional and easy to use/navigate.
   2. Functional but cumbersome due to the Form 620/621.
   3. Functional but cumbersome due to the Attachments.
   4. I do not access Submission Packets using e-106.
   5. Other (DESCRIBE) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. Which e-106 tabs do you routinely use? (Select all that apply.)
   1. Overview
   2. Transaction Log
   3. Comments
   4. Documents
   5. History
   6. Admin
4. Which of the following e-106 buttons have you used? (Select all that apply.)
   1. Agree to MOU
   2. Disagree to MOU
   3. Rescind Concurrence
   4. Post Your Comment (Submit)
   5. Add Document
5. Which statement best describes how well e-106 meets your needs?
   1. Extremely well. Using the system streamlines FCC reviews.
   2. Very well. E-106 improves the efficiency of FCC reviews.
   3. Moderately. Submissions are generally fine, but the interface could be improved.
   4. Not well. Significant changes or improvements are required.
   5. I do not use e-106 because (BRIEFLY EXPLAIN) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.
6. Which statement most accurately reflects your use of TCNS.
   1. I frequently access TCNS.
   2. I sometimes check TCNS.
   3. I never access TCNS.
   4. I do not use e-106 or TCNS.
7. The e-106 system makes some automated assumptions about SHPO’s reviews. (For example, files are automatically moved from “Submitted” to “Completed” 30-days after being entered in the system; when SHPO “Concurs with Conditions,” the system assumes “MOA negotiated”; “Pause Clock” action triggers a request for more information.)

These automated actions are:

* 1. Very helpful. They save time and facilitate the review process.
  2. Somewhat helpful. Some of the assumptions are accurate and save time.
  3. Not helpful. I ignore these features because they are not helpful to me.
  4. A problem that requires working-around and takes me additional time.
  5. Other. (DESCRIBE) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Which improvements to e-106 are most important to you?
   1. More training and/or technical support.
   2. Improve the 620/621 form. (Briefly explain: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)
   3. Improve the types of Attachments. (Briefly explain:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)
   4. Improve access to Attachments. (Briefly explain: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)
   5. Provide direct link between e-106 and TCNS.
   6. Other (DESCRIBE) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Is there anything else that you would like to tell the FCC about how e-106 works for you, and how it could be improved?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**FCC NOTICE REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT**

We have estimated that each response to this collection of information will take 0.25 hours. Our estimate includes the time to read the instructions, look through existing records, gather and maintain the required data, and actually complete and review the form or response. If you have any comments on this estimate, or on how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write the Federal Communications Commission, AMD-PERM, Paperwork Reduction Project (3060-1149), Washington, DC 20554. We will also accept your comments via the Internet if your send them to [**pra@fcc.gov**](mailto:pra@fcc.gov). Please DO NOT SEND COMPLETED APPLICATIONS TO THIS ADDRESS. Remember - you are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number or if we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060-1149.

**THE FOREGOING NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, P.L. 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507**