Section 35

 FINAL SUPPORTING STATEMENT

 FOR

 "RISK-INFORMED CATEGORIZATION AND TREATMENT OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS,

 AND COMPONENTS”

 10 CFR 50.69

DESCRIPTION OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

Existing regulations in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50, specify recordkeeping and reporting requirements associated with structures, systems, and components (SSCs) used in a nuclear power plant. Certain provisions of these regulations pertain to “treatment” requirements, meaning those quality assurance programs, testing, reporting requirements and other activities intended to add confidence that SSCs can perform their intended safety functions when needed. Section 10 CFR 50.69 provides a voluntary alternative set of requirements under which a licensee may obtain relief from some unnecessary regulatory burden for those SSCs that are determined through a risk-informed categorization process to be of low safety-significance. The regulation is intended to provide more flexibility to licensees in the application of treatment requirements for low safety-significant SSCs, by replacing some of the prescriptive programmatic requirements with more general performance requirements. Requirements are included to specify the process for obtaining the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approval for implementing the alternative requirements and for licensee preparation of ongoing SSC performance evaluations against established standards. Recordkeeping and reporting requirements are modified only for those licensees or applicants who voluntarily choose to implement the alternative requirements of 10 CFR 50.69.

To use the alternative provisions of 10 CFR 50.69, a licensee or applicant must evaluate the safety significance of SSCs and categorize each SSC into one of four categories defined as risk‑informed safety class (RISC)-1, RISC-2, RISC-3, and RISC-4. Section 50.69 establishes revised treatment and less prescriptive and burdensome information collection requirements for safety and non-safety SSCs categorized as performing low safety-significant functions (RISC-3 and RISC-4), but also contains requirements for on-going evaluations to ensure safety standards are maintained and that records of categorization decisions are maintained.

10 CFR 50.69 provisions may be used by power reactor applicants for a Part 50 or Part 52 license, as well as by holders of operating licenses issued under 10 CFR 50 or 10 CFR 54. A licensee or applicant choosing to use the provisions of 10 CFR 50.69 is required to obtain prior NRC approval of its categorization process and supporting probabilistic risk analysis, by either including the information in its application for license, or by submitting a license amendment request with the required information, using the existing licensing processes in 10 CFR 50.34, 10 CFR 50.90 or 10 CFR 52. The exact number of facilities affected by the section 50.69 information collection requirements is uncertain because of the voluntary nature of these requirements. Recently the licensee for Vogtle, Units 1 and 2 submitted a pilot application requesting approval to implement 10 CFR 50.69. This pilot is expected to take two years to complete, which will then be followed by incorporating lessons learned into industry and regulatory guidance documents prior to receiving additional applications. Thus, for the next three years, this application for two plant licenses is the only active review expected.

A. JUSTIFICATION

1. Need for the Collection of Information.

10 CFR 50.69(b)(1) identifies the special treatment requirements for which the alternative 50.69 treatment requirements may be substituted for licensees and applicant voluntarily implementing section 50.69. These alternative treatment requirements are in 10 CFR 50.69(d) and 10 CFR 50.69(e). This is an alternative to a number of the special treatment requirements that require information collections, specifically, the reporting requirements in 10 CFR 21; 10 CFR 50.49 qualification requirements, certain 10 CFR 50.55(e) notifications of defects and failures to comply; 10 CFR 50.55a in‑service inspection and testing requirements (ISI/IST) and quality qualification requirements; 10 CFR 50.65 maintenance monitoring except for maintenance risk‑assessment; 10 CFR 50.72 and 10 CFR 50.73 event reporting; and quality assurance requirements in 10 CFR 50 Appendix B.

For licensees choosing to use 10 CFR 50.69 for SSCs categorized as RISC-3 and RISC‑4 in lieu of the regulatory sections cited above, paragraphs (d) and (e) contain new requirements that address the same basic program elements, but with less specificity on how they are to be conducted and documented. Therefore, although some information collections will still be necessary, the burden should be reduced. Much of the burden reduction will occur during activities, such as when a replacement component is procured or when operational events or equipment problems arise, with potential reportability. In addition, there may be some reduction during periodic inspections and tests.

10 CFR 50.69(b)(2) specifies that a power reactor licensee choosing to implement 10 CFR 50.69 must submit an application for a license amendment that includes a description of (1) the categorization process for RISC-1 through RISC-4 SSCs, (2) the measures taken to assure quality of the evaluation methods, including results of a peer review, and (3) the basis for acceptability for evaluations to be conducted to show that the potential increase in risk would be small considering the changes to treatment permitted by implementation of 10 CFR 50.69. An applicant for a Part 50 or Part 52 license would include this information as part of their application. A robust, risk-informed categorization process that provides high confidence that the safety significance of SSCs is correctly determined is the cornerstone of 10 CFR 50.69. The Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) used to support SSC categorization provides important input to the categorization process, because results can be influenced by the completeness and technical adequacy of the PRA. Therefore, NRC needs to review the PRA and other categorization process information to confirm its acceptability. There is a one-time burden for licensees to prepare and submit this license amendment application, with resulting burden reductions expected later in reporting and recordkeeping requirements for RISC-3 and RISC-4 SSCs. Licensees are expected to use RG 1.201 (which endorses NEI 00-04) to develop their submittals. The burden associated with the submittal aspect of 10 CFR 50.69 is addressed in Section 33 of this analysis.

10 CFR 50.69(c) specifies how the categorization process is to be conducted. After approval of the application, the licensee or applicant then must perform evaluations of the significance of SSC functions, considering both internal and external events, and both active and passive functions in an integrated, systematic process, using an integrated decision-making panel to make the determination. To meet the requirements, a licensee (or applicant) needs to gather information to support preparation of the models (such as the PRA), gather information about the components within the systems, and prepare information about safety-significance. The majority of the burden is associated with the categorization process preparation and implementation. The NRC expects that implementation would occur over a period of years. Once the process has been approved, a licensee can begin to categorize on a system-by-system basis and take advantage of the reductions in treatment requirements for components in those systems. As stated in 10 CFR 50.69(f), records of categorization determinations are required to be prepared and maintained.

10 CFR 50.69(d)(1) contains requirements for a licensee to evaluate treatment being applied to RISC-1 and RISC-2 SSCs to ensure that it is consistent with categorization process assumptions. This is a one-time requirement associated with developing the basis for the categorization process. No explicit recordkeeping requirement is included.

10 CFR 50.69(d)(2) requires that a licensee or applicant develop and implement processes to control the inspection, testing, and corrective action for RISC-3 SSCs to provide reasonable confidence in their capability to perform functions under design basis conditions. These requirements include certain elements presently covered by 10 CFR 50 Appendix B (such as corrective action) and by 10 CFR 50.55a(f) and 10 CFR 50a(g) and 10 CFR 50.49. 10 CFR 50.69 requires that RISC-3 SSCs must be capable of performing their functions under specific conditions (environmental and seismic). Subparagraph (i) requires that inspection and testing activities be conducted. Subparagraph (ii) requires the licensee to identify and correct in a timely manner conditions that could prevent an SSC from performing its required functions. While specific records are not identified for retention, licensees will keep some records so that they can show how they comply with this requirement if inspected. Further, it is anticipated that most licensees will need to review their existing processes to determine whether they comply with the specific requirements and make changes to procedures, data bases, or other activities as a result. Therefore, there is a one-time implementation cost, with some reduction in annual costs for recordkeeping, following implementation for each licensee choosing to implement the 10 CFR 50.69 provisions.

10 CFR 50.69(e)(1) requires the licensee (or applicant) to review changes to the plant, operational practices, and operating experience to update the PRA and SSC categorization at least every 36 months. This requirement will result in a need for a licensee to retain information to be able to perform the required review.

10 CFR 50.69(e)(2) contains requirements for a licensee to monitor performance of RISC-1 and RISC-2 SSCs and make adjustments to either categorization or treatment processes as necessary to maintain the validity of the categorization process and results. This requirement necessitates the collection of information about the performance of SSCs so that the licensee can determine if results are such that changes to its processes are needed.

10 CFR 50.69(e)(3) requires consideration of data being collected to meet the inspection and testing requirements of 10 CFR 50.69(d)(2)(i) for RISC-3 SSCs to determine whether there are any adverse changes in performance and to make adjustments as necessary to either categorization or treatment processes such that categorization process results remain valid.

10 CFR 50.69(f)(1) requires that records of the categorization of SSCs be prepared. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(c), these records must be retained until the license is terminated. This burden is included with the estimates for 10 CFR 50.69(c).

10 CFR 50.69(f)(2) requires that a licensee update its Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), consistent with provisions of 10 CFR 50.71(e) to reflect which systems have been categorized using 10 CFR 50.69. 10 CFR 50.71(e) specifies that the FSAR is to be updated such that the FSAR contains complete and accurate information. In implementing 10 CFR 50.69, licensees may need to revise their FSAR to the extent that it describes treatment requirements for SSCs (and submit the updated pages to NRC under existing 10 CFR 50.71(e)). A status of which systems fall under 10 CFR 50.69 requirements is required. This requirement has only a negligible impact on the update requirements.

Section 50.69(g) adds a requirement, if not otherwise reportable, to submit a licensee event report under 10 CFR 50.73(b) for any event or condition that would have prevented RISC-1 and RISC-2 SSCs from performing a safety-significant function. A small number of events would now be reportable that were not previously (e.g., some events affecting RISC-1 or RISC-2 SSCs). The NRC staff estimates that this would result in a small increase in reporting burden.

2. Agency Use of Information

The information to be submitted as part of an application to adopt the alternative requirements in 10 CFR 50.69, in lieu of other requirements, will be used by NRC to confirm that the categorization process to be used, as well as the PRA which will provide results used in the decision process, are adequate to meet regulatory requirements to appropriately categorize the SSCs. Thus, before the licensee or applicant is permitted to revise any existing requirements, NRC has the opportunity to confirm that the process is satisfactory.

The agency would use the reports involving safety-significant functions of RISC-1 and RISC-2 SSCs required by 10 CFR 50.69(g) to determine if reported defects or events involve potential generic safety problems that might require action to resolve.

3. Reduction of Burden Through Information Technology

 There are no legal obstacles to reducing the burden associated with this information collection. The NRC encourages respondents to use information technology when it would be beneficial to them. NRC issued a regulation on October 10, 2003 (68 FR 58791), consistent with the Government Paperwork Elimination Act, which allows its licensees, vendors, applicants, and members of the public the option to make submissions electronically via CD-ROM, e-mail, special Web-based interface, or other means. It is estimated that approximately 0% of the potential responses are filed electronically. Due to the pilot nature of the current applications and the types of information and infrequency of submission, the reports do not lend themselves to the use of technology collection techniques.

4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use Similar Information

 No sources of similar information are available. There is no duplication of requirements. NRC has in place an ongoing program to examine all information collections with the goal of eliminating all duplication and/or unnecessary information collections.

5. Effort to Reduce Small Business Burden

This information collection only affects licensees of power reactors (or applicants for licenses) who are not small business entities.

6. Consequences to Federal Program or Policy Activities if the Collection is Not Conducted or is Conducted Less Frequently

The NRC would not be able to ensure the public health and safety with respect to having a sufficient basis to allow revisions to treatment of SSCs if the categorization process information is not submitted for review.

7. Circumstances Which Justify Variation from OMB Guidelines

These information collections do not vary from OMB guidelines.

8. Consultations Outside the NRC

Opportunity for public comment on the information collection requirements for this clearance package was published in the Federal Register on May 14, 2013 (78 FR 28244).  No comments were received.

9. Payment or Gift to Respondents

Not applicable.

10. Confidentiality of Information

Confidential and proprietary information is protected in accordance with NRC regulations at 10 CFR 9.17(a) and 10 CFR 2.390(b). However, no information normally considered confidential or proprietary is requested.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

This information collection does not require sensitive information.

12. Estimated Industry Burden and Burden Hour Cost

The burden from recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated as shown in the attached Tables. The burden estimate, including an estimate of one-time costs, is shown in the attached Tables. As noted, the NRC concludes that the effect of the changes on recordkeeping and reporting requirements will be a small increase in the current burden, because of the one-time implementation burden, with burden reductions expected in subsequent years. There is considerable uncertainty in these estimates for a number of reasons: voluntary nature (which affects the number of applicants); extent of SSCs that are RISC-3 or RISC-4 (which depends upon plant design); and burden impact (which depends upon events, need for replacement equipment, etc.). Costs for development of PRA and revisions to procedures would also vary depending upon the current state of the licensee’s processes. Costs for applicants would be expected to be less since they would not yet have developed the programs and could factor the requirements into their process.

While one-time costs will be incurred by the licensee/applicant for development of the PRA and procedures, conducting the categorization, and NRC review, NRC expects cost savings to result from the added flexibility being provided for licensees to apply less prescriptive requirements to some SSCs in using 10 CFR 50.69. Some of the savings will be with respect to records and reports, but the vast majority of the savings is expected to result from procurement of equipment at reduced costs and savings in licensee staff hours to implement the lesser treatment requirements for some SSCs.

13. Estimate of Other Additional Costs

The NRC has determined that the quantity of records to be maintained is roughly proportional to the recordkeeping burden and, therefore, can be used to calculate approximate records storage costs. Based on the number of pages maintained for a typical clearance, the records storage cost has been determined to be equal to 0.0004 times the recordkeeping burden cost. Because the recordkeeping burden is estimated to be 690 hours, the storage cost for this clearance is approximately $76 (690 hours x 0.0004 x $274/hour).

14. Estimated Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

The estimated annualized burden and cost to the government is 200 hours per submittal for a total of $54,800 (200 hours. x $274/hour). Typically this cost is fully recovered through fee assessments to NRC licensees pursuant to 10 CFR 170 and 10 CFR 171. However, for the pilot application, this licensing fee has been waived by the NRC.

15. Reasons for Change in Burden or Cost

There is an overall reduction of 2,380 hours, mainly in recordkeeping, due to the reduction of expected submittals during this clearance period; down from 1 to .333, although there was an increase in the level of effort for reporting under 50.69(g). Because licensees will be choosing to implement the requirements in this section in lieu of the requirements in other current sections, the burden for those sections will be reduced with the expected submittals and decrease in recordkeeping this results in an overall burden reduction. Although, there has been an increase in the fee rate from $257 to $274 per hour, there is a reduction of $605,530 for this cycle.

16. Publication for Statistical Use

The collected information is not published for statistical use.

17. Reason for not Displaying the Expiration Date

The requirements are contained in regulations. Amending the Code of Federal Regulations to display information that, in an annual publication, could become obsolete would be unduly burdensome and too difficult to keep current.

18. Exceptions to the Certification Statement

None.

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

Not applicable.

 ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  SECTION  (3150-0011) | ESTIMATED NO. OFRESPONDENTS\* | ANNUAL RESPONSES PER RESPONDENT | TOTAL ANNUAL RESPONSES | BURDEN HOURS PERRESPONSE | TOTALBURDENHRS | COST @$274/HR |
| 50.69(g) | 0.333 | 1 | 0.333 | 600 | 200 | $54,800 |
| TOTAL ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN | 0.333 |  |  |   | 200 | $54,800 |

 ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  SECTION (3150-0011) | ESTIMATED NO. OFRECORDKEEPERS\*\* | BURDEN HOURS PERRECORDKEEPER | TOTAL ANNUALBURDENHRS | COST@$274/HR |
| 50.69(d)(2) | 0.333 | 535 | 178 | $48,772 |
| 50.69(e) | 0.333 | 615 | 205 | $56,170 |
| TOTAL ANNUAL RECORD-KEEPING BURDEN |  |  | 383 | $104,942 |

Note: Estimates assume one third of current safety-related SSCs are RISC-3 and that burden of substitute requirements is in range of 1/3 to 3/4 of existing burden for data collections, depending upon the requirement. Estimates also include PRA update requirements and enhanced requirements for RISC-2 SSCs.

\*During the first two years of the pilot, the license application will be under NRC review and the licensee will not have implemented the program. It is estimated that during the third year, when the licensee implements the program that one 50.69(g) action will be taken. Thus, 1 over 3 years = 0.333 respondents annually.

\*\*One application representing two plant licenses for the three year period results in 1 recordkeeper. However, over the first two years the pilot application will be under review and not implemented at the plant. As such, the 1 recordkeeper is not utilized until the third year. Thus, 1 over 3 years = 0.333 recordkeepers annually.

 ANNUALIZED ONE-TIME RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SECTION | NO. OF RESPONDENTS | BURDEN HOURS PERRECORDKEEPER | TOTAL ANNUAL BURDENHRS | COST@$274/HR |
| 50.69(c) | 0.333 | 800 | 266 | $72,884 |
| 50.69(d)(1) | 0.333 | 20 | 7 | $1,918 |
| 50.69(d)(2) and (e) | 0.333 | 100 | 33 | $9,042 |
| TOTAL ONE-TIME RECORDKEEPING BURDEN  |  |   | 306 | $83,884 |

Annualized cost for categorization and documentation of basis for decisions under 10 CFR 50.69(c) assumes implementation for 1 application, which applies to 2 plant licenses, occurs over 3 years, but the licensee has the option to use a different period. Since for the first two years the categorization process application is under review by the NRC, the licensee will not implement the program until the third year. Thus, 1 application over 3 years = 0.333 annual applications. This also represents the estimated cost for review and revision of licensee procedures for treatment practices to meet 10 CFR 50.69(d) and (e).

Total responses: 1

Total respondents: 1 application over 3 years

Total annualized burden: 889 hours (200 hrs. reporting + 689 hrs. recordkeeping)

Total cost: $243,586 (889 burden hrs. X $274/hr)