U.S. PRODUCERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

SUGAR FROM MEXICO

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by JANUARY 28, 2015

See last page for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its countervailing and antidumping investigations concerning sugar from Mexico (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-513 and 731-TA-1249 (Final)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your firm's possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)).

Name of firm

Website	City	State Zip Code
NO (Sign the certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the Commission) YES (Complete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commission) Return questionnaire via the U.S. International Trade Commission Drop Box by clicking on the following link: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/. (PIN: SUGAR) CERTIFICATION	Website	
the Commission) YES (Complete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commission) Return questionnaire via the U.S. International Trade Commission Drop Box by clicking on the following link: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/. (PIN: SUGAR) CERTIFICATION	Has your firm prod	uced sugar (as defined on next page) at any time since October 1, 2011?
Return questionnaire via the U.S. International Trade Commission Drop Box by clicking on the following link: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ . (PIN: SUGAR) CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION CERTIFICATION COMMISSION OF THE COMMISSI		the certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to
CERTIFICATION certify that the information herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of nowledge and belief and understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. In means of this certification I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to use the formation provided in this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings conducted be Commission on the same or similar merchandise. Tacknowledge that information submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout this proceeding may be used by the temmission, its employees, and contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing an aintaining the records of this proceeding or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audits an occeedings relating to the programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand that contract personnel will sign non-disclosure agreements. Title of Authorized Official Title of Authorized Official Title of Authorized Official Total Date		plete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the
certify that the information herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of mowledge and belief and understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. If means of this certification I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to use the formation provided in this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings conducted be commission on the same or similar merchandise. Tacknowledge that information submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout this proceeding may be used by the commission, its employees, and contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing to initiating the records of this proceeding or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audits are receedings relating to the programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand that contract personnel will sign non-disclosure agreements. Title of Authorized Official Title of Authorized Official Date		
commission, its employees, and contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing maintaining the records of this proceeding or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audits an roceedings relating to the programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand that contract personnel will sign non-disclosure agreements. Jame of Authorized Official Title of Authorized Official Date		herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of
	nowledge and belief and unde y means of this certification formation provided in this qu	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of restand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to us estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings conduct
Phone:	nowledge and belief and under y means of this certification formation provided in this qual the Commission on the same or acknowledge that information commission, its employees, and a a intaining the records of this proceedings relating to the pro	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of restand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. It also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to us estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings conduct similar merchandise. In submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout this proceeding may be used be a contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing to related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audit grams and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand the
	nowledge and belief and under y means of this certification formation provided in this qual the Commission on the same or acknowledge that information formission, its employees, and the action of this proceedings relating to the pro- portract personnel will sign nor	herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of restand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to us estionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings conduct similar merchandise. In submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout this proceeding may be used be a contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing proceeding or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audit grams and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand the disclosure agreements.

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

Background. This proceeding was instituted in response to a petition filed on March 28, 2014 by the American Sugar Coalition ("ASC"). The ASC members are: American Sugar Cane League, Thibodaux, LA; American Sugarbeet Growers Association, Washington, DC; American Sugar Refining, Inc., West Palm Beach, FL; Florida Sugar Cane League, Washington, DC; Hawaiian Commercial and Sugar Company, Puunene, HI; Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers, Inc., Santa Rosa, TX; Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida, Belle Glade, FL; and United States Beet Sugar Association, Washington, DC. Countervailing and antidumping duties may be assessed on the subject imports as a result of these proceedings if the Commission makes an affirmative determination of injury, threat, or material retardation, and if the U.S. Department of Commerce makes an affirmative determination of subsidization and/or dumping. Questionnaires and other information pertinent to this proceeding are available at http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731 ad 701 cvd/investigations/2014/sugar/finalphase.htm.

Sugar covered by these investigations as defined by the Department of Commerce scope is raw and refined sugar of all polarimeter readings derived from sugar cane or sugar beets. The chemical sucrose gives sugar its essential character. Sucrose is a nonreducing disaccharide composed of glucose and fructose linked by a glycosidic bond via their anomeric carbons. The molecular formula for sucrose is C ₁₂ H ₂₂ O ₁₁; the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) International Chemical Identifier (InChl) for sucrose is 1S/C12H22O11/c13-I-4-6(16)8(18)9(19)11(21-4)23-12(3-15)10(20)7(17)5(2-14)22-12/h4-11,13-20H,1-3H2/t4-,5-,6-,7-,8+,9-,10+,11-,12+/m1/s1; the InChl Key for sucrose is CZMRCDWAGMRECN-UGDNZRGBSA-N; the U.S. National Institutes of Health PubChem Compound Identifier (CID) for sucrose is 5988; and the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Number of sucrose is 57-50-1.

Sugar described in the previous paragraph includes products of all polarimeter readings described in various forms, such as raw sugar, estandar or standard sugar, high polarity or semirefined sugar, special white sugar, refined sugar, brown sugar, edible molasses, desugaring molasses, organic raw sugar, and organic refined sugar. Other sugar products, such as powdered sugar, colored sugar, flavored sugar, and liquids and syrups that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight are also within the scope of these investigations.

The scope of these investigations does not include (1) sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Programs of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; (2) sugar products produced in Mexico that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight that originated outside of Mexico; (3) inedible molasses (other than inedible desugaring molasses noted above); (4) beverages; (5) candy; (6) certain specialty sugars; and (7) processed food products that contain sugar (e.g., cereals). Specialty sugars excluded from the scope of these investigations are limited to the following: caramelized slab sugar candy, pearl sugar, rock candy, dragees for cooking and baking, fondant, golden syrup, and sugar decorations.

Merchandise covered by these investigations is typically imported under the following headings of the HTSUS: 1701.12.1000, 1701.12.5000, 1701.13.1000, 1701.13.5000, 1701.14.1000, 1701.14.5000, 1701.91.1000, 1701.91.3000, 1701.99.1010, 1701.99.1025, 1701.99.1050, 1701.99.5010, 1701.99.5025, 1701.99.5050, and 1702.90.4000. The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of these investigations is dispositive.

¹ This exclusion applies to sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Program, the Sugar-Containing Products Re-Export Program, and the Polyhydric Alcohol Program administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Raw sugar.—Unrefined sugar destined for further processing.

<u>Refined sugar.</u>—Sugar that is not destined for further processing.

Reporting of information.-- If information is not readily available from your records, provide carefully prepared estimates. If your firm is completing more than one questionnaire (i.e., a producer, importer, and/or purchaser questionnaire), you need not respond to duplicated questions.

<u>Confidentiality</u>.--The commercial and financial data furnished in response to this questionnaire that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (see 19 U.S.C. §1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however, general characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussion of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

<u>Verification</u>.--The information submitted in this questionnaire is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. To facilitate possible verification of data, please keep all files, worksheets, and supporting documents used in the preparation of the questionnaire response. Please also retain a copy of the final document that you submit.

Release of information.--The information provided by your firm in response to this questionnaire, as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with this proceeding, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. §1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR §207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this proceeding or other import-injury proceedings conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals.

Yes

I-1a. <u>OMB statistics</u>.--Please report below the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of completing this questionnaire.

Hours	Dollars

No

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire.

We welcome comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate, suggestions for reducing the burden, and any suggestions for improving this questionnaire. Please attach such comments to your response or send to the Office of Investigations, USITC, 500 E St. SW, Washington, DC 20436.

I-1b.	TAA information release In the event that the U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC)
	makes an affirmative final determination in this proceeding, do you consent to the USITC's
	release of your contact information (company name, address, contact person, telephone
	number, email address) appearing on the front page of this questionnaire to the Departments of
	Commerce, Labor, and Agriculture, as applicable, so that your firm and its workers can be made
	eligible for benefits under the Trade Adjustment Assistance program?

I-2.	Establishments coveredProvide the city, state, zip code, and brief description of each
	establishment covered by this questionnaire. If your firm is publicly traded, please specify the
	stock exchange and trading symbol in the footnote to the table. Firms operating more than one
	establishment should combine the data for all establishments into a single report.

"<u>Establishment</u>"--Each facility of a firm involved in the <u>production</u> of sugar, including auxiliary facilities operated in conjunction with (whether or not physically separate from) such facilities.

Establishments Covered ¹	City, State	Zip (5 digit)	Description
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
1		1.1 . 11.	

¹ Additional discussion on establishments consolidated in this questionnaire:

	_	D	0	C
U	.5.	Producers	Questionnaire	Sugar

Support	Oppose	Take no position	
	irm owned, in whole	or in part, by any other f	irm?
Firm name	Address		Extent of ownershi (percent)
oreign, that are engangaged in exporting	ged in importing sug		
oreign, that are engaingaged in exporting	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to	ar from Mexico into the to the United States?	
oreign, that are engaingaged in exporting	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following	ar from Mexico into the to the United States?	United States or that
oreign, that are engangaged in exporting	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following	ar from Mexico into the to the United States?	United States or that
oreign, that are engangaged in exporting	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following	ar from Mexico into the to the United States?	United States or that
rigaged in exporting No Ye Firm name Related producersEengaged in the produ	ged in importing sugsugar from Mexico to esList the following Address Does your firm have a	ar from Mexico into the to the United States? information.	Affiliation
reign, that are engangaged in exporting No Ye Firm name Related producersE ngaged in the produ No Ye	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following Address Does your firm have a	ar from Mexico into the to the United States? information.	Affiliation
rigaged in exporting No Ye Firm name Related producersEengaged in the producers. No Ye	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following Address Does your firm have a ction of sugar? esList the following	ar from Mexico into the to the United States? information.	Affiliation domestic or foreign,
roreign, that are engagengaged in exporting No Yes Firm name Related producersEengaged in the produ	ged in importing sug- sugar from Mexico to esList the following Address Does your firm have a ction of sugar? esList the following	ar from Mexico into the to the United States? information.	Affiliation domestic or foreign,

PART II.--TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Amy Sherman (202-205-3289, amy.sherman@usitc.gov). Supply all data requested on a crop-year basis.

II-1.	<u>Contact information</u> Please identify the responsible individual and the manner by which
	Commission staff may contact that individual regarding the confidential information submitted
	in part II.

Name	
Title	
Email	
Telephone	
Fax	

II-2. <u>Changes in operations.</u>—Please indicate whether your firm has experienced any of the following changes in relation to the production of sugar since October 1, 2011.

(chec	k as many as appropriate)	(please describe)
	plant openings	
	plant closings	
	relocations	
	expansions	
	acquisitions	
	consolidations	
	prolonged shutdowns or production curtailments	
	revised labor agreements	
	other (e.g., technology)	

II-3.	(e.g., other that count by-product product purpose of this	Alternative products using same machinery Does your firm produce any alternative products (e.g., other than sugar) on the same equipment and machinery used to produce sugar? (Do not count by-products or co-products such as the production of molasses as an "alternative" product produced on the same equipment and machinery used to produce sugar for the purpose of this questionMolasses is a by-product or a co-product that results from raw sugar milling, it is not an alternative product that can be produced on the same machinery and equipment).			
	□ No	YesPlease describe these alternative products and indicate the amounts of each of these products produced by your firm in the October 2013 through September 2014 crop year.			
II-4.	production of s "Toll agreeme materials and t	October 1, 2011, has your firm been involved in a toll agreement regarding the sugar? nt"Agreement between two firms whereby the first firm furnishes the raw the second firm uses the raw materials to produce a product that it then returns to with a charge for processing costs, overhead, etc.			
	No	YesPlease describe the toll arrangement(s) and name the firm(s) involved:			
	Your firm refines sugar for other firms (i.e., toller)				
	Name firm(s)	Name firm(s) contracted with:			
		Your firm contracts for the production of refined sugar using another firm's machinery and equipment (i.e., tollee)			
	Name the toll	producer(s):			

II-5. <u>Foreign trade zones</u>		trade zones	
	(a)	<u>Firm's FTZ operations</u> Does your firm produce sugar in and/or admit sugar into a foreign trade zone (FTZ)?	
		"Foreign trade zone" is a designated location in the United States where firms utilize special procedures that allow delayed or reduced customs duty payments on foreign merchandise. A foreign trade zone must be designed as such pursuant to the rules and procedures set forth in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act.	
		No YesDescribe the nature of your firms operations in FTZs and identify the specific FTZ site(s).	
	(b)	Other firms' FTZ operationsTo your knowledge, do any firms in the United States import sugar into a foreign trade zone (FTZ) for use in distribution of sugar and/or the production of downstream articles?	
		☐ No/Don't know ☐ YesIdentify the firms and the FTZs.	
II-6.	<u>Importer</u> Since October 1, 2011, has your firm imported sugar (refined or raw)?		
		ter"The person or firm primarily liable for the payment of any duties on the ndise, or an authorized agent acting on his behalf. The importer may be the consignee, mporter of record.	
	☐ No	YesCOMPLETE AND RETURN A U.S. IMPORTERS' QUESTIONNAIRE	

II-7.	Nature of sugar production.—Check all that apply
	Sugar milling <u>only</u> (complete questions II-8 and II-9, skip questions II-10 through II-13, pick back up at question II-14)Primarily relates to firms that mill sugar cane into raw sugar.
	Sugar refining <u>only</u> (skip questions II-8 and II-9, complete all questions from II-10 forward).—Relates to firms that process raw or already partially refined sugar into refined sugar.
	Sugar milling and refining (non-continuous process) (complete all questions). Note that raw sugar produced, stored, and then shipped for refining should be recorded as "internal consumption" in question II-8 and then as production from internal consumption in question II-10.
	Sugar milling and refining (continuous process) (skip questions II-8 and II-9, complete all questions from II-10 forward)A continuous process is typical of sugar beet processing, but may also apply to certain sugar cane processing operations.
	Sugar melting/filtering <u>only</u> (skip questions II-8 and II-9, complete all questions from II-10 forward).
	RepackagingFor the purposes of the data requested in this questionnaire, repacking operations alone should not be reported in the data in questions II-8 through II-16. Data relating to repackaging only operations should only be reported in this questionnaire in question II-17 in relation to your firm's purchases of already refined sugar for resale.
Dofinit	iana

Definitions

"Commercial U.S. shipments" - Shipments made within the United States as a result of an arm's length commercial transaction in the ordinary course of business. Report net values (i.e., gross sales values less all discounts, allowances, rebates, prepaid freight, and the value of returned goods) in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. your point of shipment.

"Internal consumption" – Product consumed internally by your firm.

"Transfers to related firms" - Shipments made to related domestic firms. Such transactions are valued at fair market value.

"Related firm" – A firm that your firm solely or jointly owns, manages, or otherwise controls. Such transactions are valued at fair market value.

"Export shipments" - Shipments to destinations outside the United States, including shipments to related firms.

"Inventories" -- Finished goods inventory, not raw materials or work-in-progress.

⁵ Identify your firm's principal export markets:

II-8. Production, shipment and inventory data for raw sugar.--Report your firm's production capacity, production, shipments, and inventories to the production of raw sugar in its U.S. establishment(s). U.S. processors with continuous operations resulting in refined sugar as an end product (e.g., typical of sugar beet processing plants) should not report any data in this grid. U.S. processors that produce raw sugar as the first step in a non-continuous process (e.g., typical of sugar cane production) should first report raw sugar operations here then sugar refining operation in question II-10 regardless of whether the firm owns and conducts both production operations.

Milling Operations / Raw Sugar

Quantity (in 1,000 sho	ort tons) and value (in \$1,000)	
	Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Average production capacity ¹ (quantity) (A)			
Beginning-of-period inventories (quantity) (B)			
Production of raw sugar (quantity) (C) ^{2 3}			
U.S. shipments of raw sugar:			
Commercial U.S. shipments:			
Quantity (D)			
Value (E)			
Internal consumption:			
Quantity (F)			
Value ⁴ (G)			
Transfers to related firms:			
Quantity (H)			
Value ⁴ (I)			
Forfeitures to the Commodity Credit Corp:			
Quantity (J)			
Value (K)			
Export shipments of raw sugar:5			
Quantity (L)			
Value (M)			
End-of-period inventories (quantity) (N)			
¹ The production capacity reported is based on op	perating hours	per week, w	eeks per year.
Please describe the constraint(s) that set the limit(s)			
product of raw sugar.	,	<i>,</i> ,	
² What was the average polarity of your firm's rav	v sugar produced in	the October 2013 th	rough September
2014 crop year?	-		-
³ Please list any by-products or co-products that g	et produced during	your firm's raw suga	r milling operations
(e.g., molasses) and the quantity of such production	in the most recent (October 2013 throนุย	gh September 2014
crop year:			
⁴ Internal consumption and transfers to related fir			
your firm uses a different basis for valuing these tra			cost, cost plus,
etc.) and provide value data using that basis for each	h of the periods note	ed above:	

<u>Reconciliation of shipments, production, and inventory.</u>--Generally, the data reported for the end-of-period inventories (i.e., line N) should be equal to the beginning-of-period inventories (i.e., line B), plus production (i.e., line C), less total shipments including forfeitures (i.e., lines D, F, H, J, and L). Please ensure that any differences are not due to data entry errors in completing this form, but rather actually reflect your firm's records; and also provide any likely explanations for any differences (e.g., theft, loss, damage, record systems issues, etc.) if they exist.

	Crop years		
Reconciliation	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
B + C - D - F - H - J - L - N = should equal zero ("0")			
or provide an explanation. ¹	0	0	0

¹ Explanation if the calculated fields above are returning values other than zero (i.e., "0") but are nonetheless accurate. Note that the typical molasses by-product or co-product that results from raw sugar production should not impact this reconciliation, as all molasses data should be removed from the data reported in this question.

II-9. Raw material inputs for milling/raw sugar operations.--Please indicate the share of October 2013-September 2014 production of raw sugar your firm produced from each of the following.

Estimated source of raw sugar production	Share of 2013/14 cr (percent)	rop
Share raw sugar produced from		
Own firm's farming operations of sugar cane		%
Purchases of sugar cane		
Domestic		%
Imported		%
Subtotal, purchased sugar cane	0.0	%
Member-owner provided sugar cane ¹		%
Other sources or sugar cane ²		%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0	%
¹ This raw sugar milling your firm done for cooperative member owners. ² Please describe these sources	•	

II-10. Production, shipment and inventory data for refined sugar.--Report your firm's production capacity, production, shipments, and inventories related to the production of all <u>refined sugar</u> (regardless the agricultural input) in its U.S. establishment(s). U.S. processors with continuous operations resulting in refined sugar as an end product (e.g., typical of sugar beet processing plants) should only report data in this grid. U.S. processors that produce raw sugar as the first step in a non-continuous process (e.g., typical of sugar cane production) should only report their sugar refining operations in this grid.

Refining or Processing Operations / Refined Sugar

Primary Input Sugar Beet	Raw Su	ıgar trom suga	r carie mini	
Quantity (1,000 short tons raw value) and v	alue (<i>in \$1,</i>	,000)		
		Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	
Average production capacity ¹ (quantity) (O)				
Beginning-of-period inventories (quantity) (P)				
Production of refined sugar ($quantity$): from own agricultural operations (Q) ²				
from others' agricultural operations (e.g., co-op) (R) ³				
from purchases of domestic grown sugar crops (S)				
from internal consumption of raw sugar production reported in previous grid (T)				
from purchases of domestic raw sugar and/or refined sugar requiring additional processing (U) ⁴				
from domestic sources (V)	0	0	0	
from purchases/imports of Mexican sugar of a polarity less than 99.4 and destined for further processing (W)				
from purchases/imports of Mexican sugar of a polarity between 99.4 and 99.6 and destined for further processing (X)				
from purchases/imports of Mexican sugar of a polarity greater than 99.6 and destined for further processing (Y)				
from purchases/imports of nonsubject sugar of a polarity less than 99.4 and destined for further processing (Z)				
from purchases/imports of nonsubject sugar of a polarity between 99.4 and 99.6 and destined for further processing (AA)				
from purchases/imports of nonsubject sugar of a polarity greater than 99.6 and destined for further processing (AB)				
from import sources (AC)	0	0	0	
Total production, refined sugar (AD)	0	0	0	
¹ The production capacity reported is based on operating hours production the constraint(s) that set the limit(s) on your firm's capacity to product the constraint (s) that set the limit(s) on your firm's capacity to product the constraint (sugar cane). ² Excludes Co-op production. Your firm actually grows the input (sugar cane). ³ Production of refined sugar on another entity's input. This is the line to the constraint of the production.	duce refined beet) or prod	I sugarduces the raw s		

II-10. Production, shipment and inventory data for refined sugar.--Continued

Quantity (1,000 short tons rav	v value) and value (in	\$1,000)	
	Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
U.S. shipments of refined sugar:5			
Commercial U.S. shipments			
Quantity (AE)			
Value (AB)			
Internal consumption			
Quantity (AF)			
Value ⁶ (AG)			
Transfers to related firms:			
Quantity (AH)			
Value ⁶ (AI)			
Forfeitures to the Commodity Credit Corp:			
Quantity (AJ)			
Value (AK)			
Export shipments of refined sugar:5			
Quantity (AL)			
Value (AM)			
End-of-period inventories ⁷ (quantity) (AN)			
_			

⁵ Shipments of sugar based only on repacking operations should be exluded from this grid.

Reconciliation of inventory, production, and shipments.--Generally, the data reported for end-of-period inventories should be equal to beginning of period inventories, plus production, less total shipments. The following "data check" calculates this reconcilation directly in the MS Word form. If the calculated fields below return values other than zero (i.e., "0"), the data need to be revised prior to submission to the Commission or the reasons for the differences must be explained (e.g., theft, loss, damage, record systems issues, et cetera).

	Crop years		
Reconciliation item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
P + AD - AE - AF - AH - AJ - AL - AN = 0 (revise or explain if			
not equal to zero) ¹	0	0	0

¹ Explanation if the calculated fields above are returning values other than zero (i.e., "0") but are nonetheless accurate.

⁶ Internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value. In the event that your firm uses a different basis for valuing these transactions, please specify that basis (*e.g.*, cost, cost plus, *etc.*) and provide value data using that basis for each of the periods noted above:

⁷ Identify your firm's principal export markets:

II-11.		eet sugar refining operationsIf your firm is a sugar refiner that uses raw sugar, semi- I sugar, or refined sugar as its primary raw material input in further refining operations,
	(a)	Please check the specific operations included in your firm's refining operations. (check all that apply)
		Liquification Filtration Affination Clarification Csystalization Drying/Granulization Other (Describe:)
	(b)	Please describe your firm's ability to switch between the various sources of inputs for refined sugar production as reported in the previous question (e.g., lines Q through U, W, and X).
	(c)	If your firm uses multiple input sources for its refined sugar production (e.g., more than one of lines Q through U, W, and X), please list the reasons for the use of these multiple input sources.

II-12. <u>Channels of distribution refined sugar</u>.-- Report your firm's commercial U.S. shipments of refined sugar by channel of distribution and end use market segment.

Quantity (in 1,000 short tons	<i>raw value</i>) and va	alue (<i>in \$1,000</i>)	
	Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Channels of distribution:			
Commercial U.S. shipments of refined			
sugar:			
To distributors (quantity) (AO)			
To grocery chains (quantity) (AP)			
To restaurants and restaurant chains (quantity) (AQ)			
To industrial end users (quantity) (AR)			
To U.S. refiners (quantity) (AS)			
To U.S. melt houses (quantity) (AT)			

<u>Channel reconcilation.</u>--The data reported for channels of distribution should be equal to commercial shipments in the refined sugar grid. The following "data check" calculates this reconcilation directly in the MS Word form. If the calculated fields below return values other than zero (i.e., "0"), the data need to be revised prior to submission to the Commission.

	Crop years		
Reconciliation	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
AO + AP + AQ + AR + AS + AT - AE = zero			
("0"), if not revise.	0	0	0

II-13. **Polarity data**.--Please provide data on the average polarity of the input and output of your refining operations in the October 2013 through September 2014 crop year.

	Crop year
Item	2013/14
Average polarity of inputs used in production ¹	
Average polarity of commmercial U.S. shipments ²	
¹ If the average polarity of the inputs to your firm's refining operation	s differed significantly
based on the source of the input, please describe that here:	
² If the average polarity of the outputs of your firm's refining operation	ons differed significantly
based on the type of product produced, please describe that here:	<u>.</u>

All Producers

nelting.—Does your firm primarily produce liquid sugar?
YesPlease provide descriptions of the following
Source and extent of firm's capital and investment.
Quantity and type of inputs sourced in the United States.
Describe the nature of your production-related activities in the United States to product sugar.
Technical expertise involved in U.S. production activity.
Any other costs and activities in the United States directly leading to the production of sugar.

II-16. **Employment data**.--Report your firm's employment-related data related to the production of sugar and provide any explanation for any trends in these data.

"Production Related Workers" (PRWs) includes working supervisors and all nonsupervisory workers (including group leaders and trainees) engaged in fabricating, processing, assembling, inspecting, receiving, storage, handling, packing, warehousing, shipping, trucking, hauling, maintenance, repair, janitorial and guard services, product development, auxiliary production for plant's own use (e.g., power plant), recordkeeping, and other services closely associated with the above production operations.

Average number employed may be computed by adding the number of employees, both full time and part time, for the 12 pay periods ending closest to the 15th of the month and divide that total by 12.

"Hours worked" includes time paid for sick leave, holidays, and vacation time. Include overtime hours actually worked; do not convert overtime pay to its equivalent in straight time hours.

"Wages paid" – Total wages paid before deductions of any kind (e.g., withholding taxes, old-age and unemployment insurance, group insurance, union dues, bonds, etc.). Include wages paid directly by your firm for overtime, holidays, vacations, and sick leave.

		Crop years	
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Average number of PRWs (<i>number</i>) In relation to <u>raw sugar</u> operations			
In relation to <u>refined sugar</u> operations			
Hours worked by PRWs (1,000 hours) In relation to raw sugar operations			
In relation to <u>refined sugar</u> operations			
Wages paid to PRWs (<i>value</i>) In relation to <u>raw sugar</u> operations			
In relation to <u>refined sugar</u> operations			

Explanation of trends:		

Note.--Report employment data parallel to treatement accorded to trade data in questions II-8 and II-10; Non-continuous operations should report raw sugar employment data separately from refining operations; while continuous operations should report all employment data in the refined sugar operations line items.

purchased this product. __

³ Inclusive of repackaging operations.

II-17.	PurchasesOther than direct imports, has 1, 2011?	your firm other	wise purchased su	gar since October
	"Purchase" – A transaction to buy product producer, a U.S. distributor, or a U.S. firm to	•	•	
	"Direct import" –A transaction to buy from of record or consignee.	n a foreign produ	icer where your fir	m is the importer
	No YesReport such purchases:	nases below and	explain the reason	s for your firms'
	(Quantity <i>in 1,000 sho</i>	rt tons, value in	\$1,000)	
	(Assistant Property of the Control o		Crop years	
	Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
PURC	HASES OF SUGAR FOR FURTHER PROCESSIN	G:	•	
	pases from U.S. importers ¹ of sugar from—exico: Quantity			
	Value			
All	other countries: Quantity			
	Value			
Purch	ases from domestic producers ² Quantity			
	Value			
PURC	HASES OF SUGAR FOR RE-SALE (NOT FOR FU	JRTHER PROCES	SING) ³ :	
	pases from U.S. importers ¹ of sugar from—exico: Quantity			
	Value			
All	other countries: Quantity			
	Value			
Purch	ases from domestic producers ² Quantity			
	Value			
firm's	Please list the name of the importer(s) from verified in the import suppliers differ by source, please ide Please list the name of the producer(s) or other.	ntify the source	for each listed sup	plier

II-18.	Other explanations.—If your firm would like to further explain a response to a question in Part II that did not provide a narrative box, please note the question number and the explanation in the space provided below. Please also use this space to highlight any issues your firm had in providing the data in this section, including but not limited to technical issues with the MS Word questionnaire.

PART III.--FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Address questions on this part of the questionnaire to Charles Yost (202-205-3432, charles.yost@usitc.gov).

	in part III.	taff may contact that individual regarding the confidential information submitted
	Name	
	Title	
	Email	
	Telephone	
	Fax	
III-2.	Accounting sy	rstemBriefly describe your firm's financial accounting system.
	A.	When does your firm's fiscal year end (month and day)?
		If your firm's fiscal year changed during the data-collection period, explain:
	B.1.	Describe the lowest level of operations (e.g., plant, division, company-wide) for which financial statements are prepared that include sugar:
	2.	Does your firm prepare profit/loss statements for sugar: Yes No
	3.	How often did your firm (or parent company) prepare financial statements (including annual reports, 10Ks)? Please check relevant items below. Audited, unaudited, annual reports, 10Ks, 10 Qs, Monthly, quarterly, semi-annually, annually
	4.	Accounting basis: GAAP, cash, tax, or other comprehensive basis of accounting (specify)
		The Commission may request that your company submit copies of its financial ments, including internal profit-and-loss statements for the division or product
		that includes sugar, as well as those statements and worksheets used to compile
		for your firm's questionnaire response.
III-3.	Cost accounting cost, job order	ng system Briefly describe your firm's cost accounting system (<i>e.g.</i> , standard r cost, <i>etc</i> .).
III-4.		sisBriefly describe your firm's allocation basis, if any, for COGS, SG&A, and assessed on the sincome and expenses.

III-5.	Other productsPlease list the products your firm produced in the facilities in which your firm
	produced sugar, and provide the share of net sales accounted for by these other products in
	your firm's most recent fiscal year.

Products	Share of sales
Sugar	<u> </u>
	%
	%
	%
	%

III-6.	Does your firm purchase <u>inputs</u> (raw materials, labor, energy, or any other services) used in the production of sugar <u>from any related parties</u> ?		
	YesContinue to question III-7.	NoContinue to question III-9.	
III-7.	·	dentify the inputs used in the production of the subject	

product that your firm purchases from related parties. For "Share of total COGS" please report this information by relevant input on the basis of your most recently completed fiscal year. For "Input valuation" please describe the basis, as recorded in the company's own accounting system, of the purchase cost from the related party; e.g., the related party's actual cost, cost plus, negotiated transfer price to approximate fair market value.

Input	Related party	Share of total COGS
Input valuation		·

III-8.	<u>Inputs purchased from related parties.</u> Please confirm that the inputs purchased from related parties, as identified in III-7, were reported in financial results on sugar (questions III-11, III-12, and/or III-13) in a manner consistent with your firm's accounting books and records.
	Yes
	NoIn the space below, please report the valuation basis of inputs purchased from related parties as reported in table III-9.

III-9. Nonrecurring items (charges and gains) included in reported sugar financial results.--For each annual and interim period for which financial results are reported in questions III-11 and III-12, please specify all material (significant) nonrecurring items (charges and gains) in the schedule below, the specific line items in questions III-11 and III-12 where the nonrecurring items are included, a brief description of the relevant nonrecurring items, and the associated values (in \$1,000), as reflected in questions III-11 and III-12; i.e., if an aggregate nonrecurring item has been allocated to questions III-11 and III-12, only the allocated value amount included in questions III-11 and III-12should be reported in the schedule below. Note: The Commission's objective here is to gather information only on material (significant) nonrecurring items which impacted the reported sugar financial results in questions III-11 and III-12.

		Crop years	
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Nonrecurring item: In this column please provide a brief description of each nonrecurring item and indicate the specific line item in questions III-11 and III-12 where the nonrecurring item is included.	Nonrecurring ite report the amou nonrecurring ite and III-12.	nt (<i>in \$1,000</i>) of	the relevant
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			

III-10a.	Nature of sugar production. — Does your firm partake in the following operations?
	Sugar milling <u>only</u> (complete question III-11, skip question III-12) ²
	Sugar refining <u>only</u> (skip question III-11, complete question III-12)
	Sugar milling and refining (continuous or noncontinuous process) (skip question III-11, complete question III-12). ³ Note: For firms with noncontinuous processes, a consolidated income statement should be reported in question III-12.
	Sugar melting/filtering <u>only</u> (skip question III-11, complete question III-12)
	<u>Nature of sugar production</u> .—Accounting treatment of raw material costs and payments to ative members (cooperative distributions):

- (1) If your firm purchases sugar cane or sugar beets in commercial transactions with unrelated parties, the purchase costs of either domestic or foreign origin raw materials should be reported on the line for raw material costs in questions III-11 and III-12; .
- (2) If your firm is an agricultural cooperative, processing cane raws and/or sugar beets report the purchase cost of raw materials (if any) separately from distributions to patrons in questions III-11 and III-12 below.
- (3) If your firm is a member of an agricultural cooperative (reporting data for question III-12), which makes patronage distributions to its members, report the total receipts of sugar cane and sugar beets from your members, and the aggregate acreage your members harvested below. Report the distributions to your member patrons in either question III-11 or III-12. Please describe how those distributions are calculated in question III-13.

	Crop years				
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14		
Delivery by coop members to miller or processor/refiner of sugar cane or sugar beets:					
Sugar cane (1,000 short tons)					
Sugar beet (1,000 short tons)					
Harvested acres:					
Sugar cane cooperatives (acres)					
Sugar beet cooperatives (acres)					

² Primarily relates to firms that mill sugar cane into raw sugar.

³ A continuous process is typical of sugar beet processing, but may also apply to certain sugar cane processing operations.

III-11. <u>Milling operations on sugar</u>.--Report the revenue and related cost information requested below on the sugar milling operations of your firm's U.S. establishment(s).¹² Do not report resales of purchased sugar. Note that internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value. Input purchases from related parties should be consistent with and based on information in the firm's accounting books and records.

Quantity (in 1,000 short tons raw			
	Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Net sales quantities: ³			
Commercial sales ("CS")			
Internal consumption ("IC")			
Transfers to related firms ("Transfers")			
Total net sales quantities	0	0	0
Net sales values: ³			
Commercial sales ("CS")			
Internal consumption ("IC")			
Transfers to related firms ("Transfers")			
Total net sales values	0	0	0
Cost of goods sold (COGS): ⁴			
Raw materials			
Domestic origin			
Foreign origin			
Patronage distributions			
Subtotal, raw materials	0	0	0
Direct labor			
Other factory costs			
Total COGS	0	0	0
Gross profit or (loss)	0	0	0
Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses: Selling expenses			
General and administrative expenses			
Total SG&A expenses	0	0	0
Operating income (loss)	0	0	0
Other expenses and income:			
Interest expense			
All other expense items			
All other income items ⁴			
Net income or (loss) before income taxes	0	0	0
Depreciation/amortization included above			

¹ Data to be related in this grid relates primarily to firms that mill sugar cane into raw sugar for sale to refiners.

² Include only sales (whether <u>domestic or export</u>) and costs related to your <u>U.S. manufacturing operations</u>.

³ Less discounts, returns, allowances, and prepaid freight. The quantities and values should approximate the corresponding shipment quantities and values reported in Part II of this questionnaire.

⁴ COGS should include <u>costs associated with CS, IC, and Transfers, as well as export shipments in question II-8</u>. If your firm offsets COGS by byproduct revenue (e.g., bagasse), report it in COGS, otherwise report byproduct revenues and coproduct revenues (net of cost) as other income. Report as other income payments received from government programs, including (as applicable) crop insurance proceeds, federal crop disaster payments, CCC loans forfeited, and agricultural program payments.

III-12. <u>Processor/Refiner operations on sugar</u>.--Report the revenue and related cost information requested below on the sugar refining operations of your firm's U.S. establishment(s).¹² Do not report resales of products. Note that internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value. Input purchases from related parties should be consistent with and based on information in the firm's accounting books and records.

Quantity (in 1,000 short tons raw	<i>value</i>) and value (in \$	1,000)		
	Crop years			
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	
Net sales quantities: ³				
Commercial sales ("CS")				
Internal consumption ("IC")				
Transfers to related firms ("Transfers")				
Total net sales quantities	0	0	0	
Net sales values: ³				
Commercial sales ("CS")				
Internal consumption ("IC")				
Transfers to related firms ("Transfers")				
Total net sales values	0	0	0	
Cost of goods sold (COGS): ⁴				
Raw materials				
Domestic origin				
Foreign origin				
Patronage distributions				
Subtotal, raw materials	0	0	0	
Direct labor				
Other factory costs				
Total COGS	0	0	0	
Gross profit or (loss)	0	0	0	
Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses: Selling expenses				
General and administrative expenses				
Total SG&A expenses	0	0	0	
Operating income (loss)	0	0	0	
Other expenses and income:				
Interest expense				
All other expense items				
All other income items ⁴				
Net income or (loss) before income taxes	0	0	0	
Depreciation/amortization included above				
1				

¹ Firms that produce refined sugar should report their data here, inclusive of all continuous operations. Any firm that first produces, stores, and then ships raw sugar should report separate data on milling operations in question III-11 that then feed into this grid (per question III-10b-2).

² Include only sales (whether <u>domestic or export</u>) and costs related to your <u>U.S. manufacturing operations</u>.

³ Less discounts, returns, allowances, and prepaid freight. The quantities and values should approximate the corresponding shipment quantities and values reported in Part II of this questionnaire.

⁴ COGS should include <u>costs associated with CS, IC, and Transfers, as well as export shipments in question II-10.</u> If your firm offsets COGS by byproduct revenue, report it in COGS, otherwise report byproduct revenues and coproduct revenues (e.g., animal feeds), net of cost, as other income. Report as other income payments received from government programs, including (as applicable) crop insurance proceeds, federal crop disaster payments, CCC loans forfeited, and agricultural program payments.

U.S. Pro	oducers' C	uestionn	aire – Sugar	Page 26
III-13.		_	atrons.—If your firm is an agricultural coop, please descri trons are calculated in questions III-11 and III-12.	be the basis on which
III-14.	total net income (calculate	sales qua or loss)) h d fields re	onciliationThe calculable line items from questions III-1 ntities and values, total COGS, gross profit (or loss), total lave been calculated from the data submitted in the other turn the correct data according to your firm's financial reses that may arise due to rounding?	SG&A, and net or line items. Do the
	Yes	☐ No-	-If the calculated fields do not show the correct data, plea feeder data for data entry errors and revise.	ase double check the
			Also, check signs accorded to the post operating incomexpense line items should report positive numbers (i.e. positive and incomes or reversals are negativeinstance should be rare in those lines) while the income line iter instances should have its value be a positive number (i.expenses or reversals are negative).	, expenses are ses of the latter m also in most
			If after reviewing and potentially revising the feeder da provided, the differences between your records and th persist please identify and discuss the differences in the	e calculated fields
III-15.	question	s III-11 an	and reconciliation Please note the quantities and value of III-12 should reconcile with the data reported in question ding export shipments, as long as they are reported on the	ons II-8 and/or II-10,
	Do the da	ata in que	estions III-11 and III-12 reconcile with data in questions II-	8 and/or II-10?
	Yes	No	If no, please explain.	

III-16. <u>Financial performance in prior periods</u>.—Report the selected indicators for your firm's financial performance for operations of your firm's U.S. establishment(s) for the specified periods. These data should be consistent with the data reported in questions III-11 and/or III-12.

Quantity (in 1,000 short tons raw value) and value (in \$1,000)					
	Crop years				
ltem	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11		
Total net sales quantities:					
Total net sales values:					
Operating profit or loss:					
Distributions to coop patrons:					

III-17. <u>Asset values</u>.--Report the <u>total</u> assets (i.e., both current and long-term assets) associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of sugar. If your firm does not maintain some or all of the specific asset information necessary to calculate total assets for sugar in the normal course of business, please estimate this information based upon a method (such as production, sales, or costs) that is consistent with your firm's cost allocations in the previous question. Provide data as of the end of your firm's three most recently completed fiscal years.

Note: Total assets should reflect <u>net assets</u> after any accumulated depreciation and allowances deducted. Total assets should be <u>allocated to the subject products</u> if these assets are also related to other products. Please provide a <u>brief explanation if there are any substantial changes</u> in total asset value during the period; e.g., due to asset write-offs, revaluation, and major purchases.

	Value (in \$1	1,000)	
	Crop years		
Item	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Total assets (net)— Miller			
Total assets (net)— Processor/Refiner			

Explanat	tion of asset trends:			

III-18a. <u>Capital expenditures and research and development expenses</u>.--Report your firm's capital expenditures and research and development expenses on sugar. Provide data for your firm's three most recently completed fiscal years, and for the specified interim periods.

	Value (<i>in \$1,0</i>	000)	
	Crop years		
ltem	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
Capital expenditures Miller			
Processor/Refiner			
Research and development expenses Miller			
Processor/Refiner			

11000	.330171	Cilici			
	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s, and significance of you	ır firm's capital
9. Effects of importsSince October 1, 2011, has your firm experienced any actual negative on its return on investment or its growth, investment, ability to raise capital, existing development and production efforts (including efforts to develop a derivative or more adversion of the product), or the scale of capital investments as a result of imports of sugar from Mexico?					sting more advanced
☐ No		YesMy firm has	experienced actual	negative effects as follo	ws:
		Cancellation, postpone	ment, or rejection	of expansion projects	
		Denial or rejection of ir	vestment proposa	ıl	
		Reduction in the size of	capital investmen	ts	
		•			king capital
		Lowering of credit ratin	g		
		Problem related to the	issue of stocks or l	oonds	
		Other (specify):			
	Effects on its redevelop version Mexico	Effects of imponits return of development version of the Mexico?	Effects of importsSince October 1, 3 on its return on investment or its grow development and production efforts (version of the product), or the scale of Mexico? No YesMy firm has on the production of important or rejection of bank loans provided by bank or other problem related to the	Capital expendituresPlease indicate the nature, focusexpenditures on the subject product. Effects of importsSince October 1, 2011, has your firm on its return on investment or its growth, investment, a development and production efforts (including efforts to version of the product), or the scale of capital investment Mexico? No YesMy firm has experienced actual Cancellation, postponement, or rejection Denial or rejection of investment proposation Reduction in the size of capital investment Provided by bank or other financial institution Lowering of credit rating Problem related to the issue of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the size of stocks or leading to the subject to the	Capital expendituresPlease indicate the nature, focus, and significance of you expenditures on the subject product. Effects of importsSince October 1, 2011, has your firm experienced any actual on its return on investment or its growth, investment, ability to raise capital, exist development and production efforts (including efforts to develop a derivative or version of the product), or the scale of capital investments as a result of imports Mexico? No YesMy firm has experienced actual negative effects as following actual or rejection of investment, or rejection of expansion projects Denial or rejection of investment proposal Reduction in the size of capital investments Rejection of bank loans, reduction or limitation on amount of world provided by bank or other financial institution Lowering of credit rating Problem related to the issue of stocks or bonds

III-20.	Anticipated effects of importsDoes your firm anticipate any negative effects due to imports o
	sugar from Mexico?

No	Yes	If yes, my firm anticipates negative effects as follows:

III-21.	Other explanationsIf your firm would like to further explain a response to a question in Part III
	that did not provide a narrative box, please note the question number and the explanation in
	the space provided below. Please also use this space to highlight any issues your firm had in
	providing the data in this section, including but not limited to technical issues with the MS Word
	questionnaire.

PART IV.--PRICING AND RELATED INFORMATION

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from John Benedetto (202-205-3270, john.benedetto@usitc.gov).

IV-1. <u>Contact information</u>.--Please identify the individual that Commission staff may contact regarding the confidential information submitted in part IV.

Name	
Title	
Email	
Telephone	
Fax	

PRICE DATA

IV-2. This question requests monthly and value data for your firm's commercial shipments to unrelated U.S. customers since October 1, 2011 of the following products produced by your firm your firm (question IV-2a). Question IV-2b requests information on your firm's contracts for products 1 and 2A.

<u>Product 1</u>.—Sugar, less than 99.6 polarity, sold to sugar refiners.

<u>Product 2A</u>.—Sugar, 99.9 polarity and above, sold to industrial producers of food, beverages or other sugar-containing-products (e.g., General Mills, Mars, Coca Cola, Kraft).

<u>Product 2B.</u>—Sugar, 99.6-99.89 polarity, sold to industrial producers of food, beverages or other sugar-containing-products (e.g., General Mills, Mars, Coca Cola, Kraft).

<u>Product 2C</u>.—Sugar, 99.4-99.59 polarity, sold to industrial producers of food, beverages or other sugar-containing-products (e.g., General Mills, Mars, Coca Cola, Kraft).

<u>Product 3A</u>.—Branded refined sugar sold in packages of 50 lbs. or less to grocery chains (e.g., Safeway, Harris Teeter, Walmart, Costco).

<u>Product 3B.</u>—Private label refined sugar sold in packages of 50 lbs. or less to grocery chains (e.g., Safeway, Harris Teeter, Walmart, Costco).

<u>Product 4.--</u> Refined sugar sold in packages of 50 kgs. (110.23 lbs.) or less to institutional and/or food service providers (e.g., Sysco, restaurant chains, bakeries, schools, hospitals, prisons).

<u>Product 5A</u>.-- Sugar, 99.9 polarity and above, sold in packages of 50 kgs. (110.23 lbs.) or less to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods that buy sugar to resell to the industrial trade for use as an ingredient).

<u>Product 5B</u>.-- Sugar, 99.6-99.89 polarity, sold in packages of 50 kgs. (110.23 lbs.) or less to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods that buy sugar to resell to the industrial trade for use as an ingredient).

<u>Product 5C.--</u> Sugar, 99.4-99.59 polarity, sold in packages of 50 kgs. (110.23 lbs.) or less to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods that buy sugar to resell to the industrial trade for use as an ingredient).

<u>Product 6A.</u>-- Sugar, 99.9 polarity and above, sold in bulk to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods).

<u>Product 6B.--</u> Sugar, 99.6-99.89 polarity, sold in bulk to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods).

<u>Product 6C.--</u> Sugar, 99.4-99.59 polarity, sold in bulk to distributors (i.e., companies such as Batory Foods).

Please note that values for IV-2a should be <u>f.o.b.</u>, <u>U.S. point of shipment</u> and should not include U.S.-inland transportation costs. Values should reflect the *final net* amount paid to your firm (i.e., should be net of all deductions for discounts or rebates).

However, prices for table IV-2b should be delivered prices, but still net of all deductions for discounts or rebates

During October 2011-September 2014, did your firm produce and sell to unrelated U.S. customers any of the above listed products (or any products that were competitive with these products)?

YesPlease complete the following pricing data tables as appropriate.
NoSkip to question IV-3.

Report data in actual hundred-weight and actual dollars (not 1,000s).

(Quantity in hundred-weight, value in dollars)								
	Produ		Produc		Product 2B		Produ	
Month of shipment	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2011:								1
October								
November								
December								
2012:								
January								
February								
March								
April								
May								
June								ı
July								<u> </u>
August								ì
September								1
October								1
November								
December								
2013:								
January								Ì
February								1
March								1
April								1
May								1
June								1
July								
August								
September								
October								
November	1							
December	1							
2014:	1							
January								
February								
March								
April								
May								
June	1							
July	1							
August	+							
September	1							

¹ Net values (*i.e.*, gross sales values less all discounts, allowances, rebates, prepaid freight, and the value of returned goods), f.o.b. your firm's U.S. point of shipment.

2 Pricing product definitions are provided on the first page of Part IV.

Report data in actual hundred-weight and actual dollars (not 1,000s).

(Quantity in hundred-weight, value in dollars) Product 3A Product 3B Product 4						4
Manth of abbonces			Product 3B		Product 4	
Month of shipment	Quantity	value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
2011:						
October	+					
November						
December						
2012:						
January						
February			1			
March						
April			<u> </u>			
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
2013:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October			1			
November						
December						
2014:						
January						
February	+					
March						
April						
May						
	+ +					
June	+					
July	+				+	
August						
September			<u> </u>			

^{Net values (}*i.e.*, gross sales values less all discounts, allowances, rebates, prepaid freight, and the value of returned goods), f.o.b. your firm's U.S. point of shipment.

Pricing product definitions are provided on the first page of Part IV.

Report data in actual hundred-weight and actual dollars (not 1.000s).

(Quantity in hundred-weight, value in dollars) Product 5A Product 5B Product 5C						
Month of shipment	Quantity Value		Quantity	Value	Quantity Value	
2011:	Quantity	Value	Quantity	value	Quantity	Value
October						
November						
December						
2012:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
2013:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
2014:						
January						
February						
March						
April	1					
May						
June	+					
July						
August	+		1			
September	+		 			

^{Net values (}*i.e.*, gross sales values less all discounts, allowances, rebates, prepaid freight, and the value of returned goods), f.o.b. your firm's U.S. point of shipment.

Pricing product definitions are provided on the first page of Part IV.

Report data in actual hundred-weight and actual dollars (not 1.000s).

(Quantity in hundred-weight, value in dollars) Product 6A Product 6B Product 6C						
Month of shipment	Quantity Value		Quantity	Value	Product 6C Quantity Value	
2011:	Quantity	value	Quantity	value	Quantity	value
October						
November						
December						
2012:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
2013:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September						
October						
November						
December						
2014:						
January						
February						
March						
April						
May						
June						
July						
August						
September			1			

^{Net values (}*i.e.*, gross sales values less all discounts, allowances, rebates, prepaid freight, and the value of returned goods), f.o.b. your firm's U.S. point of shipment.

Pricing product definitions are provided on the first page of Part IV.

	_				_
11	C	Producers'	Ougstions	nairo	- CHG21
u		ribuuceis	Questioni	ıaıı c	- Jugai

Note.--If your firm's product does not exactly meet the product specifications but is competitive with the specified product, provide a description of your firm's product. Also, please explain any anomalies in your firm's reported pricing data.

Product 1:		
Product 2A, 2B, 2C:		
Product 3A, 3B:		
Product 4:		
Product 5A, 5B, 5C:		
Product 6A, 6B, 6C:		

IV-2b. Contract data for Products 1.--Report below the contract information for your firm's contracts in the October 2011 through September 2014 time period for sales of pricing product 1 of domestically produced sugar.

	(Quantity in hundred-weight, price in dollar per hundred-weight)							
	Product 1							
Contract Date	Contract Quantity	Delivery Period	Delivered quantity	Net Delivered Price	Futures Basis (Contract Month)	Point of shipment	Point of delivery	

IV-2c. Contract data for Products 2A.--Report below the contract information for your firm's contracts in the October 2011 through September 2014 time period for sales of both pricing product 2A of domestically produced sugar.

	(Quantity in hundred-weight, price in dollar per hundred-weight)							
	Product 2A							
Contract Date	Contract Quantity	Delivery Period	Delivered quantity	Net Delivered Price	Futures Basis (Contract Month)	Point of shipment	Point of delivery	

IV-2d.	<u>Pricing data methodology</u> .—Please describe the method and the kinds of documents/records that were used to compile your price data.

IV-3.	Price	setting
-------	-------	---------

(a)	How does your firm determine the prices that it charges for sales of sugar (check all that
	apply)? If your firm issues price lists, please submit sample pages of a recent list.

Transaction by transaction	Contracts	Set price lists	Other	If other, describe

(b)	If your firm uses futures prices for price setting or hedging purposes, please explain how
	these prices are used. Your response should include reference to exchange prices,
	hedging costs, transportation costs, import tariffs, and any other relevant factors.

(c)	Please specify the percentage of your purchases that have prices fixed at time of							
	purchase	%, betw	een the t	time of purchase and the time of delivery	% and			
	the time of d	elivery	<u></u> %.					

IV-4. <u>Discount policy.--</u> Please indicate and describe your firm's discount policies (*check all that apply*).

Quantity discounts	Annual total volume discounts	No discount policy	Other	Describe

IV-5. **Pricing terms.--**

(a) What are your firm's typical sales terms for its U.S.-produced sugar?

Net 30 days	Net 60 days	2/10 net 30 days	Other	Other (specify)

(b) On what basis are your firm's prices of domestic sugar usually quoted (check one)?

Delivered	F.o.b.	If f.o.b., specify point

IV-6. <u>Contract versus spot.</u>—Approximately what share of your firm's sales of its U.S.-produced sugar in the 2013/14 crop year was on a (1) long-term contract basis, (2) annual contract basis, (3) 6 month up to 12 month contract basis, (4) short-term contract basis, and (5) spot sales basis?

			Type of sale			
	Long-term contracts (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months)	Annual contracts (multiple deliveries for 12 months)	6-12 month contracts (multiple deliveries for 6 months up to 12 months)	Short-term contracts (multiple deliveries for less than 6 months)	Spot sales (for a single delivery)	Total (should sum to 100.0%)
Share of your 2013/2014 crop year sales	%	%	%	%	%	0.0 %

(a) Please indicate the percent of your sugar delivered in crop year 2013/14 that was sold:

Sold	Share of crop year 2013/14 sales (percent)
More than one year prior to harvest (for cane growers or beet farmers) or production (sugar mills, beet processors, cane refiners)	%
Between six months and one year prior to harvest or production	%
Between one week and six months before harvest or production	%
At the time of, or a week before or a week after harvest or production	%
More than one week after harvest or production	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0

(b) Please indicate the percentage of your crop year 2013/2014 crop or production that was sold:

Sold	Share of crop year 2013/14 production (percent)
Before June 2013	%
June 2013	%
July 2013	%
August 2013	%
September 2013	%
October 2013	%
November 2013	%
December 2013	%
January 2014	%
February 2014	%
March 2014	%
April 2014	%
May 2014	%
After May 2014	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0

IV-7. <u>Contract provisions.</u>— Please fill out the table regarding your firm's typical sales contracts for sugar (or check "not applicable" if your firm does not sell on a long-term and/or short-term contract basis).

Typical sales contract provisions	Item	Short-term contracts (multiple deliveries for less than 12 months)	Annual contracts (multiple deliveries for 12 months)	Long-term contracts (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months)
Average contract duration	# of days		365	
Price renegotiation	Yes			
(during contract period)	No			
Price tied to futures	Yes			
contract	No			
	Quantity			
Fixed quantity and/or price	Price			
ana, or price	Both			
Meet or release	Yes			
provision	No			
Not applicable				

IV-8. <u>Lead times.</u>—What is your firm's share of sales both from inventory and produced to order and what is the typical lead time between a customer's order and the date of delivery for your firm's sales of its U.S.-produced sugar?

Source	Share of 2013/14 crop year sales	Lead time (average number of days)
From inventory	%	
Produced to order	%	
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0 %	

IV-9.	Shipping	information

(a)	What is the approximate percentage of the total delivered cost of sugar that is
	accounted for by U.S. inland transportation costs? percent
(b)	Who generally arranges the transportation to your firm's customers' locations?
	Your firm Purchaser (check one)

(c) Indicate the approximate percentage of your firm's sales of sugar that are delivered the following distances from its production facility.

Distance from production facility	Share
Within 100 miles	%
101 to 1,000 miles	%
Over 1,000 miles	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0 %

V-10. <u>Geographical shipments.--</u> In which U.S. geographic market area(s) has your firm sold its U.S.-produced sugar since October 1, 2011 (check all that apply)?

Geographic area	√ if applicable
NortheastCT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, and VT.	
MidwestIL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, and WI.	
Southeast.—AL, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA, and WV.	
Central Southwest.—AR, LA, OK, and TX.	
Mountains.—AZ, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, UT, and WY.	
Pacific Coast.—CA, OR, and WA.	
Other.—All other markets in the United States not previously listed, including AK, HI, PR, and VI, among others.	

IV-11. <u>End uses.--</u>List the end uses of the sugar that your firm manufactures. For each end-use product, what percentage of the <u>total cost</u> is accounted for by sugar and other inputs?

	Share of total cost of end use product accounted for by				
End use product	Sugar	Other inputs	(should sum to 100.0% across)		
	%	%	0.0 %		
	%	%	0.0 %		
	%	%	0.0 %		

IV-12.	12. <u>Substitutes</u> Can other products be substituted for sugar?				
	☐ No	YesPlease fill out t	he tak	ole.	
		End use in which this	На		anges in the prices of this substitute affected the price for sugar?
Substitute		substitute is used	No	Yes	Explanation
1.					
2.					
3.					

IV-13.	Demand trends. Indicate how demand within the United States and outside of the United
	States (if known) for sugar has changed since October 1, 2011. Explain any trends and describe
	the principal factors that have affected these changes in demand.

Market	Overall increase	No change	Overall decrease	Fluctuate with no clear trend	Explanation and factors
Within the United States					
Outside the United States					

IV-14. **Product changes.**—Have there been any significant changes in the product range, product mix, or marketing of sugar since October 1, 2011?

No	Yes	If yes, please describe and quantify if possible.

IV-15. Conditions of competition.--

(a)	Is the sugar market subject to business cycles (other than general economy-wide
	conditions) and/or other conditions of competition distinctive to sugar? If yes, describe

Check all t	hat apply.		Please describe.
	☐ No		Skip to question IV-15(c)
	Yes-Business cycles (e.g. seasonal business)		
	prices rel prices (e.	ds in world sugar ative to U.S. g. whether U.S. e above the loan	
	Yes-Trends in refining capacity		
	Yes-Trends in acreage planted		
Yes-Trends in usage of GMO seeds		_	
	Yes-Trend of biofue	ds in world's use Is	
	condition	r distinctive is of competition ther, government	
		been any changes per 1, 2011?	in the business cycles or conditions of competition for
No	Yes	If yes, describe.	

		itoring, and	•		he U.S. government in the areas of data collection, egarding supply and demand in the U.S. sugar	
	Please ans	wer the fol	llowing ques	stions based	on your firm's experience.	
	(d) How	does the l	J.S. governr	nent influen	ce U.S. prices for raw and refined sugar?	
	(e) How	does the l	J.S. governr	nent interac	t with U.S. producers of raw and refined sugar?	
IV-16.	October 1, declining t	2011 (exa o accept ne	mples includes we custome	de placing curs or renew	leclined, or been unable to supply sugar since ustomers on allocation or "controlled order entry," existing customers, delivering less than the ely shipment commitments, etc.)?	
	No	Yes	If yes, pleas	e describe.		
IV-17.	Raw mate October 1,		r have the p	rices of the r	raw materials used to produce sugar changed since	
				Fluctuate		
	Overall	No	Overall	with no clear	Explain, noting how raw material price changes	
	increase	change	decrease	trend	have affected your firm's selling prices for sugar.	

IV-18. <u>Interchangeability</u>.--Is sugar produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (*i.e.*, can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

- A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable
- F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable
- S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable
- N = the products are *never* interchangeable
- 0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Mexico	All Other Countries
United States		
Mexico		
, , , , ,	cing sugar which is sometimes or nevenders and explain the factors that limit or pre	• • •

IV-20.

IV-19. <u>Factors other than price</u>.--Are differences other than price (*e.g.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between sugar produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's sales of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	Mexico	All Other Countries
United States		
Mexico		
1	nich factors other than price <i>always</i> on sees of sugar, identify the country-pains such factors:	
Global sugar prices.— Please describe the trends in that your firm has on global	n global sugar prices since October 1,	2011. Please attach any data
that your minimas on global	sugai prices.	
Please describe the relations U.S. sugar related to global s	ship between U.S. and global sugar pr sugar prices?	ices, i.e., how are the prices o

IV-21. Raw sugar, refined sugar, and estandar.--

		gar refiner or industrial user, can you use raw sugar, estandar, semi-refined efined sugar interchangeably in one or all of the same applications?
No	Yes	If yes, please describe the specific applications.
that	you have]raw suga]estandar]semi-refi]all types ou are a su omers' ap	to question IV-21(a) is yes, please indicate the sugars of different polarities used interchangeably. r and estandar and semi- or fully refined sugar ned and fully refined sugar gar refiner or industrial user and when sugar suitable for use in your or your plication is available from domestic sources, Mexico or a third country, will bay a premium for sugar from any of these different sources of supply?
No	Yes	If yes, please indicate the source of the sugar for which you will pay a premium price and the amount of the premium you are typically willing to pay.
pric		bility of Mexican sugar in the United States had a material impact on the in the U.S. market over the crop years 2011/2012, 2012/2013, and
No	Yes	If yes, please describe the impact and, in particular, whether Mexican sugar placed downward pressure on U.S. producer prices.

IV-22. <u>Customer identification.</u>--List the names and contact information for your firm's 10 largest U.S. customers for sugar since October 1, 2011. Indicate the share of the quantity of your firm's total shipments of sugar that each of these customers accounted for in crop year 2013/14.

Cu	stomer's name	City	State	Share of 2013/14 crop year sales (%)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	_			
10				

1v-23. Competition nom import	IV-23.	Competition	from i	imports
-------------------------------	--------	-------------	--------	---------

(a)	<u>Lost revenue</u> Since October 1, 2011:	To avoid losing sales to competitors selling sugar
	from Mexico, did your firm:	

	No	Yes
Reduce prices		
Roll back announced price increases		

(b) <u>Lost sales.</u>--Since October 1, 2011: Did your firm lose sales of sugar to imports of sugar from Mexico?

No	Yes

(c) If you indicated "yes" to any of the above, you can provide the Commission with additional information by downloading and completing the lost sales/lost revenues worksheet at http://usitc.gov/trade_remedy/question.htm. Note that the Commission may contact the firms named to verify the allegations reported. Please do not resubmit allegations provided previously.

Is your firm submitting the lost sales/lost revenues worksheet?

No—Please explain.
Yes—Please complete the worksheet and submit via the Commission dropbox. https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ . (PIN: SUGAR)

IV-24. Other explanations.—If your firm would like to further explain a response to a question in Part IV that did not provide a narrative response box, please note the question number and the explanation in the space provided below. Please also use this space to highlight any issues your firm had in providing the data in this section, including but not limited to technical issues with the MS Word questionnaire.

their *interchangeability*:

PART V.--ALTERNATIVE PRODUCT INFORMATION

	er information on this amy.sherman@usitc.	•	nnaire can be obtain	ed from Amy Shern	nan (202-205-
V-1.	HFCS Production (HFCS)?	Since October 1, 202	11, has your firm pro	duced high fructos	e corn syrup
	HFCSA sweetene	r made from corn co	omposed of either 42	percent or 55 perc	cent fructose.
	□ No □	Yes <u>COMPLETE AN</u>	ID RETURN A SEPARA	ATE HFCS PRODUC	ER QUESTIONNAIRE
All fir	ms (i.e., whether or n	ot producers of HF0	CS) should respond t	o the following:	
V-2	refined sugar and F them; mostly comp comparable or simi (a) <u>Characteris</u>	IFCS are: fully comp arable or similar; so lar; or no familiarity stics and Uses The	roductsFor each overable or the same, mewhat comparable with products. differences and sime tween refined sugar	i.e., have no differe e or similar; never o ilarities in the phys	entiation between or not-at-all
		Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
	Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
	characteristics and	uses:	or the comparability		
	application		to substitute refiner	a sagar ana m es n	Title Same
	Fully	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	NA/no
	· ·	-			
	interchangeable	interchangeable	interchangeable	interchangeable	familiarity

V-2 Comparability of type	s of sweetener	products <i>Continue</i>	d
---------------------------	----------------	--------------------------	---

(c)	Manufacturing facilities, production processes, and production employees Whether
	refined sugar and HFCS are manufactured in the same facilities, from the same inputs,
	on the same machinery and equipment, and using the same employees.

		Somewhat the	Not at all the	
Fully the same	Mostly the same	same	same	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na manufacturing pro		or the comparability	ratings you provid	ed in terms of their
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		annels of distributio ld (i.e., sold direct to		•
	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na channels of distribu		or the comparability	ratings you provid	ed in terms of their

V-2 Comparability of types of sweetener products.--Continued

(e)	<u>Customer and producer perceptions</u> Perceptions as to the differences and/or
	similarities in refined sugar and HFCS in the market (e.g., sales/marketing practices).

	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	
Fully comparable	comparable	comparable	comparable	NA/no familiarity
Please provide a na customer and prod	arrative discussion foucer perceptions:	or the comparability	ratings you provide	ed in terms of their
(f) <u>Price</u> Wh	ether prices are con	nparable or differ be	etween refined suga	r and HFCS.
(f) <u>Price</u> Wh	ether prices are con Mostly	nparable or differ be Somewhat	etween refined suga	r and HFCS.
(f) <u>Price</u> Wh				r and HFCS. NA/no familiarity
	Mostly	Somewhat	Not at all	

HOW TO FILE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE

This questionnaire is available as a "fillable" form in MS Word format on the Commission's website at: http://www.usitc.gov/investigations/701731/2014/sugar_mexico/final.htm.

Please do not attempt to modify the format or permissions of the questionnaire document. Please submit the completed questionnaire using one of the methods noted below. If your firm is unable to complete the MS Word questionnaire or cannot use one of the electronic methods of submission, please contact the Commission for further instructions.

• <u>Upload via Secure Drop Box</u>.—Upload the MS Word questionnaire along with a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1) through the Commission's secure upload facility:

Web address: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ Pin: SUGAR

• E-mail.—E-mail your questionnaire to amy.sherman@usitc.gov; include a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1). Please note that submitting your questionnaire by e-mail may subject your firm's business proprietary information to transmission over an unsecure environment and to possible disclosure. If you choose this option, the Commission warns you that any risk involving possible disclosure of such information is assumed by the submitter and not by the Commission.

If your firm does not produce this product, please fill out page 1, print, sign, and submit a scanned copy to the Commission.

Parties to this proceeding.—If your firm is a party to this proceeding, you are required to serve a copy of the completed questionnaire on parties to the proceeding that are subject to administrative protective order (see 19 CFR §207.7). A list of such parties may be obtained from the Commission's Secretary (202-205-1803). A certificate of service must accompany the completed questionnaire you submit (see 19 CFR §207.7). Service of the questionnaire must be made in paper form.