

United States Antarctic Program End of Season Outbrief Report

First Name	
Last Name	
Project Title	
Event Number	
Proposal Number(s)	
Date Completed	
Station, Vessel, and/or Field Site	

The purpose of this survey is to gather information on the effectiveness of planning and implementation of USAP science and technical programs in Antarctica and on the research vessels. Although completion of this report to NSF is not mandatory, your input is essential for ensuring high quality science and technical project support. The completed survey should sent to: usap_outbrief@nsf.gov with your project ID in the subject line and a cctoyour Program Manager.

Should you wish to have any of your responses kept confidential for NSF use only, please clearly identify those sections in the comments field.

If your project will return to Antarctica next season, please provide a description of any potential changes you may wish to make to your research plan as outlined in your Operations Notice.

At the end of the season NSF will take the input you provided and discuss it with you personally should you wish. In addition, all issues will be discussed with the Antarctic Support Contractor at an After Season Meeting.

Please provide input on pre-season and on-ice groups you may have interacted with. Please rate each of the following aspects according to a scale of EXCELLENT to POOR, where EXCELLENT is well above *your* expectations and POOR is well below *your* expectations. Please provide specific comments to support your ratings, be sure to include suggestions for improvement in the future, and positive feedback when something is well done. You only need to provide input on those groups for which you have compliments or concerns.

Project Overview

1. To what extent were the planned science objectives of this project met?

4000/
100%
75%-99%
50%-74%
25%-49%
0%-24%
N/A

Please provide a brief description of the science objectives for this project. Also, please specify contributing factors that affected the completion of the science objectives, especially if not all of the objectives were met (ie. weather, equipment failure, etc.).

Pre-Season Planning

2. Rate how well the **Pre-Season Planning** contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this project:

	Science Support Planning	Deployment Specialists Group	Medical/PQ Process
Excellent			
Above Average			
Average			
Below Average			
Poor			
N/A			

Please provide suggestions or comments for improving Pre-Season Planning:

•		
•		
•		
•		

Transit to/from Antarctica

3. Rate how well the **Travel Process** performed and contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this project:

	Travel Services	CHC Operations PA Operations	Logistics/Cargo	_
Excellent				
Above Average				
Average				
Below Average				
Poor				
N/A				
Please provide sug	gestions or commer	nts for improving the	Antarctic Travel Pro	ocess:
On-Ice Services 4. Rate how well project:	the On-Ice Point of	Contact contributed	to achieving the sci	entific objectives of this
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A				
Please provide sug	gestions or commer	nts for improving the	e On-Ice Science Supp	oort:

5. Rate how we project:	I the Research \	essei Support							
	MT	MST	ET	IT	N	ЛРС			
Excellent									
Above Average									
Average									
Below Average									
Poor									
N/A									
6. Rate how well the Field Support contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this project:									
6. Rate how we	l the Field Supp	ort contributed	to achieving the	scientific o	bjectives of th	is project:			
6. Rate how we	I the Field Supp BFC	ort contributed MEC		scientific o	bjectives of th Diving	is project: Field Cam			
6. Rate how well									
Excellent Above Average Average									
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average									
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor									
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average									
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor	BFC	MEC	FSTP	Boating					

	ell the Station/Rese explosives, vehicles			-	-	
, ,	Accomodation	Food	Heavy Equipment	Vehicles	Power	Shipboard Systems
Excellent						
Above Average						
Average						
Below Average						
Poor						
N/A						
8. Rate how we objectives of Excellent Above Average	ell the Air Operatio this project: Helicopter	ns (Helicopte				
Average						
Below Average						
Poor N/A						
	uggestions or comr	ments for imp	oroving the Air	Operations:		

9. Rate how well project:	the Science Constructi	on contributed to achie	eving the scientific objec	ctives of this
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A				
	ggestions or comments	for improving the Scien	ce Construction:	
	I the Lab Support (Lab contification) Contine the scientification in the contine of the conti		search Associate Suppo ect:	rt, Cryogenics)
				rt, Cryogenics)
	contact and condition and	Research Associate	ect:	rt, Cryogenics)
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	contact and condition and	Research Associate Support	Cryogenics	rt, Cryogenics)
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	Lab Condition and Space	Research Associate Support	Cryogenics	rt, Cryogenics)

11. Rate how wel project:	Il the Staging/Storage Space contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
Excellent	
Above Average	
Average	
Below Average	
Poor	
N/A	
Please provide su	ggestions or comments for improving the Staging/Storage Space:
12. Rate how wel project:	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
project:	If the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
project: Excellent	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
project: Excellent Above Average	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
project: Excellent Above Average Average	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average	Il the IT/Communications contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	ggestions or comments for improving IT/Communications:
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A	

13.	Rate how well the Non-contractor Scientific Service	s contributed to achieving the scientific
	objectives of this project:	

ell the Scheduling o	of your field prog	ram contributed to	o the success of this	
			ell the Scheduling of your field program contributed to process, appropriate season and dates, etc.)	ell the Scheduling of your field program contributed to the success of this process, appropriate season and dates, etc.)

15. Rate your interactions with the Environmental Services Group :
Excellent Above Average Average Below Average Poor N/A Please provide suggestions or comments for improving any aspect of the environmental services:
16. Estimate the number of science days lost due to:
Weather Science Facilities/Labs Antarctic Support Contractor-provided equipment Aircraft maintenance or availability User-provided scientific equipment Other
What were the total science days allocated to this project (on-ice time minus training time)?
17. General Remarks
18. Have the outcomes of this season affected your plans for next season as outlined in your Operational Notice?