1Supporting Statement A

Rights-of-Way on Indian Land

OMB Control Number 1076-0XXX

Existing Information Collection in Use Without OMB Control Number

Terms of Clearance: None.

General Instructions

A completed Supporting Statement A must accompany each request for approval of a collection of information. The Supporting Statement must be prepared in the format described below, and must contain the information specified below. If an item is not applicable, provide a brief explanation. When the question "Does this ICR contain surveys, censuses, or employ statistical methods?" is checked "Yes," then a Supporting Statement B must be completed. OMB reserves the right to require the submission of additional information with respect to any request for approval.

Specific Instructions

Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.

This information collection is necessary for the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) to authorize rights-of-way to cross land held in trust or restricted status on behalf of individual Indians and tribes, for a specific purpose, including but not limited to building and operating a line or road. The statutory authority for this program is at 25 U.S.C. 323-328. The regulations at 25 CFR 169 implement the statutory authority.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection. Be specific. If this collection is a form or a questionnaire, every question needs to be justified.

BIA uses the information it collects to determine whether or not to grant a right-of-way, the value of the right-of-way, the appropriate compensation due to landowners, the amount of administrative fees that must be levied, and the penalties, if any, that should be assessed for violations of the right-of-way provisions.

The following chart shows these information collection requirements and how BIA uses the information.

CFR Cite	Information Collection Requirement	BIA Use of Information
169.102, 169.204, 169.207, 169.210	Submit application for a right-of-way, amendment, assignment, or mortgage. Application must identify: (1) The applicant; (2) The tract(s) or parcel(s) affected by the right-of-way; (3) The general location of the right-of-way; (4) The purpose of the right-of-way and whether it is a new right-of-way or a renewal, amendment, assignment, or mortgage of a right-of-way; (5) The duration of the right-of-way: and (6) The ownership of permanent improvements associated with the right-of-way and the responsibility for constructing, operating, maintaining, and managing permanent improvements under § 169.104.	BIA reviews the information to identify the applicant, the location, purpose, and duration of the right-of-way, and the ownership and responsibility for any permanent improvements associated with the right-of-way.
	(1) An accurate legal description of the right-of-way, its boundaries, and parcels associated with the right-of-way;	BIA uses this information to determine the location of the right-ofway.
	(2) A map of definite location of the right- of-way and existing facilities adjacent to the proposed project, signed by a professional surveyor or engineer (this requirement does not apply to easements covering the entire tract of land);	BIA uses this information to identify the location of the right-of-way in relation to existing facilities.
	(3) A bond meeting the requirements of § 169.103;	BIA uses this information to ensure there are sufficient resources to address any damage resulting from the right-of-way.
	(4) Record of consent for the right-of-way meeting the requirements of § 169.105 for tribal land, and § 169.106 for individually owned Indian land;	BIA uses this information to ensure the landowners authorize the right-ofway.
	(5) If applicable, a valuation meeting the requirements of § 169.110;	BIA uses this information to ensure the landowner receives fair market value, where appropriate.
	(6) If the applicant is a corporation,	BIA uses this information to

	T			
	limited liability company, partnership, joint	determine if the applicant is		
	venture, or other legal entity, except a	authorized and in good standing.		
	tribal entity, information such as			
	organizational documents, certificates,			
	filing records, and resolutions, that			
	demonstrates that:			
	(i) The representative has authority to			
	execute the application;			
	(ii) The right-of-way will be enforceable			
	against the applicant; and			
	(iii) The legal entity is in good standing			
	and authorized to conduct business in the			
	jurisdiction where the land is located			
	(7) Environmental and archeological	BIA uses this information to		
	reports, surveys, and site assessments, as	determine if a right-of-way should be		
	needed to facilitate compliance with	granted in compliance with applicable		
	applicable Federal and tribal environmental	laws.		
	and land use requirements.			
169.404	Provide notice of curing violation or	BIA uses this information to		
	adequate proof of payment	determine whether to pursue further		
		enforcement action.		
169.412	Respond to notice of trespass	BIA uses this information to		
		determine whether to pursue further		
		enforcement action.		
169.504	File copy of service line agreement and	BIA uses this information to ensure		
	plot with BIA.	authorization for the service line.		

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden and specifically how this collection meets GPEA requirements.

To the extent respondents provide information in written form, rather than orally, BIA accepts the information via fax or e-mail to reduce burden on respondents.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

There is a form, SF 299, for rights-of-way across Federal land; however, we cannot use it here because that form addresses Federal land, rather than Indian land, and does not include all the

information necessary for rights-of-way on Indian land. Most significantly, the SF 299 does not include information on the landowner, because the Federal Government is the landowner, whereas information on the beneficial landowners is necessary for Indian land to ensure that the requisite consent is obtained from landowners. This information collected under 25 CFR Part 169 is not duplicated in any other data collection.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

BIA consulted with the tribes and through various tribal-member non-governmental organizations to determine what information collection was necessary to ensure the fair and equitable administration of rights-of-way on Indian land. Through this consultation, the information collection burden has been minimized.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The information collection burden cannot be reduced any further without the integrity of the rights-of-way program being jeopardized. Information is collected, as needed, when an applicant seeks permission to cross trust or restricted land. If the collection is not conducted, or is conducted less frequently, the BIA will not be able to properly administer and monitor rights-of-way and trespass on Indian land.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
 - requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
 - * requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
 - * requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
 - requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than three years;
 - * in connection with a statistical survey that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
 - * requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
 - * that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
 - * requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information, unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are circumstances that require exceptions to 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

- The regulations in §169.111 require that compensation for the rights-of-way, where not a one-time, lump-sum payment, be paid by the dates specified in the right-of-way grant. While each right-of-way grant is specific and the regulations do not specify otherwise, there is a potential for responding to a notice of delinquency in less than 30 days.
- In §169.404, the grantee is given 10 business days from the receipt of the notice of violation to respond. This is an exception to the 30-day rule because time is of the essence in correcting violations of right-of-way grants. A 30-day period to respond would endanger the integrity of the grant instrument and possibly do irreparable damage to the corpus of the trust resource.
- 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and in response to the PRA statement associated with the collection over the past three years, and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every three years — even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The Department solicited input on a recently finalized leasing rule, on which this rights-of-way rule is based, and incorporated suggestions for minimizing burden in both rules.

In addition, we reached out to the following individual, who is a current right-of-way grantee: Susann Karnowski, Project Management Engineer, Minnesota Department of Transportation (contact information on file with BIA). Ms. Karnowski stated that the BIA office and tribe assisted in providing some of the application documentation and that nothing stands out as being unnecessary or burdensome. When asked whether BIA could make any changes to make the process of obtaining a right-of-way easier, Ms. Karnowski stated that providing guidance in simpler terms may be helpful for those not familiar with rights-of-way, but that otherwise it was a straight-forward process. BIA believes that the revision to the regulations, which will include a rewrite in "plain language" will serve as the guidance Ms. Karnowski suggested is needed.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No payments or gifts are provided to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

There is no assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents concerning this information collection.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There is a potential that some information collected will be of a sensitive nature. In §169.103, the grantee may be required to post a surety bond to secure performance and cover any damages under the right-of-way. This is necessary if the BIA is to properly administer the rights-of-way program on tribal and individual Indian land. If the grantee wants to obtain a loan, sensitive information will have to be submitted in order to decide if the loan can be approved.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:
 - * Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
 - * If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.
 - * Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here.

There are approximately 550 respondents to this information collection. The total number of responses and annual burden hours is shown in the table below.

IC §	Description of Requirement	Responses	Burden hours per response	Annual burden hours	Salary cost (multiplied by \$30.48)*
169.102, 169.204, 169.207, 169.210	Submit application for right-of-way, amendment, assignment or mortgage, and supporting documents.	2,200	1.0	2,200	\$67,056
169.404	Respond to notice of violation.	50	0.5	25	\$762
169.412	Respond to notice of trespass.	50	0.5	25	\$762
169.504	File service line agreement with BIA.	1,000	0.25	250	\$762
Total		3,300		2,500	\$ 69,342

^{*}To obtain the hourly rate for tribal government employees, we used \$21.77, the wages and salaries figure for all workers from BLS Release USDL 13-2349, *Employer Costs for Employee Compensation—December 2013*, Table 1, *Employer costs per hour worked for employee compensation and costs as a percent of total compensation: Civilian workers, by major occupational and industry group.* To account for benefits, we then multiplied this rate by 1.4 in accordance with BLS Release USDL 14-0390, to obtain a total rate of \$30.48. *See* http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ecec.pdf.

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual non-hour cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden already reflected in item 12.)
 - * The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information (including filing fees paid for form processing). Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.
 - * If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost

burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

* Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

There is a non-hour cost burden associated with this information collection to obtain a legal description and a survey signed by a professional engineer or surveyor. Together, these cost approximately \$1,000 for each of the 2,200 right-of-way requests each year, for an annual non-hour cost burden of \$2,200,000.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

We estimate the annual cost to the Federal government for processing right-of-way applications to be **\$10,874,600**. For each application, approximately \$3,943 represents 64 hours for a GS-12; and an additional \$1,000 per application covers overhead expenses, e.g., paperwork, travel, surveys and appraisals. Each application at a cost of \$4,943 x 2,200 applications = **\$10,874,600**. This estimate includes work to file a notice of violation, notice of trespass, or service line agreement.

*Using the Office of Personnel Management Salary Table 2014-DCB (Locality Pay Area of Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia), the salary rate for a GS-12/step 5 is \$61.61 including benefits (\$41.07 hourly rate multiplied by 1.5 to account for benefits). We calculated the benefits in accordance with BLS news release USDL 14-0390, December 2013 (http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ecec.pdf).

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments in hour or cost burden.

This is a change due to potential violation because these regulations were last updated before the Paperwork Reduction Act was passed; therefore, the information collection was in use without an OMB approval number.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending

dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

There is no intention to publish this information.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

We will display the OMB Control Number and the expiration date.

18. Explain each exception to the topics of the certification statement identified in "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."

There are no exceptions.