Spring 2015 School Leader Survey

[[Version: On-line administration. Wave 1.]]

Understanding Your Participation in the Study

Welcome to the spring 2015 survey for school leaders about the feedback you give to teachers' regarding their instruction as a part of the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System.

Study Purpose. With funding from the federal Department of Education as a part of the Southwest Regional Educational Lab, this study is intended to learn about the feedback principals give to teachers under the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System, and teachers' perceptions of that feedback. For more information about the study, please see {REL Southwest website}. In {month, year} REL Southwest researchers asked all New Mexico's public school principals to participate in a study about evaluation feedback to teachers. Results will be used to provide New Mexico Public Education Department feedback regarding how to improve the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System training for principals and teachers.

Survey Purpose. This survey is intended to gather information on the provision of feedback to teachers after formal observations by school leaders. REL Southwest researchers will ask study participants to fill out an on-line, 30-minute survey once in spring 2015 and again in spring 2016. Survey results will **not** be used to evaluate you, other teachers, or school leaders.

Procedures. Online completion of the survey should take approximately 30 minutes. By using your personal survey link provided in the invitation email, you will be able to complete the survey in multiple sessions; responses will be saved as you enter them. However, once you click "submit" at the very end of the survey, you will no longer be able to return.

Confidentiality. REL Southwest will keep all survey responses **confidential** and will only use them for the purpose of the study. Our reports will contain summaries, and responses will never be presented in any way that would permit readers to identify you or your school. No one at your school, district, or the state will have access to survey responses that include respondents' names, school names, or other information that could potentially be used to identify individuals or schools.

Participants. At the same time that we are emailing you, REL Southwest is also inviting all New Mexico public school school-based principals to participate in this study. Up to 10 teachers per school are also being surveyed.

Benefits. Your completion of this survey gives you the opportunity to reflect on and share your perspective about the teacher evaluation system. You will be providing valuable information that may help New Mexico Public Education Department improve the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System.

Compensation. Within two weeks of completion of this online survey, you will receive via email a link to your **\$25 online gift card** for completing the survey. We will provide another \$25 online gift card upon completion of the spring 2016 survey to each participant.

Risks. The risks associated with your participation in this study are minimal.

Voluntary Participation. Your participation in this survey is completely voluntary. You can decide not to participate or to discontinue your participation at any time. A few survey questions, marked with a red asterisk, are required for routing to later questions.

PRA Burden Statement. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1850-xxxx.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, application or survey, please contact Chris Boccanfuso at (202) 219-1674, or at Institute of Education Sciences, 555

New Jersey Ave., NW, Suite 506D, Washington, DC 20001, directly. [Note: Please do not return the completed instrument, form, application or survey to this address.]

Questions? If you have any questions about this survey or the study as a whole, please feel free to call us toll-free at {XXX}. You can also contact {XX}, the survey coordinator, at {email} or by phone at {XX}. If you have concerns or questions about your rights as a participant, contact the REL Southwest at SEDL (which is responsible for the protection of project participants): {email}, toll free at {XX}, or c/o IRB, {address}.

[Required question] Informed Consent. By clicking the box below, you are indicating that you have read and understood the information provided to you about your participation in this survey.

,
lacksquare I have read and understood the information and choose to participate.
Thank you very much for your help in this important study!
[NEW SURVEY PAGE]
Using This Survey

Here are some tips that may help you navigate and complete this survey.

Returning to previous pages. Be sure to use the survey "go back to previous page" button at

the **bottom** of the page. Do **not** use your browser's back button—it will not work.

Returning to the survey itself. If you would like to complete the survey in multiple sessions—or if you accidentally get disconnected from the survey—you can return to your previous responses by clicking on the link that was in your invitation email. This will take you to where you left off (through the last full page you completed). You can keep returning until you click on the "submit" button on the final page of the survey.

Saving your responses. Your responses on any given survey page are saved when you click on either button at the bottom of the page ("save and go to next page" or "go back to previous page").

Adding optional comments. Toward the bottom of each survey page is a space where you can write in any comments you may have pertaining to the questions on the page. This is completely optional.
[NEW SURVEY PAGE]
Your Position and Job Responsibilities
q1]Which of the following best describes your position as a school leader this school year 2014-2015)? • If you are not a school leader, you may have received this survey in error. Please reply to
the invitation email or call {XXX}.
principal
vice principal/assistant principal
dean
other:

[q2x]Including this school year (2014-2015), how many years have you been a school administrator? (Fill in each box.)

• "School administrator" includes principal, assistant principal, and other equivalent titles.

[q2xa]Years as a school administrator in your current school	
[q2xb]Years as a school administrator in your current district	
(including current school)	
[q2xc]Years as a school administrator, total, in any location	
(including other districts and current district)	

[q3]In addition to your administrative responsibilities, do you currently (2014-2015) have official teaching responsibilities (that is, formally instruct K-12 students as the teacher of record

in one or more classes)? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
[q4]Do you play a role in filling teach interviewing, and/or hiring)? Ues No	ing positions	at your sch	ool (for examp	le, recruit	ing,
[NEW S	SURVEY PAGE	:]			
Your Perceptions of the Quality o	f Your Schoo	ol's Teachi	ng Staff This	Year	
[q5] Indicate your level of agreement with the quality of the whole teaching		_			itisfied
[insert slider graphic here. tick marks somewhat, agree strongly]	for disagree s	strongly, dis	agree somewh	nat, agree	
[q6x] Use the slider to answer each i many teachers in your school	item below. D	ouring this s	chool year (20	14-2015),	how
	None or				
	almost none	A few	About half	Most	All or nearly all
[q6a] Are highly effective instructional leaders.		[insert	slider graphic	here]	
[q6b] Are struggling and/or ineffective instructional leaders.		[insert	slider graphic	here]	
[q6c] Had a good grasp of the subject matter they teach		[insert	slider graphic	here]	
[q6d] Engaged in collaborative					
conversations about teaching and		lincort	t alidar arabbia	haua1	

------[NEW SURVEY PAGE]------

learning on a weekly or biweekly

basis

[insert slider graphic here]

Your Practices When Observing Teachers This Year (SY 2014-2015) for Evaluation Purposes

q7] Thinking about the entire school year 2014-2015, please estimate the number of teachers you will formally evaluate yourself using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link}.
enter number here that ranges from 0 – 50]
[q8] Thinking about the entire school year 2014-2015, how many other school leaders besides yourself will formally evaluate teachers using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link}?
enter number here that ranges from 0 – 5]
[q9] Thinking about the entire school year 2014-2015, how often did you personally formally observe teachers in your school building using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link}?
Thinking about the entire school year 2014-2015, how often did you personally <i>formally observe</i> teachers in your school building using the New Mexico Observation Rubric {link}? I or another school leader formally observed (for 15+ minutes using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric) all teachers 3 or more times. I or another school leader formally observed (for 15+ minutes using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric) all teacher 2 times. I or another school leader formally observed (for 15+ minutes using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric) some teachers 2 times and other teachers 3 times or more. Other: Other:
[q10] Use the slider to estimate how long post-observation conferences typically took you during school year 2014-2015. Think only of conferences after formal observations; do not nclude informal feedback from classroom walk-throughs. [insert slider graphic here. Tick marks for 0 minutes to 60 minutes with 10 minute intervals]
Thinking about the entire school year 2014-2015, how frequently did you provide informal feedback about teaching and learning to individual teachers—e.g. walk-throughs, wows and wonders. At least once a week. At least once a month. At least once per semester. Other: Optional comment here:
p

[q12x] Thinking about *all* your formal observations from school year 2014-2015, use the slider

to indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your post-formal observation conferences with teachers.

	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree Strongly
[q12a] The feedback session itself , separate from professional development I may have recommended, helped teachers improve instruction .	5,		graphic here]	3,
[q12b] In each conference, the teacher brought documents to the conference, documents such as the lesson plan, PDP.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12c] In each conference, I brought documents to conference such as the teacher's report card and the most recent PDP.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12d] In each conference, I identified at least one positive practice that the teacher does well.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12e] In each conference, I identified at least one challenge facing the teacher.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12f] In each conference, I used my scores on the NM TEACH Observation Rubric for that teacher to determine which instructional practices to praise or critique with the teacher.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12g] In each conference, I used my scores on the NM TEACH Observation Rubric for that teacher to determine what professional development to recommend to the teacher.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12h] In each conference, I ended the conference on a positive note .		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12i]Each conference followed a predictable format .		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12j] In each conference, the teacher and I mutually developed next steps for their instruction.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12k] In each conference provided specific feedback to teachers about their performance.		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q12l] In each conference I provided actionable feedback to teachers about their		[insert slider	graphic here]	

performance.	
[q12m] In each conference, teachers committed to specific set of next steps to improve their instruction.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12n] In each conference, I spoke for almost all of the time during the conference.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q120] There was a high level of collaboration in feedback conferences.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12p] There was a high level of conflict in feedback conferences.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12q] It was hard to provide negative feedback to teachers about their performance.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12r] The large majority of teachers seemed to trust and accept my feedback.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12s] I feel positive about the feedback I gave teachers in conferences.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12t] I enjoyed most of the post-observation feedback conferences.	[insert slider graphic here]
[q12u] I provided all teachers I reviewed with a written or online summary of the observation with my comments on it.	[insert slider graphic here]

Professional Development Offered to Teachers This Year (2014-2015)

The questions in this section ask about professional development for teachers.

• Professional development includes (but is not limited to) workshops, inservices, coaching/mentoring, collaborative planning/study, institutes, professional conferences, online courses, self-directed learning, and so forth.

[q13x] Use the slider to rate how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the professional development experiences of teachers at your school this year (2014-2015, including summer 2014).

• Consider professional development offered by the district and other external sources as well as professional development offered by the school.

The professional development options for teachers this year....

	Don't know	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree Strongly
[q13a] Were mostly developed by school leaders or teachers at my school.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13b] Were mostly job-embedded rather than one-time only sessions.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13c]Were varied and numerous enough to allow me to customize my PD recommendations to teachers based on their performance on specific domains of the NM TEACH Observation Rubric.{link}			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13d]Helped teachers improve on elements measured by the NM TEACH Observation Rubric.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13e]Helped teachers improve other elements of the NM TEACH evaluation besides the NM TEACH Observation Rubric (e.g., student achievement or "other" multiple measure).			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13f]Were well aligned with the Common Core State Standards and/or curriculum based on these standards.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13g]Have been convenient enough to promote teachers' participation.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13h]Were sufficiently resourced (for example, substitute coverage, funding to cover expenses, stipends) to allow teachers to participate in the professional development they need to do their jobs successfully.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13i]District-provided professional development offerings (workshops, inservices, online courses, etc.) have enough slots and availability to accommodate all teachers who wish or need to participate.			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q13j]Teachers have had easy access to a catalog of professional development opportunities aligned with the NM TEACH Observation			[insert slider	graphic here]	

Rubric.		

[q14x] Thinking about the professional development that teachers at your school have participated in this year (2014-2015, including summer 2014), use the slider to indicate how useful do think each of the following has been for improving teacher effectiveness.

	Not Applicable	Not at all useful	Slightly useful	Moderately useful	Very useful
[q14a]Workshops or inservices for teachers at your school only (typically on-site)			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14b]Workshops, inservices, institutes, or conferences organized by your district for teachers from multiple schools	0		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14c]Online professional development offered by or through your district			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14d]District- or state-sponsored induction program, mentoring, or other professional development activities for new or beginning teachers			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14e]Instructional coaching (provided by school-based coaches or district coaches)	0		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14f]Professional development led by me or a school leader such as study groups or school-wide staff meetings	0		[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14g]School-based teacher collaboration (grade-level or subject-area teams, professional learning communities, study groups, etc.)			[insert slider	graphic here]	
[q14h]Videos of sample lessons			[insert slider	graphic here]	

[NEW SURVEY PAGE]	
INLW SORVLI PAGL	

Training You Received About NM TEACH to Date

[q15x]What types of training have you received to date regarding the NM TEACH Educator
Effectiveness system? (Check all that apply.) [q15a][exclusive option]No professional development received
[q15b]Information on the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness system(overall purpose,
specific components, consequences for teachers, relationship to professional
development, and so forth)
[q15c]How to use the NM TEACH Observation Rubric
\square [q15d]How to provide feedback to individual teachers after formal classroom
observations
\square [q15e]How to enter scores from NM TEACH Observation Rubric into Reflect, the
Teachscape software
[q15f]How to use Reflect, the Teachscape software
[q15g]How to interpret a teachers' NM TEACH Summative Evaluation Score
[q15h]How to give NM TEACH Observation Rubric ratings consistent with those given
by other raters
help improve teaching at my school
[q15j]How to direct teachers to professional development based on their NM TEACH
Summative Evaluation Score
[q15k]Other:
[q16] [if 15b-k ==yes] Who sponsored the training you attended about NM TEACH Educator
Effectiveness system? Mark as many as apply.
☐ State Public Education Department☐ My school district
Other:
Don't know
[q17] [if $15b-k == yes$] In total, how many minutes of training did you receive this year (2014-
2015, including summer 2014) about how to provide feedback to teachers.
☐ 0 minutes
☐ 15 minutes☐ 30 minutes
60 minutes
120 minutes
☐ 240 minutes
☐ Other:
[q18] [if 15d==yes] Thinking about the training on how to conduct post-observation
conferences with teachers, indicate how useful you think the training was.
☐ Not at all useful☐ Slightly useful

	Moderately useful Very useful
[q19] I under (NM TEACH).	stand how the overall teacher ratings work in the NM teacher evaluation system Disagree strongly
	Disagree somewhat Agree somewhat
Ш	Agree Strongly
	[NEW SURVEY PAGE]

[q20x]Indicate how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System from the school year 2014-2015.

Your Personal Views of NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System

Disagree Disagree Agree Agree Strongly Somewhat Somewhat Strongly [q20a] The NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness [insert slider graphic here] System does a good job distinguishing effective from ineffective teachers. [q20b] The NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System is fair to all teachers, regardless of [insert slider graphic here] their personal characteristics or those of the students they teach. [q20c] The NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness [insert slider graphic here] System ignores important aspects of teacher performance. [q20d]The NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness [insert slider graphic here] System requires so much of my time that it interferes with other important activities. [q20e]I have a clear idea of what the NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System views [insert slider graphic here] as "good instruction." [q20f]The NM TEACH Educator Effectiveness System has helped me pinpoint specific things [insert slider graphic here] I can do to help improve my teachers' performance. [insert slider graphic here] [q20g]In the NM TEACH Educator

Effectiveness System, teachers who do not score "Effective" or better often leave teaching voluntarily.

[q21x]Indicate how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the NM TEACH Observation Rubric.

	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree Strongly
[q21a] The 6 elements of Domain 1 (Planning and Preparation) of the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link} are a useful way to measure the quality of teachers' instructional practices.	[insert slider graphic here]			:]
[q21b] The 5 elements of Domain 2 (Creating an Environment for Learning) of the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link} are a useful way to measure the quality of teachers' instructional practices.		[insert slic	ler graphic here	.]
[q21c]The 5 elements of Domain 3 (Teaching for Learning) of the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link} are a useful way to measure the quality of teachers' instructional practices.		[insert slic	der graphic here	.]
[q21d] The 4 elements of Domain 4 (Professionalism) of the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link} are a useful way to measure the quality of teachers' instructional practices.		[insert slic	der graphic here	:]
[q21e] Using the NM TEACH Observation Rubric {link} helps me to provide constructive, specific feedback to teachers to improve their instruction.		[insert slide	r graphic here]	

 NEW SURVEY PAGE	

Teacher Retention and Improvement

[q22x]Over the past year (since approximately May 1, 2014), how many teachers at your

school...

	None (0 teachers)	1 teacher	2 teachers	3 teachers	More than 3 teachers	Don't know
[q22a] Moved from effective to highly effective on the NM TEACH Summative Evaluation.						
[q22b]Were put on an professional growth plan or entered probationary status						
[q22c] Were dismissed (that is, had their district employment as a teacher terminated) <i>due to</i> receiving a <i>low effectiveness rating on</i> the NM TEACH Summative Evaluation						
[q22d]Left teaching voluntarily after receiving a low effectiveness rating on the NM TEACH Summative Evaluation						
Optional Comments from You The following four questions are optional if you have any feedback you wish to share. [q23] Do you have any comments about the NM TEACH Observation Rubric?						

[q24] Do you have any comments about professional development available to teachers in your district?

[q25] Do you have any comments about training either your district or NM PED have offered about the NM TEACH teacher evaluation system?

[q26] Do you have any feedback about this survey?
[NEW SURVEY PAGE]
Thank You!
Thank you so much for your time to complete this survey.
Within the next 2 weeks, you will receive an email message with instructions about how to claim your gift card. This email message will come from {iCard (services@icardgiftcard.com)} and will be sent to the same email address at which you received the survey invitation, unless you indicate otherwise here:
 Yes, please send my gift card information to the same email address to which you sent the survey invitation. Please send my gift card information to a different email address, which I am providing here:
☐ No, I do not wish to receive a gift card email from {iCard}.
[NEW SURVEY PAGE]
Thanks Again

You have completed the survey and may now close it.