## SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Treatment of taxable income of a residual interest holder in excess of daily accruals OMB# **1545-1675** 

#### 1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

This document contains final regulations regarding the proposed amendments to 26 CFR Part 1 under section 860E of the Internal Revenue Code (Code). The regulations provide the circumstances under which a transferor of a noneconomic REMIC residual interest meeting the investigation and representation requirements may avail itself of the safe harbor by satisfying either the formula test or the asset test.

## 2. USE OF DATA

This information is required to enable the IRS to verify that a taxpayer is complying with the conditions of this regulation. Otherwise, the taxpayer will not receive the benefit of safe harbor treatment as provided in the regulation. The likely respondents are businesses and other for-profit institutions.

#### 3. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

There are no plans to provide electronic filing because electronic filing is not appropriate for the collection of information in this submission.

#### 4. **EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION**

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

# 5. <u>METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES</u>

There are no small entities affected by this collection.

# 6. <u>CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS</u> OR POLICY ACTIVITIES

Consequences of less frequent collection on federal programs or policy activities could consist of a decreased amount of taxes collected by the Service, inaccurate and untimely filing of tax returns, and an increase in tax violations.

# 7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

There are no special circumstances requiring data collection to be inconsistent with guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

# 8. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

February 7, 2000, the IRS published in the Federal Register (65 FR 5807) a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG–100276–97; REG–122450–98) designed to clarify the safe harbor by adding the "formula test," an economic test. The proposed regulation provides that the safe harbor is unavailable unless the present value of the anticipated tax liabilities associated with holding the residual interest does not exceed the sum of: (1) The present value of any consideration given to the transferee to acquire the interest; (2) the present value of the expected future distributions on the interest; and (3) the present value of the anticipated tax savings associated with holding the interest as the REMIC generates losses.

In January 2001, the IRS published Rev. Proc. 2001–12 (2001–3 I.R.B. 335) to set forth an alternative safe harbor that taxpayers could use while the IRS and the Treasury considered comments on the proposed regulations.

We received no comments during the comment period in response to the **Federal Register** notice (81 FR 45371), dated July 13, 2016.

# 9. EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS

No payment or gift has been provided to any respondents.

#### 10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

#### 11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

A privacy impact assessment (PIA) has been conducted for information collected under this request as part of the "Business Master file (BMF)" and a Privacy Act System of Records notice (SORN) has been issued for these systems under IRS 22.062 – Electronic Filing Records; IRS 24.030 – Customer Account Data Engine (CADE) Individual Master File; IRS 24.046 - CADE Business Master File (BMF); IRS 34.037 - IRS Audit Trail and Security Records System. The Internal Revenue Service PIA's can be found at <a href="http://www.irs.gov/uac/Privacy-Impact-Assessments-PIA">http://www.irs.gov/uac/Privacy-Impact-Assessments-PIA</a>.

Title 26 USC 6109 requires inclusion of identifying numbers in returns, statements, or other documents for securing proper identification of persons required to make such returns, statements, or documents and is the authority for social security numbers (SSNs) in IRS systems.

## 12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

This is a one-time written agreement required only when a noneconomic residual interest is transferred from one holder to another. We estimate that there are approximately 470 of these transactions annually. It will take one hour to complete. Accordingly, the estimated annual reporting burden is 470 hours.

#### 13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

There is no start-up cost associated with this collection.

#### 14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

There is no estimated annualized cost to the federal government.

## 15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

The original NPRM (REG-100276-97; REG-122450-97) combined proposed rulemaking activities for (1) Financial Asset Securitization Investment Trusts (FASIT) and (2) Real Estate Mortgage Investment (REMIC). Only the rules applicable to REMIC were finalized under §1.860E-1 by TD 9004. Pub. L. 108-357 later repealed the FASIT requirements under 26 USC 860H through 860L.

This reinstatement identifies this change as a reduction of 150 responses and 750 hours.

#### 16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

There are no plans for tabulation, statistical analysis and publication.

## 17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulations sunset as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

#### 18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.

**Note**: The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control

number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.