



REQUEST FOR DETERMINATION OF RESEARCH STATUS

To be completed by the staff member with lead responsibility for the project and approved by branch chief (if applicable) and Division ADS. A separate PGO funding memo is required if project is research and involves human subjects regardless of the CDC staff role.

- Instructions:**
- (1) Use this form to declare: (a) the research status of any project, (b) role or roles of CDC staff
 - (2) A short summary should be attached offering specific details about the project and the role of staff.
 - (3) Be sure to complete all applicable items, obtain appropriate signatures and submit this form for approval.

Tracking Number: _____
 (Use PGO number if cooperative agreement, grant, etc.)

Date submitted: 06/07/2011

Title of Project: The National Diabetes Prevention Program: Diabetes Prevention Recognition Program

Dates for project period:

Beginning: 10/03/2011

Ending: 10/03/2014

Dates for funding (if applicable):

Beginning: _____

Ending: _____

Project is (choose one):

NOTE: Revision, as used below, refers to any substantive change made to the project including scope of project, funding restrictions, personnel, role of CDC staff member, determination of research status, etc.

New

Revision

Continuation, without revision(s)

Continuation, with revision(s)

Lead staff member:

Name: Russell Sniegowski

Contact information:

Division: DDT

Please indicate your role(s) in this project:

Project officer **Technical monitor**

User ID: rjs3

Telephone: 770-488-5033

Principal investigator **Investigator**

Scientific Ethics number: _____

Mailstop: K-10

Consultant **Other (please explain)**

1. Are any or all of the activities within this project DESIGNED to contribute to generalizable knowledge (i.e., research)?

YES **NO**

If YES, list those activities which are research:

2. Is this CDC project research or public health practice (check all that apply)?

Research **Public health practice**

Check one:

Human subjects involved

Human subjects not involved

Check all that apply:

Emergency Response **Surveillance**

Program evaluation **Other (please explain)**

To recognize and monitor lifestyle programs to prevent type 2 diabetes

3. If RESEARCH involving human subjects, has the project or research activities been reviewed by the CDC IRB for human subjects protection?

a. **NO, New project, not yet reviewed**

d. **YES, Reviewed and approved by CDC**

b. **NO, Existing project, not ready to submit**

If YES, please list protocol number and

c. **NO, Submitted for approval**

expiration date _____

e. NO, RESEARCH, no CDC investigators (CDC IRB not required)

f. N/A (Not Applicable)

If RESEARCH, list any other CDC staff involved in this project, please include the name, role, and scientific ethics number

Name	Role (project officer, investigator, consultant, etc.)	Scientific ethics number Prin
Russell Sniegowski		

IF YOU THINK THE RESEARCH PROJECT MIGHT QUALIFY AS EXEMPT RESEARCH (as identified in 45CFR46.101), PLEASE ANSWER questions 4-6, OTHERWISE SKIP TO question 7.

4. Does the proposed research involve prisoners?

YES If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to question 7).

NO

5. Does the proposed research involve fetuses, pregnant women, or human in vitro fertilization as targets (such that Subpart B would apply)?

YES If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to question 7).

NO

Educational Research

6.1 Is this research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, AND does the research involve normal educational practices (e.g., research on regular and special education strategies or research on the effectiveness of, or comparison among instructional techniques, curricula or classroom management methods)?

YES NO

Research Involving Surveys, Interview Procedures (including Focus groups), Observation of Public Behavior, or Educational Tests

6.2 Will this research use educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior?

YES NO If NO skip 6.3

Will children (<18 years of age) be research subjects?

YES If YES, this research cannot be exempted and must be reviewed by an IRB (skip to item 7)

NO

6.2.1 Is the information obtained recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified directly or indirectly through identifiers (such as a code) linked to the subjects;

YES NO

6.2.2 Will any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside of the research setting have the potential to place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability, or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability or reputation? (Examples here may include: the collection of sensitive data regarding the subjects' (or relatives' or associates') possible substance abuse, sexuality, criminal history or intent, medical or psychological condition, financial status, or similarly compromising information).

YES NO

6.3 Will this research use educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior but the research is not exempt under paragraph 6.2 of this section:

YES NO If NO skip to 6.4

6.3.1 Will this research involve human subjects that are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office?

YES NO

6.3.2 Does federal statute(s) require(s) without exception that confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter? (Note: CDC can use this exemption criterion only in the case where a 308(d) Assurance of Confidentiality has been obtained to cover the research).

YES NO

Existing Data Which Is Publicly Available or Unidentifiable

6.4 Does this research involve only the collection or study of existing* data, documents, records, pathological or diagnostic specimens? (* 'existing' means existing before the study begins)?

YES NO **If NO skip to 7**

6.4.1 Is this material or information publicly available?

YES NO

6.4.2 Is this material or information recorded in such a manner by the investigator that the subjects cannot be identified directly or indirectly through identifiers linked to the subjects?

(Note: If a link is created by an investigator even temporarily, for research purposes, this criterion is not met. If a temporary link is created by clinical staff who already have access to the data, this criterion is met).

YES (there are no identifying information and no unique identifiers or codes) YES
 NO (there are identifiers (including codes))

7. Please prepare and attach a short summary paragraph (<1 page); if this is new:

a. Be sure to include the purpose of the project, specific details about the project and the role of the CDC staff member (s) in the project. In explaining one's role as a consultant be particularly careful to identify involvement in things like: study design decisions, oversight of protocol development, participation in review of data collection procedures, and participation in data analysis and/or manuscript preparation, as well as whether there will be access to identifiable or personal data.

b. Explain your project status selection (research--non-exempt, exempt, no CDC investigator or not involving human subjects; public health practice). If you selected research not involving human subjects be sure to indicate if the data includes any personal information (e.g., name, SSN), linkable study identification numbers or codes, or geographical information.

In 2010, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention established the CDC Diabetes Prevention Recognition Program (DPRP) as part of the National Diabetes Prevention Program. The purpose of the DPRP is to recognize and monitor the effectiveness of organizations delivering a proven diabetes lifestyle intervention program. The DPRP will also provide information to people at high risk of type 2 diabetes, their health care providers and health payers on the location and performance of local diabetes prevention programs. The DPRP is public health practice based upon science-based efficacy and effectiveness studies specific to diabetes prevention.

To allow DDT to assess/monitor program effectiveness, renew recognition status and provide technical assistance, recognized programs will submit raw data (raw process and outcome measures) to DDT. This data will not contain personal identifiers (codes, created and maintained by the programs will replace names of participants, program staff, etc.). DDT staff will analyze the data to quantify program effectiveness and assure programs meet the criteria for recognition. OMB approval is being obtained for this data collection.

Applicant organizations are responsible for ensuring the privacy and confidentiality of lifestyle program participant data and complying with all applicable federal laws.

The DDT Project Officer is responsible for the programmatic oversight of the DPRP, in collaboration, as appropriate, with content-specific staff at CDC.

8. Please list the primary project site and all collaborating site(s).

Explanation of project components:

9. If project involves research that is funded extramurally, list amount of award that should be restricted pending IRB approval and describe which project components will be affected, if known:

Approvals (signature and position title)	Date	Research Determination / Remarks
Russell Sniegowski - PUBLIC HEALTH CONSULTANT	06/07/2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public health practice <input type="checkbox"/> Research not involving human subjects <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Local IRB <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Exemption <input type="checkbox"/> CDC IRB

staff member completing this form		<u>Comments:</u>
Grace Thomas - Contracto9r Team Lead	06/07/2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public health practice <input type="checkbox"/> Research not involving human subjects <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Local IRB <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Exemption <input type="checkbox"/> CDC IRB <u>Comments:</u> PO is in the OD so there is no branch chief
Grace Thomas - Contracto9r Division ADS	06/07/2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public health practice <input type="checkbox"/> Research not involving human subjects <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Local IRB <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Exemption <input type="checkbox"/> CDC IRB <u>Comments:</u>
Joan Redmond Leonard - PUBLIC HEALTH ANALYST CUC ADS, Deputy ADS, or Human Subjects Contact	06/13/2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public health practice <input type="checkbox"/> Research not involving human subjects <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, no CDC investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, exempt <input type="checkbox"/> Research involving human subjects, CDC investigators, not exempt (check if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Local IRB <input type="checkbox"/> CDC Exemption <input type="checkbox"/> CDC IRB <u>Comments:</u>