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**A. Justification**

**1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.**

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), is responsible for preventing plant diseases or insect pests from entering the

United States, preventing the spread of pests and noxious weeds not widely distributed in the United States, and eradicating those imported pests when eradication is feasible. The Plant Protection Act authorizes USDA to carry out this mission.

Under the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 7701, et seq.) the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to prohibit or restrict the importation, entry, or movement of plants, and plant pests to prevent the introduction of plant pests into the United States or their dissemination within the United States.

The regulations in “Subpart-Fruits and Vegetables” (7 CFR 319.56 through 319.56-70, referred to below as the regulations) prohibit or restrict the importation of fruits and vegetables into the United States from certain parts of the world to prevent the introduction and dissemination of plant pests that are new to or not widely distributed within the United States.

APHIS amended the regulations concerning the importation of fruits and vegetables to allow the importation of fresh mangoes from Jamaica into the continental United States. As a condition of entry, the mangoes will have to be produced in accordance with a systems approach employing a combination of mitigation measures for Phomopsis mangiferae and Xanthomonas campestris pv. mangiferaeindicae and will have to be inspected prior to exportation from Jamaica and found free of these pests and diseases. The mangoes will have to be imported in commercial consignments only and will have to be treated by hot water and irradiation to mitigate the risk of insect pests. The mangoes will also have to be accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate with an additional declaration that the conditions for importation have been met. This action will allow the importation of mangoes from Jamaica while continuing to protect against the introduction of plant pests into the United States.

APHIS is asking OMB to approve, for 3 years, its use of these information collection activities, associated with its efforts to prevent the spread of fruit flies and other plant pests from entering into the United States.

**2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

APHIS uses the following information collection activities to allow the import of mangoes to be imported into the United States from Jamaica:

**Operational Workplan** – The National Plant Protection Organization (NPPO) of Jamaica must provide an operational workplan to APHIS that details the activities that the NPPO of Jamaica will, subject to APHIS’ approval of the workplan, carry out to meet the requirements of this section.

**Production Site Registration** – Mangoes must be grown at places of production that are registered with the NPPO of Jamaica.

**Phytosanitary Certificate (foreign) w/additional Declaration** - Each consignment of fruit must be inspected by APHIS and the NPPO of Jamaica and accompanied by a phytosanitary certificate issued by the NPPO of Jamaica with two additional declarations confirming that:

(1) the mangoes were subjected to treatments in accordance with 7 CFR, Part 305, to mitigate the risk of Anastrepha spp. fruit fly, and (2) the mangoes were inspected prior to export from Jamaica and found free of P.mangiferae and X.campestris pv. mangiferaeindicae.

**3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any considerations of using information technology to reduce burden.**

APHIS has no control or influence over when foreign countries will automate their phytosanitary certificates.

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use of the purpose described in item 2 above.**

The information APHIS collects is exclusive to its mission of preventing the spread of plant pests and is not available from any other source.

**5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.**

The information APHIS collects is the minimum needed to protect the United States from destructive plant pests while increasing the number and variety of fruits and vegetables that can be imported from other countries. APHIS has determined 100 percent of the respondents are small entities.

**6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

Failing to collect this information would cripple APHIS’ ability to ensure that mangoes from Jamaica are not carrying plant pests. If plant pests were introduced into the United States, growers would suffer hundreds of millions of dollars in losses.

**7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with the general information collection guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.**

* **requiring respondents to report informa­tion to the agency more often than quarterly;**
* **requiring respondents to prepare a writ­ten response to a collection of infor­ma­tion in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
* **requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any docu­ment;**
* **requiring respondents to retain re­cords, other than health, medical, governm­ent contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;**
* **in connection with a statisti­cal sur­vey, that is not de­signed to produce valid and reli­able results that can be general­ized to the uni­verse of study;**
* **requiring the use of a statis­tical data classi­fication that has not been re­vie­wed and approved by OMB;**
* **that includes a pledge of confiden­tiali­ty that is not supported by au­thority estab­lished in statute or regu­la­tion, that is not sup­ported by dis­closure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unneces­sarily impedes shar­ing of data with other agencies for com­patible confiden­tial use; or**
* **requiring respondents to submit propri­etary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demon­strate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permit­ted by law.**

No special circumstances exist that would require this collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with the general information collection guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.

**8. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting form, and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency’s notice, soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.**

The following individuals were consulted during 2013-2014:

P. Morales, Agricultural Scientist

American Embassy – Santo Domingo

Calle Pedro Henriquez Urena #133

Edificio COMSER 4ta Planta

Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

(809) 227-0111

M. Crossland, U.S. Mango Board

Fleishman-Hillard, Consumer and Trade Media Contact.

[mckinzey.crossland@fleishman.com](mailto:mckinzey.crossland@fleishman.com)

(512) 495-7164

P.O. Box 140903

Orlando, FL 32814-0903

(877) MANGOS-1, 407-629-7318

S. Harvey, Acting Chief Plant Quarantine / Produce Inspector

Ministry of Agriculture 193 Old Hope Road

Kingston 6

Telephone:+1-876-977-0637 & +1-876-977-6992

Fax:+1-876-977-6401

E-mail: [syharvey@moa.gov.jm](mailto:syharvey@moa.gov.jm) ; [ppq@moa.gov.jm](mailto:ppq@moa.gov.jm)

On Tuesday, April 15, 2014, APHIS published a Proposed Rule, (Docket Number APHIS 2013-0018) with a 60-day comment period. During this time, APHIS received four comments, all from private citizens. Three of the comments were in support of the Proposed Rule; and one comment raised a few concerns: (1) mitigation measures seem adequate for detecting pests on the surface of the mangoes but stricter measures would need to be in place to detect eggs and larvae inside the fruit and not just on the surface of the fruit, (2) he questioned whether or not inspection of mangoes alone is enough to detect pathogens discussed, and (3) he asked why the import of mangoes from Jamaica was necessary when the amount of mangoes estimated to be imported is low. All of these concerns are addressed in the Final Rule; therefore, APHIS is adopting the Proposed Rule as the Final Rule.

**9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than reenumeration of contractors or grantees.**

This information collection activity involves no payments or gifts to respondents.

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

No additional assurance of confidentiality is provided with this information collection. Any and all information obtained in this collection shall not be disclosed except in accordance with

5 U.S.C. 552a.

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and others that are considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

This information collection activity asks no questions of a personal or sensitive nature.

**12. Provide estimates of hour burden of the collection of information. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated**.

**. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.**

See APHIS Form 71 for burden estimates.

**. Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories.**

APHIS estimates the total annualized cost to respondents to be $2,925. APHIS arrived at this figure by multiplying the total burden hours (117) by the estimated average hourly wage of the above respondents ($25) . 117 hours X $25 estimated hourly wage = $2,925.

The estimated hourly wage was provided by APHIS’ International Services specialists in Jamaica via conversations with the Ministry of Agriculture in Jamaica.

**13. Provide estimates of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information (do not include the cost of any hour burden in items 12 and 14). The cost estimates should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component annualized over its expected useful life; and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component.**

There is zero annual cost burden associated with the capital and start-up costs, maintenance costs, and purchase of services in connection with this program.

**14. Provide estimates of annualized costs for the Federal government. Provide a description of the method used to estimate cost and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.**

The estimated cost for the Federal Government is $6,201. (See APHIS Form 79.)

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB 83-1.**

This is a new program.

**16. For collections of information whose results are planned to be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication**.

APHIS has no plans to tabulate or publish the information collected.

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.**

There are no USDA forms associated with this information collection.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act.”**

APHIS is able to certify compliance with all the provisions under the Act.

**B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods.**

Statistical methods are not used in this information collection.