RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM REGUALTORY IMPACT ANALYSIS

The following regulatory impact analysis (RIA) is provided to fulfill the requirements of Executive Order 12866_and in accordance with the guidance provided in OMB Circular A-4. This RIA consists of an introduction, a summary of the major changes, a statement of need for the final rule, a discussion of the current provisions of the interim rule for the Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) and how the final rule would changechangechangechangechanges those provisions, and an analysis of the benefits and costs of the changes.

1. _Introduction

Both Congress and the Administration have set goals and provided funding for the development and increased use of renewable energy. By 2035, the Administration has committed to the goal of generating 80 percent of our electricity from a diverse set of clean energy sources.

To correct for market failure in the development and implementation of clean, renewable energy technologies and to promote rural economic development, Congress authorizes the Administration to support renewable energy manufacturers and developers to fund cutting-edge research and development (R&D)

¹ President O'Bama, 2011 State of the Union. "So tonight, I challenge you to join me in setting a new goal: by 2035, 80% of America's electricity will come from clean energy sources. Some folks want wind and solar. Others want nuclear, clean coal, and natural gas. To meet this goal, we will need them all..."

and to modernize rural energy infrastructure using grant and loan programs.

The development of new cutting edge technologies inrenewable energy will be underfunded if left entirely to theprivate sector, because the value of the public good aspects
of new technologies cannot fully be captured by the developer
of that technology. It is for that reason the public
investments in basic development of renewable energy
technologies are necessary. In addition, the value of
limiting greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions through the
development and adoption of renewable energy technologies
cannot be captured by the private sector because there
currently is no market for mitigating GHG emissions.

Within Title IX of the, Energy, of the Food,
Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill),
Congress amended the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of
2002, in part, by adding 12 new sections addressing renewable
energy to section 9001Title IX. Ten of these sections
directly support the production of bioenergy, with the
majority supporting liquid biofuels either exclusively or, as
with the REAP, as a part of a broader program in support of
nine categories of renewable energy projects and energy
efficiency. Some of the Title IX sections focus support on
existing commercial biofuels production facilities (i.e.,

Sections 9004, 9005, and 9007), while others focus support on new advanced biofuels, research and development programs, and construction of new demonstration-scale and commercial-scale biorefineries (e.g., Sections 9003 and 9008). _The Agricultural Act of 2014 (2014 Farm Bill) continuesd this authority with the exception that the ability to make grants for feasibility studies has been removed from REAP.

Over one billion dollars in the 2014 Farm Bill Title IX funding has been provided or authorized to be provided in support of these energy programs. Several of the Title IX sections support the production of liquid biofuels, especially ethanol and biodiesel. The production of liquid biofuels is characterized by five distinct stages: (1) feedstock production; (2) feedstock preprocessing and transportation; (3) feedstock conversion to fuels at the biofuel facility; (4) transportation and distribution of the fuels; and (5) fuel consumption (combustion). As discussed briefly below, the Title IX programs are providing significant support to the first three stages of the biofuels production life cycle.

Four Title IX sections focus on supporting the first twostages of this biofuel life cycle (production and delivery offeedstock for biofuel production), stages that depend almost exclusively upon the agriculture and forestry sectors. Thesefour sections are: 9008—Biomass Research and Development,

9010 - Feedstock Flexibility Program for Bioenergy Producers,
9011 - Biomass Crop Assistance Program, and 9012 - Forest
Biomass for Energy.

While most of the Title IX sections focus heavily on liquid biofuels, tThere is also important grant and loan guarantee support within Section 9007 for eight different categories of renewable energy production (e.g., wind, solar, anaerobic digestion, hydro, and geothermal) and energy efficiency improvements.

In sum, Title IX of the 2014 Farm Bill, when viewed in total, provides a wide band of support for renewable energy in general and biofuels in particular, across differing value—chain stages and across different levels of commercialization. Those programs include a number of financial assistance—programs designed to encourage and support the development and use of advanced biofuels in order to advance the—Administration's renewable energy objectives including energy—independence, rural economic development, and the reduction of GHG emissions.—

As noted above, oone of those the Title IX programs is

REAP in Section 9007, which seeks to promote energy efficiency
and renewable energy development for agricultural producers
and rural small businesses. REAP provides grants and

guaranteed loans for throughREAP provides grants for eight

different categories of renewable energy production (e.g., wind, solar, anaerobic digestion, hydro, and geothermal) as well as for energy efficiency improvements. REAP also provides grants for energy audits and renewable energy development assistance and financial assistance (grants and guaranteed loans) for the purchase of renewable energy systems and to make energy efficiency improvements.

Renewable energy projects funded by REAP limit greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions through the development and adoption of renewable energy technologies. Because there currently is no market for mitigating GHG emissions, the private sector is not capturing the value of such reductions in GHG emission. By supporting renewable energy technologies, REAP is helping to address this market failure.

The 2014 Farm Bill provides \$50 million of mandatory funding for REAP for Fiscal Year 2014 and each year thereafter. The 2014 Farm Bill also enables and includes the ability of Congress to approve an additional \$20 million of discretionary funding each year of the Farm Bill. Therefore, it is important to have eligibility criteria and regulations in place to direct administration of the REAP program as well as to guide the public on how to apply for renewable energy and energy efficiency funding opportunities.

2. _Summary of Major Changes of the Regulatory Action

Rural Development (the Agency) published an interim rule for REAP on April 14, 2011. final rule for REAP on April 14, 2011 (76 FR 21110). On April 12, 2013 (78 FR 22044) the Aagency published a proposed rule, which incorporated some changes based on the public feedback received during the interim final rule public comment period. The REAP interim rule established a consolidated REAP program by including each part of the program in a single subpart. Up to then, only the RES and EEI grant and guaranteed loan program requirements had been implemented under 7 CFR part 4280, subpart B and, for requirements established by the 2008 Farm Bill, through Federal Register notices. The requirements for energy audit and renewable energy development assistance grants have been implemented through a series of Federal Register notices. The Agency consolidated those programs into one REAP program. Based on comments received from the public on the interim rule, which was published in the Federal Register on April 14, 2011 (76 FR 21110), and the proposed rule, which was published on April 12, 2013 (78 FR 22044) and through agency review of the program thus far, this The final rule intends to changechangechangechange certain components of the interim rule ruleprogram to reduce burden and to streamline application process for the REAP

programprogramprogramprogram for applicants. The following paragraphs discuss the major aspects of the final rule that are different from how the program is currently being implemented and administered particular:.

<u>Project eligibility</u>. The Agency is allowing the purchase of renewable energy systems for a rural small business or agricultural operation, which is closely associated and shares an energy metering device with a residence.

Eligibility Criteria. For energy efficiency improvement projects, the Agency is requiring the energy efficiency improvements use less energy on an annual basis in order to be eligible for program funding.

<u>Technical reports</u>. <u>The Agency has made several changes</u>
<u>associated with the technical reports:</u>

- The energy efficiency improvement and renewable energy system technical reports for projects with total project costs of \$200,000 or less are being simplified;
- Rrevising provisions associated with what is required for an energy assessment and an energy audit;
- ____pProviding for a single technical report option for renewable energy systems submitted through the process for applications for projects with total project costs of greater than \$200,000; and

Eeliminating the distinction between large and small solar and wind projects <u>such that the content</u> in <u>preparing the</u> technical reports <u>for all solar and for all</u> wind projects are the same.

Applications. The final rule incorporates three grant application categories, <u>for</u> which the Agency has also developed forms -- one forforforforFor projects with total project costs \$200,000 and greater, applicants may uses RD Form 4280-3C, "Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$200,000 and Greater;";";";".;" one forforforforFor projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000, applicants may uses Form RD 4280-3B, "Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of Less Than \$200,000, but More Than \$80,000;";";";":".;" _and_ oneoneoneoneFinally, for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, applicants may uses Form RD 4280-3A, "Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$80,000 or Less." The three application categories require different amounts of paperwork. With the changes, the smaller the total project costs, the lesser amount of paperwork and burden are

associated with the process.

Scoring criteria. The Agency has <u>made a number of</u>
changes to the scoring criteria:

- __removed scoring criteria for technical merit and commercial availability; because technical merit was too subjective and difficult to quantify and pre-commercial technologies are excluded from eligibility;
- _added a criterion based on energy generated per dollar requested;
- modified the environmental benefits criterion;
- __modified the size of the agricultural producer/small business criterion;
- modified the previous assistance criterion;
- _ modified the "readiness" criterion now referred to as "commitment of funds; ""
- modified the simple payback criterion; and
- __modified the administrative points criterion.

<u>Pre-commercial technology</u>. The Agency removed pre-commercial technology as an eligible technology. Only commercially available technologies would be eligible for grants and guaranteed loans.

Energy audit requirement threshold for EEI applications.

The Agency is raising the threshold for requiring an energy audit (versus an energy assessment) from \$50,000 to \$200,000

in total project cost.

Energy assessment. The Agency is allowing for an energy efficiency improvement project with total project costs of \$80,000 or less to have an individual or entity conduct the energy assessment and does not require the individual or entity to be "independent."

3. Statement of Need

The Agency is authorized under Section 9001 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill) and Section 9007 of the amended Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 to provide to agricultural producers and rural small businesses loan guarantees and grants for the development and construction of renewable energy systems and energy efficiency improvement projects. The 2008 Farm Bill also authorized the Agency to provide grants specifically for energy audits, renewable energy development assistance, and feasibility studies. The 2014 Farm Bill continues these authorities, with four modifications which are discussed in the preamble of the final rule. These modifications are: adding a three-tier application process, adding councils as an eligible applicant for energy audit and renewable development assistance grants, removing grants for feasibility studies, and making flexible fuel projects ineligible under the

program., except that the ability to make grants for feasibility studies has been removed from REAP.

Since it began operating the REAP in 2003, the Agency has developed experience regarding how this authority can be used to address renewable energy and energy efficiency issues facing agricultural producers and rural small businesses. The 2008 Farm Bill expanded the types of energy programs the Agency could operate compared to its authority in the 2002 Farm Bill and the 2014 Farm Bill continues these authorities.

the Agency initially issued a series of notices in the Federal Register. However, this was a short term solution until the Agency could implement a complete rule that incorporates all of the authorities in the 2008 Farm Bill. To accomplish this, the Agency first implemented an interim rule in April 2011 to signal its initial implementation of REAP rather than publishing a proposed rule with a separate notice of funding availability for Fiscal YearYearYearYearfiscal yearYear 2011, as it had done for previous years. As stated in the Federal Register notice publishing the interim rule, the Agency noted that it would propose and promulgate a subsequent rule for REAP to replace the interim rule.

This final rule <u>will</u> revise<u>s</u> 7 CFR 4280, subpart B to include changes that the Agency had previously identified, but

did not include in the April 2011 interim rule. The Agency did not include these changes in order to expedite the implementation of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill) program modifications and to improve the administration of the program via an updated regulation rather than, in part, through Federal Register notices. The changes previously identified were included in the proposed rule, which was published in the Federal Register on April 12, 2013 (78 FR 22044), and included a 60 day public comment period. In addition to the comments Comments received from the public on the proposed rule, the Agency is also addressing in the final rule public comments that were received on theon the interim final rule (76 CFR 21110, April 14, 2011)) and the proposed rule, are addressed in this the final rule.

The changes changes required by the 2014 Farm Bill willwillwill that were not already part of the rule were also implemented inwillwill also be implemented with this final rule. Such changes include, but are not limited to the following: that were not already part the rule which include: , but are not limited to the following:

 Repealed the authority to fund feasibility study grants under REAP and also removed the ability to provide assistance for flexible fuel pumps. • Allows council'scouncil'scouncil's Allowed

councils council's council's, as define defined in the

Resource and Conservation Development program (RC&D

Council's Councils), Council's) to be eligible applicants for

for energy audit and renewable energy development assistance

grants.

Executive Order 13563 requires that, to the extent required by law, each agency shall modify and streamline rules that are excessively burdensome, outmoded, or ineffective at achieving their objective. The changes in this final rule will improve the implementation and administration of REAP—compared to the interim rule. Such changesSuch changesSuch changesCuch changesThe modificationschanges will streamline the application process, reduce burden to most applicants and rebalance the burden between applicants and awardees, and will provide increased flexibility in providing certain information.

The changes reflect the cumulative knowledge the Agency has gained by administering the program since its implementation inception of the program as well as the discourse between stakeholders and the Agency. This is reflected most strongly in: (1) streamlining and revising the grant application process (2) including the

developingment of application forms for each category of application; and the revisiongs to the or removing certain scoring criteria to make the scoring process overall so that the criteria are more objective and better further the program goals. Making the scoring criteria more objective (i.e., less subjective) will enable the Agency to score projects implement administer the program more consistently across the United StatesStates. States.

The renewable energy industry, in particular, has matured significantly since this program began in 2003. The Agency has taken into consideration that maturation as it developed this rule.

In considering changes to the interimruleruleruleruleprogram, the Agency also examined other
FederalFederalFederalFederal programs that provide
funding to the same or similar projects that can be funded
under REAP. The result of that examination was a
determination that limiting REAP to commercial technologies
(i.e., removing pre-commercial technologies as an eligible
project) would avoid overlap with the Agency's Biorefinery
Assistance Program (7 CFR 4279, subpart C).

In sum, these changes will improve the existing REAP ruleruleruleruleprogram. The cumulative effects of these changes are an overall reduction in the burden to applicants

and an improvement in the implementation and administration of the program by the Agency.

4. Estimation of Baseline

In this section, the Agency provides a discussion of practices in the baseline and what changes are being made to them; a discussion of the baseline universe of affected parties; and an estimate of the number of applications that are likely affected by this rulemaking given current funding for this program from the 2014 Farm Bill.

A. Baseline Practices and Final Rule Modifications

The baseline for this program was implemented under 7 CFR, subpart B, which was initially established under the 2002 Farm Bill and subsequently modified under the 2008 Farm Bill. The following discussion provides an overview of how the program is currently implemented and administered and how those provisions are changed as a result of this final rule. In the absence this final rule, Agency support for renewable energy and energy efficiency projects in rural America would becontinue to be provided under the provisions found in the interim rule published in the Federal Register on April 14,

REAP interim rule program criteria.

Renewable Energy System (RES) and Energy Efficiency

Improvement (/EEI) Grants

Applicant eligibility

Under the baseline program, Currently, to be eligible for a RES/EEI grant, the applicant must be either an agricultural producer or a rural small business in order to be eligible for a RES/EEI grant. In addition, applicants that have received one or more grants or guaranteed loans under REAP (or the Section 9006 Program) must demonstrate that they have made satisfactory progress toward completion of the projects before they can be considered for subsequent funding.

Under the final rule, the applicant must still be either an agricultural producer or a rural small business and demonstrate that they have made satisfactory progress toward completion of previous projects before they can be considered for subsequent funding. However, some additional requirements have been added to the section on applicant eligibility.

First, several requirements found under of the project
eligibility requirements under in the current
regulation baseline program have been were are moved to the

applicant eligibility requirements <u>in the final rule</u>. <u>The requirements that have been moved are:</u>

- <u>including</u> the applicant <u>must having to ownownownown</u> or be the prospective owner of the project;
- the applicant must own or control the site for the project for the useful life of the project as described in the grant agreement;
- the applicant must have and also having available, or being able to demonstrate, _at the time of application satisfactory sources of revenue in an amount sufficient to provide for the operation, management, maintenance, and any debt service of the project for the useful life of the project.

Second, Aalthough inferred in the current regulation under the baseline program, the final rule clearly states that the applicant must have the legal authority necessary to apply for and carry out the purpose of the grant.

Financial information is still required if businesses have not been in business for 3 years. The Agency will make this eligibility determination on new businesses by the location of the project for the rural small business applicant. Lastly,

Aall applicants must also comply with the Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) and System for Awards

Management requirements unless exempt under 2 CFR § 25.110.

Project eligibility

Under the current interim rulebaseline program, REAP projects must involve be for the following: the purchase of a renewable energy system (including flexible fuel pumps) or to make energy efficiency improvements including those rto the retrofitting retrofit of an existing renewable energy systems. Such projects must be retrofited a pre-commercial or commercially available and replicable technology that has; have technical merit, as determined by the Agency, and demonstrates technical feasibility; and be located in a Statestate. Eligible hydropower projects are limited to those with a rated power of 30 megawatts or less.

In addition, the <u>facility for the proposed</u> project must be located in a rural area (see § 4280.103) if the applicant is a rural small business. <u>However</u>, <u>Fi</u>f the applicant is an agricultural producer, the project may be located in either a rural or non-rural area. If the agricultural producer's facility is in a non-rural area, then the application can only be for renewable energy systems or energy efficiency improvements on integral components of a facility or on components that are directly related to the facility. , such

<u>must beare</u> part of and co-located with the agriculture production operation.

Under the baseline program, RES or EEI projects are prohibited when used for any residential purposes except for certain RES projects where a second meter or certification is provided to demonstrate that none of the excess power generated by the system is used for residential purposes.

<u>Lastly, under the baseline program, Oo</u>ther <u>project</u> eligibility requirements, <u>which are more closely aligned with the applicant, under the baseline program</u> are:

- the applicant <u>must have has</u> a place of business in a Statestate;
- <u>the applicant must have</u> sufficient sources of revenue to provide for the operation, management, maintenance, and debt service of the project over the life of the project;
- the applicant sites must be controlled by the agricultural producer or rural small business control the project's site for the financing term of any associated Federal loans or loan guarantees; and
- the applicant must be the owner of the project and control the revenues and expenses of the project, including

- operation and maintenance. A third-party under contract to the owner may be used to control revenues and expenses and manage the operation and/or maintenance of the project; and.
- limiting eligible hydropower projects must beareis limited to those with a rated power of 30 megawatts or less.

Under the final rule, the following numerous changes have been made:

- project eligibility for pre-commercial technologies and flexible fuel pumps havehas been removedare no longer eligible projects;
- <u>the project may be for the purchase of a refurbished RES is</u>
 <u>now an eligible project;</u>
- eligible EEI projects must demonstrate that they will use less energy on an annual basis than the original building and/or equipment being improved or replaced in order to be eligible;
- <u>setting</u> conditions under which a proposed EEI project that replaces or duplicates an EEI project previously funded by REAP may be eligible for funding have been added;
- ___. As mentioned under applicant eligibility, applicants are required to own or be the prospective owner of the project for the useful life of the project as described in the grant agreement and, at the time of application, to have

sufficient sources of revenue to provide for the operation,
management, maintenance, and any debt service of the project
for the useful life of the project. In addition, the
limitingation on eligible hydropower projects to those with
a rated power of 30 megawatts or less has been incorporated
relocated tointo the definition of hydroelectric source—
definition and no longer needs to be identified as a
separate project limitation.;

- __fFor agricultural producers with operations in non-rural areas, the application can only be for RES or EEI __on components that are directly related to and their use and purpose is limited to the __agricultural production operation__ rather than __on integral components of or __directly related to the facility; __and
- additional options have been provided to allow The final rule also allows an agricultural producer and rural small business to apply for and receive assistance for a renewable energy system pRES projects that are closely associated with a residence and that share an energy metering device to be eligible.—

With regard to the four applicant-related requirements, the requirement that the applicant must have a place of business in a state has been removed. The other three have been

relocated to the applicant eligibility section with changes to two of them:

- the applicant must own or control the site of the project, adding "own or" to the requirement; and
- the applicant must own or be the prospective owner of the project, adding "or be the prospective owner."

•

The final rule also allows an agricultural producer and rural small business to apply for and receive assistance for a renewable energy system project, in which a residence is closely associated with and shares an energy metering device. All other project eligibility requirements remain unchanged.

Applications

The following paragraphs discuss the application-related requirements for RES and EEI grant applications.

Application deadlines. Under the baseline program,
application deadlines are specified each year in a notice
published in the Federal Register.

<u>Under the final rule, application deadlines for State and</u>

<u>National competitions and for the \$20,000 or less set-aside</u>

funding competition are identified in the rule. The Agency
can also modify the application deadline by publishing a

Notice in the Federal Register.

Limitations. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline, an applicant can only submit one type of funding application (grant-only, guaranteed loan-only, or guaranteed loan/grant combination) for each project per Federal fiscal yearnot—submit, for example, both a grant application and a combined grant and guaranteed loan application for the same project.

Under the final rule, the limitation remains unchanged.

Content. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, there are two different RES/EEI grant application requirements based on the the application content varies depending on whether the application is for a grant or a guaranteed loan. In addition, the content varies depending on the total project costs for a fine proposed project - . — a simplified application requirements can be used by applicants Ffor RES/EEI grants, applicants may submit a simplified application if their proposed project's with total project costs are of \$200,000 or less and ; otherwise, a full application requirements is to be submitted for projects with total project costs of more than

\$200,000.

Under the final rule, there are three different sets

application requirements based on the total costs of the

proposed project:

- One for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less;
- One for projects with total projects costs of more than \$80,000 and up to and including \$200,000; and
- One for projects with total project costs of more than

 \$200,000. the application content still varies depending on whether the application is for a grant or a guaranteed loan; and, and on the total project costs for a project as with the baseline, the content varies depending on the total costs for a project. The Agency has developed new forms to assist applicants in completing the requirements for each category of application.

In implementing the new "three-tier" application process, the

Agency has developed forms which role all the application

requirements outline in the regulation into forms that will

assist applicants with completing an application. The three

new application forms include: Form RD 4280-3C, Application

for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement

Projects, Total Project Cost of \$200,000 and Greater; Form RD

4280-3B, Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy

Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of Less

Than \$200,000, but More Than \$80,000; and Form RD 4280-3A,

Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency

Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$80,000 or Less.

As under the baseline program, the amount and detail of information required under the final rule increases as the

<u>cost of the project increases.</u>

applications based upon total project cost—not new?.

Projects with smaller total project costs will have fewer—application requirements. There are three processes and new—application forms that include projects with total project—costs of \$200,000 and greater, Form RD 4280 3C, Application—for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$200,000 and Greater; projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000 but more than—\$80,000, Form RD 4280 3B, Application for Renewable Energy—Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total—Project Cost of Less Than \$200,000, but More Than \$80,000; and projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, Form RD—Project co

4280-3A, Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy

Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$80,000

or Less.

Forms and certifications. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the following forms and certifications are required with application submittal.

- Form SF-424, "Application for Federal Assistance."
- Form SF-424C, "Budget Information-Construction Programs."
- Form SF-424D, "Assurances-Construction Programs."
- Form RD 1940-20, "Request for Environmental Information."
- Form AD-1049, "Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (Grants) Alternative 1-For Grantees Other than Individuals."
- Form AD-1048, "Certification Regarding Debarment,
 Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower
 Tier Covered Transactions."
- Exhibit A-1 of RD Instruction 1940-Q, "Certification for Contracts, Grants and Loans," required by 7 CFR 3018.110 if the grant exceeds \$100,000.
- Form SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," must be completed if the applicant or borrower has made or agreed to make payment using funds other than Federal appropriated

- funds to influence or attempt to influence a decision in connection with the application.
- Form AD-1047, "Certification Regarding Debarment,
 Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-Primary Covered
 Transactions."
- Form RD 400-1, "Equal Opportunity Agreement."
- Form RD 400-4, "Assurance Agreement."

<u>Under the baseline program, Aapplicants</u> and borrowers must provide a certification indicating whether or not there is a known relationship or association with an Agency employee. <u>In addition,</u>

Fapplicants withor projects with total project costs of \$200,000 or less must certify that , an additional certification is required to ensure that the applicant has met the requirements criteria of § 4280.114 (a) for submitting a simplified application.

Under the final rule, changes have been made to both the forms and certifications to be submitted with application.

With regard to forms, applicants are still required to submit:
 Form SF-424, "Application for Federal Assistance."

- Form SF-424C, "Budget Information-Construction Programs."
- Form SF-424D, "Assurances-Construction Programs."
- Form RD 1940-20 with documentation attached for the appropriate level of environmental assessment.
- The applicable application form Form RD 4280-3A,

 Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy

 Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of

 \$80,000 or Less; Form RD 4280-3B, Application for

 Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency

 Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of Less Than

 \$200,000, but More Than \$80,000; or Form RD 4280-3C,

 Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy

 Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of

 \$200,000 and Greater.

Under the final rule, a number of forms required to be

submitted with the application under the baseline program are

unnecessary certain forms have been removed from the

application submittal requirements and only collected if a

grant is awarded. The the following forms that have been

removed from the application submittal requirements and are

now only collected submitted upon award. These forms are are

- Form AD-1049, "Certification Regarding Drug-Free
 Workplace Requirements (Grants) Alternative 1-For
 Grantees Other than Individuals."
- Form AD-1048, "Certification Regarding Debarment,
 Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower
 Tier Covered Transactions."
- Exhibit A-1 of RD Instruction 1940-Q, "Certification for Contracts, Grants and Loans," required by 7 CFR 3018.110 if the grant exceeds \$100,000.
- Form SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," must be completed if the applicant or borrower has made or agreed to make payment using funds other than Federal appropriated funds to influence or attempt to influence a decision in connection with the application.
- Form AD-1047, "Certification Regarding Debarment,
 Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-Primary
 Covered Transactions."
- Form RD 400-1, "Equal Opportunity Agreement."
- Form RD 400-4, "Assurance Agreement."

However, With regard to certifications under the final rule, additional certifications were are added to ensure that the applicant is a legal entity, because (_organizational

documents are no longer required). Also certifications and that equipment is available and proper laws are being followed in construction of a REAP project were added that were addressed in the technical reports under the baseline program. The following forms and certifications are required under the final rule unless otherwise noted.

- Form SF-424, "Application for Federal Assistance."
- Form SF-424C, "Budget Information-Construction Programs."
- Form SF-424D, "Assurances-Construction Programs."
- Form RD 1940-20 with documentation attached for the appropriate level of environmental assessment.
- Form RD 4280 3A, Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of \$80,000 or Less; Form RD 4280 3B, Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy Efficiency—

 Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of Less Than—
 \$200,000, but More Than \$80,000; or Form RD 4280 3C,

 Application for Renewable Energy Systems and Energy—
 Efficiency Improvement Projects, Total Project Cost of—
 \$200,000 and Greater.—

Within each application listed above the applicant must:

- Identify whether or not the applicant has a known relationship or association with an Agency employee. If there is a known relationship, the applicant must identify each Agency employee with whom the applicant has a known relationship.
- Certify the applicant is a legal entity in good standing (as applicable), and operating in accordance with the laws of the StateStateStateStateStateState(s) or Tribe where the applicant has a place of business.
- Certify that the equipment required for the project is available, can be procured and delivered within the proposed project development schedule, and must be installed in conformance with manufacturer's specifications and design requirements. This would not be applicable when equipment is not part of the project.
- Certify that the project will be constructed in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, agreements, permits, codes, and standards.

For projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000, applicants must certify that they applicant has metmeet the requirements of § 4280.118

(a) criteria for submitting applications for projects with

total project costs between \$80,000 and up to and including \$200,000.

Because applicants applying fFor projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, applicants will must only have to certify to for many of the applicant and project eligibility requirements, rather than submitting a form or other documentation, including, the final rule lists out the specific forms and certifications and other required narrative discussion separately under § 4280.119. The same forms are required as above.

Additional certifications include:

- The applicant has metmeets the requirements of § 4280.119
 (a);
- The applicant meets each of the applicant eligibility criteria found in § 4280.112;
- The proposed project meets each of the project eligibility requirements found in § 4280.113;
- The applicant meets the criteria for submitting

 applications for projects with total project costs of

 \$80,000 or less;

- The applicant meets the criteria for submitting an application for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less;
- The applicant will abideabideabideabideabidesabide by the open and free competition requirements in compliance with § 4280.124(a)(1); and
- For bioenergy projects, any and all woody biomass
 feedstock from National national forest system land or
 public lands cannot otherwise be used as a higher value
 wood-based product.

Applicant Operationinformation and description. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, an applicant must provide a description of their total farm/ranch/business operation and the relationship of the proposed project to the applicant's total farm/ranch/business operation.

Under the final rule, the applicant is required to submit a

description of the applicant's farm/ranch/business operation

and the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)

code applicable to the applicant's business concern.

Ownership description. Under the baseline program, The

applicant description information requirement below and this applicant information requirement are combined into one application requirement under the REAP interim rule. baseline program. The applicant must also provide a description of their ownership, including a list of individuals and/or entities with ownership interest, names of corporate parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries, as well as a description of the relationship including products between these entities.

Under the final rule, a description of the applicant's farm/ranch/business operation must be included as well as information to demonstrate that the applicant meets the definition of agricultural producer or rural small business as defined in \$ 4280.103, including appropriate information necessary to demonstrate that the applicant meets the agricultural producer's percent of gross income derived from agricultural operations or the rural small business' size requirements, as applicable, requirements identified in these definitions. The financial information for size determination requirement (see below) under the REAP interim rule baseline program is requested under applicant information rather than as a separate application requirement.

<u>Applicant description</u>. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline

program, an applicant must provide a description of their ownership, including a list of individuals and/or entities—with ownership interest, names of corporate parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries, as well as a description of the relationship including products between these entities. The applicant information requirement above and this applicant—description requirement are combined into one requirement—under the REAP interim rule. rulebaseline program. Under the final rule, the requirement remains essentially unchained, only. However, the final rule does—clarifying that both the "management and product exchange" need to be discussed in the description of any relationship between the applicant and other entities.

Financial information for size determination. Under the REAPinterim rule baseline program, rural small business applicants
must provide sufficient information to determine total average
annual receipts over the previous three years and the number
of employees, over the previous 12 months, of the business and
any parent, subsidiary, or affiliates at other locations.
Agriculture producer applicants must provide the gross market
value of their agricultural products, gross agricultural
income, and gross non-farm income for the calendar year
preceding the year in which the application is submitted.

requirements have been made. First, agricultural producers are to supply their gthis requirement is unchanged. However, the information is requestedhas been relocated under applicant information rather than as a separate application requirement and gross non-farm income for the three (rather than one) calendar years, instead of one, which that precede the year in which the application is submitted. Second, Tthe Agency clarified in the final rulehas included what information is required for those businesses applicants that do not have three years of financial data for size determination.

Financial information (only for projects with total project costs of \$200,000 and greater). Under the REAP interim rule baseline program, the following financial information is required for full applications—this set of projects on the total operation of the agricultural producer/rural small business and its parent, subsidiary, or affiliates at other locations. The baseline program requires that the following financial requirements must be substantiated by authoritative records.:

a. <u>Historical financial statements</u>. Provide historical financial statements prepared in accordance with

Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (GAAP) for the past 3 years, including income statements and balance sheets. If agricultural producers are unable to present this information in accordance with GAAP, they may instead present financial information in the format that is generally required by commercial agriculture lenders.

- b. <u>Current balance sheet and income statement</u>. Provide a current balance sheet and income statement prepared in accordance with GAAP and dated within 90 days of the application. Agricultural producers can present financial information in the format that is generally required by commercial agriculture lenders.
- c. Pro forma financial statements. Provide pro forma balance sheet at start-up of the agricultural producer's/rural small business' business that reflects the use of the loan proceeds or grant award; and 3 additional years, indicating the necessary start-up capital, operating capital, and short-term credit; and projected cash flow and income statements for 3 years supported by a list of assumptions showing the basis for the projections.

Under the final rule, the se financial information requirements for projects with total project costs of \$200,000 and greater

(formerly full applications) remain unchanged.

Previous grants and loans. Under the REAP interimrulebaseline program, an applicant that has received one or
more grants and/or guaranteed loans under this program must
make satisfactory progress, as determined by the Agency,
toward completion of any previously funded projects before the
applicant will be considered for subsequent funding.

Under the final rule, this requirement remains unchanged.

However,__

Under the final rule, the Agency is adding to the baseline

program requirement that the applicant the applicant is

required to state whether the applicant has received any

previous grants and/or loans under this subpart and to

describe the progress made on each project for which the a

previous grant and/or loan was received, including projected schedules and actual completion dates.

Under the final rule, no changes have been made to this requirement. This will provide more detailed information than currently required to assist the Agency in making a better

assessment of whether the applicant has satisfactorily performed on previous awards.

Basic Pproject information. Under the REAP interimrulebaseline program, an applicant must provides a concise
project summary of the project proposal and applicant
information, project purpose and need, and project goals,
including the title of the project, a description of how the applicanty meets the definition criteria foref an eligible applicant, and how the project meets the criteria for an eligible project, whether the project is for a renewable energy system or energy efficiency improvement, and a brief description of the system or improvement.

Under the final rule, the applicant is still responsible for providing this same information (although the requirements are located in different places within the rule) with the exception of the concise summary. The final rule adds the requirements that the applicant must identify whether the project is for a renewable energy system or an energy efficiency improvement project, and include a description of the location of the project and a description of the process that will be used to conduct all procurement transactions to demonstrate compliance with open and free competition

requirements (see § 4280.124(a)(1)). Under the final rule, applicant eligibility is covered under applicant information and project eligibility is determined by the type of project and whether the proposed project costs are eligible or ineligible for the program in accordance with § 4280.115 (c) and (d). A description of the procurement process has been added to ensure that applicants can demonstrate compliance with the procurement policies of the program.

Project positive effects. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, an applicant must provide an environmental analysis completedall environmental review documents with supporting documentation in accordance with 7 CFR Part 1940, subpart G.

The Agency determines the potential positive effects of the project based upon completion of the environmental analysis formdocumentation that the purpose of the proposed system contributes to the environmental goals and objectives of other Federal, State, or local programs to receive priority points.

Under the final rule, applicants must describe how the proposed project will have a positive effect on resource conservation (e.g., water, soil, forest), public health (e.g., potable water, air quality), and the environment (e.g., compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's

renewable fuel standard(s)), greenhouse gases, emissions, and particulate matter restrictions) under the project information requirement in addition to providing the appropriate environmental analysis form.

Matching funds. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, an applicant must provide a spreadsheet identifying sources of matching funds, their amounts, and the status of matching funds. The spreadsheet must also include a directory of matching funds source contact information and any applications, correspondence, or other written communication between applicant and matching fund source.

Under the final rule, an applicant must identify the amount of matching and other funds and the source(s) the applicant is proposing to use for the project under the project information requirement. In addition, the applicant must provide written commitments for matching and other funds at the time the application is submitted to receive points under the commitment of funds scoring criterion. The Agency has also included a description of what is expected in a written commitment in order to receive points.

<u>Technical report</u>. Under the <u>REAP interim rule</u>baseline

program, each application must contain a technical report that conforms to Appendix A for projects with total eligible project costs of \$200,000 or less, Appendix B for projects with total eligible project costs of greater than \$200,000, Appendix C for hydropower projects, or Appendix D for flexible fuel pumps.

Under the final rule, each application must contain a technical report prepared in accordance with § 4280.110(h) and Appendices A through C as applicable. For projects with total projects costs of \$80,000 or less, the technical report requirements are located in the application narrative—area.

Feasibility study for renewable energy systems. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, a feasibility study must be prepared by an independent qualified consultant for each renewable energy systemRES project that exceeds \$200,000. The components of a feasibility study are, a feasibility study must be prepared by an independent qualified consultant as specified in Appendix E.

<u>referenced as it has beenwas</u> removed <u>since because</u> REAP can no longer fund feasibility study grants.

Energy audit for energy efficiency improvement projects.

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, an energy audit is required for projects with total eligible project costs greater than \$50,000. For energy efficiency improvement projects with total eligible project costs of \$50,000 or less, either an energy assessment or energy audit can be submitted.

Under the final rule, the threshold for requiring an energy audit (versus an energy assessment) is increased from \$50,000 to \$200,000 in total project cost. The Agency is changing this because experience with the program shows that it is not necessary for an applicant to incur the cost of a full energy audit for a \$50,000 project when an energy assessment provides sufficient information for the Agency to evaluate the project. In addition, an energy efficiency improvement project with total project costs of \$80,000 or less can provide an energy assessment and does not require the individual or entity to be "independent". The audit and assessment requirements are found in Appendix A, "Technical Report for Energy Efficiency Improvement Projects," of the final rule rather than having a separate application requirement.

Construction planning and performing development. Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, each application submitted must be in accordance with § 4280.119 for planning, designing, bidding, contracting, and constructing renewable energy systems and energy efficiency improvement projects as applicable. For contracts of \$200,000 or less, the simple contract method, as specified in paragraph (e) of the section, may be used. Contracts greater than \$200,000 shall use the contract method specified in paragraph (g) of the section.

Under the final rule, each application submitted must be in accordance with § 4280.124 for planning, designing, bidding, contracting, and constructing renewable energy systems and energy efficiency improvement projects as applicable. While this section is organized differently from the current corresponding section, it covers many of the same subjects. The primary change is the provision of exceptions to the surety requirements for: (1) small acquisition and construction procedures, (2) equipment purchases and installation-only projects of more than \$200,000 if two conditions are met, and (3) other construction projects that have only one contractor performing work. There are also changes associated with the provisions for technical services

for projects with total project costs greater than \$400,000, including allowing the applicant's in-house engineer to provide the services. Design services performed by engineers may only be done by engineers licensed in the state in which the facility is located. Further, the Agency is allowing an exemption from these requirements for projects with total project costs greater than \$400,000 if State_state or Tribal_tribal_law does not require the use of a licensed professional engineer.

Grant Funding

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the amount of grant funds made available to an eligible RES/EEI project cannot exceed 25 percent of total eligible project costs. The maximum grant assistance to any entity cannot exceed \$750,000 per federalFederal fiscal year. In addition, for renewable energy system grants, the minimum grant is \$2,500 and the maximum is \$500,000 and, for energy efficiency improvement grants, the minimum grant is \$1,500 and the maximum grant is \$250,000.

<u>Under the final rule, While</u> these requirements would remain unchanged, <u>but under the final rule</u>, the final rule clarifies that the \$750,000 maximum grant assistance applies to all

grants awarded under the REAP regulation.

Eligible Project Costs

The <u>Under the interim rulebaseline program, allows</u> the following <u>costs are "eligible project costs:"</u>

- Post-application purchase and installation of equipment.
- Post-application construction or improvements, except residential.
- Energy audits or assessments.
- Permit and license fees.
- Professional service fees, except for application preparation.
- Feasibility studies and Technical reports.
- Business plans.
- Retrofitting.
- Construction of a new energy efficient facility.
- Energy efficiency improvements identified in the energy assessment or audit which are similar size and capacity.

ligible Project Costs

Under the final rule, costs incurred prior to submittal of an application will are not be consider considered considered eligible project costs. This change results in removing the term "post-application" as found in the baseline program.

Further, the final rule adds additional items that are
eligible project costs and reformats several other Eeligible
projects costs. The new list of eligible project costs under
the final rule is:—include:

- Purchase and installation of new or refurbished equipment.
- Construction, retrofitting, replacement, and improvements.
- Energy efficiency improvements identified in the applicable Energy Assessment or Energy Audit.
- Fees for construction permits and licenses.
- Professional service fees for Qualified Consultants,
 contractors, installers, and other third-party services.
- essociated with the Rural Small Business or agricultural operation the installation of a second meter to separate the residence from the portion of the project that benefits the Rural Small Business or agricultural operation, as applicable.RES project in which a residence is closely associated with and shares an energy metering devices with the business operation are eligible under certain conditions, such as, demonstrate that 51 percent or greater of the energy to be generated will benefit the business operation.Professional service fees for

Qualified Consultants, contractors, installers, and other third-party services.

<u>Ineligible Project Costs</u>

Under the baseline program, there is no list specifically identifying costs as being ineligible project costs.

Under the final rule, the Agency is including the following
list of ineligible project costs. This list is not intended
to be all inclusive.

- (1) Agricultural tillage equipment, Used Equipment, and vehicles;
- (2) Residential RES or EEI projects;
- (3) Construction or equipment costs that would be incurred regardless of the installation of a RES or EEI shall not be included as an Eligible Project Costs. For example, the foundation for a building where a RES is being installed, storage only grains bins connected to drying systems, and the roofing of a building where solar panels are being attached;
- (4) Business operations that derive more than 10 percent
 of annual gross revenue (including any lease income from
 space or machines) from gambling activity, excluding

State or Tribal-authorized lottery proceeds, as approved by the Agency, conducted for the purpose of raising funds for the approved project;

- (5) Business operations deriving income from activities
 of a sexual nature or illegal activities;
- (6) Lease payments;
- (7) Any project that creates a conflict of interest or

 an appearance of a conflict of interest as provided in

 § 4280.106;
- (8) Funding of political or lobbying activities; and
- (9) To pay off any Federal direct or guaranteed loans or other Federal debts.

Also, restrictions relative to residential purposes will now be identified as ineligible project costs.

Evaluating and scoring applications

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the Agency evaluates each application to determine the eligibility of the applicant the project, and to determine if the project has technical merit.

The Agency <u>will scoresscores</u> each eligible and complete application using the following criteria, with higher scoring

applications receiving preference for funding:

- Quantity of energy replaced, produced, or saved, and flexible fuel pumps
- Environmental benefits
- Commercial availability
- Technical merit
- Readiness
- Small agricultural producer/very small business
- Simplified application/low cost projects
- Grantees and borrowers who did not receive assistance within the prior two years
- Simple payback
- State Director and Administrator priorities and points

Under the final rule, the process will remain unchanged the

evaluation process remains unchanged, but. Some. Some of —

of the above criteria remain unchanged,,,,; but the following

scoring criteria have been changed, as described below.÷

The Agency modified increased the "Quantity of energy generated, replaced, or saved" score criterion—was—increased—to 25 points and created two separate subcriterion: (1)—Energy generated, replaced, or saved; and (2) Quantity of energy generated or saved per REAP

dollar requested. The quantity of energy generated or saved per REAP dollar requested will measure the projectsprojectsprojectsprojects ability to generate or save generated or saved energy over a 12 month period per REAP dollar requested. To receive the maximum of 10 point under this criterion the project must generate or save generated or saved 50,000 BTUs.

Flexible fuel pump scoring under this criterion was also

- removed.
- Commercial availability and technical merit have been removed and are considered as eligibility requirements only.
- <u>"Small agricultural producer/very small business"</u> has been revised and renamed <u>"size of agricultural producer or rural small business"</u> to align more with the <u>SBA's Small Business Administration's</u> definitions.
- <u>"Simplified application/low cost project"</u> has been removed since a third application process is added for total project cost of \$80,000 or less.
- Previous grantees and borrowers points were increased from 10 to 15 for those applicants who have never received prior assistance under REAP.
- Three new categories were added for consideration in awarding administrative points: (1) the applicant is a

member of an under-represented or under-served population, (2) the proposed project furthers an agency Agency goal, and (3) the proposed project is located in an impoverished area, has experienced long-term population decline, or loss of employment.

<u>Selecting and Awarding Grants</u>

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, an awardee receives the following forms are executed with the granteeitems:

- Letter of conditions.
- Form RD 1942-46, "Letter of Intent to Meet Conditions."
- Form RD 1940-1, "Request for Obligation of Funds," and
- Form RD 4280-2, "Rural Business-Cooperative Service Grant Agreement."

Awardees Grantees must sign and return Forms RD 1940-1 and 1942-46 in order for the Agency to obligate funds for the project. Grantees must also , and then return the Grant Agreement

Where applicable, the grantee must also provide the Agency
with a copy of __and __the Power Purchase Agreement, as
applicable, __when the project is ready to start.

Under the final rule, application deadlines for State and National and the \$20,000 or less set aside funding competitions are identified in the rule. The Agency can also modify the application deadline by publishing a Notice in the rederal Register. Tgrantees must submit the same set of forms as under the baseline program plus, he Agency will award applications in accordance with the Agency's Departmental regulations. Additional forms are added to this process rather than at application submittal as previously discussed, several forms that were submitted with the application form under the baseline program. These additional forms are All forms must be signed and returned to the Agency and follow the same process as provided in the REAP interim rule.rulerulebaseline. The necessary items associated with making awards are:

- Letter of conditions.
- Form 1942-46, "Letter of Intent to Meet Conditions."
- Form RD 1940-1, "Request for Obligation of Funds."
- <u>Insurance requirements.</u>
- Form AD-1049, "Certification Regarding Drug-Free
 Workplace Requirements (Grants) Alternative 1-For
 Grantees Other than Individuals."
- Form SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," if the grant exceeds \$100,000 and/or if the grantee has made or

agreed to make payment using funds other than Federal appropriated funds to influence or attempt to influence a decision in connection with the application.

- Form AD-1047, "Certification Regarding Debarment,
 Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters-Primary
 Covered Transactions."
- Form RD 400-1, "Equal Opportunity Agreement," or successor form.
- Form RD 400-4, "Assurance Agreement," or successor form.
- Form AD-1048, as signed by the contractor or other lower tier party.

In addition, the final rule requires applicants to submit written Eevidence that of matching funds are in effect.

Specifically, if an applicant submitted written evidence of matching funds and other funds with the application, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that such written evidence is still in effect (i.e., not expired) when the grant is executed. If the applicant did not submit written evidence of matching funds and other funds with the application, the applicant must submit such written evidence that is in effect before the Agency will execute the grant agreement.

- Form RD 4280-2, "Rural Business Cooperative Service Grant Agreement," and
- Power purchase agreement (where applicable).

Grantee Servicing

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, RES and EEI grants are serviced in accordance with the requirements specified in Departmental Regulations; 7 CFR part 1951, subparts E and O; and Form RD 4280-2.

Under the final rule, the requirements remain unchanged.

However, the Agency included Departmental Regulations items_

pursuant to departmental regulation for clarity and

consistency with other REAP grant programs. The following

items are covered in the regulation.

- Inspections
- Programmatic changes in project cost or scope
- Changes in contractor or vendor
- Transfer of obligation or ownership
- Disposition of acquired property
- Financial management system and records
- Audit requirements
- Grant disbursement
- Monitoring of project

- Financial and project reporting requirements
- Outcome project performance reports
- Grant close-out

RES/EEI Guaranteed Loans

Applicant eligibility

Under the REAP interim rule baseline program, the applicant eligibility criteria for RES/EEI grants also appliesy to borrowers for RES/EEI guaranteed loans.

Under the final rule, <code>\Final rule</code>, <code>\Final rule</code> applicant eligibility secreturements for borrowers <code>would continue to remain unchanged</code> the same as for RES/EEI grant applicants. <code>under the final rule</code>. As noted earlier under the applicant eligibility discussion for RES/EEI grants, some changes have been made to applicant eligibility that also applies to applicants applying for guaranteed loans. Please refer to that earlier discussion for more information.

Project eligibility

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the project eligibility criteria for RES/EEI grants apply to RES/EEI guaranteed loans with the exception that. In addition, guaranteed loan funds may also be used to purchase make

necessary capital improvement to an existing renewable energy
system.

Under the final rule, the project eligibility criteria for guaranteed loans continue to be the same as for RES/EEI grants, and the changes to those requirements, as discussed earlier, apply to project eligibility requirements for guaranteed loans.

The final rule allows guaranteed loans to be made for These requirements would remain unchanged under the final rule. In addition, the final rule will and also allow the purchase of an existing RES to be eligible for an additional a guaranteed loan. This replaces the baseline program's provision for making "necessary capital improvements to an existing renewable energy system."

Lastly, the final rule allows as an eligible project cost

and the refinancing of a project's existing debt if the

original purpose of the loan was for a project that qualifies

as an original debt's purpose is was an eligible project

purpose under REAP.

<u>Lender eligibility</u>

Under the current REAP interim rulebaseline program, the same lender eligibility requirements are the same as for the B&I guaranteed loan program are used.

<u>Under the final rule</u>, <u>Tthese requirements remain unchanged the</u>
same under the final rule.

<u>Applications</u>

under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, loan applicants' applications for loan guaranteesguaranteed loanss of more than \$600,000_comply with the application contents specified for grant projects with total project costs of more than \$200,000, except for the grant forms. If the loan guarantee application is for \$600,000 or less, but with total project costs of more than \$200,000, the application contains the same information as for loan guarantees of more than \$600,000, except for grant forms. If the loan guarantee application is for \$600,000 or less, but with total project costs of \$200,000 or less, the application contains the same information as specified for grant projects with total project costs of \$200,000 or less, except for the grant forms. The following must also be included in a loan guarantee application:

- A completed Form RD 4279-1, "Application for Loan Guarantee" or Form RD 4279-1A, "Application for Loan Guarantee," if the guaranteed loan amount is \$600,000 or less.
- Form RD 1940-20.
- A personal credit report from an Agency approved credit reporting company for each owner, partner, officer, director, key employee, and stockholder owning 20 percent or more interest in the borrower's business, except passive investors and those corporations listed on a major stock exchange.
- Appraisals completed in accordance with § 4279.144.
- Commercial credit reports obtained by the lender on the borrower and any parent, affiliate, and subsidiary firms.
- Current personal and corporate financial statements of any guarantors.
- Historical, current and pro forma financial information is required on the total operation of the agricultural producer/rural small business and its parent, subsidiary, or affiliates at other locations. All information submitted under this paragraph must be substantiated by authoritative records.
- Lender's complete comprehensive written analysis in accordance with § 4280.131.

- A certification by the lender that the borrower is eligible, the loan is for authorized purposes, and there is reasonable assurance of repayment ability based on the borrower's history, projections, equity, and the collateral to be obtained.
- A proposed loan agreement or a sample loan agreement with an attached list of the proposed loan agreement provisions.

Some of the application documentation described above do not have to be submitted to the Agency for requests of \$600,000 or less, but instead can be held by the lender for in their files.files a recordkeeping requirement. These documents are: personal credit reports, appraisals, commercial credit reports, personal and corporate financial statements of guarantors, and lender certification by the lender that the lender has of having completed a comprehensive written analysis of the project.

Under the final rule, <u>most of</u> these provisions remain unchanged, except that the appraisal requirements. Now, the that under the final rule the applicant must submit the — appraisal would now be required to be submitted with the application rather than being held in the lender's file just

including it in the applicant's records.

<u>Loan Funding</u>

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the amount of the loan made available to an eligible project under this subpart cannot exceed 75 percent of total eligible project costs. The minimum amount of a guaranteed loan made to a borrower is \$5,000, less any program grant amounts. The maximum amount of a guaranteed loan made to a borrower is \$25 million. The maximum percentage of guarantee is:

- 85 percent for loans of \$600,000 or less;
- 80 percent for loans greater than \$600,000 up to and including \$5 million;
- 70 percent for loans greater than \$5 million up to and including \$10 million; and
- 60 percent for loans of greater than \$10 million.

The total amount of the loans guaranteed under this subpart to one borrower, including the outstanding principal and interest balance of any existing loans guaranteed by this program, and new loan request, will not exceed \$25 million. Under the REAP interim rulerulerulerulebaseline, the eligible project costs for RES/EEI grants apply to RES/EEI guaranteed loans. Guaranteed loans also allowed working capital and land

acquisition.

<u>Under the final rule, <u>Tthe interim ruleruleruleruleruleprogram</u> requirements reviewed above would remain unchanged.</u>

Eligible project costs.

Under the baseline program, eligible project costs for guaranteed loans are the same as for RES/EEI grants with the addition of working capital and land acquisition.

Under the final rule, under the final rule except that
eligible project costs for guaranteed loans include those
costs identified for RES/EEI grants and add the following:

- Working capital.
- Land, building, and equipment acquisition.
- Routine lender fees.
- Energy assessments, and energy audits, ...
- <u>Tt</u>echnical reports<u>, and</u> business plans<u>, and</u>.
- Building and equipment for an existing renewable energy system.
- Refinancing outstanding debt under certain circumstances_
 as long as the debt being refinanced is less than 50_

percent of the overall loan, refinancing is necessary to
improve cash flow and viability of the project, and the
loan being refinanced has been current for at least the
past 12 months.

The final rule also imposes a limitation on the first four eligible cost items identified The above—itemized costs—except for the "refinancing outstanding debt" are limited—to 5 percent of the guaranteed loan amount. Refinancing is eligible project cost as long as the debt being refinanced is—less than 50 percent of the overall loan, refinancing is—necessary to improve cash flow and viability of the project, and the loan being refinanced has been current for at least—the past—12 months.

Loan processing and servicing

Under the REAP interim rulerulerulerulebaseline program, the guaranteed loan processing and servicing provisions rely on the provisions found in the B&I Guaranteed Loan program regulations (7 CFR parts 4279 and 4287), with some differences.

For loan processing, the primary differences are associated with the guarantee fee, equity requirements, financial

statements, personal and corporate guarantees, conditions precedent to issuance of the loan note guarantee, and reporting after project construction.

For loan servicing, the difference is under transfer and assumptions regarding documentation of request and additional loan funds.

<u>Under the final rule,</u> <u>These requirements would remain unchanged under the final the same rule.</u>

Review, sScoring, and selection of applications

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, guaranteed loan applications are scored just like RES/EEI grants, (except for the criteria specified in § 4280.117(c)(5)) and in paragraphs (1) and (2) below. Points are awarded for either paragraph (1) or (2), but not both.

- (1) If the interest rate on the loan is towill be below the prime rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal) plus 1.5 percent, 5 points will be awarded.
- (2) If the interest rate on the loan is to will be below the prime rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal) plus 1 percent, 10 points will be awarded.

Under the final rule, guaranteed loan applications will be scored using the same criteria as for RES and EEI grant applications (except using the loan amount requested rather than the grant amount requested for one of the scoring criteria) and this results in changes to scoring as described earlier in this document. In addition, the final rule removes the two interest rate scoring criteria found in the baseline program.

Under the final rule, the Agency is establishing new procedures for competing guaranteed loan applications to replace the current process are established. Major features of the new procedures are:

- Establishing monthly National national competitions for guaranteed loan-only applications;
- e Establishing a minimum score to determine how often a guaranteed-loan application will be competed. The final rule sets the minimum score at 50 points, but allows the Agency to adjust it through a notice in the Federal Register. Applications that score at or above the minimum score are eligible to compete in the monthly competitions and, if remain unfunded, are also eligible to compete in the national competition at the end of the year. Applications that score below the minimum score

are not eligible for the monthly competitions, but are eligible to compete only in the national competition at the end of the year.

Whether an application is competed monthly (only those applications that score at or above the minimum score) or only in the year end competition in September of the

Providing procedures for making award offers when there
 are insufficient funds available to cover the full amount
 being requested.; and

Limiting the number of competitions each application can participate for funding — once a year for applications that score at or above the minimum score and one National national competition for applications that score below the minimum score.

<u>Awarding Loan Guarantees</u>

fiscal year;

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the same process used for awarding B&I guaranteed loans is used for REAP guaranteed loan applications.

<u>Under the final rule, Tthese requirements would remainunchanged under the samethe Agency is establishing a process</u>

<u>specific to REAP guaranteed loansfinal rule.</u> <u>Major</u>

<u>features of this process are:</u>

- Establishing monthly national competitions for guaranteed
 loan-only applications;
- establishing a minimum score to determine how often a guaranteed-loan application will be competed. The final rule sets the minimum score at 50 points, but allows the Agency to adjust it through a notice in the Federal Register. Applications that score at or above the minimum score are eligible to compete in the monthly competitions and, if remain unfunded, are also eligible to compete in the national competition at the end of the year. Applications that score below the minimum score are not eligible for the monthly competitions, but are eligible to compete only in the national competition at the end of the year.
- Providing procedures for making award offers when there are insufficient funds available to cover the full amount being requested.

Borrower reporting after construction

Under the REAP interim rule baseline program, the same project performance reports identified for RES/EEI grantees apply to RES/EEI guaranteed loan borrowers.

<u>Under the final rule,</u> <u>Tthese requirements would remain</u> unchanged under the same the final rule.

RES/EEI Combined Funding Requests

Under the REAP interim rule baseline program, as provided under the 7 CFR part 4280, subpart B, applicants may submit a combined (grant and guaranteed loan) funding request.

Combined funding requests require the applicant to comply with all of the grant provisions of REAP and all of the REAP guaranteed loan provisions. These combined funding requests cannot exceed 75 percent of total eligible project costs.

Applicants whose combination applications are approved for funding must utilize both the loan guarantee and the grant.

The Agency reserves the right to reduce the total loan guarantee and grant award as appropriate.

Under the final rule, these requirements would remain

unchanged the same, with two exceptions. First, the 75

percent limitation is based on "eligible project costs" rather

than on "total eligible project costs." Second, with one exception—removing reference to the guaranteed loan procedures for evaluating and selecting combined funding request applications will be based only on the grant portion of the application. This change was necessitated by the new procedures for evaluating and selecting guaranteed loan only applications.

Energy Audit and Renewable Energy Development Assistance (EA/REDA) Grants

Applicant Eligibility

Under the REAP interim rule baseline program, to be eligible for an EA/REDA grant, the applicant must be

- A unit of a <u>StateStateStateStateState</u>, tribal or local government;
- A land-grant college or university, or other institution of higher education;
- A rural electric cooperative;
- A public power entity; or
- Council's, as define in the Resource and Conservation

 Development program; or
- An instrumentality of a state, tribal, or local government.

In addition, the applicant must have sufficient capacity to perform the energy audit or renewable energy development assistance activities proposed in the application to ensure success, possess the legal authority and responsibility necessary to carry out the purposes of the grant, and comply with DUNS and System for Awards Management.

Under the final rule, ‡these requirements remain the same with the exception of the addition of Resource and Conservation

Development Council as an eligible applicant (as a result of the Agricultural Act of 2014).

unchanged under the final rule.

Project Eligibility

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, to be eligible for an EA or REDA grant, the grant funds for the project must be used by the grant recipient to assist agricultural producers or rural small businesses located in a State in one or both of the following:

- Conducting and promoting energy audits that meet the requirements of the energy audit as defined in the final rule.
- Conducting and promoting renewable energy development assistance by providing to agricultural producers and

rural small businesses recommendations and information on how to improve the energy efficiency of their operations and how to use renewable energy technologies and resources in their operations.

Such energy audit assistance and renewable energy development assistance must be provided to a recipient located in a Statestate and can be provided only to facilities located in rural areas, unless the owner of such facility is an agricultural producer. If the facility is owned by an agricultural producer, the facility for which such services are being provided may be located in either a rural or non-rural area. If the agricultural producer's facility is in a non-rural area, then the energy audit or renewable energy development assistance can only be for renewable energy system or energy efficiency improvement on integral components of or directly related to the agricultural production facility. Such projects must be, and part of and co-located with the agriculture production operation. Lastly, the applicant must have a place of business in a stateState.

Under the final rule, <code>∓t</code>hese requirements remain the same

except that the phrase "on integral components of or directly

related to the facility" has been replaced with "directly

related to and their use and purpose is limited to the

<u>agricultural producer's projectunchanged under the final</u>
<u>rule.</u>"

Grant funding

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the maximum aggregate amount of grants awarded to any one recipient under this subpart cannot exceed \$100,000. A recipient of anananananThe EA grant must requirerequires that, as a condition of each energy audit, the agricultural producer or rural small business pay at least 25 percent of the cost of thatthatthatthatthe energy audit cost. The amount paid by the agricultural producer or rural small business must be retained by the recipient as a contribution towards the cost of the energy audit.

<u>Under the final rule,</u> <u>Tthese requirements remain the same,</u>

<u>except that the Agency clarified that the maximum aggregate of</u>

<u>\$100,000 is per federal fiscal yearunchanged under the final</u>

<u>rule.</u>

Applications

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, applicants may only submit one energy audit grant application and one renewable energy development assistance grant application each

Federal Fiscal Year Fiscal year Year. No combination (energy audit and renewable energy development assistance) applications are accepted. Applications must contain the elements specified in paragraphs (a) through (g)below.:

- (a) Form SF-424.
- (b) Form SF-424A.
- (c) Form SF-424B.
- (d) If applicable, a copy of the applicant's organizational documents showing the applicant's legal existence and authority to perform the activities under the grant.
- (e) —A proposed scope of work, that includes the following: including a description of the proposed project, details of the proposed activities to be accomplished and timeframes for completion of each task, the number of months duration of the project, and the estimated time it will take from grant approval to beginning of project implementation. —AAAAAThe written narrative to be used to describe as the scope of work which should includes, at a minimum, the following items:
 - (1) An Executive Summary;
 - (2) The plan and schedule for implementation;
 - (3) The anticipated number of agricultural producers and/or rural small businesses to be served;

- (4) An itemized budget compute total cost per rural small business or agricultural producer served matching funds should be clearly identified as cash;
- (5) The geographic scope of the proposed project;
- (6) Applicant's experience as follows:
 - (i) If applying for a renewable energy development assistance grant, the applicant's experience in completing similar renewable energy development assistance activities, including the number of similar projects the applicant has performed and the number of years the applicant has been performing a similar service.
 - (ii) If applying for an energy audit grant, the number of energy audits and assessments the applicant has completed and the number of years the applicant has been performing those services;
 - (iii) For all applicants, the amount of experience in administering energy audit, renewable energy development assistance, or similar activities using State state or Federal federal support.
- (7) Applicant's resources, including personnel,

- finances, and technology, to complete what is proposed. If an application is for projects located in multiple states, resources must be sufficient to complete all projects;
- (8) Leveraging and commitment of other sources of funding being brought to the project. Leveraged funds should be clearly identified as cash and by source. Written documentation/confirmation from the party committing a specific amount of leveraged funds is required;
- (9) Outreach activities/marketing efforts specific to conducting energy audit and renewable energy development assistance including:
 - (i) Project title;
 - (ii) Goals of the project;
 - (iii) Identified need;
 - (iv) Target audience;
 - (v) Timeline and type of activities/action plan; and
 - (vi) Marketing strategies.
- (10) Method and rationale used to select the areas and businesses that will receive the service.
- (11) Brief description of how the work will be performed, including whether organizational staff, consultants,

or contractors will be used.

- (f) The most recent financial audit (not more than 18 months old) of the applicant, or subdivision thereof, that will be performing the proposed work. If such an audit is not available, the latest financial information that shows the financial capacity of the applicant, or subdivision thereof, to perform the proposed work. Such information may include, but is not limited to, the most recent year-end balance sheet, income statement, and other appropriate data that identify the applicant's resources.
- (g) The applicant's Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.

Under the final rule, some provisions are—changed to streamline the number of items requested (i.e., scope of work and marketing and outreach plan combined), reduce the financial information requirement, and allow the applicant to certify their organizational status rather than having to provide organizational documents.

The final rule includes an application deadline (January 31 unless otherwise modified through a notice in the Federal Register), whereas the application deadline is currently

<u>announced via a notice in the Federal Register.</u>

All other <u>application</u> provisions remain <u>unchanged the same as</u> in the baseline program.

Evaluation, scoring, and selecting applications

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, EA/REDA grant applications are evaluated using the same process as for RES/EEI grant applications, except that the provisions for technical merit do not apply to EA/REDA grant applications.

The Agency scores each application using the following criteria, with higher scoring applications receiving preference for funding:

- Project proposal
- Administrative Expenses
- Applicant's organizational experience in completing proposed activity
- Geographic scope of project in relation to identified need
- Number of agricultural producers/rural small businesses to be served
- Potential of project to produce energy savings and its attending environmental benefits

- Marketing and outreach plan
- Level and commitment of other funds for the project

 The two highest scoring applications from each State_state
 will be submitted to the National_national_office to compete for funding, with higher scoring applications receiving first consideration for funding.

Under the final rule, the Project Proposal and Use of Grant

Funds for Administrative Expenses criteria were removed since

because they did not align with statutory priorities for

funding. Otherwise, these provisions remain unchanged the same

as under the baseline program.

Awarding and administering

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, EA/REDA grants

are awarded in accordance with Departmental regulations and

through a series of forms, very similar to the RES/EEI grants:

- Letter of Conditions
- Applicant's intent to meet conditions
- Form RD 1942-6
- Form AD-1047
- Form AD-1049
- Either Form SF-LLL or Exhibit A-1 of RD Instruction
 1940-Q

- Form RD 400-4
- Form AD-1048 (to be completed by the contractor)

For approval, the grantee must submit Form RD 1940-1, the approved scope of work, and Form RD 4280-2. The grantee must abide by all requirements contained in Form RD 4280-2, this subpart, and any other applicable federal statutes or regulations. Failure to follow these requirements may result in termination of the grant and adoption of other available remedies.

Under the final rule, the Agency awards and administers

EA/REDA grants will be awarded and administered using the same procedures as for RES/EEI grants in the final rule with three exceptions:

- •__and Form RD 400-1 is not required; and
- the power purchase agreement are is not required.

These requirements remain unchanged under the final rulerulerulerulesame, except that EA/REDA grants

application deadline of January 31, is published in the rule but can be modified by through publishing a Notice in the Federal Register.

<u>Servicing</u>

Under the REAP interim rulebaseline program, the Agency services EA/REDA grants using the same procedures as for RES/EEI grants.

<u>Under the final rule,</u> <u>Tthese requirements remain unchanged</u> <u>under the final rulerulerulerulerule same</u>.

Baseline costs per applicant and awardee

<u>Burden estimates</u> <u>Estimates of burden</u> for applicants and awardees are broken down into <u>the following</u> four <u>areas</u> —: <u>categories</u>: —applications; awardee documents and certifications; reporting and other servicing actions; and appeals.

Table 1<u>a</u> summarizes the estimated <u>baseline respondent</u> burden to an <u>applicant</u> and <u>awardee</u> under the final rule <u>for REAP...</u>

Table 1<u>a</u>. Estimated <u>Respondent</u> Burden to an Applicant and <u>Awardee for final rule</u>, hours per burden area

1	REAP Assistance	<u>Bu</u>	Burden Grouping (Hours per burden area)							
		<u>Applications</u>	Awardee Documents & Certs	Awardee Reporting & Other	Appeals*	Total Number of Burden Hours				

EA/REDA Grants	<u>27</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>64</u>
RES Grant, >\$200,000	<u>125</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>160</u>
RES Grant, <\$200,000	<u>50</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>71</u>
RES Grant, <\$80,000	<u>35</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>56</u>
EEI Grant, >\$200,000	<u>85</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>105</u>
EEI Grant, <\$200,000	<u>50</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>69</u>
EEI Grant, <\$80,000	<u>35</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>54</u>
RES Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>135</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>274</u>
RES Guaranteed Loan, \$600,000 or less	<u>79</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>205</u>
EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>95</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>221</u>
EEI Guaranteed Loan, \$600,000 or less	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>205</u>
	RES Grant, >\$200,000 RES Grant, <\$200,000 RES Grant, <\$80,000 EEI Grant, >\$200,000 EEI Grant, <\$200,000 EEI Grant, <\$200,000 RES Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 RES Guaranteed Loan, \$600,000 or less EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	RES Grant, >\$200,000 125 RES Grant, <\$200,000 50 RES Grant, <\$80,000 35 EEI Grant, >\$200,000 50 EEI Grant, <\$200,000 50 EEI Grant, <\$80,000 35 RES Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 or less 79 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 g5 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 or less 79 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 g5 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 g5 EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000 g5	RES Grant, >\$200,000	RES Grant, >\$200,000	RES Grant, >\$200,000 125 18 5 13 RES Grant, <\$200,000

* Appeals. It is estimated that the burden associated with appeals is 26 hours for RES/EEI Grants, as well as, RES/EEI Loan Guarantees. It is anticipated that the appeal will occur on a larger project so the burden was place there, but it could occur on any one of the project thresholds.

Table 1b. Baseline Respondent Burden, hours per burden area

REA	P Assistance	Burden G	rouping Hours	per burden	area)	
		<u>Applications</u>	Awardee Documents & Certs	Awardee Reporting & Other	Appeals*	<u>Totals</u>
EA/	<u> REDA Grants</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>64</u>
RES Gr	ant, >\$200,000	<u>142</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>172</u>
RES Gr	ant, <\$200,000	<u>81</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	98
RES G	rant, <\$80,000	<u>81</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	98
EEI Gr	ant, >\$200,000	<u>118</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>133</u>
EEI Gr	ant, <\$200,000	<u>97</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	112
EEI G	rant, <\$80,000	<u>81</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	112
	uaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>147</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>13</u>	286
	uaranteed Loan, 0,000 or less	<u>106</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>232</u>
	uaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>123</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>©</u>	<u>249</u>
\$600	uaranteed Loan, 0,000 or less	<u>122</u>	<u>43</u>	83	<u>0</u>	<u>248</u>

* Appeals. It is estimated that the burden associated with appeals is 26 hours for RES/EEI Grants, as well as, RES/EEI Loan Guarantees. It is anticipated that the appeal will occur on a larger project so the burden was place there, but it could occur on any one of the project thresholds.

<u>Table 1c. Variance from Baseline Respondent Burden, hours per burden area</u>

RIA for REAP Final Rule

	REAP Assistance	Burden G	Burden Grouping Hours per burden area)						
		<u>Applications</u>	Awardee Documents & Certs	Awardee Reporting & Other	<u>Appeals</u>	<u>Totals</u>			
۱	EA/REDA Grants	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>			
۱	RES Grant, >\$200,000	<u>-17</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-12</u>			
ı	<u>RES Grant, <\$200,000</u>	<u>-31</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-27</u>			
۱	RES Grant, <\$80,000	<u>-46</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-42</u>			
۱	EEI Grant, >\$200,000	<u>-33</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-28</u>			
۱	EEI Grant, <\$200,000	<u>-47</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 43</u>			
۱	EEI Grant, <\$80,000	<u>-46</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 42</u>			
	RES Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>-12</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>-26</u>	<u>0</u>	-12			
	RES Guaranteed Loan, \$600,000 or less	<u>-27</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>-26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-27</u>			
	EEI Guaranteed Loan, >\$600,000	<u>-28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>-26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-28</u>			
	EEI Guaranteed Loan, \$600,000 or less	<u>-43</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>-26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-43</u>			

Note: Negative number indicate a reduction in burden, while positive numbers indicate an increase in burden.

Applications. All entities seeking financial assistance under this program must submit certain information to the Agency in order to apply for a grant, guaranteed loan, or a combined grant and guaranteed loan request. The information submitted assists the Agency in determining the most meritorious projects to be funded.

Awardee Documents and Certifications. Applicants that are approved for funding have to submit additional documents, and certifications to the Agency. For applicants receiving loans, the necessary documents are required around loan closing. For applicants receiving grant funds, the applicant must provide

the necessary documents in order to execute the grant agreement.

Reporting and Other Servicing Actions. All applicants that are awarded funds must submit reports to the Agency to provide information on their performance. In addition, some grantees will be required to submit other documents in the event of poor performance or if servicing actions other than monitoring are warranted (e.g., transfer of ownership).

<u>Appeals</u>. Applicants <u>may will</u> also incur burdens associated with reviews and appeals.

C. Universe of Affected Parties

The parties affected by the REAP final rule will be lenders, grantees, and borrowers. The type of applicant eligible for this program depends on the type of financial assistance being sought.

If seeking a RES/EEI grant or guaranteed loan, the applicant must be either:

- An agricultural producer or
- A rural small business.

If seeking an EA or REDA grant, the applicant must be:

- A unit of a <u>StateStateStateStateState</u>, tribal or local government;
- A land-grant college or university, or other institution of higher education;
- A rural electric cooperative;
- A public power entity;
- A <u>Council</u> as defined in 16 U.S.C 3451; or
- An instrumentality of a state, tribal, or local government.

All applicants for grants or guaranteed loans that have received one or more grants or guaranteed loans under REAP must demonstrate that they have made satisfactory progress toward completion of the projects before they can be considered for subsequent funding.

Between Fiscal YearsYearsYearsYearfiscal yearsYears 2009 and 2013, 12,59813,358 applications were received, of which 12,43713,172 were for RES/EEI projects, and 161—186 for EA/REDA grants (Refer to Table 2). Of the 12,43713,172 RES/EEI applications, 10,93010,501 were for grants only and 1,5072,631 were grant/guaranteed loan combined funding requests.

Table 2. Number of Applications.

	FY 09	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	<u>Total</u>
RES/EEI, Grant Only	<u>1,688</u>	2,838	<u>3,330</u>	<u>1,384</u>	<u>1,261</u>	<u>10,501</u>
RES/EEI, Guarantee Loan Only	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>40</u>
RES/EEI, Combination Guarantee Loan & Grant	<u>901</u>	<u>1,445</u>	<u>261</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>11</u>	2,631
<u>EA/REDA</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>0*</u>	<u>186</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>2,677</u>	4,329	<u>3,637</u>	<u>1,434</u>	<u>1,281</u>	<u>13,358</u>

*There was no REDA/EA grant program in FY2013 due to funding not being available prior to statutory program deadline.

In terms of the types of applicants, the Agency estimates that—for RES/EEI projects, all applicants will be private entities, other than individuals, including sole proprietors, which were previously counted as individuals. For EA/REDA grants, the Agency estimates that half of the he—applications will be from evenly split between private entities, other than individuals, and half from StateStateStateStateStateState.

Project Types

Overall, 8,0708,148 awards for all funding types were made from Fiscal YearYearYearYearfiscal yearYear 2009 through Fiscal YearYearYearfiscal yearYear 2013 under the REAP program(see Tables 3 2a which does not include 85 EA/REDA grants). The majority of funding was issued was for grants with The awards were broken down as follows:

7,212 RES/EEI grants plus the 85 EA/REDA grants for 7,297 REAP grants, followed by 905 combined grants and guaranteed loan awards and 31 guaranteed loan only awards7,151 grants including 85 EA/REDA grants, followed by 891 combined grant and guaranteed loan awards and 28 guaranteed loan only awards.

For grants, there were 4,7114,800 EEI grant awards, 2,3552,412 RES grant awards, and 85 EA and REDA grant awards. Of the RES grant awards, the majority are for solar projects (1,5061,556), followed by wind (300314), geothermal (193226), bioenergy projects (193168), and flexible fuel pumps (9699).).

Table 23: Award Counts for Rural Energy for America Program - RES and EEI Projects (FY 2009 through FY 2013)

	Wind	Bio	nass	Solar	Geoth ermal	Hydro- gen-	Hydro	power	Hybr id	Flexib le Fuel Pumps	Energy Efficie ncy		
Funding	Wind	Bioen -ergy	Diges t-ers	Solar	Elect ric/ Direc t	Hydro- gen	Hydro - elect ric	Ocea n				Total	
USDA Loan- Guarantees: 2009-2013	5	7	2	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	28	
USDA Grants: 2009-2013	304	131	31	1,529	225	0	22	θ	23	98	4,703	7,066	
USDA Combo- Grants and- Loan- Guarantees: 2009-2013	93	14	31	50	6	0	2	0	4	0	691	891	
Totals	400	151	63	1,589	234	0	25	0	26	99	5,391	7,985	7
	Award	Counts fo	r Renewal	ole Energy	System,	Energy Ef	ficiency	Improver	ments,	and Flexib	le Fuel Pum	<u>ps</u>	
<u>Funding</u>	<u>Wind</u>	<u>Bioma</u>	<u>SS</u>		eothe H rmal	ydro- gen	Hydropow	er <u>H</u>	ybrid	Flexible Fuel Pumps	Energy Efficienc Y	EA/ REDA	<u>Total</u>

	<u>Wind</u>	Bioen- ergy	Digest -ers	<u>Solar</u>	Electr ic/ Direct	<u>Hydro-</u> gen	Hydro- electr ic	<u>Ocean</u>					
USDA Loan Guarantees: 2009-2013	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>31</u>
USDA Grants: 2009-2013	<u>314</u>	<u>143</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>1,556</u>	<u>226</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>99</u>	4,800	<u>85</u>	7,297
USDA Combo Grants and Loan Guarantees: 2009-2013	<u>95</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	Iω	<u>0</u>	<u>699</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>905</u>
<u>Totals</u>	<u>415</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>53</u>	1,613	232	<u>0</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>5,504</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>8,148</u>

For combined funding awards, the majority involved energy efficiency improvements (694699),),), with 199-206 involving renewable energy systems. Of the combined renewable energy system funding projects, 93-95 involved wind, 50-51 involved solar, and 31-27 involved anaerobic digesters.

Project size

The statutory authority stipulates that EA and REDA grants are to receive 4 percent of program funds and grants of less than \$20,000 are to receive 20 percent of funds. This leaves at least 76 percent of the budget authority for renewable energy systems and energy efficiency improvement grants, loan guarantees, and combination loan guarantees and grants.

Program Funding

The 2014 Farm Bill appropriates \$50 million for REAP in each Fiscal Year_Iscal year. The Agency estimated the distribution of the types of project awards that funding will support. The funding distribution is based on historical distribution of REAP funds and shown below in Table 3.

Table 34: Estimated typical Fiscal YearYearYearDistribution of REAP Awards Fiscal Year fiscal year Year program level funding distribution and REAP awards

					Allocate	ed to			
FY2013	Total	RES/EEI Grants		RES/EEI Guaranteed Loans		Grants <\$20,000		EA/REDA Grants	
		Per- cent	Total	Per- cent	Total	Per- cent	Total	Per- cent	Total
Mandatory Funding (millions)	\$50.0	60%	\$30.2	16%	\$7.8*	20 %	\$10.0	4%	\$2.0
Projects	1,383	45.6%	631	3.6%	60**	49.2%	680	1.6%	22

^{*} Presented in budget authority.

** Include 10 combinations which are also counted under RES/EEI grants.

E	Y2105	<u>Total</u>	RES/EEI	<u>Grants</u>	RES/ Guarai Loa	nteed	Grants <\$	20,000	EA/REDA	<u>Grants</u>
			<u>Per-</u> <u>cent</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Per-</u> <u>cent</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Per-</u> <u>cent</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Per-</u> cent	<u>Total</u>
Fu	ndatory unding llions)	<u>\$50.0</u>	60%	\$30.2	<u>16%</u>	<u>\$7.8*</u>	20%	<u>\$10.0</u>	<u>4%</u>	\$2.0
Pr	<u>ojects</u>	<u>1,393</u>	<u>35.4%</u>	<u>494</u>	4.3%	60**	59.0%	822	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>22</u>

^{*} Presented in budget authority.

5. Estimation of Costs

In this section, the Agency presents a discussion on the changes to the burden and costcostcostcosts changes associated with the main changes affecting-burden described earlier in this RIA.

A. Grant applications for RES/EEI projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less.

^{**} Include 10 combinations which are also counted under RES/EEI grants.

Under the interim rulerulerulerulerulebaseline, there are two grant application levels for RES/EEI projects: — one for projects with total project costs of more than \$200,000 and one—another for projects with total project costs of \$200,000 or less. The 2008 Farm Bill requiresrequiresrequiresrequiresrequires the Agency to set—aside not less than 20 percent of program funds for grants of \$20,000 or less. Thus, the Agency examined ways to create a more simplified grant application for those RES/EEI projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less. (NOTE: TheSince the maximum grant is 25% of total project costs, projects at—\$80,000 threshold would be the project size to maximum grant participation for applicants seeking to take advantage of the __based on the set-aside for projects seeking grants of \$20,000 or less and the maximum grant portion (25 percent) that the Agency can provide of 25 percent.).).).)

As part of the Department's retrospective review plan, the agency conducted After a retrospective—review of the application requirements and functioning of the program, the Agency developed a new grant application process and forms for RES/EEI projects. These new provisions reduce the application burden for projects based on project costs, while still providing the Agency sufficient information to determine applicant and project eligibility and to evaluate and score the applications.

The Agency's changes to the RES and EEI grant applications requirements and the development of application forms are intended to reduce overall burden for the program and streamline the grant application process by requesting documentation for a complete application based on total project costs. Specifically, the final rule defines three grant application processes for RES/EEI projects: (1) projects with total project costs of \$200,000 and greater, (2) projects with total projects cost of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000, and (3) projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less. With thethethethethese changes, RES/EEI projects with smaller total project costs will be associated with a fewerfewerfewerfewerfewerhave less burden and lower administrative costs.

The Agency estimates that, for the average applicant, the number of hours required to prepare a RES or EEI grant application narrative and technical report for a project with total project costs of \$80,000 or less would be reduced from 65–81 hours to 20–35 hours, for a 62–57 percent reduction. Assuming an average hourly rate of \$35.72 per hour (2013 median wage for a financial specialist in the US), the savings would be \$1,6071,643 per application. For an EEI application for a project with total project costs of \$80,000 or less, the Agency estimates this

reduction is from 73 hours to 20 hours, for a 73 percent reduction. Assuming an average hourly wage rate of \$35.72 per hour, the savings would be \$1,893 per application. Please note that 25 burden hours was used for preparing technical reports on this estimate as discussed under technical reports below.

Table 4—5 summarizes the estimated savings to RES and EEI grant applicants for all changes associated with the application: the application narrative, adjusting the forms and certifications to be submitted with the application, simplifying the technical report, and using energy assessment instead of energy audits for all EEI projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less.

Table 4. Estimated Application Savings for RES and EEI Grant Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of \$80,000 or less

Item	RES Grant Applicati ons	EEI Grant A	pplications
Burden under REAP Interim RuleRuleRuleRuleR ulethe baseline, hours per application	91 81	107-97 (with Energy Audit under Interim RuleRuleRuleRuleBa seline)	99-81 (with Energy Assessment under Interim RuleRuleRuleRuleba seline)
Estimated burden under the Final Rule, hours per application	33 35	33 35	33 35
Burden savings, hours per application	58 46	7 4 <u>62</u>	66 46
Cost savings per application	\$2,072 <u>\$1,</u> 643	\$2,643 <u>\$2,215</u>	\$2,358 <u>\$1,643</u>

- NOTE 1: Burden hours from tables 1a and 1b.

 * Energy Audit account for 16 burden hours and was required for baseline projects with total project costs of \$50,000 or greater.

 Cost savings based on \$35.72 per hour (as used in the burden package)

B. Grant Forms After Receiving Funding

The Agency's focus was to reduce the application burden for applicants looking to utilize the program, especially for smaller <u>sized projects</u>. The Agency has Certain items and forms were identified certain forms that do not need to be submitted at the time of application for an RES or EEI grant as elements that were more appropriate to be addressed by the applicant time of award versus time of application., but are more appropriately required only if the applicant is awarded funding. While this is in part a shift of burden from application to award, there would be a net decrease in the burden per application because there are fewer awardees than applicants, This modification to the baseline provision will result in an overall reduction in burden for nonawardees. so fewer entities would incur the cost of preparing these forms. As Table 1c indicates, there is an increase in burden to awardees documents and certifications from the baseline. This increase reflects those items that are more appropriately addressed at that time and it assist in reducing the burden during the application phase.

\$200,000 the estimated applicant form burden to applicants for submitting the specified forms is 11.6 hours per applicant and the estimated burden for awarded applications to awardees for

Thus, the estimated total form burden to anfor an applicant who receives an award under the interim rulerulerulerulerulebaseline is 18.1 hours.

Under the final rule, for projects greater than \$200,000 per the estimated applicant form burden to applicants for submitting the specified forms is 10.3 hours per applicant and the estimated burden totototofor awarded applications to awardees for submitting the specified grantee forms is 7.8 hours per awardee. Thus, the estimated total form burden to for an applicant who receives an award under the final rule is also 18.1 hours. However, the estimated burden for filling out forms at the application stage is 1.3 hours less, which further reduces the burden to applicants who do not receive an award.

<u>C.—Technical reports.</u>

The interim rulerulerulerulerulebaseline requires very specific information for different technologies. The information being requested, however, is not always needed for the Agency to make a determination of a project's technical feasibility. In addition, the Agency split several technologies by size, which upon review, the Agency has determined basedbasedbaseditbased on its experience is not important for determining a project's technical

feasibility. In sum, using its experience in implementing the program, the Agency is proposing finalizing numerous changes to the technical reports, which will reduce the result applicants incurring less burden when submitting theirtheirtheirtheirtheir RES/EEI grant or loan applications. These changes including, but not limited to:

- simplifyingsimplifyingsimplifyingSsimplifying the energy efficiency improvement technical report;
- simplifyingsimplifyingsimplifyingsimplifying the technical report for renewable energy system projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000;
- revisingrevisingrevisingRrevising provisions
 associated with what is required for an energy assessment
 and an energy audit;
- providingprovidingprovidingPproviding for a single technical report option for renewable energy systems submitted through the process for applications for projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000; and
- eliminatingeliminatingeliminatingEeliminating the distinction between large and small solar and wind projects in preparing the technical reports.

All of the changes to the technical report requirements are expected tototototoshould reduce applicant burden. The burden package for the interim rulerulerulerulerulerulebaseline — estimated the number of hours that it would take the applicant to complete the technical report for "full" applications (i.e., applications for projects with total projects costs of \$200,000 and greater) and for "simplified" applications (i.e., applications for projects with total project costs of less than \$200,000).

Under the interim rulerulerulerulerulebaseline, the

AgencyAgencyAgencyAgencyAgency's estimated the burden estimate

for preparing the RES and EEI project technical reports at is 25

hours per technical report for RES and EEI projects for both the

full and simplified applications. Under the final rule, the

AgencyAgencyAgencyAgencyAgency's burden estimates that the burden

for preparing technical reports projects with total project costs

of more greater than \$200,000 would bebebebebeis 25 hours per RES

and EEI technical reports. and 20 hours per EEI technical

report. For projects with total project costs between of

\$200,000 or less but more than \$80,000 and \$200,000, the

AgencyAgencyAgencyAgencyAgency's burden estimates that the burden

to prepare either a RES or an EEI technical report would

bebebebebeis 20 hours.

Assuming an average hourly wage rate of \$35.72 per hour, the savings would be \$179 per technical report for all applications, with the exception of except for RES applications for projects with total project costs of \$200,000 and or greater, because the requirements are the same for which no saving is estimated under the final rule. Table 5–6 summarizes the technical report estimates of savings for applications for projects with total project costs of more than \$80,000.

Table <u>56</u>. Estimated Technical Report Savings for RES and EEI Grant and Loan Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of More than \$80,000

<u>Item</u>	Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs \$200,000 and greater		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of less than \$200,000, but more than \$80,000		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of \$80,000 or less	
	RES	<u>EEI</u>	RES	<u>EEI</u>	RES	<u>EEI</u>
Burden the baseline program, hours per application	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
Estimated burden under Final Rule, hours per application	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	20	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Burden savings, hours per application	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>
Cost savings per application	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$179</u>	<u>\$179</u>	<u>\$893</u>	\$893

NOTE: For applications for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less the technical report is part of the application narrative eliminating a separate formal technical report.

Pre-commercial technology.

The current renewable energy system program allows the Agency to provide financial assistance to project employing pre-commercial technology and to projects employing commercial technology.

While the vast majority of renewable energy system projects funded under REAP have been for those employing commercial technology, there is a possible overlap between the Section 9003, Biorefinery Assistance Program, with REAP as it concerns pre-

commercial technology. The risk associated with pre-commercial technology is greater as well and for these reasons, the Agency is removing pre-commercial technology as an eligible technology under REAP, thereby limiting REAP assistance for renewable energy systems employing commercially available technologies.

The Agency has not quantified any expected change in the cost of administering REAP as a result of this change. In addition, the Agency does not expect this change to have a significant effect on the subsidy rate; however, to the extent that risk has been lowered to loans for pre-commercial technologies, the portfolio of loans should be strengthened.

E. Federal Government

The Agency estimates that it will spend approximately \$4 million less (See Table 7) to process and administer REAP applications and awards under the final rule compared to under the interimrule.rulebaseline program.

Table 7. Estimated Cost to the Federal Government

	Baseline Cost to Federal Government	Estimated Final Rule Cost to the Federal Government	Estimated Cost Savings
Number of Hours: Guaranteed Loans	30,274	<u>2,639</u>	<u>27,635</u>
Number of Hours: Grants	141,160	<u>85,013</u>	<u>56,147</u>
Number of Hours: Total	171,434	87,652	83,782

Estimated Final Baseline Cost Rule Cost to the **Estimated** to Federal Federal Cost Savings Government Government <u>Dollar Cost:</u> <u>Guaranteed</u> \$1,271,508 \$110,838 \$1,160,670 **Loans** Dollar Cost: \$6,625,920 \$3,570,552 \$3,055,368 Grants <u>Dollar Cost:</u> \$7,897,428 \$3,681,390 \$4,216,038 <u>Total</u>

Note: The average hourly rate applied was \$42 an hour for both the baseline and the final rule. That rate is based on the fact that reviews are completed by GS 11-12 State Loan Specialists.

This represents over a 55 percent decrease in total costs. While the main reason for the decrease is related to the review of 43 percent fewer applications which is due to the reduced funding, the Agency will also save over \$800,000 attributable to the reduce costs associated with RES and EEI grant applications review, with the largest decrease associated with applications for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less. Specifically, the Agency estimates that it would take approximately 83,782 fewer hours under the final rule to review and process RES/EEI grant applications than under the interim rule.rulebaseline program. This reduction is achieved by requiring 10 fewer hours per application on grant applications for projects with total project costs of \$80,000 or less and 5 fewer hours grant applications with total project cost between \$80,000 and \$200,000. These reductions are attributed to the reduced amount of information requested.

An example is the Agency reduced the amount of information requested in a technical report for the smaller projects to the critical information needed to determine technical merit. Under the current interim rulerulerulerulerulebaseline program the Agency, or contractor, reviews and scores all 10 sections of the technical report. The scoring, or technical merit determination, required the reviewer to assign a quantitative value to each

section from no significant weaknesses, and exceeds the requirements to having serious deficiencies, internal inconsistencies, or is missing information. The revised technical report havehavehavehasve 4 sections and technical merit determination is either a pass, with information is presented and is-consistent, with information information is missing or inconsistent.

F.—Summary of Cost Savings

Table $\frac{6-78}{8}$ summarizes the cost changes per type of RES/EEI application.

Table 678. Cost Changes for RES/EEI Grants²

	GRANT APPLICATIONS						
Item	Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs \$200,000 and Greater		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs less than \$200,000, but more than, \$80,000		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of \$80,000 or less		
	RES	EEI	RES	EEI	RES	EEI	EEI
Burden under REAP Interim Rule, the baseline REAP program,	153 142	129 118	91 81	107 97	91 81	10797; with energy audit	99 <u>81</u> ; no energy audit

2 There are several relatively minor grant application changes that are not accounted for in the changes described in the RIA for projects with total project costs greater than \$80,000, but are accounted for in Table 678.

	GRANT APPLICATIONS						
Item	Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs \$200,000 and Greater		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs less than \$200,000, but more than, \$80,000		Applications for Projects with Total Project Costs of \$80,000 or less		
	RES	EEI	RES	EEI	RES	EEI	EEI
hours per grant application							
Estimated burden under Final Rule, hours per grant application	123 125	78 85	53 50	53 50	33 35	33 35	33 35
Burden savings, hours per grant application	30 17	51 33	38 31	54 <u>47</u>	58 46	74 62	66 46
Cost differences per grant application	- \$1,072 -\$607	-\$1,822 - <u>\$1,179</u>	- \$1,357 - \$1,107	- \$1,929 - \$1,679	- \$2,072 - \$1,643	- \$2,643 - \$2,215	- \$2,357 - \$1,643

GUARANTEED LOAN APPLICATIONS ³						
Item		anteed \$600,000	Guaranteed Loan <\$ <u>600</u> ,000			
	RES EEI		RES	EEI		
Burden under the baseline REAP program, hours per loan application	147	123	106	123		

³ Overall, there are only a few minor changes to the application requirements for RES/EEI guaranteed loans. The most significant change occurs for loan applications of less than \$200,000 for EEI projects. This change is due to a requiring an energy assessment rather than the more burdensome energy audit.

GUARANTEED LOAN APPLICATIONS						
Item	0 0.00.	anteed \$600,000	Guaranteed Loan <\$ <u>600</u> ,000			
	RES EEI		RES	EEI		
Estimated burden under Final Rule, hours per loan application*	135	95	79 106	79 106		
Burden difference, hours per loan application	12	28	27 0	43 17		
Cost difference per loan application	\$429	\$1,000	\$ 964 <u>0</u>	\$ 1,536 607		

^{*} Note: Guaranteed Loans of <\$600,000 for estimated burden under the final rule is a weighted average of the different application threshold requirement for total projects costs of >\$200,000, <\$200,000 and <\$80,000K

6. _Estimation of Benefits

Changes to the application and eligibility criteria for REAP are not anticipated to have significant changes in the types of benefits achieved by the program. As such, this rule is not expected to result in any new benefits that would not have been achieved under the previous-current REAP provisions.

In this RIA, the Agency is providing additional discussion, to supplement the interim rule's RIA. This additional discussion, which applies to REAP at the program level as a whole instead of a discussion of the and not to any incremental changes between

the final rulerulerulerulebaseline REAP program and the interim rule, rulefinal rule. , The following discussion addresses:

- Estimation of energy saved and carbon pollution reduced achieved in FY2015 as a result of the REAP rule
- Updating the distribution of energy sources feeding the U.S.
 electrical grid
- Discussion on the impacts of wind projects on bat populations

Estimate of Energy Saved and Carbon Pollution Reduced. When operational, the Fiscal YearYearYearYearFiscal yearYear 2009—through 2013 REAP awards are expected to generate and/or save an estimated 9.2 million megawatt hours of energy and reduce GHG emissions by an estimated 8.8 million metric tons of CO2—equivalence. Based on typical Fiscal YearYearYearFiscal yearYear appropriation of \$50 million, REAP is expected to award—per year approximately 1,361 renewable energy system and energy—efficiency improvement projects to generate and/or save almost—973,000 megawatt hours of energy and reduce GHG emissions by an—estimated 930,000 metric tons of CO2 equivalence. These—estimates include over 528 RES projects that generate almost—535,000 megawatt hours and reduce GHG by 511,000 metric tons and—700 EEI projects that save over 438,000 megawatt hours and reduce

GHG emissions by almost 419,000 metric tons of CO2 equivalence.

annually.

U.S. Electricity Grid. The U.S. electrical grid in 2011 is was primarily comprised of fossil sourced energy, with coal accounting for 42 percent and natural gas 25 percent of the U.S. electric power net generation. The remainder of grid electricity is generated predominantly by nuclear power (19 percent) and large hydroelectric (8 percent), with small contributions from renewable power (5 percent), petroleum (<1 percent), and other fuels (<1 percent). The composition of the U.S. electrical grid varies considerably as a result of regional differences in power generation sources.

Impact of Bat Populations

One of the most publicized impacts of wind turbines is bird strikes. However, for the agricultural industry, the impact on bats is likely to be of more concern because bats are important pollinators and consumers of large quantities of insects. Wind turbines are associated with the loss of bats due to blade strikes and barotrauma caused by air pressure differences as the blade moves through the air. Such adverse effects can be

4-U.S. Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy (2011)—Electric Power Annual 2011.—http://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.cfm?t=epmt_1_1

expected to the extent wind turbines funded under REAP are placed near agricultural interests. These effects for wind turbines funded under REAP are mitigated to some extent because compared to other commercial wind turbines REAP-funded wind turbines are smaller and occur singly or in pairs at a site and the effects upon birds and bats are not at the population level in general. In addition, the Agency consults with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and State state wildlife agencies to ensure that any turbine is not close to bat hibernacula, which might adversely affect bat populations.

7. Net Benefits

As stated earlier, the estimate, Tthe types of of benefitsbenefitsbenefitsbenefitsbenefits under the final rule is rulemaking are not expected to differ significantly from those that would have occurred under the current program provisionsinterim rule for REAP. However, the. However, .

However, theHowever, theREAPcurrent REAP program, due to a reduction in burden associated with the application process under the final rule, there will be a net savings afforded to applicants and to the federal government as a result of streamlining and reduced burden resulting in a net benefit. will result in positive an increase the net benefits.

Using the estimate of cost changes per respondent and the estimate of the number of respondents affected by this rulemaking, the net benefits of this rule are estimated to be approximately \$10.3 43 million in Fiscal YearYearYearFiscal yearYear 2015 (see Table 789).

Table 789. Comparison of RES and EEI Respondents Costs between Interim RuleRuleRuleRuleBaseline and Final Rule

	Interim RuleRuleRuleR uleBaseline*	Final Rule <u>**</u>	Difference
RES/EEI Grants	\$ 12,442,433 9,674, 773	\$3,037,9303,068,9 473,078,753	\$9,404,5039,373,4 866,596,020
RES/EEI	\$ 1,193,846 249,326	\$ 317,988 254,414	- \$875,858939,432 <u>\$5</u>

Guaranteed Loans			<u>, 088</u>
RES/EEI Grant/Guaranteed Loan Combinations	<u>\$3,712,179</u>	<u>\$61,284</u>	<u>-\$3,650,895</u>
EA/REDA	\$150,073	\$ 90,435 89,106	-\$ 59,638 <u>60,967</u>
Totals	\$13,786,352	\$3,446,3533,412,4 673,483,557	\$\frac{10}{399}, \frac{99910}{373}\$ \tag{885}\frac{10}{302}, \frac{794}{794}\$

^{*} Baseline RES/EEI grantsRespondent Cost = hours per respondent x number of RES/EEI—respondents x \$35.7260.00 per hour

In addition, changes to the RES/EEI application provisions is are estimated estimate—to reduce the burden to the FederalFederalFederalFederal government, in reviewing the applications and making technical merit determinations, by approximately \$1.14.0 million, or a 30–53 percent reduction_

(Refer to Table 7).

^{**} Final Rule Respondent Cost = hours per respondent x number of respondents x \$35.72 per hour

8. Distribution Effects

The REAP rule will result in economic impacts with both immediate, short-term effects and long-term benefits. The changes being made by the final rule do not affect the size or nature of these impacts. Thus, the discussion found in the RIA for the interim rule is not repeated in this RIA. However, thethethethethethe REAP rule does result in subsidy distribution effects, which were not discussed in the RIA for the interim rule. The following discussion on subsidy implications, which applies to REAP at the program level, as a whole and not to any incremental changes between the final rule and the interim rule, is presented below to supplement the RIA for the interim rule.

Economic Analysis

Farm Bill Mandatory mandatory and Discretionary discretionary grant and loan guarantee amounts for fiscal years 2014 and 2015 are given in the 2015 President's Budget Pro Sum. Projected mandatory loan levels for fiscal years 2016 through 2018 are established by the policy of growing the loan program \$50 million each year after fiscal year 2015. An economic analysis detail in Table 9108a shows the net present value (NPV) of these funds using a 3 percent discount equals \$160.5159 million for grant funds and \$884725.49 for guaranteed loans funds. The same funds have a NPV of \$149.43 million for grant and \$807.7662.5 million

for guaranteed loan using a 7 percent discount in table $9\underline{10}$ 8b.

Table 8a9a10a.

		Farm Bill Funds (Mandatory)		Discretionary Funds	
.	3%				
	Discount	Grant	Guaranteed Loan	Grant	Guarante
	FY	\$40,000,000 \$25,00	\$94,517,958 \$91,141	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$12,760,
	2014	0,000	, 000		
	FY	\$38,271,845\\$39,36	<u>\$97,087,379</u> \$97,087	\$4,854,369 \$4,85	\$45,882,
	2015	8,932	, 379	4,369	
	FY	\$32,170,798 \$33,76	\$141,389,386 \$141,3	\$2,356,490 \$4,71	\$22,273,
	2016	8,498	89,386	2,980	
	FY	\$26,392,685\\$28,46	\$183,028,332 \$183,0	\$2,287,854 \$4,57	\$21,624,
	2017	0,906	28, 332	5,708	
Ì	FY	<u>\$22,212,176</u> \$22,21	\$209,944,955 <mark>\$235,0</mark>	\$2,221,218 <mark>\$4,44</mark>	\$20,994,
	2018	2,176	49,484	2,435	
Ì	TOTAL 5	\$159,047,505 <mark>\$148,</mark>	<u>\$725,968,010</u> \$747,6	\$11,719,930 \$18,	\$123,534
	year NPV	810,512	95, 580	585, 492	
Ì	Annualiz	\$34,726,529.41 \$32	\$158,508,299.11 \$16	\$2,558,936.78 \$ 4	\$26,972,
	ed value	,491,378	3,252,310	, 057, 968	

Table 8b9b10b.

	Farm Bill Funds (Mandatory)		Discretionary Funds	
7%				
Discount	Grant	Guaranteed Loan	Grant	Guaranteed Loan
	\$40,000,000 \$25,00	\$94,517,958 \$91,141	<u>\$0</u> \$ 0	\$12,760,000 \$12,76
FY 2014	0,000	, 000		0,000
	\$36,841,121 \$37,89	\$93,457,944 \$93,457	\$4,672,897 \$4,672	\$44,167,270 \$49,44
FY 2015	7,196	, 944	, 897	8,648
	\$29,810,464 \$31,29	\$131,015,809 \$131,0	\$2,183,597 \$4,367	\$20,638,911 \$46,21
FY 2016	0,942	15,809	, 194	3,689
	<u>\$23,542,031</u> \$25,38	\$163,259,575\\$163,2	<u>\$2,040,745</u> \$4,081	\$19,288,702\$43,19
FY 2017	6,864	59, 575	, 489	0,364
	\$19,072,380 \$19,07	<u>\$180,268,245</u> \$201,8	\$1,907,238 \$3,814	<u>\$18,026,824</u> \$40,36
FY 2018	2,380	24, 130	, 476	4,826
TOTAL 5	<u>\$149,265,996</u> \$138,	\$662,519,532 \$680,6	\$10,804,477 \$16,9	\$114,881,708 \$191,
years NPV	647,383	98, 459	36,056	977,527
Annualize	\$36,406,340.58	\$161,590,129.70 \$16	\$2,635,238.23 \$4,	\$28,019,928.86 \$46
d value	, 816, 435	6,024,014	130,745	, 823, 787

A subsidy rate of 9.4510.58 percent is used for fiscal years 2015 and beyond. The mandatory grant amount is then the balance of the \$50 million less the budget authority required to support the desired loan level for each year. Fiscal year 2018 is assumes an equal balance of \$25 million mandatory grant and \$25 million in mandatory loan guarantee budget authority.

Discretionary funds are assumed to be \$10 million equally split between grant and loan guarantee assuming the $\frac{9.4510.58}{10.58}$ percent subsidy rate. This would provide program levels of \$5 million for grants, and $\frac{4752}{10.58}$ million for loan guarantees totaling the \$572 million in program level for FY 2015.

<u>Subsidy Implications</u>Program Benefits

REAP provides a grant of up to \$500,000 or 25 percent of total eligible project costs (TEPC) (whichever is less) for renewable energy systems and up to \$250,000 or 25 percent of TEPC (whichever is less) for energy efficiency improvements. REAP also provides loan guarantees of up to \$25 million or 75 percent of TEPC for both renewable energy systems and energy efficiency improvements. A REAP grant and loan combination may not exceed 75 percent of TEPC. While REAP recipients are the direct beneficiaries of the program subsidy, the benefits to other

program participants, albeit indirectly, are no less significant.

The indirect beneficiaries of REAP include the many technology providers of renewable energy systems (i.e., wind, solar, geothermal, biomass, etc.) and energy efficiency improvements (grain dryers, reverse osmosis filtration, poultry house heating, irrigation pumps, etc.), lenders (particularly for projects with total eligible costs of \$200,000 and greater), energy service suppliers (such as project engineers, energy auditors, feasibility service providers), as well as local and state governments. The energy generated or saved from REAP RES-EEI projects add value to recipient rural small businesses and to agricultural producer operations and increase the local and state tax base commensurately.

Project matching funds have both a positive wealth effect and an environmentally beneficial substitution effect on rural communities. Collectively as leveraged investments, matching funds create a multitude of secondary and tertiary employment opportunities, business startups in rural areas, and serve as incubators to new green technologies which offset fossil fuel use and reduce GHG emissions.

REAP program impacts are broadly diffused by the allocation

distributed to each state and province. REAP also authorizes (State Directors and the Administrator) the use of (up to 10) Administrative Discretionary Priority Points in cases where the application is for an under-represented technology, would help achieve geographic diversity, the applicant is a member of an under-represented or under-served population, furthers an agency goal, or the proposed project is located in an impoverished area, has experienced long-term population decline, or loss of employment. The intent of these measures is to ensure that REAP investments are broadly distributed across the entire U.S.

Program benefits, leveraged funds, and the use of the State—state

Aallocation and Administrative Points work together to ensure

that the REAP subsidy benefits accrue broadly and more or less

proportionately across all program participants: recipients,

technology providers, lenders, service providers, and ultimately

to local rural communities and state governments.

Anticipated Subsidy Model Implications.

The subsidy rate is primarily affected by two major cash flow streams – cash inflow and cash outflow. The REAP interimruleruleruleruleruleprogram contains provisions that affect boththe expected cash inflows and outflows. Several provisions are
intended to help reduce cash outflow associated with loan

defaults and loss payments. The changes in the REAP final rule do not affect the subsidy model used for the interim rule.rulethe program, which was discussed at length in the RIA for the interim final rule, which can be found . For a discussion on the REAP rule on the subsidy model, please see the RIA for the interim rule.

Anticipated Subsidy Model Implications

The Rural Energy for America Program contains provisions that

affect both the expected cash inflows and outflows. The effect

of these provisions on the subsidy rate of the Rural Energy for

America Program is presented qualitatively and has not been

quantified.

Cash Inflow

The basic relationship between cash inflow and subsidy rate
is as cash inflow increases, the subsidy rate decreases; as it
decreases, the subsidy rate increases. The Rural Energy for
America Program provides two mechanisms for generating cash
inflow – the guarantee fee and the annual renewal fee.

Guarantee fee. The Rural Energy for America Program allows

the Agency to collect a one-time, upfront fee for each loan

guarantee. Under REAP, the guarantee fee will be identified by

RD each fiscal year in a Federal notice.

Renewal fee. The Rural Energy for America Program also allows for the collection of an annual renewal fee. As for the

guarantee fee, the annual renewal fee will be identified by RD each fiscal year in a Federal notice.

Thus, the subsidy rate of the Rural Energy for America

Program will be affected based on the level of fees collected,

with higher fees resulting in a lower subsidy rate (all other

things being equal) by increasing the cash inflow.

Cash Outflow

The basic relationship between cash outflow and subsidy rate is as cash outflow increases, the subsidy rate increases; as it decreases, the subsidy rate decreases. There are several provisions (e.g., associated with collateral and loss resulting from transfer) in the Rural Energy for America Program that are expected to control and reduce cash outflow as affected by loan defaults and net loss claims paid. On an individual basis, each provision is expected to have a relatively small effect on the overall subsidy rate.

Summary

The subsidy rate is primarily affected by two major cash

flow streams - cash inflow and cash outflow. The effect of the

Rural Energy for America Program on cash inflow will depend on

the implementation of both the guarantee fee and annual renewal

fee and at what levels. Several provisions are intended to help

reduce cash outflow associated with loan defaults and loss

payments.

9. _Summary

REAP, section 9007 of Title IX of the 2008 Farm Bill, is part of a series of 2008 Farm Bill sections designed to encourage the development of renewable energy. Under REAP, the Agency provides grants, loan guarantees, and combined grants and loan guarantees for RES and EEI projects; grants for RES feasibility studies; and grants for EA and REDA. Eligible applicants for RES/EEI and feasibility study financial assistance are agricultural producers and rural small businesses. For EA and REDA grants, eligible entities are units of a StateStateStateStateStateState

entities are units of a StateStateStateStateState

for RES/EEI and feasibility study financial assistance are agricultural producers and rural small businesses. For EA and REDA grants, eligible entities are units of a StateStateStateStateStateState

for RES and loan grants are agricultural producers and rural small businesses. For EA and REDA grants, eligible entities are units of a StateStateStateStateState

for RES feasibility studies; and rural producers and rural small businesses. For EA and REDA grants, eligible entities are units of a StateStateStateStateState

for RES feasibility studies; and rural producers and rural small businesses. For EA and REDA grants, eligible entities are units of a StateStateStateStateStateState

for RES feasibility studies; and grants for RES/EEI and feasibility studies; and grants for RES/EEI and feasibility studies; and grants for RES/EEI and grants for RE

For Fiscal YearYearYearFiscal year 20142015, the Agency estimates that approximately 835 1,3111,393 REAP awards will be made: 325 438487RES awards, 485 873884 EEI awards, 15 feasibility study awards, and 10 22 EA/REDA awards. Of the RES awards, the vast majority are expected to be associated with solar, followed by wind and biomass projects. Few guaranteed loan only awards are anticipated to be made. The awardees are

expected to be mostly businesses, including sole proprietors, who were previously counted as individuals, with relatively few StateStateStateStateStateStateState, local, and tribal government entities.

This RIA calculates a net costs savings due to improvements in the implementation of the REAP program. It is not expected that the benefits from the REAP program will change under the streamlined procedures in the final rule, and so the net benefits achieved by the rule are attributed only to the decreased costnet savings estimated for the changes in program implementation. —

Because these changes are not expected to affect the nature and size of the environmental and energy impacts of the REAP program, this RIA provides some additional information on environmental and energy impacts to supplement the discussion on these impacts found in the RIA for the interim rule. This additional information applies to REAP as a whole and not to any incremental changes between the final rule and the interim rule. While there are expected to be job benefits from REAP funding, these jobs were not quantified.