satisfy this requirement if no new television stations would be affected. *OMB Control No.:* 3060–0882.

Title: Section 95.833, Construction requirements.

Form No.: Not applicable. *Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 5 respondents and 5

responses.

Éstimated Time per Response: 1 hour. *Frequency of Response:* Every 10 year reporting requirement.

Obligation To Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 154 and 303.

Total Annual Burden: 5 hours. Annual Cost Burden: \$1,250. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:

There is no need with confidentiality with this collection of information.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 218–219 MHz service system licensees are required to file a report after 10 years of license grant to demonstrate that they provide substantial service to its service areas. This information is examined by the Commission to assess whether or not licensees are in compliance with 218– 219 MHz service system construction requirements which is covered under 47 CFR 95.833. Without this information, the Commission would not be able to carry out its statutory responsibilities.

Federal Communications Commission. Marlene H. Dortch.

Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2014–11493 Filed 5–16–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden(s) and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s).

Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate(s); ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and further ways to reduce the information burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid OMB Control Number.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before July 18, 2014. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Submit your PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at: (202) 395–5167 or via the Internet at *Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov* and to Leslie F. Smith, Office of Managing Director (OMD), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), via the Internet at *Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov*. To submit your PRA comments by email, please send them to: *PRA@fcc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie F. Smith, Office of Managing Director (OMD), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), (202) 418–0217, or via the Internet at Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0370. Title: Part 32, Uniform System of Accounts for Telecommunications Companies.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 852 respondents; 852 responses.

Éstimated Time per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: On occasion recordkeeping requirement.

Obligation To Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 11, 151, 154, 161, 201–205, 215, and 218–220.

Total Annual Burden: 852 hours.

Total Annual Cost: No cost(s).

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: The Commission is not requesting that the respondents submit confidential information to the Commission. If the Commission requests applicants to submit information that the respondents believe is confidential, respondents may request confidential treatment of such information under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Needs and Uses: The Commission. in 2004, adopted the Joint Conference's recommendations to reinstate the following part 32 accounts: Account 5230, Directory revenue; Account 6621, Call completion services; Account 6622, Number services; Account 6623, customer services; Account 6561, Depreciation expensetelecommunications plant in service; Account 6562, Depreciation expenseproperty held for future telecommunications use; Account 6563, Amortization expense-tangible; Account 6564, Amortization expense-intangible; and Account 6565, Amortization expense-other. The Commission established a recordkeeping requirement that Class A ILECs maintain subsidiary record categories for unbundled network element revenues, resale revenues, reciprocal compensation revenues, and other interconnection revenues in the accounts in which these revenues are currently recorded. The use of subsidiary record categories allows carriers to use whatever mechanisms they choose, including those currently in place, to identify the relevant amounts as long as the information can be made available to state and federal regulators upon request. The use of subsidiary record categories for interconnection revenue does not require massive changes to the ILECs' accounting systems and is a far less burdensome alternative than the creation of new accounts and/or subaccounts. The information submitted to the Commission by carriers provides the necessary detail to enable the Commission to fulfill its regulatory responsibilities.

Federal Communications Commission. **Marlene H. Dortch,** Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director. [FR Doc. 2014–11494 Filed 5–16–14; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than June 3, 2014.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (Colette A. Fried, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690–1414:

1. Elizabeth L. Celio, Oak Park, Illinois, individually, and as part of the Lumpkin Family Control Group, and three trusts established for the benefit of minors, with Steven L. Grissom, as trustee of the trusts, all of Mattoon, Illinois, to join the existing Lumpkin Family Control Group; to acquire voting shares of First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of First Mid-Illinois Bank & Trust, National Association, both in Mattoon, Illinois.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 14, 2014.

Michael J. Lewandowski,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2014–11471 Filed 5–16–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission"). **ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FTC proposes to collect information about Patent Assertion Entity ("PAE") organization, structure, economic relationships, and activity, including acquisition, assertion, litigation, and licensing practices. This is the second of two Federal Register Notices required by the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"). Last year, the FTC published a Federal Register Notice ("First Notice") and received public comments on its proposal. Through this Second Notice, the Commission seeks additional public comments on, and Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") review and approval of, the revised proposed collection of information discussed in this Notice.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 18, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment sub-section of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write "PAE Reports: Paperwork Comment; Project No. P131203" on your comment, and file your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ ftc/paestudypra2, by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC-5610, (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610, (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

Comments on the proposed collection of information should also be submitted to OMB. If sent by U.S. mail, they should be addressed to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Trade Commission, New Executive Office Building, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Comments sent to OMB by U.S. postal mail, however, are subject to delays due to heightened security precautions. Thus, comments instead should be sent by facsimile to (202) 395–5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be addressed to Suzanne Munck, Chief Counsel for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director, Office of Policy Planning, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580; (202) 326–2429; *paestudy@ftc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed collection of information is necessary to study the likely competitive effects of PAE activity. PAEs are firms with a business model based primarily on purchasing patents and then attempting to generate revenue by litigating against, or licensing to. persons who are already practicing the patented technology. Currently, the public record of PAE activity focuses on publicly-available litigation data. Litigation, however, is only part of the picture. PAE activity encompasses a wide range of non-public behavior related to acquisition and licensing practices, together with structural issues related to organization and economic relationships. Data analyzing this behavior is not available through the public record and it is not available from a single private source.

Members of Congress support the FTC's proposed study. Urging the Commission, "to address the abusive practices of patent assertion entities (PAEs) that are a drag on innovation, competition, and our economy,' Senator Klobuchar "appreciate[s] Chairwoman Ramirez's intention to ask the full Commission to commence a study under Section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC Act). Representative Lipinski "strongly urge[s] the FTC to follow through with [a Section 6(b) study of PAE activity]," and Representative Murphy "looks forward to reviewing the results of [the FTC's] inquiry.'

PAE activity is a growing issue for the United States. For example, last June, the Executive Office of the President reported that "suits brought by PAEs have tripled in just the last two years, rising from 29 percent of all infringement suits to 62 percent of all infringement suits," and this activity may have "a negative impact on innovation and economic growth." In February of this year, the President renewed his call for legislation to combat abusive PAE practices, and several bills are pending in Congress addressing reforms directed toward PAE activity.

The Commission has studied PAE activity for several years, and its research points to the need for an empirical record addressing non-public PAE activity. The Commission first discussed the rise of the PAE business model in its 2011 Report, "The Evolving IP Marketplace: Aligning Patent Notice and Remedies with Competition." In that report, the Commission defined a PAE as a firm with a business model