SIF National Assessment Survey Version 6: 2016 survey of non-funded applicants

Introduction

The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) funds nonprofit intermediaries under the Social Innovation Fund (SIF). These SIF intermediaries make grants and provide other support to nonprofit organizations to implement programs with evidence of effectiveness, to build the evidence base for programs through rigorous evaluation, and to share results and lessons learned.

CNCS has contracted with ICF International to conduct a National Assessment of SIF. In 2015 we sent you a survey asking about your organization's SIF experience and its work in such areas as grantee selection and support, evaluation, scaling up of programs, and collaboration.

This survey asks you about your organization's experience in these areas in the period between 2014 and 2015. To facilitate your responses, we have provided the responses for your organization from the earlier survey. What we are asking you to do now is provide information on the same topics for 2015 and change between 2014 and 2015.

Your organization was selected for the survey sample because it is a nonprofit organization that makes grants to nonprofit community organizations to carry out programs and had applied for SIF funding. On behalf of CNCS and the SIF program, you are asked to participate in this survey. Participation in this survey is voluntary, but we hope your organization will participate because your organization's experience and perspective are extremely valuable for understanding grantmaking in the U.S.

The survey is sent to you as the contact person for your organization. If you need to involve someone else in your organization to respond to the survey questions, please ask that person or persons to respond to questions where they have the needed knowledge. We are requesting that we receive one completed survey for the organization.

The survey will take about 25 minutes to complete. Data will be reported in aggregate; reports of survey findings will not identify individual persons or organizations. If some comments by respondents would be helpful to present with the organization identified, we will check with respondents and only identify the source with the respondent's permission.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact Elyse Goldenberg (elyse.goldenberg@icfi.com; 703-225-2426) or

Background
1) Does your organization make grants to any U.S. nonprofit organizations to carry out programs or interventions in communities?
() Yes () No
Does your organization fund nonprofit organizations in the U.S. to carry out programs or interventions in any of the following areas (please mark all that apply)
[] Community health or healthy futures – Promoting healthy lifestyles and reducing the risk factors that can lead to illness (for example, disease prevention, low-income healthcare/outreach)
[] Youth development (includes early childhood development) – Preparing America's youth for success in school, active citizenship, productive work, and healthy and safe lives (for example, summer or after-school programming, delinquency prevention, youth mentoring/tutoring/volunteers)
[] Economic opportunity – Increasing economic opportunities for economically disadvantaged individuals (for example, job or career training or readiness for adults)
2) Thinking of your organization's funding of nonprofit organizations for carrying out programs, is this funding (please mark all that apply):
[] Geographically-based (fund organizations within a community, state or other specified geographic region) [] Issue-based (fund organizations whose programs focus on specific issue areas, but may be located in a wide variety of geographic locations around

Whitney Marsland (whitney.marsland@icfi.com; 703-225-2247) at ICF International.

[] Other (specify):_____

Selection of Grantees to Fund

This section asks about your organization's approach to selecting grantees to fund to carry out programs in communities in 2015. It also asks about changes your organization may have experienced over this period and (when applicable) the major factors that contributed to these change.

the U.S.)

In responding to these questions, <u>please think about your overall funding to nonprofits that conduct programs in communities</u> (not about individual programs or grant portfolios).

3) To what extent did your organization do the following in selecting nonprofits to fund in 2015?

For each thing that changed between 2014 and 2015, please indicate the factors that contributed to this change in the rightmost column. If there was no change between 2009 and 2014, mark "Not applicable (no change)." If you report that "other" major factors contributed to a change, please describe those factors below.

CUSTOMIZED SURVEY WILL SHOW THE ORGANIZATION'S RESPONSES FOR 2009 AND 2014. THEN IT WILL ASK ABOUT 2015.

THE RESPONSES FOR YOUR ORGANIZATION FOR 2009 AND 2014 WERE:

	Extent to w	hich organi this in	ization did		Major factors that contributed to change					
	2009	2014	2015	Trends in the larger grantma-king world	Specific requirements attached to the funding your organization receives	Your organization's board/leadership directed organization to implement change	Other (please specify below)	Not Applicable (no change)		
A. Used an open competitive process to solicit and review applications and to make selection decisions	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7- point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]		
B. Required applicant organizations to provide evidence	2009 response will be inserted	2014 response will be inserted	Dropdown menu goes here (7- point scale	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]		

of intervention effectiveness to be eligible for funding (includes pre- and post-test outcome data or other evidence based on evaluation studies)	here	here	from "Always to "Not at all")					
C. Required applicants to submit a plan for rigorous evaluation of intervention to be eligible for funding (that is, quasiexperimental designs with a comparison group, experimental designs or other similarly rigorous designs)	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7- point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

If you indicated that other major factors contributed to change in your organization relating to selection of nonprofits to fund between 2014 and 2015, specify those factors below:

	Other major factor(s) that contributed to change between 2014 and 2015
A. Used an open competitive process to	

solicit and review applications and to make selection decisions	
B. Required prior evidence of intervention effectiveness as basis for funding	
C. Required plan for rigorous evaluation of the intervention as a basis for funding	

Support for Grantees

Grantmaking organizations vary in the extent to which they provide support to grantees to carry out their work and to develop their capacity to do the work. This section asks about financial assistance your organization may provide to assist your grantees in conducting evaluations of their programs and about non-financial support you may provide to grantees to carry out their work.

The questions uses the term "training and technical assistance" to refer to a variety of kinds of non-financial support to help grantees implement their programs and achieve program goals. Examples of training and technical assistance include:

- · training and coaching, whether provided in-person or remotely (e.g., webinars)
- technical assistance, including activities such as consultation, problem solving or facilitation
- the provision of handbooks, tools, templates or other resources for grantees to use to carry out their work
- · bringing grantees together (in person or remotely) to share problems and solutions
- · other similar non-financial support to assist grantees to implement their programs

4) To what extent did your organization provide support for your grantees in the following areas in 2015? If the support varied by grantee or grant program, please think about your grantees or programs overall or on average.

For each thing that changed between 2014 and 2015, please indicate the factors that contributed to this change in the rightmost column. If there was no change between 2009 and 2014, mark "Not applicable (no change)." If you report that "other" major factors contributed to a

change, please describe those factors below.

CUSTOMIZED SURVEY WILL SHOW THE ORGANIZATION'S RESPONSES FOR 2009 AND 2014. THEN IT WILL ASK ABOUT 2015.

THE RESPONSES FOR YOUR ORGANIZATION FOR 2009 AND 2014 WERE:

	Extent to which organization did this in			WIGHT TATING THAT CONTRIBUTED TO CONTRIBUTE OF THE CONTRIBUTE OF T					
	2009	2014	2015	Trends in the larger grantmakin g world	Specific requirements attached to the funding your organization receives	Your organization's board/leadership directed organization to implement change	Other (please specify below)	Not Applicable (no change)	
A. Provided funding to carry out an evaluation or hire an external evaluator (as part of a grant or through other means)	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
B. Provided training or technical assistance (by your staff, consultants or other means) to conduct rigorous evaluation	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
C. Provided training or technical assistance (by your staff, consultants or other means) to support implementation of the	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	

program	"Always			
	to "Not at			
	all")			

If you indicated that other major factors contributed to change in your organization relating to support for grantees between 2014 and 2015, specify those factors below:

	Other major factor(s) that contributed to change between 2014 and 2015
A. Provided funding to	
carry out an evaluation or	
hire an external evaluator	
(as part of a grant or	
through other means)	
B. Provided training or	
technical assistance (by	
your staff, consultants or	
other means) to conduct	
rigorous evaluation	
C. Provided training or	
technical assistance (by	
your staff, consultants or	
other means) to support	
implementation of the	
program	

Evaluation

Evaluation is a systematic process to address such issues as the extent to which a program or intervention achieves its intended outcomes and impacts and how it can be improved. Organizations differ in their use of evaluations of programs that address community needs. In addition, the importance of evaluation as part of an organization's practice may change over time.

This section questions asks about your organization's use of evaluation in 2015 and its evaluation resources and infrastructure. In addition, we are interested in changes your organization may have experienced over that time, and the factors that contributed to

any changes those changes.

5) To what extent did your organization do the following regarding evaluation in 2015?

For each thing that changed between 2014 and 2015, please indicate the factors that contributed to this change in the rightmost column. If there was no change between 2009 and 2014, mark "Not applicable (no change)." If you report that "other" major factors contributed to a change, please describe those factors below.

	Extent to whi	Extent to which organization did this in			Major factors	that contributed to	change	
	2009	2014	2015	Trends in the larger grantmaking world	Specific requirements attached to the funding your organization receives	Your organization's board/leadership directed organization to implement change	Other (please specify below)	Not Applicable (no change)
A. Conducted rigorous evaluations of programs funded by your organization	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
B. Used evaluation findings to improve programs funded by your organization	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
C. Used evaluation findings to demonstrate and communicate effectiveness of programs funded by	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

your organization	"Always			
	to "Not at			
	all")			

If you indicated that other major factors contributed to change in your organization relating to evaluation between 2014 and 2015, specify those factors below:

	Other major factor(s) that contributed to change between 2014 and 2015
A. Conducted rigorous	
evaluations of programs	
funded by your	
organization	
B. Used evaluation	
findings to improve	
programs funded by your	
organization	
C. Used evaluation	
findings to demonstrate	
and communicate	
effectiveness of programs	
funded by your	
organization	

6) Did/does your organization have the following?

	2015
Staff position(s) or group within your organization dedicated to evaluation	Yes/No
External evaluation partner(s) consultant(s) or organization(s) that provide your organization with evaluation services	Yes/No
Part of the organizations' budget dedicated to evaluation	Yes/No

7) For this question, think about your organization's total evaluation budget in 2015 in comparison to 2014 (both in terms of dollars and as a percentage of the organization's total budget). Was your 2015 evaluation budget...

	Total evaluation budget in dollars	Evaluation budget as percentage of organization's total budget for year
Substantially higher than the evaluation budget in 2014	[]	[]
About the same as the evaluation budget in 2014	[]	[]
Somewhat lower than the evaluation budget in 2014	[]	[]
Substantially lower than the evaluation budget in 2014	[]	[]

8) If you can access or estimate your organization's budget and staffing for evaluation in 2009 and 2014, please provide the following information:

Total annual evaluation budget (in \$) – for inhouse evaluators or external partners	2014	2015
Annual evaluation budget as % of the total organization budget		
Number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff with primary responsibility for evaluation		

Scaling up of evidence-based programs: Increasing the impact of programs within the community or in other communities

A number of grantmakers are interested in scaling up programs that have shown evidence of effectiveness -- increasing the impact of a program within the community or expanding it to other communities or populations. This section asks about your organization's involvement in efforts to scale programs.

9) To what extent did your organization do the following regarding scaling up of programs in 2015? For each thing that changed between 2014 and 2015, please indicate the factors that contributed to this change in the rightmost column. If there was no change between 2009 and

2014, mark "Not applicable (no change)".

If you report that "other" major factors contributed to a change, please describe those factors below.

	Extent to which organization did this in			Major factors that contributed to change					
	2009	2014	2015	Trends in the larger grantmaking world	Specific requirements attached to the funding your organization receives	Your organization's board/leadership directed organization to implement change	Other (please specify below)	Not Applicable (no change)	
A. Undertook efforts to scale up existing program(s) – i.e., to expand the program(s) within the community or to other communities or populations	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
B. Selected programs for scale-up based on rigorous evaluation that shows them to be effective	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	

If you indicated that other major factors contributed to change in your organization's approach to scaling up programs between 2014 and 2014, specify those factors below:

	Other major factor(s) that contributed to change between 2014 and 2015
A. Undertook efforts to scale up existing program(s)	
B. Selected for scale-up	

programs that have had	
rigorous evaluation that	
shows them to be effective	

Collaboration to Address Community Needs

10) To what extent did your organization participate in collaborations to support implementation of programs in communities in 2015?

For each thing that changed between 2014 and 2015, please indicate the factors that contributed to this change in the rightmost column. If there was no change between 2009 and 2014, mark "Not applicable (no change)." If you report that "other" major factors contributed to a change, please describe those factors below.

	Extent to w	hich organiz this in	zation did		Major factors that contributed to change				
	2009	2014	2015	Trends in the larger grantmaking world	Specific requirements attached to the funding your organization receives	Your organization's board/leadership directed organization to implement change	Other (please specify below)	Not Applicable (no change)	
A. Participated in funding alliance(s) with other nonprofit sector organizations. (For example, co-funding programs through joint funding; providing or receiving matching funds; or other collaboration)	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
B. Participated in collaborations with other nonprofit organizations to share knowledge	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	

			"Always to "Not at all")					
C. Collaborated with other organizations for purposes of advocacy – to advocate for or develop public support for programs or approaches to addressing social problems	2009 response will be inserted here	2014 response will be inserted here	Dropdown menu goes here (7-point scale from "Always to "Not at all")	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

If you indicated	that other ma	jor factors cont	ributed to chang	e in your o	rganization	between 20)14 and 2015, s	specify those
factors below:								

	Other major factor(s) that contributed to change between 2014 and 2015
A. Participated in funding alliance(s)	
with other nonprofit sector organizations	
B. Participated in collaborations with	
other nonprofit organizations to share	
knowledge	
C. Collaborated with other organizations	
for purposes of advocacy	

Federal Funding

12)	Did v	our o	rganization	receive	anv	federal	government	funding	in	2015?
	, Diu ,	our c	/I SuiliZuuvii	ICCCIVC	ully	icuci ui		1 ununi		-010.

() Yes

() No

Reflection on SIF Application Experience

13) Did your organization's experience in applying for SIF funding have an effect on your organization?
() Yes
() No
If the SIF application experience had an effect on your organization – what were the main effects it had?

14) Do you have recommendations for improving the SIF application process?
We may want to contact your organization again in the future to ask for your participation in more in-depth studies on topics relating to grantmaking.
Are you willing to be contacted again for more in-depth follow-up studies? (Even if you agree now to be recontacted now, you can still agree or refuse to participate if you are contacted at a later time.)
() Yes – I am willing to be recontacted for possible follow-up studies
() No – I am not willing to be recontacted
If yes:
Name:
Position/title:
Organization:
Email address:
Telephone number:
If you are interested in receiving survey results, please indicate below and, if interested, provide contact information to send reports to.
() Yes – I am interested in receiving survey results
() No – I am not interested in receiving survey results
If yes:
Name:
Position/title:
Organization:
Email address:
Telephone number:

Thank You!

Thank you for taking our survey.

Your response is very important to the Corporation for National and Community Service.