ATTACHMENT F

COGNITIVE INTERVIEW REPORT



COGNITIVE INTERVIEW REPORT 12-051

National Survey of Business Competitiveness September 2012

Prepared for

Timothy Wojan Resource and Rural Economics Division Economic Research Service, USDA (202) 694-5419

twojan@ers.usda.gov

Prepared by

Danna L. Moore, Ph.D. Principal Investigator

Yi Jen Wang, M.A. Study Director

and

Kent Miller, M.A. Study Director

on behalf of SESRC

SESRC

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC)
PO Box 644014
Washington State University

Washington State University Pullman, Washington 99164-4014 Telephone: (509) 335-1511

Fax: (509) 335-0116



NATIONAL SURVEY OF BUSINESS COMPETITIVENESS

SEPTEMBER 2012

ERSR10

Submitted by

Danna L. Moore, Ph.D. *Principal Investigator*

Yi Jen Wang, M.A. Study Director

and

Kent Miller, M.A. Study Director

Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
PO Box 644014; Wilson-Short Hall 133
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-4014
509-335-1511
509-335-0116 (fax)
SESRC@wsu.edu

Objectives: The primary purpose of this report is to summarize the findings

from the cognitive interview testing conducted on September 17

- 18, 2012 in the Pullman, WA and Moscow, ID area.

Method: Using both retrospective and concurrent interview methods to

test the paper questionnaire and the telephone script.

Timeframe: September 17 and 18, 2012

Sponsor: Timothy Wojan

Resource and Rural Economics Division Economic Research Service, USDA

355 E Street SW

Washington, DC 20024

(202) 694-5419

twojan@ers.usda.gov

Principal Investigator: Danna L. Moore, Ph.D.

Study Directors: Yi Jen Wang, M.A. and Kent Miller, M.A

SESRC Acronym: ERSR10

Deliverables: Brief summary, updated questionnaire, recruitment letter, and a

copy of the voice recording consent form.

Contents

SESRC Project Profile	i
I. Cognitive Interview Testing	1
Table 1. Characteristics of the Six Interviews	2
II. Interview Summary	2
Addendum I. Updated Questionnaire after the Interviews	18
Addendum II. Recruitment Letter Used for Cognitive Interviews	34
Addendum IIII. Audio Recording Consent Form	35

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

I. Cognitive Interview Testing Outline

I. Cognitive Interview Testing

On September 17th and 18th, 2012, a total of six cognitive interviews were conducted to test the National Survey of Business Competitiveness questionnaire for the USDA Economic Research Service's REIS project.

Four businesses were recruited to complete the paper questionnaire on September 17th. The first two interviews used a retrospective interview method, and the last two used a concurrent interview method. Two more businesses were recruited to complete a phone interview and a paper questionnaire on September 18th. A retrospective interview method was used for the phone interview and a concurrent interview method was used for the paper questionnaire. A retrospective method is when the respondents completes the questionnaire and then answers questions about it. A concurrent interview method is when the respondents answer the question while at the same time "thinking aloud" about the process s/he is going through to answer the questions.

All respondents (excluding the phone interview respondent) were given the recruitment letter which tells them the purpose of this interview and were asked to sign an audio recording consent form prior to the interview. They received a cash incentive of \$40 dollars as a token of appreciation after the interview was complete. The telephone interview respondent was read the confidentiality statement at the beginning of the phone interview and understood that the whole interview was recorded. His \$40 cash was mailed to him the day after he completed the interview.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the six interviews.

II. Interview Summary

Table 1. Characteristics of the Six Interviews

Interview #	Date	Length (minutes)	Interview Type	Mode of questionnaire	Respondent Gender
R1	9/17/12	27:09	Retrospective	Paper	Male
R2	9/17/12	27:00	Retrospective	Paper	Male
R3	9/17/12	19:25	Concurrent	Paper	Male
R4	9/17/12	Over 40	Concurrent	Paper	Male
R5	9/18/12	39:34	Retrospective	Telephone	Male
R6	9/18/12	47:18	Concurrent	Paper	Female

II. Interview Summary

Interview #1

Type of business: Cabinetry

9/17/12 11:00 AM

Respondent gender: Male (referred to as R1 below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Paper Questionnaire & Retrospective

Interview length: 27:09 minutes

Comments and findings from the interview

- 1. Q5: only area-oriented. Most of the factors not applicable to R1.
- 2. R1 identified the branching error on Q6.
- 3. The term "workforce" is understandable to R1.
- 4. For R1's business, no education is required for anyone. Work experience is crucial to them though—especially woodworking and equipment use.

II. Interview Summary

- 5. Q14 is directed for different types of business. R1 didn't know some of the options. They did use special kind of software but it was all about machinery operations and design layout. They did nothing over the internet except for buying stuff. Their business has a website but never tracks it. It shows customers work done for others.
- 6. Q24: They saw what happened regarding their work practices but they didn't really document them. No written procedures for if people get hurt. R1 felt that he and his guys knew how to do things although they didn't just give out a piece of paper as instructions. No customer satisfaction format form- it's all personal. If the customer was not satisfied, they would just come in/call and complain. They would work with customer.
- 7. Q26: Sometimes it did happen but that didn't come up very often and every complaint is responded to.
- 8. Q27: Question sounds ambiguous to R1. Due to the nature of their business (cabinetry), their products are not new as a concept. But R1 likes to think that they are getting better. They make modifications, so he thought that's improved from customers' point of view. However, whether he thinks it's significantly improved or not may not make any difference to the customers. Use processes and finish materials and finishes that are new.
- 9. Q28: Question doesn't really apply to R1's business. They are always building something that doesn't work out well. It's not like they were going into a product line and then abandoned it if it didn't work out. They got a new machine but that's not innovation.
- 10. Q41: R1 felt that the direction of the scale on this one is not consistent with others in the survey. Suggested change: A major problem-> A minor problemNo problem.
- 11. Q42: Suggested to add a Don't know option.
- 12. Q44: R1 felt like this question was targeted for larger business.

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

13. Q46: Again, question not related to R1's business and he didn't answer any on this question. Suggested if answered all NOs on Q45, skip to Q47.

Overall impression:

The questionnaire wasn't hard but some questions were found to be ambiguous. R felt his business was "small potatoes" and the questionnaire is more directed to bigger business. If the survey comes in the mail, it would take an incentive for R1 to do the survey. Also on the contact letter, R1 needs to know right away what the survey is trying to do *for* his business environment otherwise he probably won't answer the general questionnaire. R1 needs a reason to do it; otherwise it would be too time-consuming. Suggests moving benefit appeal or reasons to first few sentences in letter and introduction.

Questionnaire changes identified

- 1. Q5 Break out the single list of 16 factors related to business location into two questions: Q5 asks about 10 factors important for business location and Q5a asks about 6 factors making the community an attractive place to work.
- 2. Q6 branching change- now if Q6a=2 No, it skips to Q8.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Interview #2

Type of business: Auto Body Repair and Paint Services

9/17/12 1:15 PM

Respondent gender: Male (referred to as R2 below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Paper Questionnaire & Retrospective

Interview length: 27:00 minutes

Comments and findings from the interview

- 1. Overall impression: No questions are hard to answer but some don't apply to his business.
- 2. Q8a: For R2's business, body men and painters are considered professionals. Have specific skills, experience, and certifications to do the work.
- 3. Q13: They have written job description for every position even for janitors.
- 4. Q14: R2's business has a website that people can have a price check and give feedback on the website. They also spent a couple hundred dollars so the website would appear on top of Google search. The website also serves as advertising over the internet. They don't buy anything from the internet in terms of auto parts. They do subscribe monthly to use estimate software which is \$400 a month.
- 5. Q17e: question doesn't apply.
- 6. Q27: In the last 3 years, they are doing the same thing they've always done. They are not producing new products.
- 7. Q28: Question probably applies to larger companies.
- 8. Q34: R2 doesn't have any debt. If he had some, he would probably put down repay debt. And if he was younger, he would probably try innovation. But right now, he is just trying to hold on in this economy.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

9. Q38: R2 said that they didn't see the recession until now. They think it's because

we (this area) are on the "tail of the dog" here. [Interpreted as "Experience

recession later than the rest of the nation"]. This last year has been down [for

sales]. Kind of the same thing for businesses at this side. He also thinks that the

vitality of the local economy is a big problem right now.

10. When asked what keeps your business competitive, R2 said: reputation, honesty,

and "we do the job well", and good relationship with insurance companies.

Overall impression:

Survey experience was good. But if it comes in the mail, there's only 50% chance

that he'll do the survey. If there are some perceivable benefits, R2 might consider

doing the survey. The length was not too long particularly; however, a lot of the

questions don't apply. Their business doesn't have cutting edge technology but he

certainly likes to be competitive and have been trying things throughout the years.

Questionnaire changes identified

1. Decided to add to Q14j: "Computer software specifically designed for your

business or industry."

Interview #3

Type of business: Environmental Engineering

9/17/12 4:20 PM

Respondent gender: Male (referred to as R3 below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Paper Questionnaire & Concurrent

Overall length: 19:25 (for completing the questionnaire)

9

II. Interview Summary

Comments and findings from the interview

- 1. Q2: Although their business has been operating since 1981, they just moved into the current building. So R3 was unsure as to "what year" he should put here. The year in the area or the year he moved into this physical location.
- 2. Q7b, R3 needs a definition for the term "retirement plan."
- 3. Q14e: R3 wasn't sure if business website qualifies as web advertising.
- 4. Q19: R3 has customers in and outside of the community and he was unsure if he should check both. (Even if customers were not identified as very valuable in Q18, he ended up not checking any answers for the customer.)
- 5. Q44: R3 asked if he should still respond to "how important is this program" for each program if he didn't use it.
- 6. Q45: R3 asked if SBA qualifies for federal government.
- 7. Q8a: R3 identified employees with engineering/environmental/inspection licenses as professionals.
- 8. Q10: R3 thought it would be a lot easier to respond to if the question asks the number of employees instead of %.
- 9. Q12c&d sound redundant to R3.
- 10. Q14: R3's business is just getting into "iPad/tablet applications."
- 11. Q19, R3 has multiple answers for suppliers and customers but the survey only allows single choice. Also answered the survey wrong due to not reading the question closely.
- 12. Q27e: R3 wasn't sure what it meant but sure it didn't apply. (He still checked No though).
- 13. Q33: R3 didn't know the difference between d and g. For him, their business purchased designed apps for Pads, accounting system, etc.
- 14. Q42c: R3 needed definition. He hasn't seen any of them.
- 15. Q45: R3 clarified if personal credit card referred for business use.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Overall impression:

It's highly likely that he'll respond to this survey if it comes in the mail. He likes to

respond to this kind of survey and thinks it is a help to the business. He stated the

opinion that "The survey time was well spent on this questionnaire".

Questionnaire changes identified

1. Changed Q44 question to: "The final section of the survey is to assess which

types of government or government-sponsored programs are the most

helpful to businesses. If you used, please rate how important each program

has been for this business in the last 3 years." Also added wording "If used,"

just before the wording of "how important is this program?" on top of the

column as part of the header.

Interview #4

Type of business: Airport Services

9/17/12 5:30 PM

Respondent gender: Male (refer to as R4 in below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Concurrent

Overall length: unknown (over 40 minutes when stopped because R4 had service call)

Completed later and then picked up.

Comments and findings from the interview

11

II. Interview Summary

- 1. R4 was thoughtful and thought out loud as he answered the questionnaire.
- Q5h: marked somewhat important as they provide transportation services so they are not reliant for most transportation. Views all these items as very important for locating his business.
- 3. Q9: Did not know whether to include his pilots and flight instructors who are professionals in this average or not. Not included.
- 4. Q14: commented on the unreliability of broadband internet service at their location. Commented on the use of Quickbooks as important business software for them as a small business.
- 5. Q17 & Q18: mentioned that his young employees have taught them and encouraged them to increase technology use and information use. Now have an on-line way for pilots to schedule airplanes for rent and use from his business.
- 6. Q19: commented that he needed to check more than one of the items for customers and it was not clear from the questionnaire if he could do that for distances of sources. He had customers in all of the distances that were very valuable.
- 7. Q31: indicated he did not monitor his competitors.
- 8. Q34: asked if he was to interpret question as: "if money were to drop from the sky—how would I use it". That was how he answered it.
- 9. Q41: until just very recently availability of high speed reliable internet was a problem, had to use DSL.
- 10. Indicated in margins that Aviation fuel did not have a sales tax on it in Idaho however he rated state and local tax rate as a major problem.
- 11. Q42: did not understand what was meant by "activity level". Indicated in margins that Banks were a pain to deal with and rated them as not active and not present.

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Overall impression:

Easy to complete questionnaire. Answered all questions. Found questions relevant.

Questionnaire changes identified

Asked for definitions in some places and whether to include professional pilots in hourly wage or not.

Definitions needed:

- 1. Who should be categorized as "professional". Include pilots and flight instructors. These are large portion of his workforce.
- 2. Activity level on Q42.

Overall comments on innovation at end of questionnaire: "We have had innovation that would sell in aviation industry, but have not had the time or training to carry through with the development. Sometimes you need to hire the job done to see it get done."

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Interview #5

Type of business: Bolt-on hybrid technology for trucks

9/18/12 11:30 AM

Respondent gender: Male (refer to as R5 in below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Phone & Retrospective

Overall length: 39:34 minutes

Comments and findings from the interview

1. Q8b: Need definition for "professional" for the phone interview.

2. Q34: wordings sound awkward on the phone.

3. R5's business hasn't gone to the operation yet. It is still in development phase although they are spending money, buying knowledge, hiring lawyers, etc. However, due to their unique situation, the part that they are not producing anything yet is hard to answer.

Overall impression:

Questionnaire sounds good, no question was invasive. It was a bit too long.

Questionnaire changes identified

- 1. Changed "your business" to "this business" throughout the questionnaire.
- 2. Q10: added a "Number of employees" column.
- 3. Q20: added "Check here if you did not have any sales in 2011."
- 4. Q21a: added "Check here if you did not have any sales in 2007."
- 5. Q25: Reversed categories.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

- 6. Q26: Reversed categories.
- 7. Q42: Reversed categories.
- 8. Q42: Added a "Don't know" column.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Interview #6

Type of business: Mechanical Engineering

9/18/12 3:30 AM

Respondent gender: Female (referred to as R6 below)

Cognitive Interview Type: Paper Questionnaire & Concurrent

Overall length: 47:18 minutes

Comments and findings from the interview

1. R6 needed more space on Q1 and Q3.

2. Q5: R6 suggested make DK and NA gray out or more standout on the side. Response categories should be reversed so it reads Very important->Somewhat important->Not important. Also suggested to collapse choices by their categories to separate the choices more. Increase separation between legitimate answers and the "missing data options".

- 3. Q5d: Question needs to emphasize "locating" more. Underline or make larger bolder font.
- 4. Q7: R6 suggested add paternity leave to Q7d since most employees in their company are male. Some men also take time off when child is born under family leave act.
- 5. Q9: R6 wasn't sure how to answer this since their workforce were all managers and professionals (licensed professional Engineers). The only one was not was the secretary. That was the only person considered for her answer on the hourly rate of workforce. Need definition as to what is considered to be professional and what to do if professionals are your primary workforce.
- 6. Q12: R6's answer was actually referred to their managers and professionals.
- 7. Q12ab: Question was unclear to R6 so she didn't answer.

II. Interview Summary

- 8. Q17d: Question unclear. Lack of knowledge of what?
- 9. Q18: Question unclear.
- 10. Q20 & Q21a: For many rural area, you don't get anywhere within one hour drive. R6 suggested that we consider increasing the local distance measure to 2-3 hour drive.
- 11. Q34: R6 had no debt but no NA category to check. She checked Not at all likely and worried that it might be interpreted as she had debt but didn't want to repay it.
- 12. Q36a: R6's business didn't apply for patents itself but did collaborate with other companies and helped them with obtaining patents. Suggested to add words like "provide substantially for another company to apply."
- 13. Q41b: Vitality of local economy is a minor problem for their company. However, finding a job for their professional engineer workers' spouses has been a major problem, which doesn't show in the choices.
- 14. Q44: R6 wanted more information on these programs. Suggested we offer a web link to find out more about all of these programs for businesses. She suggested that might be an incentive to participate in the survey.
- 15. Q45 & Q46: Suggested to add instructions if all NOs on Q45, they should skip to Q47.

Overall impression:

Questionnaire is well organized and looks professional.

Questionnaire changes identified

- 1. Q7 item d. expanded to "Offer paid maternity or paternity leave"
- 2. Q22: added "Check here if you did not have any sales in 2011."
- 3. Q45/Q46: Added instruction to skip Q46 if all answers in Q45 are "no."

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

- 4. Q9: Changed question to read For 2011, what is your best estimate of the average hourly wage for your non-salaried workers at this location?
- 5. Q21a Check box should line up with the abc options below.

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

II. Interview Summary

Overall findings from the testing:

- 1. A particular focus of the cognitive interviewing was to assess the workability of the auxiliary questions (Q13-Q13b, Q14, Q24-Q26, Q28, Q34, and Q37) that will be used to differentiate substantive innovators from nominal or claimed innovators. Q34 is a hypothetical question that has never been used before, asking about potential uses of surplus funds. All of the Rs answered this question easily. The pilot study will need to assess how easily this question is answered by Rs in very large establishments. The other questions on data driven decision-making related to management practices (Q13-Q13b, Q24-Q26) and technology (Q14) were also easily understood and answered. In this very small sample, responses to the auxiliary questions effectively distinguished the substantive innovators (R3, R5 and R6) from the nominal innovators (R1, R2, and R4).
- 2. The attempt to define an equivalent to production workers in manufacturing by defining "workforce" as all workers excluding managers and professionals is not appropriate for many service-producing industries. The definition of "workforce" is dropped. Q9 now asks about average wages of non-salaried workers regardless of occupation type. Q10 now asks about the occupational composition of the business and Q10a asks about the minimum educational requirement by OMB occupational group. This will provide better information that should be easier to answer as the response is now regarding qualities by well-defined class of employee rather than qualities of a potentially ambiguous single group of employees.
- 3. Respondents did not pay close attention to Q19 and all answered the survey question incorrectly. To make "very valuable" stand out more: the response categories are now qualified as "providing very valuable information."

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051


II. Interview Summary

- 4. Also for Q19, some respondents have checked multiple answers for one source and our current questionnaire (for phone and web) only allows single response. We changed this into a multiple response category on the phone and web.
- 5. Questions 36-36b that originally asked about patents the establishment applied for is modified to include participation in patent applications.
- 6. Several Rs had problems understanding Q42 which used a general "indicate the activity level" construct to correspond with two different types of activities in the question items. To resolve this problem, the question was separated into two questions, the first asking "How involved in promoting businesses" and the second asking "How much civic leadership does THIS business provide in the community?"
- 7. To reduce burden on the substantial number of respondents with no debt or no borrowing in the past 3 years, Q45 now screens borrowers who go on to complete the list of sources of funds from non-borrowers who skip to Q47.
- 8. We need to give the respondent a reason to do the survey. Incentives are always welcome and if we want to convince the respondents to do it, it must be presented in the first paragraph in the contact letter. They are interested in knowing how the survey will benefit them if they choose to do it.
- 9. To accommodate establishments with employees that are still in the development stage, branching in the phone and web survey will avoid questions about sales or shipments after the first occurrence. In the mail survey, all questions regarding sales or shipments will provide a check box if the establishment had no sales or shipments.

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

Addendum

Addendum I. Updated Questionnaire after the Interviews



National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

Addendum

OMB control number 0563-0078 Expires (xx/xx/xxxx)

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The valid OMB number for this information collection is 5663-0078. The time required to complete this information is estimated to average 35 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

YOUR RESPONSE IS VOLUNTARY. YOUR ANSWERS TO ALL QUESTIONS ARE CONFIDENTIAL. All information that is provided by participants to the National Survey of Business Competitiveness will only be used for statistical research purposes and reported in summary form. Your name and that of the business you represent will not be connected to your answers in any way. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection provisions of Title V, Subtitle A, Public Law 107-347 and other applicable Federal laws, individual responses will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed in identifiable form to anyone outside of the research team. By law, every ERS and SESRC employee is subject to a jail term of up to 5 years, a fine of up to \$250,000 or both if he/she discloses any identifiable information about research participants.

Photo credit for cover photos: Shutterstock.com

			job title		
ximately what year did the business at	this location	on begin op	erating?		
year					
s the main product or service at this b	usiness loca	ition?			
his husiness have only one location or	mara than	ono locatio	.n2		
ly one location > Skip to question 5					
(If more than one location) Is this local location?	ation the bu	ısiness's he	adquarters	or is it a bra	nch
\square_1 Headquarters \square_2 Branch location					
R	170	business in	this commu	i nity? (Chec	k the
rtor .	Not important ▼	important	6.5	Not applicable	Don't know ▼
ner-ties to areaailability of low-cost labor	-	\square_2 \square_2	□ ₃ □ ₃		\Box_5 \Box_5 \Box_5
	is the main product or service at this be the business have only one location or location (If more than one location) Is this location? □ Headquarters □ Branch location mportant is each of these factors for location location for each factors for location loc	is the main product or service at this business local his business have only one location or more than ly one location Skip to question 5 ore than one location (If more than one location) Is this location the bullocation? Headquarters Branch location mportant is each of these factors for locating this located level of importance for each factor.)	is the main product or service at this business location? this business have only one location or more than one location by one location Skip to question 5 ore than one location (If more than one location) Is this location the business's he location? I Headquarters I Branch location Important is each of these factors for locating this business in printer level of importance for each factor.) Not Somewhat important important important important important	this business have only one location or more than one location? If yone location Skip to question 5 ore than one location (If more than one location) Is this location the business's headquarters location? If Headquarters If Headquarters in Headquar	year is the main product or service at this business location? his business have only one location or more than one location? ly one location → Skip to question 5 ore than one location (If more than one location) Is this location the business's headquarters or is it a bra location? □₁ Headquarters □₂ Branch location mportant is each of these factors for locating this business in this community? (Checoriate level of importance for each factor.) Not Somewhat Very important i

5a. How important is each of these factors for making this community an attractive place to work? (Check the appropriate level of importance for each factor.)

				0.00.20			
	Fac	tor	Not important ▼	Somewhat important	Very important ▼	Not applicable	Don't know ▼
	b. Sce c. Clin d. Acc e. Qua	oortunities for outdoor recreation nic beauty (e.g., natural or architectura nateess to arts and entertainment	al) \square_1 \square_1 \square_1	$ \begin{array}{c} \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \end{array} $		\Box_4 \Box_4 \Box_4 \Box_4 \Box_4 \Box_4 \Box_4	□ ₅
6.		vas the average number of employees ne workers at this location?	on your pa	yroll in 201	2, includin	g all full-time	e and
	6a.	_ # of employees Were there workers at this business, contractors, or temporary workers? □₁ Yes □₂ No → Skip to question 7 6b. (If yes) What was the average					penden
		# of workers					
7.	During	the past 12 months, did this business.			Γ		lo 7
	b. Offe c. Pay d. Offe e. Hav	er a health insurance option for any en er a retirement plan for employee education, professional er paid maternity, paternity, or family l er an employee-ownership plan er paid time off for employees to volun	developme eave	nt or trainir	ıg	$\begin{array}{cccc} \square_1 & \square \\ \square_1 & \square \end{array}$] ₂
8a.		any employees are managers at this lo	ocation? (/	Managers a	re workers	who direct o	r
		_ # of managers					
8b.	How m	any employees are professionals? (Pr	ofessionals	are employ	ees such as	s engineers, o	r
		_ # of professionals					

9.	For 2012, what is your best estimate of the average hourly wage for non-salaried workers at this location?					
	average hourly wage					
	☐ Check here if you do not have ar	ny non-salaried v	vorkers.			
10.	In 2012, at this location, what perc categories? (Please answer in either 100%.)				-	
	Occupational category			Number o Employee ▼	11	
	a. Management and professional					%
	b. Services				1	%
	c. Sales and office support			anti propries propries a m	-	%
	d. Natural resources, constructione. Production, transportation, and				-	% %
	e. Troduction, transportation, and	material movin	в		= 10	
10a.	For each occupational category when the appropriate level of education f				eded in 201	12? (Check
		Less than	At least	At least	At least	More than
	Occupational category	high school		Assoc degree/	four-year	four-year
		or no specific education	or GED	vocational certification	college degree	college degree
		▼ education	▼	▼	vegree	uegree ▼
	a. Management and professional	□1	\square_2	□₃	\square_4	\square_5
	b. Services		\square_2	□₃	\square_4	□₅
	c. Sales and office support	167	\square_2	□₃	\square_4	\square_5
	d. Natural resources, construction maintenance	S 22	\square_2		\square_4	
	e. Production, transportation, and	-	Ш2	Ш3	Ш4	Ш5
	material moving		\square_2	Пз	\square_4	\square_5
11.	Is any part of the workforce union	zed or covered	by a collectiv	ve bargaining a	igreement	?
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No					
12.	In the last 3 years, how difficult ha including managers and profession		qualified ap	plicants for yo	ur workfoi	ce, not
	□ ₁ Very difficult □ ₂ Somewhat difficult □ ₃ Not difficult → Skip to question	n 13				
		- E				

	12	2a. (If very or somewhat difficult) Why has it been difficult to find qualified appl workforce? Is it Yes	No
		a. Quality of the labor pool	\square_2 \square_2 \square_2 \square_2 \square_2
13.	Do	es this business have written position descriptions?	
	_	Yes No → Skip to question 14	
	13	3a. Are training requirements documented in those position descriptions?	
		\Box_1 Yes \Box_2 No \rightarrow Skip to question 14	
		13b. Does this business track whether employees complete or if they have completed these training requirements?	already
		\square_1 Yes \square_2 No	
14.	Are	e the following technologies currently used at this business?	N-
	a.	Yes ▼ Personal computers/laptops, not including smartphones	$ \begin{array}{c c} No \\ \hline \end{array} $ If no, $ \Box_2 \xrightarrow{\text{skip to}} $ question
	b.	Broadband or high speed internet \square_1	□ ₂ 17
	c.	Sale of products or services over the internet (e-commerce) \square_1	\square_2
	d.	Supplies purchased over the internet (e-procurement) \square_1	\square_2
	e.	Web advertising \square_1	\square_2
	f.	Direct e-mail marketing \square_1	\square_2
	g.	Social media (e.g., LinkedIn or Facebook) \square_1	\square_2
	h.	Business issued smartphones to employees \square_1	\square_2
	į.	RFID readers, barcode or optical scanners (e.g., Radio Frequency	
		Identification) \square_1	\square_2
	j.	Computer software specifically designed for your business or industry \square_1	\square_2
	k.	An integrated enterprise resource planning system (e.g., SAP or Microsoft	
		Dynamics, or Oracle Applications that include accounting, logistics,	_
		human resources, sales management, along with other functions)	\square_2
	l. m	Stand alone supply chain, logistics management software	\square_2 \square_2
	111.	Juliu alone customer relationship management software	L 2

15 .	What percent of the sale of products or services comes from th	e internet	?		
	☐ Check here if you do not sell any products or services over the	internet.			
	% of sales from internet				
16.	What percent of your workforce, not including managers and p daily basis?	rofessiona	als, uses com	puters on a	а
	% of workforce use a computer				
17.	Which of the following factors have limited this business's use communications technology?	of informa	ation and		
			Yes	No	
	a. The cost of equipment and software			▼	
	b. The cost of information and communications services		П		
	c. Lack of access to adequate broadband or high speed interne		□₁		
	d. Lack of knowledge			\square_2	
	e. Difficulty integrating new technologies into the current way		1000000 000 00000	· · · · · · ·	
	you do business		□₁	\square_2	
18.	Businesses obtain information about new opportunities or new		laina thinas	fuam mani	
10.	sources. Which sources have been most valuable for this firm?		aoing unings	irom many	
	Sources. Willer sources have been most valuable for this infinit	Not at all	Somewhat	Very	
	Sources of new information	valuable	valuable	valuable	
	a. Suppliers	🗖 1	▼ □₂	Ū ₃	
	b. Customers		\Box_{2}^{2}		
	c. Other business people in your industry		\square_2	□₃	
	d. Other business people NOT in your industry		\square_2	\square_3	
	e. Business/trade association conferences or publications		\square_2	\square_3	
	f. Your own employees		\square_2	\square_3	
	g. Media (e.g., newspapers, television, internet)	□₁	\square_2	\square_3	
	h. Private consultants	□₁	\square_2	\square_3	
	i. University extension, community colleges, or business schoo	ls □1	\square_2	\square_3	
19.	Of the sources identified as very valuable, where are they local	ed?			
	In Outside o	ommunity	Beyond a		1
	1001	nin a	reasonable	Not	I
	30	ble drive	drive	Applicable	I
		▼	▼ Ⅱ	_	I
			□₃	\square_4	
		\beth_2	\square_3	\square_4	
	c. Other business people in your industry	-	_		
		I_2	\square_3	\square_4	
	d. Other business people NOT in your	1			
	industry providing valuable information \square_1	\beth_2	\square_3	\square_4	

20.	Approximately what percent of 2012 final shipments or billed services went to customers (Total should equal 100%.)
	☐ Check here if you did not have any sales in 2012.
	a. Locally, within a reasonable drive
21.	Was this location in business in 2007?
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \rightarrow Skip to question 22
	21a. What is your best guess of the percent of 2007 final shipments or billed services that went to customers (<i>Total should equal 100%.</i>)
	☐ Check here if you did not have any sales in 2007.
	 a. Locally, within a reasonable drive
22.	In 2012, what percent of goods and services sold by this business were sold to (Total should equal 100%.)
	☐ Check here if you did not have any sales in 2012.
	a. Other businesses
23.	Is the current market for your products or services
	□ ₁ Growing □ ₂ Stable □ ₃ Declining □ ₄ Mixed (i.e., some are declining, others growing) □ ₅ Uncertain
24 .	Does this business require employees to document good work practices and lessons learned?
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No

25 .	How often does this business monitor customer satisfaction through analysis of complaints, customer satisfaction surveys, focus groups, or other methods?				
	\square_1 Never \square_2 Occasionally \square_3 Regularly				
26.	How often are processes changed to fix problems indentified through custon	ner co	mplaints?		
	\square_1 Never \square_2 Occasionally \square_3 Regularly				
27.	In the last 3 years did this business		Not		
	Yes	No ▼	applicable		
	 a. Produce any new or significantly improved goods	\square_2 \square_2	□ ₃ □ ₃		
	of manufacturing or producing goods or services \square_1	\square_2	\square_3		
	 d. Introduce new or significantly improved logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for your inputs, goods, or services □₁ e. Introduce new or significantly improved support activities 	\square_2	\square_3		
	for your processes \square_1	\square_2	Пз		
	f. Introduce new or significant improvements in your marketing methods \square_1	\square_2	Пз		
28.	In the last 3 years, did this business have any improvement or innovation ac	tivities	that were		
		Yes	No ▼		
	a. Abandonedb. Incomplete		\square_2 \square_2		
29 .	Did you check "Yes" to any answers in question 27 or question 28?	Ш1	Δ2		
[□₁ Yes → Skip to question 30 on the next page □₂ No 29a. Please tell us why improvement or innovation activities have not bee	en nece	essary or		
	possible.				

If you answered 'yes' to question 29, continue to question 30 on the next page.

If you answered 'no' to question 29 and answered 29a, skip to question 35 on page 11.

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

30.	In 2012, did this business sell any new or significantly improved <i>goods or services</i> with the following improvements?				
	Tollowing improvements:	Yes ▼	No ▼		
	a. Improved performance	□ ₁ □ ₁ □ ₁	$ \Box_2 $		
31.	In the last 3 years, did this business start selling any new or significantly imposervices <i>before</i> your competitors in at least one of your markets? (<i>Include a pavailable in another market.</i>)				
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \square_3 Uncertain				
32.	In 2012, what percent of this business's sales came from new or significantly services? (If none, enter zero.)	improved <i>g</i>	oods or		
	%				
33 .	In the last 3 years, did this business engage in any of the following innovation	า-related act	tivities?		
		Yes ▼	No ▼		
	In-house research and development (R&D) to increase knowledge or devise innovations	□1	\square_2		
	or other branches of this business	□1	\square_2		
	of product or packagingd. Purchase design services		\square_2 \square_2		
	e. Purchase machinery, equipment, computers or software to implement innovations		\square_2		
	f. Purchase or license patents or inventions to implement innovations	. □1	\square_2		
	g. Purchase knowledge or expertise to implement innovations	□₁	\square_2		
	Plan, engineer, design, or conduct other development work to implement innovations Train staff to develop or introduce innovations		\square_2		
	j. Market research, advertising, and other marketing activities linked to implementing innovations		□ ₂		

34.	In the current environment, if excess cash were avail	able, how likely is it th	at these fo	unds would
	be used to			
		Not at all	Doole - b.b.	Most
		likely •	Probably ▼	definitely ▼
	a. Provide additional training of employees	🗓	Ū ₂	Ū₃
	b. Repay debt		\square_2	\square_3
	c. Provide a reserve or cushion		\square_2	\square_3
	d. Fund additional innovation projects	□1	\square_2	□₃
	e. Fund additional investment projects,			
	such as replacing old equipment or for expansion .	□₁	\square_2	\square_3
35 .	Danaski kurinan mada a mada	:		
33.	Does this business produce products or provide servi	ces in any of the five g	Yes	No No
			Tes	▼
	a. Produce renewable energy		□₁	\square_2
	b. Increase energy efficiency		□₁	\square_2
	c. Conserve natural resources			\square_2
	d. Prevent, reduce, or clean up pollution			\square_2
	e. Produce clean transportation fuels		□1	\square_2
36 .	In the last 3 years did this business participate in any	patent applications?		
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \rightarrow Skip to question 37 \square_3 Don't know \rightarrow Skip to question 37			
	36a. In the last 3 years how many patent application	ons did this business p	articipate	in?
	# of patent applications			
	36b . In the last 3 years how many patent application	ons were successful?		
	# of successful patent applications			
37 .	In the last 3 years did this business			
			Yes	No
	Books and the second second		1 🔻	▼ 1
	a. Register an industrial design			
	b. Register a trademark			
	c. Produce materials eligible for copyrightd. Use trade secret protections (e.g. non-disclosure a		⊔₁	\square_2
	non-compete clauses or sought remedies for misa	- "	Пи	\square_2
	non compete clauses of sought remedies for fillsa	ppropriation,	ш	L 2

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

38 .	During the economic recession (2008-2009) period, to what exresources to innovate?	tent did this	business o	ommit
	\square_1 Increased resources for innovation activities \square_2 There was no change in innovation resources \square_3 Delayed or decreased resources for innovation \square_4 Not applicable, e.g. not in business at that time			
39 .	Compared to 2012, in this current year (2013) would you say rebusiness have been	esources for	innovation	n at this
	\square_1 Increased \square_2 Kept the same \square_3 Decreased			
40 .	Over the last three years has this business		Yes	No ▼
41.	a. Increased variety of goods or services offered		D ₁	D ₂
71.	much of a problem it is for this business's ability to compete.	ion. For eacr	i one pieas	e tell us no
	Factor	Not a problem	A minor problem	A major problem ▼
	a. Zoning or development regulations	□ ₁ □ ₁ □ ₁	$ \begin{array}{c} \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \\ \square_2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3 \end{array} $
	f. Access to transportation/freight forwarding facilities and services		$ \begin{array}{c} \square_2\\ \square_2\\ \square_2\\ \square_2\\ \square_2\\ \square_2\\ \square_2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3\\ \square_3 \end{array} $
	I. Quality of primary and secondary schools	□1	\Box_2 \Box_2 \Box_2	□ ₃ □ ₃ □ ₃

42. How involved in promoting business are the following institutions in your community	42.	How involved in	n promoting	business are	the following	institutions in	vour community
---	-----	-----------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------

						_		
			Not at all active/ S Not present ▼	Somewhat active ▼	Very active ▼	Don't know ▼		
		Local government development effort 1. Village, town or city	□ ₁ □ ₁ .e) □ ₁	$ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $	\square_3 \square_3 \square_3 \square_3	□ ₄ □ ₄ □ ₄		
		College, university or extension support for local business	□ ₁ ons □ ₁	$ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $ $ \Box_2 $	\square_3 \square_3 \square_3 \square_3	□ ₄ □ ₄ □ ₄		
4 2 a	Но	w much civic leadership does THIS business prov	vide in the co	mmunity?				
		None Some A lot						
43.	Do	you have a good understanding of the decisions	that led to th	e founding	of this bus	iness?		
		Yes No → Skip to question 44 on the next page						
	43a. (If Yes) Was the business originally founded around a new or customized product or service that was created by one of the founders of the business?							
		\Box_1 Yes \Box_2 No \rightarrow Skip to question 44 on the next p \Box_3 Don't know \rightarrow Skip to question 44 on the						
		43b. (If Yes) Thinking about this new or cooriginally developed?	ustomized pro	duct or ser	vice, why v	was it		
		\Box_1 One of the founders created it for \Box_2 One of the founders created it for \Box_3 One of the founders identified a \Box_3	use at a prev	ious job or	business			

SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

Addendum

44. The final section of the survey is to assess which types of government or government-sponsored programs are the most helpful to businesses. If you used, please rate how important each program has been for this business in the last 3 years.

			If Used, how important			rtant		
		Used? was this		s program?				
					1	Not	Somewhat	Very
		Program	Ye	s No	L	Important	Important	Important
			•	•		•	•	•
	a.	Direct loans from a government agency (e.g.,	_	_		-	-	-
		USDA B&I Direct Loan)	Ц	₁ \square_2	••••	□₁	\square_2	\square_3
	b.	Government insurance or guarantee for loans						
		(e.g., SBA 7(a) Loan Program)	🗆	₁ \square_2		□₁	\square_2	\square_3
	C.	Revolving loan funds run by a nonprofit or						
		government organization	□	₁ \square_2		□₁	\square_2	\square_3
	d.	Tax incentives by state and/or local government,						
		including enterprise zones, urban revitalization						
		areas, Tax Increment Financing districts	П	1 D2		□₁	\square_2	\square_3
	e.	Government-assisted industrial parks or business						
		incubators	П	₁ \square_2		□₁	\square_2	□₃
	f.	Government-funded technology assistance						
		programs	🗆	1		□₁	\square_2	\square_3
	g.	Government-assisted worker-training programs				□₁	\square_2	□₃
	h.	National Innovation Marketplace				□₁	\square_2	
						-		3
45.	Dic	this business try to borrow money for any purpo	se o	ver the p	as	st three ye	ars?	
		□ Vos						
		□₁ Yes						
		□₂ No → Skip to question 47 on the next pa	_	_				
		□ ₃ Don't know → Skip to question 47 on the	e nex	ct page				

45a. Please indicate the sources you tried to borrow from below. If you did try to borrow money from a source, how much of the funding did you receive?

Tried to borrow \$? If Yes, amount received?

	Tr	ied to I	oorrow \$?	If Ye	es, amoun	received
		Yes	No	All	Some	None
			▼	\blacksquare	•	▼
a.	Commercial bank	□₁	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
b.	Savings & Loan or credit union	□₁	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
c.	Finance or leasing company	□₁	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
d.	Insurance or mortgage company	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
e.	Family or friends	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
f.	Federal, state or local government	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
g.	Credit or advance from a customer	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	Пз
h.	Angel capital funding	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
i.	Venture capital funding	□₁	□ ₂	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
j	Personal sources of funds (other than credit cards)	□₁	□ ₂	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
k.	Personal home equity loan	□₁	□ ₂	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
l.	Other personal loan	□1	\square_2	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
m.	Personal credit card	□₁	\square_2			

46.	How were the funds this business borrowed or wanted to borrow to be used?
	a. Cash flow or operating costs □1 □2 b. Real estate or structures □1 □2 c. Replacement of old industrial plant location, equipment or vehicles □1 □2 d. Investment in additional plant, equipment or vehicles □1 □2 e. Repayment of debt □1 □2 f. Reserve or cushion □1 □2 g. Inventory □1 □2 h. Fund innovation projects □1 □2 i. Investment in intangible assets such as branding, training, or design □1 □2
47.	Over the past 3 years, were business profits (retained earnings) used to finance the business?
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \rightarrow Skip to question 48
	47a. Compared with borrowed funds, how important were business profits for funding investment?
	\square_1 More important \square_2 Less important \square_3 Equally important \square_4 Don't know/Not applicable
48 .	Which of the following best describes your current position?
	□₁ Mid level manager □₂ Senior manager □₃ Executive/owner □₄ Other, please describe:
49.	Which statement best describes your familiarity with how innovation is carried out in this business?
	□1 Not familiar □2 Slightly familiar □3 Moderately familiar □4 Strongly familiar □5 Completely familiar

50.	What is your gender?	
	\square_1 Male \square_2 Female	
51.	How long have you been employed at this business?	
	years employed	
52.	That is my last question. If you have any additional comments about this survey or innovation general, please write them in the box below.	n in

Thank you!! Please return your completed questionnaire to:

National Survey of Business Competitiveness Social & Economic Sciences Research Center Washington State University PO Box 641801 Pullman, WA 99164-1801

- 16 -

Addendum II. Recruitment Letter

Dear Business Owner/Manager,

You are part of a vital but often unrecognized part of the economy – the small business community – and the USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) wants to hear from you.

National Survey of Business Competitiveness SESRC Cognitive Testing Summary 12-051

Addendum

ERS, an independent government agency, is contacting small businesses throughout the United States to understand the challenges that businesses such as yours currently face.

ERS is tasked with understanding the linkages of what keeps businesses vital and thriving with available resources. That information is then used by USDA to develop programs to help businesses. Therefore it is very important to learn about the challenges you face and what is needed for businesses to stay effective.

ERS is a vital source of information that helps communities, businesses, and state and local governments invest, provide services and plan for the future. With statistics and information on rural businesses the ERS enables decision makers and political officials to appropriately fund and develop programs that further small business development in rural communities and take other actions that lead to the long term health of the economy.

The Social and Economic Sciences Research Center (SESRC) is conducting the survey for ERS and plans to have an in-depth interview with a small number of local businesses first to identify any problems or issues in questions, wordings or format visual design.

If you agree to participate, you will be asked to complete the survey questionnaire. Observers from the SESRC will also be there to take notes and interview you further after the interview in order to get your input on how the questionnaire could be improved. The interview will between 30 to 60 minutes, and we will give you \$40 as a token of our appreciation for your help in this important study.

Danna L. Moore, Ph.D. Interim Director Social and Economic Sciences Research Center Washington State University

Contact us at 509-335-4169 or by e-mail at danawang@mail.wsu.edu. Thank you for contributing to this study of our nation's small businesses.

Addendum III. Audio Recording Consent Form

Washington State University Voice Social and Economic Sciences Research Center	Recordings Consent Form	
Center (SESRC) at Washington State Universit understand comments and questions related to	hereby grant permission to the Social and Economic Sciences by (WSU) to have voice recordings made for SESRC Research purposes business.	
Any other use of images and/or recordings, my	name, and/or interview comments requires advance permission.	
We understand that we can revoke this consent copy of the denial (below) for use of images or v	at any time upon notice to SESRC, at which time either or both of us voice recordings.	will sign a
We agree to use of voice recordings as set forth a	above:	
Signature of Participant	 Date	
Signature of Witness (required)	 Date	
We do not agree to use of digital images or voice	e recordings as set forth above:	
Signature of Participant	 Date	
Signature of Witness (required)	 Date	



Social & Economic Sciences Research Center
Washington State University
P.O. Box 644014

Pullman, Washington 99164-4014 Telephone: (509) 335-1511 Fax: (509) 335-0116

> http://www.sesrc.wsu.edu sesrc@wsu.edu