

or refuse the terms of the Brightest Flashlight EULA.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondents from engaging in similar acts or practices in the future. Specifically, Part I prohibits respondent from misrepresenting (1) the extent to which “covered information” is collected, used, disclosed, or shared and (2) the extent to which users may exercise control over the collection, use, disclosure, or sharing of “covered information” collected from or about them, their computers or devices, or their online activities. “Covered information” is defined as “(a) a first and last name; (b) a home or other physical address, including street name and name of city or town; (c) an email address or other online contact information, such as an instant messaging user identifier or a screen name; (d) a telephone number; (e) a Social Security number; (f) a driver’s license or other state-issued identification number; (g) a financial institution account number; (h) credit or debit card information; (i) a persistent identifier, such as a customer number held in a “cookie,” a static Internet Protocol (“IP”) address, a mobile device ID, or processor serial number; (j) precise geolocation data of an individual or mobile device, including but not limited to GPS-based, WiFi-based, or cell-based location information (“geolocation information”); (k) an authentication credential, such as a username and password; or (l) any other communications or content stored on a consumer’s mobile device.”

Part II requires respondents to give users of their mobile applications a clear and prominent notice and to obtain express affirmative consent prior to collecting their geolocation information. Part III requires respondents to delete any “covered information” in their possession, custody, or control that they collected from users of the Brightest Flashlight App prior to the entry of the order.

Parts IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII of the proposed order require respondent to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to its personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in corporate structure that might affect compliance obligations under the order; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part IX provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the

proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or the proposed order, or to modify the proposed order’s terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013–29531 Filed 12–10–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750–01–P**

---



---

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day–14–0739]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–7570 or send comments to Kim Lane, 1600 Clifton Road, MS D–74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an email to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

#### Proposed Project

CDC Oral Health Management Information System (OMB No. 0920–0739, exp. 4/30/2014)—Revision—National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Public Health Promotion (NCDDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

The CDC works with state health departments to improve the oral health of the nation. Targeted efforts include building and/or maintaining effective public health capacity for the implementation, evaluation, and dissemination of best practices in oral disease prevention and advancement of oral health. Through a cooperative agreement program (Program Announcement DP13–1307), CDC will provide funding to 21 states over a five-year period. New cooperative agreements went into effect in September 2013 and build on previous funded collaborations involving CDC and state programs. Of the 21 awardees, 3 are funded at the Basic level (Component 1, infrastructure) and 18 are funded at the Enhanced level (Component 2) which includes additional activities. The cooperative agreement funding will be used to strengthen state-based oral health infrastructure and capacity, implement and expand evidence-based interventions that increase community-clinical linkages, such as school-based dental sealant programs; increase and maintain environmental systems level changes that support healthy behaviors, such as community water fluoridation; implement strategies that improve the delivery of targeted clinical preventive services; and promote beneficial health systems changes. CDC funding will also help states reduce health disparities among high-risk populations including, but not limited to, those of lower socioeconomic status, rural populations, Hispanic, African American and other ethnic groups.

CDC is currently approved to collect annual progress and activity reports from state-based oral health programs. An electronic reporting system has been in place since 2007 and was enhanced in 2008 to capture information about grantees’ success stories and environmental scanning activities. The information collected in the management information system (MIS) improved CDC’s ability to disseminate information about successful public health approaches that can be replicated or adapted for use in other states.

CDC plans to implement changes to the existing information collection. Through a Revision request, CDC will increase the number of awardees from 20 to 21; describe changes in the MIS platform and data elements that will align the monitoring and evaluation framework for oral health awardees with the framework used for a number of other programs in the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and

Health Promotion (NCCDPHP); and implement a revised method of estimating burden. For awardees funded at the Basic level, the estimated burden for the initial data entry needed to populate the system is 6 hours. Thereafter, the estimated burden for system maintenance and annual reporting is 3 hours. For awardees funded at the Enhanced level, the estimated burden for the initial data entry needed to populate the system is 13 hours. Thereafter, the estimated burden for system maintenance and annual reporting is 9 hours. The revised

method provides a more accurate depiction of burden per respondent in comparison to the method presented in previous requests for OMB approval, which was based on a long-term average burden per response. There is no change in the frequency of reporting. Reports will be submitted to CDC annually, but states may enter updates into the MIS at any time.

The MIS will provide a central repository of information, such as the work plans of the state oral health programs (their goals, objectives, performance milestones and indicators),

as well as state oral health performance activities including programmatic and financial information. CDC will use the information collected to monitor awardee activities and to provide any technical assistance or follow-up support that may be needed.

Participation in the progress reporting system is a condition of award for funded state oral health programs. All information will be collected electronically and there are no costs to respondents other than their time. OMB approval is requested for three years.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
Program Awardees Basic Level .....	Initial MIS Population .....	1	1	6	6
	Annual Progress Report .....	3	1	3	9
Program Awardees Enhanced Level	Initial MIS Population .....	6	1	13	78
	Annual Progress Report .....	18	1	9	162
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	255

**Kimberly S. Lane,**

Deputy Director, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2013-29515 Filed 12-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Health Resources and Services Administration**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Public Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirement for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects (Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) announces plans to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR), described below, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Prior to submitting the ICR to OMB, HRSA seeks comments from the public regarding the burden estimate, below, or any other aspect of the ICR.

**DATES:** Comments on this Information Collection Request must be received within 60 days of this notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments to [paperwork@hrsa.gov](mailto:paperwork@hrsa.gov) or mail the HRSA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Room 10-29, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and draft instruments, email [paperwork@hrsa.gov](mailto:paperwork@hrsa.gov) or call the HRSA Information Collection Clearance Officer at (301) 443-1984.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the information request collection title for reference.

*Information Collection Request Title:* Questionnaire and Data Collection Testing, Evaluation, and Research for the Health Resources and Services Administration.

OMB No.: 0915-xxxx—New.

*Abstract:* HRSA conducts cognitive interviews, focus groups, usability tests, field tests/pilot interviews, and experimental research in laboratory and field settings, both for applied questionnaire development and evaluation, as well as more basic research on response errors in surveys.

HRSA staff use various techniques to evaluate interviewer administered, self-administered, telephone, Computer

Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI), Computer Assisted Self-Interviewing (CASI), Audio Computer-Assisted Self-Interviewing (ACASI), and web-based questionnaires.

The most common questionnaire evaluation method is the cognitive interview. The interview structure consists of respondents first answering a draft survey question and then providing textual information to reveal the processes involved in answering the test question. Specifically, cognitive interview respondents are asked to describe how and why they answered the question as they did. Through the interviewing process, various types of question-response problems that would not normally be identified in a traditional survey interview, such as interpretive errors and recall accuracy, are uncovered. By conducting a comparative analysis of cognitive interviews, it is also possible to determine whether particular interpretive patterns occur within particular sub-groups of the population. Interviews are generally conducted in small rounds of 20 to 30 interviews; ideally, the questionnaire is re-worked between rounds, and revisions are tested iteratively until interviews yield relatively few new insights.

Cognitive interviewing is inexpensive and provides useful data on questionnaire performance while minimizing respondent burden. Cognitive interviewing offers a detailed depiction of meanings and processes