Survey will be administered online at:

https://fs4.formsite.com/DRLO/2016NCERNCSERConfSurvey/index.html



IES Institute of
Education Sciences
National Center for Education Research (NCER) and
National Center for Special Education Research (NCSER)

PI Meeting Feedback Survey

Thank you for attending the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) 2016 Principal Investigators (PI) Meeting. The purpose of this feedback survey is to gather information about your PI Meeting experience that can inform the development of next year's agenda. Your answers are voluntary, but your feedback is very important. Your responses will be anonymous and it will take about *15 minutes* of your time to complete the survey.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact IES Planning Team at IESHELP@manhattanstrategy.com.

We appreciate your feedback.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1880-0542. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this survey, please contact Christina Chhin directly at, U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, PCP-4124, Washington, DC 20202. [Note: Please do not return the completed survey to this address.]

Next >>	
INCAL	

14% Complete

PI Meeting Objectives

MAKING IT MATTER: RIGOROUS RESEARCH FROM DESIGN TO DISSEMINATION

This year's theme, Making it Matter: Rigorous Research from Design to Dissemination, underscores IES' commitment to funding high quality research projects that will lead to meaningful improvements in schools and student outcomes.

Please indicate the extent to which the overall Conference met your expectations using the scale provided.

	Exceeded Expectations	Met Expectations	Fell Short of Expectations	Not Applicable/No Opinion
Overall, the 2016 NCER/NCSER Conference	C	Ç	Ç	Ç

Please indicate to what extent the session objectives listed below met your expectations using the scale provided.

oodio providodi	Exceeded Expectations	Met Expectations	Fell Short of Expectations	Not Applicable/No Opinion
Discuss IES and the U.S. Department of Education priorities and programs	C)	Ç	Ç	O.
Address challenges and solutions in the field of education research and practice	0	Q	Ç	0
Spotlight new research findings and approaches from IES-funded projects	Ç	Ç	C	Ç
Offer professional development on a range of substantive and methodological topics	0	Q	C	0
Provide time for PIs to meet with their program officers (or IES staff)	C	Ç	C	Ç
Foster connections with	C	C	C	C

other researchers			
	<< Previous	Next >>	

29% Complete

PI Meeting Logistics PI MEETING LOGISTICS

Please indicate your level of satisfaction for each of the logistics items listed below using the scale provided.

Source provided:	Very Satisfied	Satisfie d	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfie d	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable
Conference announcemen t	C	Ç	Q	O	Q	Ç
Pre- registration procedures	C	C	0	O	O	C
Usefulness of the website	C	Q	Q	C	C	C
Conference Program/Agen da	C	C	0	O	O	Ç
Usefulness of the mobile application	Ç	Q	0	Q	Q	Ç
Meeting space	C	O	O	Q	Q	O
Hotel accommodatio ns	C	Q	O	Q	Q	C
Hotel location	C	C	C	Q	Q	0
Overall conference experience	O	Ç	Q	Q	Q	Ç

LOGISTICS SERVICES

Please rate the logistics services provided by NCER/NCSER's contractor, Manhattan Strategy Group (MSG).

Please indicate how effective you found each of the services listed below using the scale provided.

	Very Effective	Effectiv e	Ineffective	Not Applicable/Did Not Use this Service)
Timely, accurate, and courteous responses to questions and concerns	O	O	Ç.	Q
Onsite registration process	C	C	C	Q
Onsite assistance as needed	C	Q	C	C)
Quality of overall logistics	Q	O	Q	C
	<< Previo	us Next >>		

43% Complete

Networking and Engagement

NETWORKING AND ENGAGEMENT

Please indicate your level of satisfaction for each of the networking and engagement items listed below using the scale provided.

	Very		Neither Satisfied nor		Very	Not
	Satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Applicable
Use of the mobile application (app) to connect meeting participants	Q	Q	Ç	Q	Ç	Q
Use of #IESPIMtg twitter feeds to engage meeting participants	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q
Time for networking	O	C	Ç	C	C	C
Use of Networking Lounge to connect with other meeting participants	Q	Q	Q	Ç	Q	Q
		<-	< Previous Next >>	>		

57% Complete

Suggestions for the 2017 PI Meeting

Thinking ahead to the 2017 PI Meeting

Please provide feedback on the items listed below to help inform the 2016 PI Meeting. For each of the questions, you may provide up to two responses in the corresponding textboxes.

			Suggestion 1	Suggestion 2
What did you like best about the meeting?	nis year's			
What topic(s) from this year's you like to see again at the 20				
What new topic(s) would you li included at the 2017 PI Meetin				
What suggestions do you have the meeting format or logistics		oving		
What suggestions do you have the networking and engageme				
	<< Previous	Next >>		

740/	Comr	
/ 10/6	ı omr	1114114

PI Meeting Sessions (Thursday December 15)

For the next set of questions, we'd like your feedback on the quality of each of the sessions you attended on Thursday December 15, 2016. Using the scale provided, please rate each session you attended. If you attended more than one session during a time-band, please rate up to TWO sessions.

Thursday December 15 // 8:30 am - 9:30 am Opening Plenary by Ruth Curran Neild

Please rate the Opening Plenary session using the scale provided.

	Excellent	Average	Poor	Did not attend
Opening Plenary	C	C	O	Q

Please indicate your satisfaction with the Opening Plenary.

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfie d	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s) at the Opening Plenary	O	C	C	O	C
The applicability of the Opening Plenary to your research	C	Q	Ç	O	Q
The format of the Opening Plenary allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	C	O	Ç	O	Ç

Thursday December 15 // 9:45 am - 10:30 am Commissioner's Welcome

Please designate which Commissioner's Welcome session you attended and rate the session using the scale provided.

	Excellent	Averag e	Poor	Did not attend
Commissioner's Welcome: NCER	C	Q	Q	C
Commissioner's Welcome: NCSER	C	Q	C	C

Please indicate your satisfaction with the Commissioner's Welcome you attended.

,	Verv	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Verv
	very	Saustieu	refuler	Dissaustieu	very

	Satisfied		Satisfied nor Dissatisfied		Dissatisfied
The speaker(s) at the Commissioner's Welcome	C	C	Q	Q	O
The applicability of the Commissioner's Welcome to your research	Q	Q	Q	C	Ç
The format of the Commissioner's Welcome allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Ç	C	C	Ç

Please indicate the extent to which you feel the Commissioner's Welcome was effective in accomplishing each of the following

accomplianting out of the femotioning						
	Very Effective	Effective	Moderately Effective	Somewhat Effective	Ineffective	
Helping you understand IES research programs and priorities	Q	Q	Ç	Q	Q	
Stressing the importance of public access and dissemination	Q	0	Ç	Q	0	
Setting a positive tone for the PI meeting	O	O	Ç	Q	Q	

Thursday December 15 // 10:45 am – 11:45 am Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

, produce the man man and an and an			
	Excellen		
	t	Average	Poor

Early Career Development & Mentoring Program Meeting	O	Q	C
Initial Findings from the Research & Development Centers on Knowledge Utilization	O	Q	C
Making the Jump: Stories of Successful Progression through the IES Goal Structure	O	Q	C
Ongoing Comparison Studies for Continuous Improvement and Program Evaluation	O	Q	O
Pathways to the Education Sciences Program Meeting	O	Q	Q
Statistical and Research Methodology in Education Program Meeting	C	Q	C
STEM 2026: A Vision of Innovation	Q	Q	Q
What Researchers Should Know about the Every Student Succeeds Act	C	Q	0

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Thursday December 15, 10:45 am - 11:45 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the next question.)_

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	0	Q	Q	Q	O
The applicability of the session to your research	O	C	0	Q	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of	Q	Ç	Ç	Ç	Ç

Please indicate the title of the SECOND session you attended (if applicable) during the Thursday December 15, 10:45 am - 11:45 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with

information

the session. If you did not attend	two session	s, please lea	ve this section I	blank.	
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied no Dissatisfied		Very l Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	Q	Q	C	C	Q
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	O	0	O
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Ç	Q	Q	Ç.
Thursday December 15 // 12:00 Please rate the Luncheon Plen	_	•			
			Excelle	Averag ent e P	Did not Poor attend
Luncheon Plenary: "Uni- Fully Exploring Their Im Create Population-Leve Greenberg	pacts and	d Potentia	ıl to	C (o o
If applicable, please indicate y	our satisfac	tion with the	e Plenary sess	ion.	
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied no Dissatisfied		Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s) at the Luncheon Plenary	0	Q	C	Q	Q
The applicability of the Luncheon Plenary to your research	C	Q	Q	O	O
The format of the	C	Q	C	C	Q

allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information

Thursday December 15 // 1:30 pm – 3:00 pm Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

	Excellen t	Average	Poor
Adaptive Intervention in Education and Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial (SMART) Designs (Part I)	O	Q	С
Advances in Regression Discontinuity Designs and Standards	C	O	Q
Early Learning Programs and Policies Program Meeting	Q	O	Q
Mathematics and Science Education & Education Technology Program Meetings	O	C	Q
New Directions for Promoting Equity in Student Outcomes through Culturally Responsive Intervention Development, Evaluation, and Dissemination	O	Q	Q
The Risks of "Off-Label" Assessment Use	Q	O	Q
Training Grants Program Meeting	C	Q	Q
Transition Outcomes for Secondary Students with Disabilities & Autism Spectrum Disorders Program Meetings	C	O	C

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Thursday December 15, 1:30 pm - 3:00 pm time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the next question.)_



The speaker(s)	Q	C	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your research	C	Q	Q	O	O
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	O	Ç	Q	Ç.	O

Please indicate the title of the SECOND session you attended (if applicable) during the Thursday December 15, 1:30 pm - 3:00 pm time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. If you did not attend two sessions, please leave this section blank.



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	O	O	C	C	C
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	0	O	Q
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	O	Ç	Q	Q	Ç

Thursday December 15 // 3:15 pm - 4:15 pm Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

	Excellen t	Average	Poor
Adaptive Intervention in Education and Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial (SMART) Designs (Part II)	Q	O	Q

Documenting Rigorous Research: Two Initiatives to Support Randomized Controlled Trial Accountability	O	Q	Q
Early Learning and Early Intervention in Special Education Program Meeting	Q	Q	C
English Learners Program Meeting	Q	Q	O
IES Needs You: Meeting Public Access Requirements	Q	Q	O
Improving Education Systems, Evaluation of State and Local Education Programs and Policies, & Researcher-Practitioner Partnerships Program Meetings	O	O	C
Strategies for Making Your Research Matter	O	Q	O
Tips for Success: Responsible Inquiry from Start to Finish	C	Q	C

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Thursday December 15, 3:15 pm - 4:15 pm time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the next question.)_

1-		 	 	/-	
					-
Н	. 1				7
Ľ	<u> </u>				>

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	Q	O	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your research	O	C	O	0	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	C	Q	Q	C

Please indicate the title of the SECOND session you attended (if applicable) during the Thursday December 15, 3:15 pm - 4:15 pm time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. If you did not attend two sessions, please leave this section blank.



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	Q	Q	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your research	O	C	0	Q	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Q	Q	Q	Ç

Thursday December 15 // 4:15 pm – 5:45 pm Poster Session and Networking Please rate the Poster Session and Networking using the scale provided.

	Excellent	Averag e	Poor	Did not attend
Poster Session	C	O	Q	C
Technology Demonstrations	O	C	Q	C
Opportunities for Networking	Q	Q	Q	Q

If applicable, please indicate your satisfaction with the Poster Session that you attended.

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The applicability of the Poster Session to your research	Q	Q	Q	Q	C
The format of the Poster Session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Q	O	O	Ç
	<	< Previous N	ext >>		

86% Complete

PI Meeting Sessions (Friday December 16)

For the next set of questions, we'd like your feedback on the quality of each of the sessions you attended on Friday December 16, 2015. Using the scale provided, please rate each session you attended. If you attended more than one session during a time-band, please rate up to TWO sessions.

Friday December 16 // 8:00 am - 9:30 am Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

	Excellen t	Average	Poor
At the Cutting Edge: Demonstrations of Statistical Software Developed Through the Stats & Methods Program	O	Q	С
Developing and Evaluating College Degree Completion Strategies	C	C	Q
Education Science in a Social Media World: How Do We Get Our Work Out There?	Q	Q	Q
Identifying Key Ingredients for Intensive Interventions	C	C	Q
Lessons Learned in Working to Improve Reading for Understanding	Q	Q	Q
Listening Session: Perspectives on the Future of STEM Graduate Education	C	C	Q
Methods Training in Cost-effectiveness and Benefit- Cost Analysis	O	C	Q
Research and Funding Opportunities with Data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	O	O	С

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Friday December 16, 8:00 am - 9:30 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the next question.)_

	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very
Satisfied		Satisfied nor		Dissatisfied

			Dissatisfied		
The speaker(s)	O	0	C	C	C
The applicability of the session to your research	Q	O	C	Q	O
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	O	Q	Q	Q	Q

Please indicate the title of the SECOND session you attended (if applicable) during the Friday December 16, 8:00 am -9:30 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. If you did not attend two sessions, please leave this section blank.



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	O	Q	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	Q	Q	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	O	Ç	Ç	O	Ç

Friday December 16 // 9:45 am - 11:15 am Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

	Excellen t	Average	Poor
Evidence-Centered Design for Research and Development	O	O	Q

Flash Talks: Lightning Fast Demonstrations of Education Technology	Q	Q	C
Go Back Jack, Do It Again! Advancing Research through Replication	Q	Q	C
Initial 50 State Findings from the Center on Standards, Alignment, Instruction and Learning (C-SAIL)	Q	O	C
Low-Cost Short Duration Evaluations	Q	Q	Q
Reading, Writing, and Language Development Program Meeting	Q	Q	C
Single-Case Design: How You Can Use SCD to Enhance your Research	Q	Q	C
Social and Behavioral Outcomes to Support Learning Program Meeting	Q	Q	C

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Friday December 16, 9:45 am - 11:15 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the past question.)

ŀ	provided in the next question.)_	
I		- 4
ı		
	<u> </u>	F

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	O	Q	C	C	C
The applicability of the session to your research	O	C	0	O	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	C	Ç	O.	O	Ç

Please indicate the title of the SECOND session you attended (if applicable) during the Friday December 16, 9:45 am - 11:15 am time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. If you did not attend two sessions, please leave this section blank.



	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	O	O	C	C	C
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	0	O	Q
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q

riday December 16 // 11:30 am - 1:00 pm Luncheon Plenary Please rate the Luncheon Plenary using the scale provided.

	Excellent	Averag e		Did not attend
Luncheon Plenary: "Distilling Your Message." An interactive plenary workshop by Christine O'Connell, Alan Alda Center for Communicating Science	Q	C	C	Q

If applicable, please indicate your satisfaction with the Luncheon Plenary.

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfie d	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s) at the Luncheon Plenary	Q	C	C	O	Q
The applicability of the Luncheon Plenary to your research	Q	C	C	O	C
The format of the Luncheon Plenary allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or	Q	C	C)	Q	Ç

discussion of information

Friday December 16 // 1:15 pm - 2:15 pm Concurrent Sessions

Please provide an overall rating for the session(s) you attended using the scale provided. (please rate up to TWO sessions if you attended more than one in a time-band)

For sessions you did not attend, please leave blank.

	Excellen t	Average	Poor
Adult Education Research Program Meeting	Q	Q	Q
Cognition and Student Learning Program Meeting	Q	O	O
Developer-Researcher Collaborations: Developing and Educating Game-Based Learning Products and Interventions	Q	C	C
Professional Development for Teachers and Related Services Providers & Effective Teachers and Effective Teaching Program Meetings	Q	O	С
Researcher Practitioner Partnerships	O	C	Q
The IGDIs Enterprise: Development and Use of Individual Growth and Development Indicators to Support Early Intervention and Early Learning of Infants, Toddlers, and Preschoolers	0	0	C
The Many Faces of FERPA: How Data Privacy Laws Affect Your Research	O	Q	Q

Please indicate the title of the FIRST/ONLY session you attended during the Friday December 16, 1:15 pm - 2:15 pm time-band in the textbox below, then indicate your satisfaction with the session. (If you attended more than one session during this time-band, an opportunity to rate the second session is provided in the next question.)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	0	O	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your	0	C	C	Q	0

	I				
research					
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	C	Ç	Q	Q
Please indicate the title of the SE 16, 1:15 pm - 2:15 pm time-band you did not attend two sessions,	d in the textb	ox below, the	n indicate your sa		
1	I	· ·			
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	Q	Ç	C	Q	C
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	0	Q	C
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Q	Ç	Q	Q
Friday December 16 // 2:30 pm Please rate the Plenary Sessio					
				Excellen t A	verage Poor
Plenary Session: "Now Communication of the I interactive plenary wor Northwestern University	Patterns I kshop by	n Your Da	-	C	0 0
Please indicate your satisfacti	on with the	Plenary Ses	sion.		

Very

Satisfied

Neither

Dissatisfied

Very

	Satisfied		Satisfied nor Dissatisfied		Dissatisfied
The speaker(s)	C	Q	Q	Q	Q
The applicability of the session to your research	C	C	O	O	0
The format of the session allowing for appropriate dissemination and/or discussion of information	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q

PI Meeting Presenters' Feedback
If you were a presenter/speaker at the 2016 IES PI Meeting, please rate your level of satisfaction for each of the following questions. If you were not a presenter/speaker, please leave this section blank.

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
The communication from IES prior to the meeting	O	C	Q	O	C
The resources available at the meeting to support your presentation	O	C	O	C	C

Please provide any additional comments/suggestions for how we can improve or better facilitate the presentation process in the future. << Previous Submit