Section 2: Rural Innovation Fund (RIF) Evaluation Data Collection Tools

This section provides a facsimile of the RIF Telephone Survey Instrument, the RHED Telephone Survey Instrument, and the Site Visit Discussion Guide, as well as the relevant material that will precede or accompany the survey. We will conduct this survey with all 51 RIF grantees who received funding from the FY 2010 NOFA and approximately 50 RHED grantees who received awards between 2005 and 2009.

2.1 RIF/RHED Telephone Survey Instruments and Procedures

2.1.1 Proposed Pre-Survey Procedures & Sample Selection Criteria

For the RIF telephone surveys, it will be necessary to identify a "primary respondent" from each grantee who will respond to the survey. We will begin the process by identifying the grantee coordinator or administrator from the administrative files, grant applications, and more recent reports from the grantee. These files and access to the reports have already been provided the RIF program and we will develop a list of these individuals prior to beginning the telephone interviews. We will then ask the RIF Program Office to initiate contact with the grantee to schedule an appropriate time to hold the interview. The local HUD Field Office will also be notified at this time.

For the RHED telephone survey, we will use the selection criteria spelled out below and in Section 4.B. to identify a purposive sample of recent RHED grant recipients. Despite the difficulties in comparing the responses between interviewing the universe of grant recipients – the RIF interview sample – and a purposive sample of grantees – the RHED interview sample – we believe this approach best compensates for the lack of consistent administrative program data, particularly in the RHED program. While some small amount of administrative data are consistently available across grantees and programs, the RHED administrative data are disorganized and unreliable. For example, the grant award amount frequently does not correspond between the Program Office's electronic records and hard copies in the administrative files. In another case, the Program Office has been unable to provide us with contact information for current or former grantees. This discrepancy was one of the initial findings of our study team and has heavily influenced the eventual research and data collection approach we propose. Our methods were selected with this limitation – and contractual requirements – in mind. More information on these issues can be found below in Section 4.B. Question 1.

As mentioned in Section 1.3.2, there are 141 unique RHED grantees who received awards between 2005 and 2009. After removing the 23 RHED grantees from that period who also received a RIF grant in order distinguish between the two programs, 118 recent RHED grantees remain to select our RHED sample from using the criteria below.

RHED Telephone Interviews: Selection Criteria

• Received a RHED grant after 2005 (310 grants).

- Received multiple RHED awards (141 unique, repeat RHED grantees).
- Did *not* receive a RIF grant (118 unique, repeat grantees).
- Sample will include similar numbers of the four disadvantaged communities.
- Total number of grantees interviewed will be no less than 50 and no more than 75. Final numbers will depend on staff availability and organizational permanence.

After we select our RHED survey sample, we will ask the RHED Program Office and the HUD Field Office to establish contact with the grantee. From this communication and a review of the program files, we will determine which grantee staff member had or has primary responsibility for managing the RHED grants. We will then contact the staff member directly and schedule an appropriate time for the interview at the convenience of the grantee.

We will attempt to complete most of the logistical preparation while awaiting OMB clearance. After we receive OMB approval, we will notify the RIF and RHED Program Offices that it is time to contact the grantees and introduce the Econometrica team. Econometrica will then schedule the interviews directly with the grantees. In Section 2.2, we have provided an email template introduction to the RIF Evaluation Project, the Econometrica team, and the telephone survey.

2.1.2. Proposed Telephone Survey Email Invitation

Dear [insert name of RIF/RHED project contact here]:

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is seeking your help. HUD has contracted with Econometrica, Inc.—a research firm located in Bethesda, MD—to conduct a study of the Rural Innovation Fund (RIF) program. The purpose of the study is to explore how the larger grant amounts available through the RIF have changed program activities, impacts, and outcomes relative to the RHED.

As part of their study, Econometrica will conduct a series of telephone interviews with representatives from all RIF grantees and a sample of RHED grantees. This information is necessary to evaluate the impact of the RIF program relative to the RHED program and will help HUD develop policy approaches to rural housing and economic development issues in the future.

We have identified [insert name of RIF/RHED project contact here] as the Project Coordinator for [insert name of grantee organization]'s [insert RIF/RHED grant number]. If this information is incorrect or out of date, please respond with the person currently responsible for [insert RIF/RHED grant number]. If no available staff members have actively managed this grant, we would like to interview the current Executive Director of [insert name of grantee organization]. ¹

¹ Since all RIF projects are still active, we believe it is unlikely that we will need to include this sentence in the introductory email to RIF grantees. However, many RHED grantees may have closed their projects years ago and high staff turnover in rural organizations means it is likely that we will have to include this or a similar sentence in the introductory email to RHED grantees.

This email serves as an introduction to key project staff including the HUD Representative, Ndeye Jackson, and the Econometrica Project Lead, James Hedrick. Econometrica staff will be working with you directly to schedule a time to conduct the telephone interview. They will be following up with you to determine your availability within the next 2 weeks. Please work with them to establish a time to conduct the interview.

The interview should take approximately 45 minutes to complete. Due to the open-ended nature of some of the questions, the interview may last up to 1 hour. Please allow for extra time when scheduling.

If you have any questions about the telephone survey or the overall evaluation, please do not hesitate to contact Ndeye Jackson, GTR/COTR, in HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R) at (202) 402-5737. She will be pleased to talk with you.

Thank you for your hard work and we look forward to your participation in this research effort.

Sincerely,

[Aaron Taylor or Jackie Williams]
[Position and Office]
Department of Housing and Urban Development

2.1.3 Proposed Pre-Survey Instructions Script

•	erns the RIF/RHED grant for project number	, originally
approved in	(fiscal year); known as the	(projec
name); with the fo	ollowing purpose:	

The purpose of this evaluation is to explore how the larger grant amounts available through the RIF have changed program activities, impacts, and outcomes relative to the RHED.

First and foremost, this is not an audit or a monitoring exercise. Econometrica is performing a *programmatic evaluation* of the RIF and RHED programs. We are interested in hearing from you about how you operated your grants, what partners you engaged, what resources you leveraged, how the grants affected your organization's capacity, and the overall impact of the project on the community. No information you provide will be used to evaluate your organization specifically.

Additionally, your responses will be anonymous and will not be attached to you specifically or to your organization. We may quote some passages or responses to open-ended questions, but we will not identify the source of the comment.

Please answer honestly and fully to the best of your knowledge. If you are unsure of any question or believe that someone else would be better able to answer any question, please let us know and we will make a note of it in your response.

Thank you for your participation. If you have any questions about the survey or any concerns you would like to express to HUD, you may contact Ndeye Jackson, GTR/COTR, in HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R) at (202) 402-5737.

If you are ready, we can begin.

2.1.4. Proposed Survey Instrument for the Evaluation of the Rural Innovation Fund

Organization of the Survey

- I. General Information
- II. Grant Use and Capacity Building
- III. Grant Application and Management
- IV. Leveraging
- V. Partnering-Linkages
- VI. Program Outcomes and Impact
- VII. Conclusion

Rural Innovation Fund (RIF) Grantee Telephone Interview Instrument

Section I: General Information

1.	Were the RIF grant funds used in any manner that differed from your application? Please
	describe differences.
2.	Have the number of your FTEs changed due to the funding you received via the RIF grant?
	Yes \(\square \) No \(\square \)
	If yes, to what extent do you think the RIF grant contributed to your organizational growth?
	a. Growth attributed almost solely to RIF.
	 b. Growth attributed largely to RIF. □ c. Growth somewhat attributed to RIF. □
	d. RIF one of many factors leading to growth.
	If staff has decreased since the completion of your RHED grant, what factors led to the decrease?
3.	An often-used measure of an organization's basic financial strength is assets divided by liabilities. How would you characterize your financial strength today compared to when
	you first received your RIF grant?
	a. Financial strength is better than when grant was awarded.b. Financial strength is about the same as when grant was awarded.
	c. Financial strength is worse than when grant was awarded.
	c. I manetal suchgui is worse than when grafit was awarded.

Page 6 of 11 Pages
Econometrica, Inc.
January 5, 2014

	Yes		No 🗌	
	If yes, what were the new areas of activity?			
	If yes, how did the RIF pro area(s)?	ogram help your orga	nization to move into this/these nev	
6.	• •	high unemployment,	ant in enabling your organization t low education levels, etc. – that you	
7.	Did your organization consider any other grant sources to address the issues you included in your application?			
	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Unsure	
	If yes, what other sources?			
	on III: Grant Application a	•		
	What is the current status of	•	ct?	
	What is the current status of	your RIF-funded projection the status of your RIF	ct? -funded project relative to the original	
1.	What is the current status of y How would you characterize	your RIF-funded project the status of your RIF on? led. led. lule. l	f-funded project relative to the original	

Page 7 of 11 Pages

Econometrica, Inc.

January 5, 2014

you have encountered in implementing the project?

Evaluation of the Rural Innovation Fund

1046-000/ DU205NC-13-T-0007

Page 8 of 11 Pages
Econometrica, Inc.
January 5, 2014

3.	Did your winning the RIF grant enable you to access funding sources that you otherwise may not have been able to access?				
	Yes 🗌	No 🗆			
	If yes, please specify the new sources obtaining funds from one or more of the	s, and explain how successful you have been in ese sources.			
4.	How would you characterize the impact for ongoing or future projects?	of the RIF grant on your ability to leverage funds			
	b. Has somewhat increased the ran	ange of funding options open to us. ge of funding options open to us. ability to obtain additional funding.			
Section	ion V: Partnering-Linkages				
1.	How many formal partnerships (characterized by exchange of resources and/or signed agreement) were created primarily because of the RIF grant?				
2.	. How important was securing the RIF gr	ant in establishing these partnerships?			
	a. Could not have had relationshipb. RIF was very important in establec. RIF was a factor in establishingd. RIF was not important in establishing	lishing relationship. 🗌 relationship. 🔲			
3.	Will your organization be able to build for further collaboration?	upon the linkages established on the RIF project			
	a. Already involved in further collab. Further collaboration has been pc. Unsure if there will be further co	lanned but not implemented.			
4.		the impact of your RIF grant on your ability to ips with other groups in your community? Has it with other local organizations?			

Section VI: Program Outcomes and Impact

1. What individuals or groups did you originally intend to target with your RIF project? What outcomes did you expect for these recipients?

Page 9 of 11 Pages
Econometrica, Inc.
January 5, 2014

How recipi	•	r progress toward achieving these outcomes for
•	ur organization using any indicts toward completion?	cators to measure the progress of your RIF-funded
	Yes	No 🗌
•	, what data indicators have you funded projects toward complete	used (are you using) to measure the progress of your on?
	ently, how would you character ze data for planning and manag	rize the capacity of your organization to collect and ement purposes?
b. c.	High level of technical capab Adequate level of technical ca Marginal level of technical ca Less than adequate technical	apability. pability. pabil
-	a have a "high" or "adequate" in improving your capabilities i	level of capability, how important has the RIF grant n this area?
b.	Extremely important. Somewhat important. Not important.	
	would you characterize the overted the community as a whole?	erall impact of your RIF project so far? How has it
Does	your organization have a strate, Yes □	gic plan or other statement of organizational goals?
	s, how have you integrated yout support your organization's o	ur RIF project into this plan? How does your RIF verall goals?

Evaluation of the Rural Innovation Fund

1046-000/ DU205NC-13-T-0007

Page 10 of 11 Pages
Econometrica, Inc.
January 5, 2014

Section VII: Conclusion

Overall, what has your impression been of the RIF program? Do you have add about your experience that we have not covered here?	anything to

Page 11 of 11 Pages
Econometrica, Inc.
January 5, 2014