Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

The U.S. Census Bureau has contracted with Avar Consulting, Inc. to obtain the views of governments regarding the LUCA Program for the 2010 Census. This information will help the Census Bureau make improvements to similar programs in the future.

Avar will report final results of the survey in summary fashion.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 13 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Respondents are not required to respond to any information collection unless it displays a valid approval number from the Office of Management and Budget. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Paperwork Project 0607-0760, U.S. Census Bureau, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Room 3K138, Washington, DC 20233. You may e-mail comments to Paperwork@census.gov; use "Paperwork Project 0607-0760" as the subject.

Start

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed

INSTRUCTIONS

ABOUT THE PROGRAM

The Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-430) strengthened the Census Bureau's partnership capabilities with tribal, state, and local governments by expanding the methods the Census Bureau could use to exchange address information. Designed to improve the accuracy of the Census Bureau's address list, the Act authorized the Census Bureau to provide individual addresses to officials of tribal, state, and local governments who agreed to conditions of confidentiality. Census 2000 marked the first decennial census for which the Census Bureau could provide its address list for review to governments that signed the required confidentiality agreement.

ABOUT THE SURVEY

Please complete this questionnaire to communicate your government's views about:

- -Opportunities to review the U.S. Census Bureau's addresses and maps,
- -Your government's participation in the LUCA Program,
- -Communications with the Census Bureau staff related to the LUCA Program, and
- -Future interest in programs designed to update the Census Bureau's address information.

WHO SHOULD COMPLETE THIS SURVEY

- -The ideal respondent is the person most knowledgeable about the LUCA Program, usually the person designated by your government as the LUCA Program point of contact.
- -If you are not the person most knowledgeable concerning the LUCA Program, please forward this questionnaire to the most appropriate person.







USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Web Page 3:

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed	
completed—	

OMB Clearance Number: 0607-0760 Expiration Date: February 28, 2014

1. The Census Bureau sent notification of the 2010 Census LUCA Program six months in advance of registration to allow governments to consider issues such as budget and staffing needs, confidentiality and security requirements, participation option choices, and LUCA liaison designation. Based on your experience, how much advance notice time did you need before the LUCA program registration? (Please indicate the number of months)

The Census Bureau allowed 120 calendar days to rev INITIAL review materials. Based on your experience, the review of these materials? (Please indicate the number of calendar days)	now much t	
3. Did any of the following factors listed below influence the LUCA Program?	ce your deci	sion to participate in
	Di no d Yes No th	MOST important factor. Mark ONE
Reading the program information in the advance mailing	0 0 0	
Attending a Promotional Workshop	000	0
Reading the program information in the registration mailing	0 0 0	
Attending a Technical Training Workshop	000	
Attending a Census Bureau presentation at a professional conference(s)	0 0 0	
Receiving encouragement from other levels of government or professional groups	000	
Receiving resources such as staff or funding provided by other levels of government or other organizations	0 0 0	
Participating in Census related activities as part of my day-to-day responsibilities	000	
_ ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Reading information from the LUCA website		
Other, please describe:		
_		
_	ipation opti	ons:
Other, please describe:	nd the diffe	rences among the
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	rences among the Did This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	rences among the Did This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	rences among the Did This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	rences among the Did This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	rences among the Did This was the MOST helpful activity. Mark
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particle. Option 1-Title 13 Full Address List Review. Option 2-Title 13 Local Address List Submission. Option 3-Non-Title 13 Local Address List Submission. Did any of the following activities help you to understathree participation options available to you? Reading the program information in the advance mailing. Attending a Promotional Workshop. Reading the program information in the registration mailing.	nd the diffe	This was the MOST helpful do activity. Mark ONE box only
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particle -Option 1-Title 13 Full Address List Review -Option 2-Title 13 Local Address List Submission -Option 3-Non-Title 13 Local Address List Submission Did any of the following activities help you to understathree participation options available to you? Reading the program information in the advance mailing Attending a Promotional Workshop Reading the program information in the registration mailing Attending a Technical Training Workshop	nd the diffe	This was the MOST helpful do activity. Mark ONE box only
Other, please describe: 4. The 2010 Census LUCA Program offered three particles of the control	nd the diffe	This was the MOST helpful do activity. Mark ONE box only

Web Page 5: Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program Completed 10a. Did you coordinate with any other levels of government? Yes, another level reviewed for us. Yes, we reviewed for other levels. Yes, we reviewed for other levels. No, we did not coordinate with other levels or regional agencies. USCENSUSBUREAU Helping You Make Informed Decisions Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software Web Page 6: Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program Completed 10b. Would you have participated in LUCA without this assistance? O Yes 10c. Were you satisfied with the review by a different level of government? USCENSUSBUREAU Helping You Make Informed Decisions Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program Completed 11. During the 120-day INITIAL review period, the Census Bureau sent reminder letters approximately 45 days and 90 days after your receipt of the materials. What number of reminder letters would have best met your needs? Two Three 12. The Census Bureau provided participants with a LUCA Computer Based Training CD-ROM. How helpful do you think the training was in your participation? Very helpful Somewhat helpful Not helpful Did not view the training CD-ROM 13. The Census Bureau provided two ways for participants to obtain assistance and ask questions on how to prepare and conduct a local review of addresses, features, and legal boundaries: a) a Technical Help Desk and b) your Regional Census Center. Please tell us which of these you used, if any, and whether the response you received helped you prepare and ship your LUCA submission. Did you use Was the response assistance? helpful or not? Not Helpful helpful Yes No Technical Help Desk Your Regional Census Center (RCC) 14. For the 2010 Census LUCA Program the Census Bureau structured the work in such a way that a governmental unit could submit changes or updates to the legal boundary for their jurisdiction as part of LUCA by collaborating with the Census Bureau's Boundary and Annexation Survey (BAS) contact. Did you submit any legal boundary changes or updates as part of the LUCA program? Yes, we submitted legal boundary changes or updates. No, we did not submit legal boundary changes or updates.

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helpina You Make Informed Decisions

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of

Census Addresses (LUCA) Program
Completed
4a. When you submitted legal boundary updates, please tell us whether any of the ollowing were true for you or your jurisdiction in 2010. (Choose all that apply)
Collaboration enabled us to save staff time or resources for consultants by preparing and returning one submission for two programs. Collaboration made the process easier since the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact were the same individual. Collaboration helped in another way. (Please describe)
Collaboration complicated both submissions because the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact were different individuals. Collaboration complicated the process because of time issues, such as getting both the LUCA liaison and the BAS contact to meet the same deadlines. Collaboration caused another problem. (Please describe)
USCENSUSBUREAU Helping You Make Informed Decisions
Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software
9:

Web Pag

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Completed

15. During the INITIAL review phase of the 2010 Census LUCA Program, indicate whether the following materials were easy to understand and useful. Please choose "yes" or "no" for each item.

	Was the format easy to understand?	Was the content easy to understand?	Was the material useful?	
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
Address List	0 0	0 0	0.0	
Address Count List	0 0	0 0	0 0	
Maps/Shapefiles	0 0	0 0	0 0	

7. During the FEEDBACK phase of the 2010 L ollowing address materials were easy to unde no" for each item.							" OI
	Was the format easy to understand?		conte easy	Was the content easy to understand?		Was the material useful?	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Ye	s No	
ull Address List	0	0			С		
etailed Feedback Address List	0	0	0	0	С		
ull Address Count List	0		0	\bigcirc	С		
etailed Feedback Address Count Challenge List	0	0	0	0	О	0	
eedback Address Update Summary Report	0			0	С		
laps/Shapefiles	0	0	0	0	С		
					•		
We understood the FEEDBACK materials after getting We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials.		orting do	ocumentati	ion.			
	help.	Ü			DBACK	This the M	IOS oful
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfu	help.	Ü			Did not	This was the Melp resou	iOS oful urce rk IE
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfu	help.	Ü	ding the		Did not use	This was the Manager Herotage The Manager The Manager Herotage The Manager	IOS oful urco rk IE x
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfunaterials and procedures?	help.	Ü	ding the	FEE	Did not use	This the M help resou	IOS oful urco rk IE x
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfunaterials and procedures?	help.	Ü	ding the	FEE	Did not use	This the M help resou	IOS oful urco rk IE x
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfunaterials and procedures? uick reference guide sers Guide	help.	Ü	ding the	FEE	Did not use	This the M help resou	IOS oful urco rk IE x
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfunaterials and procedures? uick reference guide sers Guide egional Census Center	help.	Ü	ding the	FEE	Did not use	This the M help resou	IOS oful urco rk IE x
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. D. Were any of the following resources helpful aterials and procedures? Luick reference guide the sers Guide the sers Guide the sers Guide the sers Center the serious Cen	al in und	lerstand	Yes	No C C C C C C	Did not use this	This the M help resou	oful urce
We never fully understood the FEEDBACK materials. O. Were any of the following resources helpfu	al in und	ndar da	Yes	No OOO	Did not use this	This withe Minelpreson Main ON bo only	IOS oful urco rk IE ox y.

Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program 22. Please list any suggestions you have regarding a future LUCA program. 23. As you may know, your LUCA submission was verified during the Census Bureau's Address Canvassing Operation. The Census Bureau provided you with the results during the LUCA FEEDBACK phase. In the future, if the Census Bureau shared its address list information for you to update on an annual basis, do you think this would eliminate the need for a 100 percent address canvassing operation in the year prior to the 2020 Decennial Census? O Yes Send Answers USCENSUSBUREAU Helping You Make Informed Decisions Powered by Apian SurveyPro Survey Software Web Page 11: Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of

Census Addresses (LUCA) Program

Thank you for participating in the Survey of Participants in the 2010 Census Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) Program.

> USCENSUSBUREAU Helping You Make Informed Decisions