

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT
PACIFIC TUNA FISHERIES LOGBOOK
OMB CONTROL NO. 0648-0148**

A. JUSTIFICATION

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

This request is for an extension of a currently approved information collection.

The United States' (U.S.) participation in the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) results in certain record keeping requirements for U.S. vessel owners and operators who fish in the IATTC's area of management responsibility. Under Federal regulations ([50 CFR Part 300 Subpart C](#)), these vessel owners and operators must maintain a log of all operations conducted from the fishing vessel, entering the date, noon position (latitude and longitude or in relation to known physical features), and the tonnage of fish aboard by species. This record keeping requirement may be met by using the bridge log, which is furnished and collected from vessel owners and operators by the IATTC. As a practical matter, all U.S. vessel owners and operators use the IATTC log rather than having to maintain two logbooks, and there is no separate National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) form now in use. The record keeping requirements provide information that is needed to assess the impacts of fishing on tuna stocks and non-target species, and to evaluate the effectiveness of management measures. The authority to implement Federal rules to meet U.S. responsibilities to the IATTC is set forth in [the Tuna Conventions Act of 1950](#) (16 U.S.C. 951-962).

2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used. If the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support information that will be disseminated to the public, then explain how the collection complies with all applicable Information Quality Guidelines.

The specific record keeping requirements are set forth in the regulations. Among the data entered daily are the date, noon position, the amount of fish on board by species, water temperature, specification of wells to keep fish, tracking device used to locate fish, and the time and location of catch. There is also a section for vessel owners or operators to enter in additional remarks. The IATTC log form provides this information as well as additional information, which the fisherman may include but is not required to record under the Federal regulations. U.S. vessel owners and operators in fact collect and record all the requested information on the IATTC form (included in this submission is the English example of the form and instructions, which are in both English and Spanish). These data are used with data from other fishing nations to monitor amounts and areas of catch by species and to assess annually the distribution and abundance of different tuna stocks. The information also is used to determine whether changes in management strategies can increase the yield from or productivity of the stocks, (e.g., targeting larger fish to increase yield per trip). The time of gear deployment is important so that the impacts of alternate strategies (e.g., time/area closures or time of day fishing restrictions) on the fisheries can be assessed. The type of school is important in determining yield potentials as fishing on certain kinds of schools may result in greater mortality of juvenile fish or fish of

certain species. The specification of wells in which fish are placed is important because landings are sampled and catches by species and size or gender can then be allocated by area and season of fishing. This can assist in determining the key spawning areas or possibly areas in which fishing should be restricted in the future to increase yields and values from the fishery.

Current and former member nations are continuing to provide data to ensure that the time series of data is not broken. All nations recognize an ongoing interest in maintaining the ability to assess the status of stocks and conditions in the fisheries even in the absence of a regulatory program.

NMFS will retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) standards for confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information. See response to Question 10 of this Supporting Statement for more information on confidentiality and privacy. The information collection is designed to yield data that meet all applicable information quality guidelines. Prior to dissemination, the information will be subjected to quality control measures and a pre-dissemination review pursuant to [Section 515 of Public Law 106-554](#).

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.

The collection of information does not involve the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques. The information being submitted is the least necessary to carry out U.S. obligations as party to the Convention and is collected in the least burdensome manner known. The IATTC has not proposed moving towards an electronic logbook; thus, U.S. vessel owners are obligated to submit the information using the paper logbook.

Forms are sent out with new permits, and may also be requested from the IATTC at 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037, or from NMFS, West Coast Region, 501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802, or by emailing rachael.wadsworth@noaa.gov.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.

NMFS has sole Federal authority to obtain these data. NMFS has coordinated with the IATTC and the State of California to eliminate redundancy between the Federally-mandated reports and logbooks or landings reports required by the IATTC and the State respectively. The NMFS also coordinates with other private and public organizations collecting or compiling information on catches and effort in the regulatory area to prevent duplication. This is necessary because a large portion of U.S. vessels' catches are landed in ports outside California. The logbooks are an important component of this coordinated data collection program. There are no other programs

that would result in the same information being available to the U.S. and the IATTC on the necessary schedule and which would satisfy U.S. reporting requirements.

5. If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden.

All entities subject to this action are considered small business, thus there is no disproportional economic effect between small and large businesses. All practicable steps to minimize the burden on small entities have been taken. Record keeping requirements are directly proportional to each firm's level of activity. Thus, occasional, part-time or local vessels harvesting small amounts of tuna spend less time collecting and reporting data than the larger firms. As a practical matter, the U.S. fleet operating in the eastern Pacific Ocean has declined in recent years both overall and through the shift of many participants to the western and central Pacific Ocean. The remaining vessels are generally of comparable size and are affected in a similar manner.

6. Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

If this data were not collected, there would be a significant gap in the database needed by the IATTC and the United States to complete stock assessments, determine U.S. interests in the fishery, and conduct evaluations of fishery management strategies to achieve the maximum economic yield from the fishery. The U.S. would be less able to protect or enhance U.S. benefits from fishing under the Convention. The U.S. also would not meet its commitments under the Tuna Conventions Act. The risk of erroneous stock assessments and inappropriate management would increase.

7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.

Not Applicable.

8. Provide information on the PRA Federal Register Notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

A Federal Register Notice published November 21, 2013 (78 FR 69822), solicited public comment on this renewal. No comments were received.

Consultations on data collection and reporting (among other matters) take place annually at the meeting of IATTC, the latest meeting having been in June 2013. Feedback from the Commission staff and from industry is the primary means for considering possible changes in the collection. In addition, the Department of State has chartered a General Advisory Committee to advise the U.S. Commissioners to the IATTC and the Department of State on

management issues facing the IATTC, including data submission and reporting needs. Among the members are representatives of the U.S. tuna fishing and processing industries and non-governmental organizations.

In 2013, four U.S. large purse seine vessels (greater than 363 metric tons well volume) and six U.S. smaller purse seine vessels (less than 363 metric tons well volume) were listed as active on the IATTC Regional Vessel Register. NMFS contacted, via email or telephone, the vessel owners of the four large purse seine vessels that fished in the EPO in 2013. NMFS provided time and cost estimates for logbook submissions and asked if the estimates appeared reasonable. Additionally, NMFS requested improvement suggestions for tuna purse seine logbook data collection. The vessel owner for three large purse seine vessels replied that the time and cost estimates appeared reasonable and did not provide further feedback on suggestions for improvement. Another large purse seine vessel was contacted, but no response was received. NMFS also spoke with the owner of a small purse seine vessel who indicated that the vessel had not caught tuna in recent years, therefore no logbook forms were submitted and no feedback for the process was provided.

9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No payments or gifts are made to any respondents.

10. Describe any assurance or confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

As stated on the forms, individual firm data are submitted to the IATTC and are not released to the public; only aggregated data or data with vessel identifiers removed are releasable. These procedures are consistent with [NOAA Administrative Order 216-100](#) governing the management of confidential data. The data also are maintained as confidential by the IATTC as they reveal the business practices of individual firms, and release of the data could be harmful to the firm involved.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

No sensitive questions are asked.

12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.

The burden estimate for record keeping and reporting is 96 hours per year, derived as follows:

Five (5) full-time and six (6) part-time vessels.

5 vessels x 195 reports (195 days per year) = 975 responses x 5 minutes per entry/60 minutes = 81 hours.

6 vessels x 30 reports (30 days per year) = 180 responses x 5 minutes per entry/60 minutes = 15 hours.

Respondents = 5 + 6 = 11.

Responses = 975 + 180 = 1,155.

Hours = 81 + 15 = 96.

Logbook records are maintained on a daily basis. The average vessel makes between three and four trips per year; thus, three or four submissions of logbooks (one per trip, including an entry for each day of that trip) would be made for each vessel if the IATTC form is used. The above estimate incorporates time for assembling and delivering the logbook data.

The estimated annual labor cost to respondents is estimated at \$1,920, which was derived as follows:

96 hours x \$20.00/hr (including overhead) = \$1,920.

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-keepers resulting from the collection (excluding the value of the burden hours in Question 12 above).

There are no start-up or capital costs; forms are provided by the IATTC and no equipment purchases are necessary. Mail costs are estimated at \$21.56 per year (44 trips x \$0.49 = \$21.56) (based on maximum 4 trips per vessel per year as stated above).

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

The estimated cost to the U.S. government is negligible. Logbooks are provided by the IATTC and forms are processed by IATTC. U.S. scientists participate in stock and fishery assessments but rely on IATTC to provide logbook data.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.

Adjustments: The decrease of responses by 120 and hours by 10, and the net decrease in annual cost burden of \$11.44 is due to a reduction in the estimated number of part time vessels participating in the fishery that are required to send in logbooks (from 10 vessels to 6 vessels), as well as an increase in postage rates (from \$0.44 to \$0.49).

16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.

The data are used in the computation of stock assessments and fishery condition reports by NMFS scientists and IATTC scientists. The results are compiled and analyzed for IATTC reports, typically in time for the IATTC annual meeting that usually takes place in June each year, but no time frame is set for other publications in scientific journals or government reports.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the

information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.

We continue to request OMB approval not to display the expiration because there is no Federal government form used, and therefore there is no form on which to display the expiration date. The OMB Control No. and expiration date are on a separate PRA Statement that goes with the form.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement.

Not Applicable.

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

The collection does not involve any use of sampling.