**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**GROUNDFISH TRAWL CATCHER/PROCESSOR ECONOMIC DATA REPORT (EDR)**

**OMB CONTROL NO. 0648-0564**

This action requests revision of an existing information collection due to a proposed rule (RIN 0648-BE09). In addition, this request requires a name change for the collection from *Amendment 80 Economic Data Report for the Catcher/Processor Non-AFA Trawl Sector* to *Groundfish Trawl Catcher/Processor Economic Data Report (EDR)*.

**BACKGROUND**

The Secretary of Commerce is responsible for the conservation and management of marine fishery resources within the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the United States (U.S.) through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). NMFS’s Alaska Region manages the Central and Western Gulf of Alaska groundfish trawl fisheries in the EEZ off Alaska under the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska. Groundfish in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI) is managed under the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area. The North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) prepared the FMPs under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq*. (Magnuson-Stevens Act). Regulations implementing the FMPs appear at 50 CFR part 679.

Harvests by trawl catcher/processors in the Central and Western Gulf of Alaska (GOA) are limited primarily by two management programs, the Amendment 80 Program (see <http://www.alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sustainablefisheries/amds/80/default.htm>, and the Central GOA Rockfish Program (see <http://www.alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sustainablefisheries/rockfish/> . All of the vessels in the trawl catcher/processor sector are subject to management under the Amendment 80 Program which is intended primarily to improve retention and utilization of fishery resources; encourage fishing practices with lower discard rates; and improve the opportunity for increasing the value of harvested species while lowering operational costs for groundfish in the BSAI.

**INTRODUCTION**

NMFS would revise the existing Amendment 80 EDR, which is for trawl catcher/processors in the BSAI, by adding GOA groundfish fisheries. These catcher/processors would become part of the new GOA Trawl Groundfish Economic Data Report Program which will evaluate the economic effects of current and future groundfish and prohibited species catch (PSC) management measures for the GOA trawl fisheries. This data collection program will provide the Council and other analysts with baseline information on affected harvesters, crew, processors, and communities in the GOA. The information collected through the EDRs would be used to assess the impacts of major changes in the groundfish management regime, including catch share programs for PSC species and target species.

The EDRs in this new program would be submitted by Amendment 80 quota share (QS) holders, vessel owners and leaseholders of GOA trawl vessels, shoreside processors and stationary floating processors receiving deliveries from those trawl vessels, and Amendment 80 catcher/processors harvesting in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI). The type of data collected would include labor information, revenues received, capital and operational expenses, and other operational or financial data.

With this action, the Amendment 80 EDR would be revised to include information from the GOA and would be renamed.

**A. JUSTIFICATION**

Regulations at § 679.94 require any person who held an Amendment 80 QS permit during a calendar year to submit to NMFS an Amendment 80 EDR for that calendar year for each Amendment 80 QS permit held by that person. The Amendment 80 EDR was implemented as part of the Amendment 80 cooperative program to assist in the evaluation of the program, to assist the Council to determine if the program is achieving its objectives, and to consider improvements and amendments to the program.

**1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.**

NMFS would implement the Trawl Economic Data Report Program to evaluate the economic effects of current and future groundfish and PSC management measures for the GOA trawl fisheries. Additional questions would be added to the previous Amendment 80 EDR to include harvesting crew identification numbers. Collection of these crew identifiers will allow NMFS to track the harvesting crew (captains, engineers, deck crew, and cook) over time and will provide baseline data for studies to understand how employment and compensation change in the GOA trawl fisheries. The new data will improve the scientific information that is available to make conservation and management decisions and to better understand the current structure of the GOA trawl fishing industry. Further, these data will allow analysts to better understand the impacts of the proposed trawl bycatch management program on participants in the fishery.

**2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used. If the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support information that will be disseminated to the public, then explain how the collection complies with all applicable Information Quality Guidelines.**

**a. Groundfish Trawl Catcher/Processor Economic Data Report (EDR) (REVISED)**

Each person who held an Amendment 80 QS permit or a catcher/processor trawl-gear designated groundfish License Limitation Program (LLP) license endorsed for the Central or Western GOA during a calendar year must submit this EDR for each calendar year for each Amendment 80 QS permit.

A complete EDR must be submitted for each calendar year on or before June 1 of the following year. All information reported must be current and complete as of the date of submission, including post-season adjustments and settlements.

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (Pacific States) was designated by NMFS to be the Data Collection Agent for the Amendment 80 EDR program. Pacific States mails EDR announcements and filing instructions to Amendment 80 QS permit holders by April 1. To request a printed EDR be mailed , call 1-877-741-8913, or email a request to am80edr@psmfc.org.

An EDR may be submitted online or may be downloaded in fillable PDF format and then faxed or mailed. Submit the completed EDR:

 By mail to: Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

 NMFS Economic Data Reports

 205 SE Spokane, Suite 100

 Portland, OR 97202

 By fax to: 503-595-3450

 Online at: <https://survey.psmfc.org>

The current Amendment 80 EDR collects economic data on the BSAI Non-American Fisheries Act (non-AFA) Trawl Catcher/Processor Sector, including Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program (CDQ) non-Pollock groundfish fisheries. The fisheries are referred to as Amendment 80 fisheries. The revised EDR will additionally collect data for GOA trawl groundfish fisheries.

Depending on activity in this fishery in a given calendar year, the respondent of a catcher/processor would complete and submit the entire EDR or the EDR certification pages.

 ♦ Complete and submit the entire EDR

 □ If the catcher/processor owner or the holder of the Amendment 80 QS, who harvested or processed groundfish in the Central or Western GOA

 □ If the catcher/processor leaseholder, who harvested or processed groundfish in the Central or Western GOA

 ♦ Complete and submit the EDR Certification Pages only.

 □ If the catcher/processor owner, who leased or sold the catcher/processor to another party, and did not harvest or process groundfish in the Central or Western GOA

 Provide the name, address, and telephone number of the person to whom leased or sold the vessel

 □ If the catcher/processor owner, and the catcher/processor was lost or rendered permanently inoperable due to accident, and harvested no groundfish in the Central or Western GOA

 □ If the catcher/processor owner, and no one harvested or processed groundfish in the Central or Western GOA

To ensure that each permit holder is consistently and accurately completing the EDR, an audit may be performed by a qualified accountant for the purpose of validating the data reported in the EDR. This step will ensure that the data can be relied upon to produce accurate and reliable information for the Alaska groundfish trawl fisheries.

If selected for validation, auditors will verify the data report by comparing specific elements of the report with the permit holder’s records. To make this process as efficient and non-intrusive as possible, NMFS suggests:

 ♦ Keep a copy of the completed EDR or certification pages submitted. Copy and attach extra sheets as needed.

 ♦ Keep a file that has all of the supporting information used in the preparation of the EDR.

 ♦ Make sure that the EDR agrees to the company’s highest level of financial information. For this purpose, the highest level of financial information is defined in order as:

 □ Audited financial statements

 □ Reviewed financial statements

 □ Compiled financial statements

 □ Tax returns

**Groundfish Trawl Catcher/Processor EDR**

**Certification Page**

 Vessel owner or Amendment 80 QS permit holder information

 Name of company, partnership, or other business entity

 Amendment 80 QS permits held

 Name of catcher/processors owned (if none, enter N/A)

 Business telephone number, business fax number, and business E-mail address

 Vessel leaseholder information

 Name of company, partnership, or other business entity

 Business telephone number, business fax number, and business E-mail address

 Person Completing this EDR (check one)

 QS permit holder or Vessel owner - If same as above, do not repeat

 Designated Representative

 Name and title

 Business telephone number, business fax number, and business E-mail address

 Vessel Owner/Operator Certification

 Certify that all information is true, correct, and complete to the best of his/her knowledge and belief

 If completed by a designated representative, **attach** authorization

 Printed name and signature of Vessel Owner or Designated Representative

 Date signed

**Economic data report**

Vessel Identification

 Amendment 80 QS Permit Number

 Vessel Name

 USCG Documentation Number

 ADF&G Vessel Registration Number

 ADF&G processor code

 Amendment 80 License Limitation Program (LLP) No(s)

 Amendment 80 limited access fishery permit No

 Name of Amendment 80 cooperative (if applicable)

 Home port

 U.S. gross registered tonnage, net tonnage, and length overall

 Beam, shaft horsepower, and fuel capacity (U.S. gal)

 Year Built

Vessel characteristics: survey value

 Most recent survey value of vessel and equipment (nearest 100 dollars)

 Indicate whether this is the approximate replacement value

 Date of vessel’s last value survey

 Indicate if survey value includes values of permits associated with vessel

 Indicate if survey value includes processing equipment

Vessel characteristics: fuel consumption

Vessel’s annual and average fuel consumption per hour

 Fishing and/or processing

 Steaming (not fishing or processing) – fully loaded with product

 Steaming (not fishing or processing) – empty (transiting)

Vessel characteristics: freezer space

 Amount of freezer space available at the beginning of the calendar year

 Maximum freezing capacity in pounds per hour

Vessel characteristics: processing capacity

 Total number of processing lines on the vessel

 For each type of product processed on the line

 Species code

 Product code

 Number of processing lines

 Maximum throughput in pounds per hour for that product

Vessel characteristics: vessel activity

 Record the total number of days the vessel was engaged in each of the following activities

 Number of days fishing

 A80 fishery

 Central and Western GOA

 All other fisheries

 Number of days processing

 A80 fishery

 Central and Western GOA

 All other fisheries

 Number of days traveling or offloading

 Number of days inactive

Revenues

Report the total amount of revenue received from all sources for each of the following

 Total fishery product sales

 Volume (metric tons)

 FOB Alaska revenue (US dollars)

 All other income derived from vessel operations

 Income from sale of LLP licenses associated with this vessel

 LLP number

 Revenue (US dollars)

 QS leased by other vessels

 quantity by species

 royalty revenue by species

Capital expenditures and materials usage

Report capital Expenditures associated with the following

 Fishing gear (nets, net electronics)

 Processing equipment, including freezing and cold storage

 Vessel and onboard equipment (other than fishing, processing, or storage equipment)

 Other capital expenditures related to vessel operations

Purchase LLP license(s) for use on vessel

 LLP Number

 Cost

Expenses

Report total expenses associated with the following operating cost categories

 Fishing (deck crew) labor expenses (including bonuses and payroll taxes, but excluding benefits and insurance)

 Processing labor expenses (including bonuses and payroll taxes, but excluding benefits and insurance)

 Labor expenses for all other employees aboard the vessel

 Food and provisions (not paid by crew)

 Recruitment, travel, benefits, and other employee related costs

 Lease expense for this vessel and onboard equipment

 Fishing gear leases, repairs, and purchases (nets, doors, cables, etc.)

 Repair and maintenance expenses for vessel and processing equipment

 Freight, storage, and other sales costs for non-FOB sales

 Freight and storage costs other than for products (e.g., gear, supplies, etc.)

 Product and packaging materials

 Fuel and lubrication

 Observer fees and other fishery monitoring and reporting costs

 Cooperative costs including lawyer and accountant costs, association fees, and other fees charged

 by harvest cooperative

 General administrative costs including professional services and management fees

 Insurance (vessel insurance, P&I, and other insurance associated with the operation of this vessel)

 Fisheries landing taxes (including shared fisheries business tax and fishery resource landing tax)

 Total raw fish purchases from other vessels (all fisheries and species)

 Metric tons

 Cost

 Quantity and royalty costs for QS leased from other vessels by species

 Quantity of QS (mt)

 Cost

Labor

Average and total number of individuals employed onboard

 Fishing (deck crew)

 Processing

 All other employees onboard the vessel

Average hours per day a typical processing line employee worked

Indicate if the vessel used a crew or revenue share system to pay crew

 To pay some processing crew

 To pay all processing crew

 To pay some non-processing crew

 To pay all non-processing crew

Crew licenses and CFEC permits

 For each individual who worked as a captain or harvest crew member, record either

 Alaska Commercial Crew license 7-digit number or

 CFEC gear operator permit number

 Do not record more than one license or permit number for any individual.

The burden hours are increased from 20 to 22 hr because additional questions are added to the EDR. The average 22 hours includes the submittal of the entire EDR, the submittal of the certification pages only, and submittal of response to questions from an auditor.

|  |
| --- |
| **Groundfish Trawl Catcher/Processor EDR, Respondents** |
| **Number of respondents** **Total annual responses** Frequency of response = 1**Total burden hours**  Time per response = 22 hr **Total personnel cost** ($37/hr x 550)**Total miscellaneous costs** (24.50)Photocopying ($0.05 x 14 pp x 25 = 17.50) Online (0.05 x 22 = 1.10) Mail (0.45 x 2 = 0.90) Fax ($5 x 1 = 5) | **25****25****550 hrs****$20,350****$25** |

The cost to implement the revised catcher/processor EDR would be minimal, because NMFS is already collecting most of these data. The addition of one catcher/processor will not affect the survey instrument development, staff needed to oversee the data collection, or hardware needed to store the data. Some additional time may be required to work with the vessel owner that is added to the program. Some additional costs may be associated with entering and verifying the additional data. However, the marginal cost increase associated with adding that vessel should be small (estimated to be about $1,000 per year), compared to the approximately $70,000 that was spent to maintain the program in 2012.

The previous EDR instructed respondents to submit the EDR to Alaska Science Center, which was incorrect. The EDR must be sent to Pacific States, which removes all of the Federal Government costs and burden for handling the EDR.

|  |
| --- |
| **Groundfish Trawl Catcher/processor EDR, Federal Government** |
| **Total annual responses****Total burden hours****Total personnel cost** **Total miscellaneous costs****Initial costs, adding one respondent****Maintenance costs** | **0****0****0****0****$1,000****$70,000** |

It is anticipated that the information collected will be disseminated in aggregated and non-confidential form to the public or used to support publicly disseminated information about the Amendment 80 Program. NOAA Fisheries will retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with NOAA standards for confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information. See the response to Question 10 of this Supporting Statement for more information on confidentiality and privacy. The information collection is designed to yield data that meet all applicable information quality guidelines. Prior to dissemination, the information will be subjected to quality control measures and a pre-dissemination review pursuant to Section 515 of Public Law 106-554.

**3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.**

The EDRs may be submitted online at <https://survey.psmfc.org>. In addition, the EDRs are fillable and may be downloaded from the NMFS web site at [alaskafisheries.noaa.gov](http://www.fakr.noaa.gov.), printed, and submitted by mail or fax to Pacific States.

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.**

None of the information collected as part of this information collection duplicates other collections. This information collection is part of a specialized and technical program that is unlike any other.

**5. If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden.**

Based on the known affiliations and ownership of the Amendment 80 vessels, all but one of the Amendment 80 vessel owners would be categorized as large entities for the purpose of the RFA. This analysis estimates that only one small entity would be directly regulated by the proposed action. It is possible that this one small entity could be linked by company affiliation to a large entity, which may then qualify that entity as large entity, but complete information is not available to determine any such linkages. The information collection does not impose a significant impact on small entities.

Since the Amendment 80 EDR Program has been in place, informal testing has taken place by meeting with EDR submitters to discuss ways in which the forms used to request information could be improved. The accountants that perform the data quality audits, as well as Pacific States (who administers the data collection) also document ways in which the EDRs could be clarified and we have used this information to clarify instructions and variable definitions for this new EDR.

In addition, the AFSC held two half-day workshops to review the Amendment 80 EDR with members of industry, and conducted a meeting with each of the two cooperatives to review the EDR. AFSC scheduled a meeting to consult with the sole Amendment 80 catcher/processor that is not a member of a cooperative.

Pacific States has created one online address to submit all EDRs which allows respondents to use one ID and password for all EDRs.

**6. Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.**

If the collection were not conducted or were conducted less frequently, the objectives of the Council would not be met.

The Council is interested in developing a data collection program that can be established prior to the implementation of a trawl catch share program in the GOA. This fast-tracked data collection would provide the Council and analysts with relevant baseline information that can be used to assess the impacts of a catch share program on affected harvesters, processors, and communities in the GOA. In developing a data collection program that can be implemented quickly, efficiently, and with minimal burden on participating stakeholders, the Council intends to prioritize the collection of information that is relevant, reliable, and for which existing data sources do not exist. Given the potential for implementation of catch shares in both the Central and Western GOA, the scope of the analysis should include participants in both management areas.

The Council further expressed the need to better understand the potential economic and employment impacts the proposed actions would have on specific job categories of persons in fishing, processing or administration of fishing operations, additional data are needed prior to the implementation of a GOA trawl bycatch catch share program to augment data that are currently available. The Council believed and NMFS agrees that baseline information proposed to be collected will provide a better understanding of the crew members that participate in the GOA trawl fishery, processing workers that work in plants processing trawl caught groundfish from the GOA, and these worker’s compensation in the time period before the Council develops a proposed GOA trawl bycatch catch share program.

The Council proposed and NMFS agrees that information on harvesting costs should focus on fuel and gear purchases by catcher vessels. Catcher/processor cost data would continue to be collected from a revised Amendment 80 EDR. Cost data collected as part of this amendment would be limited to track variables that might be expected to change significantly if management of the fishery should be operated under a catch share program in the future. For example, fuel use would be collected because it is a major component of variable costs, and gear purchases may provide insights into efforts to reduce PSC.

**7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.**

Not Applicable.

**8. Provide information on the PRA Federal Register Notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments.** **Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**

Public comment will be solicited in a proposed rule to be published in a ***Federal Register*** Notice.

A review by a small group of industry representatives took place in March, 2014. This review consisted of going over the EDR, checking the clarity and consistency of the questions.

The revised EDR will be presented to the Council at the April 2014 meeting for review and comments.

**9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.**

No payment or gift will be provided under this program.

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

The information collected is confidential under section 402(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act

(16 U.S.C. 1801, *et seq*.). It is also confidential under NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, which sets forth procedures to protect confidentiality of fishery statistics.

The treatment of confidential data by Pacific States for the trawl catcher/processor EDR would not be revised from the current Amendment 80 EDR. Authorized NMFS, DCA, and Council staff would have access to all data collected in the Trawl catcher/processor EDR, however this data could not be released through requests from FOIA or other public inquire, without aggregation to established standards. Data, including individual identifiers from the EDR for Amendment 80 QS permit holders and the Golden Fleece would not be converted to blind data for release to NMFS or the Council staff. This is consistent with the intent of the Council, and NMFS that all catcher/processors landing groundfish in the Western or Central GOA, including those that do not receive an Amendment 80 QS permit be required to submit an Amendment 80 EDR.

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.**

This information collection does not involve information of a sensitive nature.

**12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.**

Total estimated unique respondents: 25. Total estimated responses: 25. Total estimated time burden: 550 hr, increased from 500 hr. Total estimated personnel cost: $20,350, decreased from $50,000.

**13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-keepers resulting from the collection (excluding the value of the burden hours in #12 above).**

Total estimated miscellaneous costs: $25.

**14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.**

Total responses: 0. Total estimated time burden: 0 hr. Total personnel cost: $0. Total initial costs: $1,000. Total miscellaneous costs: $70,000.

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.**

Program change

This action combines the existing BSAI Amendment 80 EDR with a new GOA Groundfish Trawl Program. A few questions are added to discover information from the GOA. The resultant combined data would be used to establish a baseline for the broader trawl bycatch management program.

GOA Catcher/processor Trawl EDR and verification

 an increase of 50 hr in burden, 550 hr instead of 500 hrs

 a decrease of $29,650 in personnel costs, $20,350 instead of $50,000 (labor rate corrected).

**16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.**

The information collected will not be published. It is anticipated that the information collected will be disseminated in aggregated and non-confidential form to the public or used to support publicly disseminated information.

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.**

Not Applicable.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement.**

Not Applicable.